

## REFERENCES

- Abraham, P. (2002). *Skilled reading: top-down, bottom-up. Field Notes*, 10(2). Boston, MA.: SABES/World Education.
- Ackermann, E. (2001). Piaget's constructivism, Papert's constructionism: What's the difference. *Future of learning group publication*, 5(3), 438.
- Adaskou, K., Britten, D., & Fahsi, B. (1990). Design decisions on the cultural content of a secondary English course for Morocco. *ELT journal*, 44(1), 3-10. <https://doi.org/10.1093/elt/44.1.3>
- Aghaie, R., & Zhang, L. J. (2012). Effects of explicit instruction in cognitive and metacognitive reading strategies on Iranian EFL students' reading performance and strategy transfer. *Instructional Science*, 40, 1063-1081. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11251-011-9202-5>
- Ahmadi, M. R., Ismail, H. N., & Abdullah, M. K. K. (2013). The importance of metacognitive reading strategy awareness in reading comprehension. *English Language Teaching*, 6(10), 235-244. <https://doi.org/10.5539/elt.v6n10p235>
- Ahmadian, M., & Rad, S. E. (2014). Post-method era and localized language curriculum development. *Journal of Language Teaching and Research*, 5(3), 592-598. <https://doi.org/10.4304/jltr.5.3.592-598>
- Ainley, M., Hidi, S., & Berndorff, D. (2002). Interest, learning, and the psychological processes that mediate their relationship. *Journal of Educational Psychology*, 94, 545-561. <https://doi.org/10.1037/0022-0663.94.3.545>
- Akama, K. (2006). Relations among self-efficacy, goal setting, and metacognitive experiences in problem-solving. *Psychological Reports*, 98(3), 895-907. <https://doi.org/10.2466/pr0.98.3.895-907>
- Aktar, T., & Ahmed, I. (2018). Metacognitive awareness of strategy use in EFL reading comprehension in Bangladesh. *Journal of ELT Research*. [https://doi.org/10.22236/JER\\_Vol3Issue2pp204-221](https://doi.org/10.22236/JER_Vol3Issue2pp204-221)
- Al-Asmari, A., & Javid, C.Z. (2018). Role of content schema in reading comprehension among saudi EFL students: EFL teachers' perspective and use of appropriate

Dewi Novita, 2023

**ASSESSING THE EFFECTIVENESS OF USING METACOGNITIVE STRATEGIES AND CULTURALLY FAMILIAR TEXTS TO IMPROVE READING COMPREHENSION**

Universitas Pendidikan Indonesia | [repository.upi.edu](https://repository.upi.edu) | [perpustakaan.upi.edu](https://perpustakaan.upi.edu)

- classroom strategies. *International Journal of English Linguistics*, 8, 96. <https://doi.org/10.5539/ijel.v8n4p96>
- Al-Fallay, I. (1994). Limiting bias in the assessment of English as a foreign language: The impact of background knowledge on the proficiency of Saudi Arabian students learning English as a foreign language. *unpublished doctoral dissertation, University of New Mexico, Albuquerque.*
- Al-Issa, A. (2011). Schema theory and L2 reading comprehension: implications for teaching. *Journal of College Teaching & Learning*, 3, 41-48. <https://doi.org/10.19030/tlc.v3i7.1700>
- Al-Jahwari, Y., & Al-Humaidi, S. (2015). Prior knowledge in EFL reading comprehension: Omani teachers' perspectives & classroom strategies. *International Journal of Applied Linguistics and English Literature*, 4(1), 169-181. <https://doi.org/10.7575/aiac.ijalel.v.4n.1p.169>
- Al-Qahtani, A. A. (2021). Reading English as a foreign language: the interplay of abilities and strategies. *Indonesian Journal of Applied Linguistics*, 10(3), 580-588. <https://doi.org/10.17509/ijal.v10i3.31738>
- Alexander, P. A., & Jetton, T. L. (1996). The role of importance and interest in the processing of text. *Educational Psychology Review*, 8, 89-121. <https://doi.org/10.1007/BF01761832>
- Alexander, P. A., & Jetton, T. L. (2000). Learning from text: a multidimensional and developmental perspective. In M. Kamil, P. Mosenthal, P. D. Pearson, & R. Barr (Eds.), *Handbook of reading research* (Vol. 3, pp. 285–310). Mahwah, NJ: Erlbaum.
- Alexander, P. A., Kulikowich, J. M., & Jetton, T. L. (1994). The role of subject-matter knowledge and interest in the processing of linear and nonlinear texts. *Review of Educational Research*, 64, 201-252. <https://doi.org/10.3102/00346543064002201>
- Alderson, C. J., & Alderson, J. C. (2000). *Assessing reading*. Cambridge University Press. <https://doi.org/10.1017/CBO9780511732935>
- Alhaisoni, E. (2017). Prior knowledge in EFL reading comprehension: native and non-Dewi Novita, 2023  
**ASSESSING THE EFFECTIVENESS OF USING METACOGNITIVE STRATEGIES AND CULTURALLY FAMILIAR TEXTS TO IMPROVE READING COMPREHENSION**  
 Universitas Pendidikan Indonesia | repository.upi.edu | perpustakaan.upi.edu

- native EFL teachers' perceptions, classroom strategies and difficulties encountered. *International Journal on Studies in English Language and Literature*, 5(1), 30-41. <https://doi.org/10.20431/2347-3134.0501004>
- Alhaqbani, A., & Riazi, M. (2012). Metacognitive awareness of reading strategy use in Arabic as a second language. *Reading in a foreign language*, 24, 231-255.
- Alptekin, C. (1993). Target-language culture in EFL materials. *ELT journal*, 47(2), 136-143. <https://doi.org/10.1093/elt/47.2.136>
- Alptekin, C. (2002). The effects of cultural knowledge on EFL reading comprehension. Opening plenary speech at international Balkan ELT conference. Edirne, Trakya University.
- Alptekin, C. (2006). Cultural familiarity in inferential and literal comprehension in L2 reading. *System*, 34, 494-508. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.system.2006.05.003>
- Alptekin, C. (2007). Foreign language learning strategy choice: naturalistic versus instructed language acquisition. *Online Submission*, 3(1), 4-11.
- Alptekin, C., & Erçetin, G. (2011). Effects of working memory capacity and content familiarity on literal and inferential comprehension in L2 reading. *TESOL Quarterly*, 45, 235-266. <https://doi.org/10.5054/tq.2011.247705>
- Alsamadani, H. (2009). *The relationship between Saudi EFL college-level students' use of reading strategies and their EFL reading comprehension*. Unpublished doctoral dissertation. Ohio University, USA.
- Anderson, N. (2003). Teaching reading. In D. Nunan (Ed.), *Practical English language teaching* (p. 67-86). New York, NY: McGraw-Hill Publishers.
- Anderson, N. (2008). Metacognition and good language learners. In C. Griffiths (Ed.), *Lessons from Good Language Learners* (Cambridge Language Teaching Library, pp. 99-109). Cambridge: Cambridge University Press. <https://doi.org/10.1017/CBO9780511497667.010>
- Anderson, N. J. (1989). Reading comprehension tests versus academic reading: What are second language readers doing? Unpublished doctoral dissertation, The University of Texas, Austin.

Anderson, N. J. (2002). *The role of metacognition in second/foreign language teaching*

Dewi Novita, 2023

**ASSESSING THE EFFECTIVENESS OF USING METACOGNITIVE STRATEGIES AND CULTURALLY FAMILIAR TEXTS TO IMPROVE READING COMPREHENSION**

Universitas Pendidikan Indonesia | [repository.upi.edu](https://repository.upi.edu) | [perpustakaan.upi.edu](https://perpustakaan.upi.edu)

- and learning*. Washington, DC. ERIC Clearing house on Language and Linguistics. (ERIC Document Reproduction Service No. ED99CO0008)
- Anderson, N. J. (2004). Metacognitive reading strategy awareness of ESL and EFL learners. *The CATESOL Journal*, 16(1), 1-17.
- Anderson, R. C., & Pearson, P. D. (1984). A schema-theoretic view of basic processes in reading. In R. Barr, M. L. Kamil, P. Mosenthal, & P. D. Pearson (Eds.), *Handbook of reading research* (Vol. 2, pp. 255– 292). White Plains, NY: Longman.
- Antonietti, A., Ignazi, S., & Perego, P. (2000). Metacognitive knowledge about problem-solving methods. *British Journal of Educational Psychology*, 70(1), 1-16. <https://doi.org/10.1348/000709900157921>
- Auerbach, E. R., & Paxton, D. (1997). "It's not the English thing": bringing reading research into the ESL classroom. *Tesol Quarterly*, 31(2), 237-261. <https://doi.org/10.2307/3588046>
- Bachman, L. F., Cushing, S. T., & Purpura, J. E. (1993). Development of a questionnaire item bank to explore test-taker characteristics (interim report submitted to University of Cambridge local examination syndicate). Cambridge: UCLES.
- Bachman, L.F. (1990). *Fundamental considerations in language testing*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Bachman, L.F., & Palmer, A.S. (1996). *Language testing in practice*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Baker, L., & Beall, L. C. (2014). *Metacognitive processes and reading comprehension*. In *Handbook of research on reading comprehension* (pp. 397-412). Routledge. <https://doi.org/10.4324/9781315759609-30>
- Baker, L., & Brown, A.L. (1984). Metacognitive skills and reading. In P.D. Pearson (Ed.), *Handbook of reading research* (pp.353-394). New York: Longman.
- Barlett Frederic, C. (1932). *Remembering: A study in experimental and social psychology*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press
- Barrentine, S. J. (Ed.). (1999). *Reading assessment: principles and practices for*
- Dewi Novita, 2023
- ASSESSING THE EFFECTIVENESS OF USING METACOGNITIVE STRATEGIES AND CULTURALLY FAMILIAR TEXTS TO IMPROVE READING COMPREHENSION**
- Universitas Pendidikan Indonesia | [repository.upi.edu](https://repository.upi.edu) | [perpustakaan.upi.edu](https://perpustakaan.upi.edu)

- elementary teachers*. Newark, DE: International Reading Association.
- Bartlett, F. C., & Burt, C. (1933). Remembering: A study in experimental and social psychology. *British Journal of Educational Psychology*, 3(2), 187-192. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.2044-8279.1933.tb02913.x>
- Bascha, Z. (2011). The open source generation. Retrieved from <https://opensource.com/business/11/9/z-open-source-generation>
- Baumann, J. F., Seifert-Kessell, N., & Jones, L. (1987, December). *Effects of think-aloud instruction on elementary students' ability to monitor their comprehension*. Paper presented at the National Reading Conference, St. Petersburg, FL.
- Bransford, J. D. (1984). Schema activation and schema acquisition: *comments on Richard C. Anderson's Remarks. Learning to Read in American Schools: Basal Readers and Content Texts*, 259-272.
- Braun, C., Rennie, B. J., & Labercane, G. D. (1986). A conference approach to the development of metacognitive strategies. Solving problems in literacy: Learners, teachers, and researchers. *The thirty-fifth yearbook of the National Reading Conference*, 204–209.
- Braun, V., & Clarke, V. (2006). Using thematic analysis in psychology. *Qualitative research in psychology*, 3(2), 77-101. <https://doi.org/10.1191/1478088706qp063oa>
- Brevik, L. M. (2015). Strategies and shoes: Can we ever have enough? Teaching and using reading comprehension strategies in general and vocational programmes. *Scandinavian Journal of Educational Research*, 61(1), 76-94. <https://doi.org/10.1080/00313831.2015.1075310>
- Bria, M. G., Mbato, C.L. (2019). Metacognitive Strategies of Undergraduate and Postgraduate Students in Reading. *LLT Journal: A Journal on Language and Language Teaching*. <https://doi.org/10.24071/llt.v22i2.1779>
- Brown, H. D. (2000). *Principles of language learning and teaching* (Vol. 4). New York: Longman.

- Brown, H.D. (2001). *Teaching by principles. An interactive approach to language pedagogy*. White Plains, NY: Addison Wesley, Longman, Inc.
- Brown, H.D. (2002). English language teaching in the "post-method" era: Toward better diagnosis, treatment, and assessment. *Methodology in language teaching: An anthology of current practice*, 9, 18. <https://doi.org/10.1017/CBO9780511667190.003>
- Brown H. D. Lee H. & Pearson Education. (2015). *Teaching by principles: an interactive approach to language pedagogy* (4th ed.). Pearson Education.
- Brown, J. I., Fishco, V. V., & Hanna, G. S. (1993). *Nelson-Denny reading test: Technical report, forms G & H*. Riverside Publishing Company.
- Brown, G., & Yule, G. (1983). *Discourse analysis*. Cambridge university press. <https://doi.org/10.1017/CBO9780511805226>
- Bulunuz, M., Jarrett, O. S., & Martin-Hansen, L. (2012). Level of inquiry as motivator in an inquiry methods course for preservice primary teachers. *School Science and Mathematics*, 112(6), 330-339. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1949-8594.2012.00153.x>
- Butterfuss, R., Kim, J., & Kendeou, P. (2020). Reading Comprehension. Grantee Submission. <https://doi.org/10.1093/acrefore/9780190264093.013.865>
- Busby, N.L. (2018). *Comparing first and second language reading: the use of metacognitive strategies among Norwegian students*. *Acta Didactica Norge*. <https://doi.org/10.5617/adno.5579>
- Calfee, R.C., & Patrick, C.L. (1995). *Teach our children well*. Standford, CA: Standford Alumni Association.
- Çakici, D. (2018). Metacognitive awareness and critical thinking abilities of pre-service EFL teachers. *Journal of Education and Learning*, 7(5), 116-129. <https://doi.org/10.5539/jel.v7n5p116>
- Cakir. I. (2006). Developing cultural awareness in foreign language teaching. *Turkish Online Journal of Distance Education*, 7, 154-161.
- Cameron, R. J., & Reynolds, A. R. (Eds.). (1999). *Psychology in education port-folio: learning style and metacognition*. Berkshire, UK: NFER-NELSON.

- Campbell-Beal, G. D., Hall, A. H., & Napier, T. L. (1993, November). *The effects of S2RE, a metacognitive learning strategy on the reading comprehension of elementary students*. Paper presented at the annual meeting of the Mid-South Educational Research Association, New Orleans, LA.
- Carrell, P. L. (1981). Relative difficulty of request forms in L1/L2 comprehension. *On TESOL*, 81, 141-152.
- Carrell, P. L. (1983a). Some issues in studying the role of schemata, or background knowledge, in second language comprehension. *Reading in a Foreign Language*, 1, 81-92.
- Carrell, P. L. (1983b). Three components of background knowledge in reading comprehension. *Language Learning*. 33, 183–207.
- Carrell, P. L. (1984). The effects of rhetorical organization on ESL readers. *TESOL quarterly*, 18(3), 441-469.
- Carrell, P. L. (1987). Content and formal schemata in ESL reading. *TESOL Quarterly*. 21, 461-481.
- Carrell, P. L. (1991). Second language reading: reading ability or language proficiency. *Applied Linguistics*, 12, 159-179. <https://doi.org/10.1093/applin/12.2.159>
- Carrell, P. L. (1998). Can reading strategies be successfully taught? *Australian review of applied Linguistics*, 21(1), 1-20.
- Carrell, P. L., & Eisterhold, J. (1983). Schema theory and ESL reading pedagogy. *TESOL Quarterly*, 17, 553–573. <https://doi.org/10.2307/3586613>.
- Carrell, P. L., Pharis, B. G., & Liberto, J. C. (1989). Metacognitive strategy training for ESL reading. *TESOL quarterly*, 23(4), 647-678. <https://doi.org/10.2307/3587536>
- Carrell, P.L., Gajdusek, L., & Wise, T. (1998). Metacognition and EFL/ESL reading. *Instructional Science*, 26, 97-112. <https://doi.org/10.1023/A:1003092114195>
- Chamot, A. U. (2004). Issues in language learning strategy research and teaching. *Electronic Journal of Foreign Language Teaching*, 1(1), 12–25.
- Chamot, A.U. (1994). *A model for learning strategy instruction in the foreign language classroom*. In J.E. Alatis (Ed.), Georgetown University round table on

- languages and linguistics. Washington, DC: Georgetown University Press.
- Chapelle, C. A., Enright, M. K., & Jamieson, J. M. (Eds.). (2008). *Building a validity argument for the Test of English as a Foreign Language*. New York, NY: Routledge.
- Chappelle, C. A. (2001). Innovative language learning: Achieving the vision. *ReCALL*, 13(1), 3-14. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0958344001000210>
- Chen, C. (2003). *Mainstream teachers' practices and accommodations in pre-reading instructions for English language learners*. Unpublished Doctoral Dissertation, Department of Teaching and Learning, University of Utah.
- Chihara, T., Oller, J., Weaver, K., & Chavez-Oller, M. A. (1977). Are cloze items sensitive to constraints across sentences? 1. *Language learning*, 27(1), 63-70. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-1770.1977.tb00292.x>
- Chihara, T., Sakurai, T., & Oller Jr, J. W. (1989). Background and culture as factors in EFL reading comprehension. *Language testing*, 6(2), 143-149. <https://doi.org/10.1177/026553228900600202>
- Chumworatayee, T. (2017). the effect of reading strategy instruction on Thai EFL adult learners' reading strategy awareness. *LEARN Journal: Language Education and Acquisition Research Network*, 10(1), 135-148.
- Clarke, M. A. (1979). Reading in Spanish and English: evidence from adult ESL students. *Language learning*, 29(1), 121-150. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-1770.1979.tb01055.x>
- Cohen, A. (1984). On taking language tests: What the students report. *Language Testing*, 1, 70-81. <https://doi.org/10.1177/026553228400100106>
- Cohen, A., & Hosenfeld, C. (1981). Some uses of mentalistic data in second language research. *Language Learning*, 31, 285-313. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-1770.1981.tb01386.x>
- Coutinho, S., Wiemer-Hastings, K., Skowronski, J. J., & Britt, M. A. (2005). Metacognition, need for cognition and use of explanations during ongoing learning and problem solving. *Learning and Individual Differences*, 15(4), 321-337. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.lindif.2005.06.001>

Dewi Novita, 2023

**ASSESSING THE EFFECTIVENESS OF USING METACOGNITIVE STRATEGIES AND CULTURALLY FAMILIAR TEXTS TO IMPROVE READING COMPREHENSION**

Universitas Pendidikan Indonesia | [repository.upi.edu](https://repository.upi.edu) | [perpustakaan.upi.edu](https://perpustakaan.upi.edu)



- Creswell, J. W. (2008). *Educational research: planning, conducting, and evaluating quantitative and qualitative research* (3<sup>rd</sup> ed.). Upper Saddle River, NJ: Pearson Education, Inc.
- Creswell, J. W. (2014). *Research design: qualitative, quantitative, and mixed methods approaches* (4<sup>th</sup> ed.). Thousand Oaks, CA: SAGE.
- Crocker, L., & Algina, J. (1986). *Introduction to classical and modern test theory*. Holt, Rinehart and Winston, 6277 Sea Harbor Drive, Orlando, FL 32887.
- Cziko, G. A. (1978). Differences in first-and second-language reading: The use of syntactic, semantic and discourse constraints. *Canadian modern language review*, 34(3), 473-489. <https://doi.org/10.3138/cmlr.34.3.473>
- Dabarera, C., Renandya, W. A., & Zhang, L. J. (2014). The impact of metacognitive scaffolding and monitoring on reading comprehension. *System*, 42, 462-473. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.system.2013.12.020>
- Daguay-James, H., & Bulusan, F. (2020). Metacognitive strategies on reading English texts of ESL freshmen: A Sequential Explanatory Mixed Design. *TESOL International Journal*, 15(1), 20-30.
- Daly, N. (2009). Glocalizing foreign language teaching in Taiwan: conditions and convergences. *Zeitschrift für Interkulturellen Fremdsprachenunterricht*, 14(1), 1-15.
- Davoudi, M., & Ramezani, H. (2014). The effects of cultural familiarity on reading comprehension of Iranian EFL learners. *International Journal on Studies in English Language and Literature (IJSELL)*, 2(8), 58-71.
- De Vaus, D. (2001). *Research design in social research*. SAGE.
- Demir, Y. (2012). The effect of background knowledge and cultural nativization on reading comprehension and vocabulary inference. *Journal of Education and Instructional Studies in the World*, 2(4), 188-198.
- Devine, J. (1993). The role of metacognition in second language reading and writing. In J. Carson & I. Leki (Eds.), *Reading in the composition classroom: Second language perspectives* (pp. 105-127). Boston: Heinle & Heinle.

Dewi, D.S., & Premini, D. (2018). Exploring the relationship between metacognitive  
Dewi Novita, 2023

**ASSESSING THE EFFECTIVENESS OF USING METACOGNITIVE STRATEGIES AND CULTURALLY  
FAMILIAR TEXTS TO IMPROVE READING COMPREHENSION**

Universitas Pendidikan Indonesia | [repository.upi.edu](https://repository.upi.edu) | [perpustakaan.upi.edu](https://perpustakaan.upi.edu)

- strategies and reading comprehension among senior high school students. *Anglo-Saxon*. 9(2), 156-163.
- Dindi, H., Gazur, M., Gazur, W. M., & Kirkkorpru-Dindi, A. (1989). Turkish culture for Americans.
- Dohn, N. B. (2011). Situational interest of high school students who visit an aquarium. *Science Education*, 95, 337-357. <https://doi.org/10.1002/sce.20425>
- Dreyer, C., & Nel, C. (2003). Teaching reading strategies and reading comprehension within a technology-enhanced learning environment. *System*, 31, 349-365. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0346-251X\(03\)00047-2](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0346-251X(03)00047-2)
- Durik, A. M., & Harackiewicz, J. M. (2007). Different strokes for different folks: how individual interest moderates the effects of situational factors on task interest. *Journal of Educational Psychology*, 99, 597-610. <https://doi.org/10.1037/0022-0663.99.3.597>
- Durmaz, M. (2017). Tracing the local culture in a reading book. *Journal of Languages and Culture*, 8(9), 141-146. <https://doi.org/10.5897/JLC2015.0337>
- Erten, I. H., & Razi, S. (2003, June). An experimental investigation into the impact of cultural schemata on reading comprehension. In *2nd International Balkan ELT Conference* (pp. 20-22).
- Erten, I. H., & Razi, S. (2009). The effects of cultural familiarity on reading comprehension. *Reading in a Foreign Language*. 21(1), 60-77.
- Faerch, C., & Kasper, G. (1983). *Strategies in interlanguage communication*. London: Longman.
- Fikray, F. M., & Habil, H. (2019). *The significance of schemata and cultural familiarity in second language reading: a systematic review*. Blue Eyes Intelligence Engineering and Sciences Publication.
- Flavell, J. H. (1976). *Metacognitive aspects of problem solving*. In L. B. Resnick (Ed.), *The nature of intelligence* (pp. 231-235). Hillsdale, NJ: Lawrence Erlbaum.
- Florencio, D. C. (2004). *The role of prior background knowledge in the reading comprehension of EFL Brazilian college students and American college students*. Unpublished Doctoral Dissertation. The Pennsylvania State

University.

- Floyd, P. and Carrell, P. L. 1987: Effects on ESL reading of teaching cultural content schemata. *Language Learning* 37, 89-108. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-1770.1968.tb01313.x>
- Gagné, E.D., Yekovich, C.W., & Yekovich, F.R. (1993). The cognitive psychology of schooling learning. New York, NY: HarperCollins College Publishers.
- Garner, R. (1987). *Metacognition and reading comprehension*. Norwood, NJ: Ablex.
- Garner, R., & Alexander, P. A. (1989). Metacognition: Answered and unanswered questions. *Educational Psychologist*, 24, 143-158  
[https://doi.org/10.1207/s15326985ep2402\\_2](https://doi.org/10.1207/s15326985ep2402_2)
- Ghaith, G. M. (2020). Foreign language reading anxiety and metacognitive strategies in undergraduates' reading comprehension. *Issues in Educational Research*, 30(4), 1310-1328.
- Ghaith, G. M., & El-Sanyoura, H. (2019). Reading comprehension: The mediating role of metacognitive strategies. *Reading in a foreign language*, 31, 19-43.
- Gibson, W. J., & Brown, A. (2009). *Working with qualitative data*. SAGE Publications, Ltd, <https://doi.org/10.4135/9780857029041>
- Glenberg, A. M. (2011). How reading comprehension is embodied and why that matters. *International Electronic Journal of Elementary Education*, 4(1), 5-18.
- Goode, T., Sockalingam, S., Brown, M., & Jones, W. A. (2000). A planner guide. Infusing principles, content and themes related to cultural and linguistic competence into meetings and conferences, Washington, DC: Georgetown University Center for Child and Human Development, National Centre for Cultural Competence.
- Gorsuch, R. (1983). *Factor analysis*. Newark, NJ: Erlbaum.
- Gourgey, A. F. (2001). *Metacognition in basic skills instruction*. In Hartman, H. J. (eds.) *Metacognition in learning and instruction*. Neuropsychology and Cognition, Vol. 19. Springer, Dordrecht. [https://doi.org/10.1007/978-94-017-2243-8\\_2](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-94-017-2243-8_2)

Grabe, W. (2004). Research on teaching reading. *Annual Review of Applied*

Dewi Novita, 2023

**ASSESSING THE EFFECTIVENESS OF USING METACOGNITIVE STRATEGIES AND CULTURALLY FAMILIAR TEXTS TO IMPROVE READING COMPREHENSION**

Universitas Pendidikan Indonesia | [repository.upi.edu](https://repository.upi.edu) | [perpustakaan.upi.edu](https://perpustakaan.upi.edu)

- Linguistics*, 24, 44-69.
- Grabe, W. P., & Stoller, F. L. (2013). *Teaching and researching: Reading*. Routledge. <https://doi.org/10.4324/9781315833743>
- Graves, M. F., Prenn, M. C., & Cooke, C. F. (1985). The coming attraction: previewing short stories. *Journal of Reading*, 28, 594-598.
- Grotjahn, R., & Stemmer, B. (1985). On the development and evaluation of a C-Test for French. *Fremdsprachen und Hochschule*, 13, 101–120.
- Gurkan, S. (2012). The Effects of Cultural Familiarity and Reading Activities on L2 Reading Comprehension. *Procedia - Social and Behavioral Sciences*, 55, 1196-1206. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.sbspro.2012.09.615>
- Gürses, M. Ö., & Bouvet, E. (2016). Investigating reading comprehension and learning styles in relation to reading strategies in L2. *Reading in a Foreign Language*, 28(1), 20-42.
- Guthrie, J., & Wigfield, A. (1999). How motivation fits into a science of reading. *Scientific Studies of Reading*, 3, 199-205 [https://doi.org/10.1207/s1532799xssr0303\\_1](https://doi.org/10.1207/s1532799xssr0303_1)
- Habibian, M. (2015). The impact of training metacognitive strategies on reading comprehension among ESL learner's. *Journal of Education and Practice*, 6(28), 61-69.
- Halliday, M.A.K. and Hasan, R. (1985). *Language, context and text: Aspects of language in a social-semiotic perspective*. Victoria: Deakin University Press.
- Hamdan, A. R., Ghafar, M. N., Sihes, A. J., & Atan, S. B. (2010). The cognitive and metacognition reading strategies of foundation course students in teacher education institute in Malaysia.
- Hamied, F. A. (2023). English Language Teacher Education Amid Policy Changes in Multilingual Indonesia. *Local Research and Glocal Perspectives in English Language Teaching: Teaching in Changing Times*, 433. [https://doi.org/10.1007/978-981-19-6458-9\\_27](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-981-19-6458-9_27)

- Hamied, F. A., & Lengkanawati, N. S. (2018). Case study: EMI in Indonesia. In English Medium Instruction Programmes (pp. 55-69). Routledge. <https://doi.org/10.4324/9781315397627-5>
- Harmer, J. (2001). The practice of English language teaching. London/New York, 401-405.
- Hartman, H. J. (Ed.). (2001). *Metacognition in learning and instruction: Theory, research and practice* (Vol. 19). Springer Science & Business Media.
- Heath, S. B., & Heath, S. B. (1983). *Ways with words: Language, life and work in communities and classrooms*. Cambridge university Press.
- Henk, W. A., & Melnick, S. A. (1995). The reader self-perception scale (RSPS): A new tool for measuring how children feel about themselves as readers. *The Reading Teacher*, 48, 470–482.
- Hermosa, N. (2002). The psychology of reading. Quezon City: University of the Philippines Open University.
- Hidi, S. (1990). Interest and its contribution as a mental resource for learning. Review of Educational Research, 60, 549-571. <https://doi.org/10.3102/00346543060004549>
- Hidi, S., & Anderson, V. (1992). Situational interest and its impact on reading and expository writing. In K. A. Renninger, S. Hidi, & A. Krapp (Eds.), *The role of interest in learning and development* (pp. 215-238). Hillsdale: Lawrence Erlbaum.
- Hidi, S., & Harackiewicz, J. M. (2000). Motivating the academically unmotivated: a critical issue for the 21st Century. Review of Educational Research, 70, 151-179. <https://doi.org/10.3102/00346543070002151>
- Hidi, S., & Renninger, K. A. (2006). The four-phase model of interest development. Educational Psychologist, 41(2), 111-127. [https://doi.org/10.1207/s15326985ep4102\\_4](https://doi.org/10.1207/s15326985ep4102_4)
- Holland, D., & Quinn, N. (Eds.). (1987). *Cultural models in language and thought*. Cambridge University Press. <https://doi.org/10.1017/CBO9780511607660>

Hong-Nam, K., & Page, L. (2014). Investigating metacognitive awareness and reading

Dewi Novita, 2023

**ASSESSING THE EFFECTIVENESS OF USING METACOGNITIVE STRATEGIES AND CULTURALLY FAMILIAR TEXTS TO IMPROVE READING COMPREHENSION**

Universitas Pendidikan Indonesia || repository.upi.edu || perpustakaan.upi.edu

- strategy use of EFL Korean university students. *Reading Psychology*, 35(3), 195-220. <https://doi.org/10.1080/02702711.2012.675418>
- Hosenfeld, C. (1976). Learning about learning: Discovering our students' strategies. *Foreign Language Annals*, 9, 117-129. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1944-9720.1976.tb02637.x>
- Hosenfeld, C. (1977). A preliminary investigation of the reading strategies of successful and non-successful language learners. *System*, 5, 110-123. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0346-251X\(77\)90087-2](https://doi.org/10.1016/0346-251X(77)90087-2)
- Hudson, T. (1982). The effects of Induced Schemata on the “Short-Circuit” in L2 reading: Non-decoding Factors in L2 Reading performance. *Language Learning*, 32, 1-32. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-1770.1982.tb00516.x>
- Hunt, M. (1982). *The universe within: A new science explores the human mind*. New York: Simon & Schuster.
- Iwai, Y. (2009). *Metacognitive awareness and strategy use in academic English reading among adult English as a second language (ESL) students*. Unpublished doctoral dissertation. University of Southern Mississippi, USA.
- Jacobs, J. E., & Paris, S. G. (1987). Children's metacognition about reading: Issues in definition, measurement, and instruction. *Educational Psychologist*, 22, 255-278. <https://doi.org/10.1080/00461520.1987.9653052>
- Jafari, D., & Ketabi, S. (2012). Metacognitive strategies and reading comprehension enhancement in Iranian intermediate EFL setting. *International Journal of Linguistics*, 4(3), 1. <https://doi.org/10.5296/ijl.v4i3.1684>
- Jalilifar, A. R., & Assi, R. (2008). The role of cultural nativization in comprehension of short stories in EFL reading contexts. *The International Journal of Language Society and Culture*, 26, 62-79.
- Johnson, B. E., & Zabucky, K. M. (2011). Improving middle and high school students' comprehension of science texts. *International Electronic Journal of Elementary Education*, 4(1), 19-31.
- Johnson, P. (1981). Effects on reading comprehension of language complexity and cultural background of a text. *TESOL Quarterly*, 15(2), 169-181.

Dewi Novita, 2023

**ASSESSING THE EFFECTIVENESS OF USING METACOGNITIVE STRATEGIES AND CULTURALLY FAMILIAR TEXTS TO IMPROVE READING COMPREHENSION**

Universitas Pendidikan Indonesia | [repository.upi.edu](https://repository.upi.edu) | [perpustakaan.upi.edu](https://perpustakaan.upi.edu)

- Kachru, B. B. (1998). English as an Asian language. *Links & letters*, 89-108.
- Kachru, B. B. (2005). *Asian Englishes: beyond the canon* (Vol. 1). Hong Kong University Press.
- Kamran, S.K., & Maftoon, P. (2012). An analysis of the associations between ambiguity tolerance and EFL reading strategy awareness. *English Language Teaching*, 5(3) 188-196. <https://doi.org/10.5539/elt.v5n3p188>
- Karbalaei, A. (2010). A comparison of the metacognitive reading strategies used by EFL and ESL readers. *The Reading Matrix*, 10(2), 80–165.
- Kasemsap, B. & Lee, H. (2015). L2 reading in Thailand: Vocational college students' application of reading strategies to their reading of English texts. *The Reading Matrix*, 15(2), 101–17.
- Ketchum, E. M. (2006). The cultural baggage of second language reading: An approach to understanding the practices and perspectives of a non-native product. *Foreign Language Annals*. 39(1), 22-42.
- Khanam, M., Zahid, M., Hossain, S., & Mondol, M. (2014). the role of schema for effective EFL reading comprehension. *ASA University Review*, 8(1).
- Khataee, Davoudi, & Sabzevar (2018). The role of cultural schemata in inferential reading comprehension: An investigation in the Iranian EFL context. *Asian Journal of Teaching and Learning in Higher Education (AJTLHE)*, 10(2), 11-27.
- Khezrlou, S. (2012). The relationship between cognitive and metacognitive strategies, age, and level of education. *The Reading Matrix*, 12(1).
- King, A. D. (Ed.). (1991). *Culture, globalization and the world system: Contemporary conditions for the representation of identity* (Vol. 3). U of Minnesota Press.
- Klatsky, R. L. (1980). *Human memory: Structures and processes*. San Francisco: Freeman.
- Krashen, S. D., & Terrell, T.D. (1983). *The natural approach: language acquisition in the classroom*. New York: Pergamon Press.

- Ku, K. Y., & Ho, I. T. (2010). Metacognitive strategies that enhance critical thinking. *Metacognition and learning*, 5, 251-267.  
<https://doi.org/10.1007/s11409-010-9060-6>
- Kumaravadivelu, B. (1994). The post-method condition: Emerging strategies for second/foreign language teaching. *TESOL Quarterly*, 28(1), 27-48.  
<https://doi.org/10.2307/3587197>
- Kumaravadivelu, B. (2003). Forum critical language pedagogy: post-method perspective on English language teaching. *World Englishes*, 22(4), 539- 550.  
<https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-971X.2003.00317.x>
- Kutluturk, S., & Yumru, H. (2017). Cognitive and metacognitive strategy training to enhance freshmen's reading skills. *International Journal of Language and Literature*, 5(1), 7-15.
- Lai, E. R. (2011). Metacognition: A literature review. *Always learning: Pearson research report*, 24, 1-40.
- Langer, J. A. (1981). From theory to practice: A pre-reading plan. *Journal of Reading*, 25, 152-156.
- Latifah, M., Suradijono, S. H. R., & Mursitolaksmi, L. R. (2017). The use of metacognitive strategies in reading and their influence on reading comprehension of junior high school students in the upland region of Java. *In 1st International Conference on Intervention and Applied Psychology (ICIAP 2017)* (pp. 136-148). Atlantis Press. <https://doi.org/10.2991/iciap-17.2018.12>
- Levene, H. (1961). *Robust tests for equality of variances*. (1960). In I. Olkin, et.al., Eds., *Contributions to probability and statistics. Essays in honor of Harold Hotelling*, Standford University Press, Palo Alto. 279-292.
- Li, C., & Lai, S. (2012). The functions of cultural schemata in the Chinese reading comprehension and reading time of college students in Taiwan. *Journal of International Education Research*, 8, 105-112.  
<https://doi.org/10.19030/jier.v8i2.6830>



- Li, J. (2012). *Cultural foundations of learning: East and West*. Cambridge University Press. <https://doi.org/10.1017/CBO9781139028400>
- Li, J., & Chun, C. K. W. (2015). Metacognitive knowledge of tertiary-level EFL reading: Case studies in an acquisition-poor context. *Chinese Journal of Applied Linguistics*, 38(2), 166-187. <https://doi.org/10.1515/cjal-2015-0010>
- Li, S., & Munby, H. (1996). Metacognitive strategies in second language academic reading: A qualitative investigation. *English for specific purposes*, 15(3), 199-216. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0889-4906\(96\)00004-X](https://doi.org/10.1016/0889-4906(96)00004-X)
- Li, X. H., Wu, J., & Wang, W. H. (2007). Analysis of schema theory and its influence on reading. *US-China foreign language*, 5(11), 18-21.
- Linnenbrink-Garcia, L., Pugh, K. J., Koskey, K. L., & Stewart, V. C. (2012). Developing conceptual understanding of natural selection: the role of interest, efficacy, and basic prior knowledge. *Journal of Experimental Education*, 80, 45-68. <https://doi.org/10.1080/00220973.2011.559491>
- Liontas, J. I. (2002). Exploring second language learners' notions of idiomaticity. *System*, 30(3), 289-313. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0346-251X\(02\)00016-7](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0346-251X(02)00016-7)
- Livingston, J. A. (2003). Metacognition: An Overview. *Psychology*, 13, 259-266.
- Logtenberg, A., van Boxtel, C., & van Hout-Wolters, B. (2011). Stimulating situational interest and student questioning through three types of historical introductory texts. *European Journal of Psychology of Education*, 26, 179-198. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10212-010-0041-6>
- Lusianov, J. D. (2020, December). Post-method era and glocalization in language teaching and learning. In 4th International Conference on Language, Literature, Culture, and Education (ICOLLITE 2020) (pp. 360-366). Atlantis Press. <https://doi.org/10.2991/assehr.k.201215.056>
- Maasum, T. N. R. T. M., & Maarof, N. (2012). Empowering ESL readers with metacognitive reading strategies. *Procedia-Social and Behavioral Sciences*, 69, 1250-1258.
- Mahoney, M. J. (1991). *Human change processes*. New York. Basic Books.

- Malcolm, I.G. & Sharifian, F. (2002). Aspects of Aboriginal English oral discourse: an application of cultural schema theory. *Discourse Studies*, 4, 169-181.
- Mall-Amiri, B., & Ahmadi, Z. (2014). The relationship between EFL learners' critical thinking, and metacognitive strategies. *International Journal of Language Learning and Applied Linguistics World*, 5(1), 488-505. <https://doi.org/10.4304/jltr.5.5.1167-1175>
- Mandler, G. (1984). Consciousness, imagery, and emotion-with special reference to autonomic imagery. *Journal of Mental Imagery*.
- Maryam, I. S., Ihrom, S. M., & Nurlaelawati, I. (2019, June). The correlation between metacognitive reading strategies and reading comprehension among 1st year EFL students at a public university in West Java. In *Eleventh Conference on Applied Linguistics (CONAPLIN 2018)* (pp. 436-446). Atlantis Press.
- McNamara, D. S., & Kendeou, P. (2011). Translating advances in reading comprehension research to educational practice. *International Electronic Journal of Elementary Education*, 4(1), 33-46.
- McShane, S. (2005). *Applying research in reading instruction for adults: First steps for teachers*. National Institute for Literacy.
- McVee, Dunsmore, and Gavelek (2013) *Schema theory revisited*. In Alvermann D. E. Unrau N. & Ruddell R. B. Eds. *Theoretical models and processes of reading* (6th ed.). International Reading Association. 489-524.
- Mehrdad, A. G., Ahghar, M. R., & Ahghar, M. (2012). The effect of teaching cognitive and metacognitive strategies on EFL students' reading comprehension across proficiency levels. *Procedia-Social and Behavioral Sciences*, 46, 3757-3763. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.sbspro.2012.06.142>
- Meniado, J. C. (2016). Metacognitive Reading Strategies, Motivation, and Reading Comprehension Performance of Saudi EFL Students. *English Language Teaching*, 9(3), 117-129. <https://doi.org/10.5539/elt.v9n3p117>
- Merriam, S. B. (1998). *Qualitative research and case study applications in education. Revised and expanded from " Case Study Research in Education."* Jossey-Bass Publishers, 350 Sansome St, San Francisco, CA 94104.

Dewi Novita, 2023

**ASSESSING THE EFFECTIVENESS OF USING METACOGNITIVE STRATEGIES AND CULTURALLY FAMILIAR TEXTS TO IMPROVE READING COMPREHENSION**

Universitas Pendidikan Indonesia || [repository.upi.edu](https://repository.upi.edu) || [perpustakaan.upi.edu](https://perpustakaan.upi.edu)

- Miholic, V. (1994). An inventory to pique students' metacognitive awareness of reading strategies. *Journal of Reading*, 38, 84–86.
- Miles, M. B., Huberman, A. M., & Saldaña, J. (2018). *Qualitative data analysis: A methods sourcebook*. Sage publications.
- Mitchell, M. (1993). Situational interest: its multifaceted structure in the secondary school mathematics classroom. *Journal of Educational Psychology*, 85, 424-436. <https://doi.org/10.1037/0022-0663.85.3.424>
- Mitchell, M. (1997, March). Situational interest in the statistics classroom. Paper presented at the Annual Meeting of the American Educational Research Association, Chicago, IL.
- Mokhtari, K., & Sheorey, R. (2002). Measuring ESL students' awareness of reading strategies. *Journal of developmental education*, 25(3), 2-11.
- Mokhtari, K., & Sheorey, R. (Eds.). (2008). *Reading strategies of first- and second-language learners: See how they read*. Norwood, MA: Christopher-Gordon Publishers.
- Murtagh, L. (1989). Reading in a second or foreign language: models, processes, and pedagogy. *Language, Culture and Curriculum*, 2(2), 91-105. <https://doi.org/10.1080/07908318909525058>
- Nejad, B., & Mahmoodi-Shahreabaki, M. (2015). Effects of metacognitive strategy instruction on the reading comprehension of English language learners through cognitive academic language learning approach (CALLA). *International Journal of Languages' Education and Teaching*, 3(2). <https://doi.org/10.18298/ijlet.463>
- Nieswandt, M. (2007). Student affect and conceptual understanding in learning chemistry. *Journal of Research in Science Teaching*, 44, 908-937. <https://doi.org/10.1002/tea.20169>
- Nosratinia, M., & Mohammadi, E. (2017). The comparative effect of teaching metacognitive strategies and collaborative strategic reading on EFL learners' reading comprehension. *International Journal of Applied Linguistics and English Literature*, 6(3), 158-167.

<https://doi.org/10.7575/aiac.ijalel.v.6n.3p.158>

- Nunan, D. (1999). *Second language teaching and learning*. Boston: Heinle & Heinle.
- Nuttall, C. (1996). *Teaching reading skills in a foreign language*. Oxford: Heinemann.
- Nuttall, C. (2000). *Teaching reading skills in a foreign language*. UK: Macmillan Heinemann.
- Oller Jr, J. W. (1995). Adding abstract to formal and content schemata: Results of recent work in Peircean semiotics. *Applied linguistics*, 16(3), 273-306. <https://doi.org/10.1093/applin/16.3.273>
- Oller Jr, J. W. (2005). Common ground between form and content: The pragmatic solution to the bootstrapping problem. *The Modern Language Journal*, 89(1), 92-114. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.0026-7902.2005.00267.x>
- O'Malley, J., Chamot, A. U., & Walker, C. (1987). Some applications of cognitive theory to second language acquisition. *Studies in Second Language Acquisition*, 9, 287-306. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0272263100006690>
- O'Malley, J. M., & Chamot, A. U. (1987). The cognitive academic language learning approach: A bridge to the mainstream. *TESOL Quarterly*, 21, 227-249.
- O'Malley, J.M., Chamot, A.U. and Kupper, L. 1989: Listening comprehension strategies in second language acquisition. *Applied Linguistics*, 10, 418-37. <https://doi.org/10.1093/applin/10.4.418>
- O'Malley, M.J. and Chamot, A.U. 1990: *Learning strategies in second language acquisition*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Oxford, R. (1990). *Language learning strategies: What every teacher should know*. Boston: Heinle & Heinle Publishers.
- Oxford, R. L., & Burry-Stock, J. A. (1995). Assessing the use of language learning strategies worldwide with the ESL/EFL version of the Strategy Inventory for Language Learning (SILL). *System*, 23(1), 1-23. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0346-251X\(94\)00047-A](https://doi.org/10.1016/0346-251X(94)00047-A)
- Palincsar, A. S. (1986). Metacognitive strategy instruction. *Exceptional children*, 53(2), 118-124. <https://doi.org/10.1177/001440298605300203>

- Palinscar, A. S., & Brown, A. L. (1984). Reciprocal teaching of comprehension fostering and monitoring activities. *Cognition and Instruction, 1*, 117- 175. [https://doi.org/10.1207/s1532690xci0102\\_1](https://doi.org/10.1207/s1532690xci0102_1)
- Palmer, D., Dixon, J., & Archer, J. (2017). Using situational interest to enhance individual interest and science-related behaviours. *Research in Science Education, 47*, 731-753. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11165-016-9526-x>
- Pammu, A., Amir, Z., & Maasum, T. (2014). Metacognitive reading strategies of less proficient tertiary learners: A case study of EFL learners at a public university in Makassar, Indonesia. *Procedia, 118*, 357–364. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.sbspro.2014.02.049>
- Pammu, A., Machmoed, H. A., Astuty, A. D., & Sahraeny, S. (2021, December). Applying Blended Learning as an Effective Model for EFL Learners' Reading Comprehension. In *The 2nd International Conference of Linguistics and Culture (ICLC-2)* (pp. 7-11). Atlantis Press. <https://doi.org/10.2991/assehr.k.211225.002>
- Paris, S. G., & Winograd, P. (1990). How metacognition can promote academic learning and instruction. In B. F. Jones & L. Idol (Eds.), *Dimensions of thinking and cognitive instruction* (pp. 15-51). Hillsdale, NJ: Erlbaum.
- Paris, S. G., Cross, D. R., & Lipson, M. Y. (1984). Informed strategies for learning: A program to improve children's reading awareness and comprehension. *Journal of Educational Psychology, 76*(6), 1239–1252. <https://doi.org/10.1037/0022-0663.76.6.1239>
- Paris, S. G., Lipson, M., & Wixson, K. K. (1983). Becoming a strategic reader. *Contemporary Educational Psychology, 8*, 293-316. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0361-476X\(83\)90018-8](https://doi.org/10.1016/0361-476X(83)90018-8)
- Patel, F., & Lynch, H. (2013). Glocalization as an alternative to internationalization in higher education: Embedding positive glocal learning perspectives. *International Journal of Teaching and Learning in Higher Education, 25*(2), 223-230

- Pearson, P. D., & Gallagher, M. C. (1983). The instruction of reading comprehension. *Contemporary educational psychology*, 8(3), 317-344. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0361-476X\(83\)90019-X](https://doi.org/10.1016/0361-476X(83)90019-X)
- Pei-shi, W. (2012). The effect of background knowledge on EFL learners' reading comprehension. *Sino-US English Teaching*, 9(9).
- Pei, L. (2014). Does metacognitive strategy instruction indeed improve Chinese EFL learners' reading comprehension performance and metacognitive awareness? *Journal of Language Teaching and Research*, 5, 1147-1152.
- Pereira-Laird, J. A., & Deane, F. P. (1997). Development and validation of a self-report measure of reading strategy use. *Reading Psychology: An International Journal*, 18, 185-235. <https://doi.org/10.1080/0270271970180301>
- Petnoosed, P. (2019). *The effects of cultural familiarity on reading comprehension and on perception of Thai undergraduate students on literary texts* (Doctoral dissertation, Prince of Songkla University).
- Phakiti, A. (2003b). A closer look at the relationship of cognitive and metacognitive strategy use to EFL reading comprehension test performance. *Language Testing*, 20, 26-56. <https://doi.org/10.1191/0265532203lt243oa>
- Piaget, J., & Cook, M. T. (1952). *The origins of intelligence in children*. New York, NY: W.W. Norton & Co. <https://doi.org/10.1037/11494-000>
- Pintrich, P. R., & De Groot, E. V. (1990). Motivational and self-regulated learning components of classroom academic performance. *Journal of Educational Psychology*, 82, 33-40. <https://doi.org/10.1037/0022-0663.82.1.33>
- Prakash, K., & Tiwari, P. (2021). Millennials and post millennials: A systematic literature review. *Publishing Research Quarterly*, 37(1), 99-116. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s12109-021-09794-w>
- Pressley, M., & Afflerbach, P. (1995). *Verbal protocols of reading: The nature of constructively responsive reading*. Hillsdale, NJ: Erlbaum.
- Pressley, M., Beard El-Dinary, P., & Brown, R. (1992). Skilled and not-so-skilled reading: Good information processing of not-so-good processing. In M. Pressley, K. Harris, & J. Guthrie (Eds.), *Promoting academic competence and*

- literacy in school* (pp. 91–127). San Diego, CA: Academic Press.
- Pritchard, R. H. (1990). The effects of cultural schemata on reading processing strategies. *Reading Research Quarterly*, 25, 273-295.
- Purpura, J. E. (1996). *Modelling the relationships between test takers' reported cognitive and metacognitive strategy use and performance on language tests*. Unpublished doctoral dissertation, University of California, Los Angeles.
- Purpura, J.E. (1997). An analysis of the relationships between test takers' cognitive and metacognitive strategy use and second language test performance. *Language Learning*, 47, 289-325. <https://doi.org/10.1111/0023-8333.91997009>
- Rahimi, M., & Katal, M. (2012). Metacognitive strategies awareness and success in learning English as a foreign language: an overview. *Procedia-Social and Behavioral Sciences*, 31, 73-81. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.sbspro.2011.12.019>
- Rajab, A., Rahman, H. A., Wahab, S. R. A., Nor, F. M., Zarina, W., Zakaria, W., & Rajim, W. Z. (2017). Metacognitive reading strategies among undergraduates. *International Journal of Information and Education Technology*, 7(7), 548-551. <https://doi.org/10.18178/ijiet.2017.7.7.928>
- Ramadhan, S. (2018). *The influence of metacognitive strategy on students' reading achievement* (Doctoral dissertation, Master Thesis. Banda Aceh: Faculty of Education and Teacher Training AR-Rainy State Islamic University Banda Aceh.
- Rastegar, M., Kermani, E. M., & Khabir, M. (2017). The relationship between metacognitive reading strategies use and reading comprehension achievement of EFL learners. *Open Journal of Modern Linguistics*, 7(02), 65. <https://doi.org/10.4236/ojml.2017.72006>
- Razi, S. (2005). A fresh look at the evaluation of ordering tasks in reading comprehension: Weighted marking protocol. *The Reading Matrix*, 5 (1), 1–15.
- Razi, S., & Çubukçu, F. (2014). Metacognition and reading: Investigating intervention and comprehension of EFL freshmen in Turkey. *Procedia-Social and Behavioral Sciences*, 158, 288-295. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.sbspro.2014.12.090>

Dewi Novita, 2023

**ASSESSING THE EFFECTIVENESS OF USING METACOGNITIVE STRATEGIES AND CULTURALLY FAMILIAR TEXTS TO IMPROVE READING COMPREHENSION**

Universitas Pendidikan Indonesia | [repository.upi.edu](https://repository.upi.edu) | [perpustakaan.upi.edu](https://perpustakaan.upi.edu)

- Reid, N. A. (1993). *Progressive achievement tests of Mathematics*. Wellington, New Zealand: New Zealand Council for Educational Research.
- Reid, N. A., Croft, A. C., & Jackson, P. F. (1978). *Progressive achievement tests: Study skills*. Wellington, New Zealand: New Zealand Council for Educational Research.
- Rezaei, R. (2015). Examining and dealing with the issue of reading strategy use by Iranian EFL learners. *The Reading Matrix: An International Online Journal*, 15(2).
- Richards, Jack C. and Rodgers, Theodore S. (1986). *Approaches and methods in language teaching*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Renninger, K. A., & Hidi, S. (2011). Revisiting the conceptualization, measurement, and generation of interest. *Educational Psychologist*, 46(3), 168-184. <https://doi.org/10.1080/00461520.2011.587723>
- Risko, V. J., & Feldman, N., (1986). Teaching young remedial readers to generate questions as they read. *Reading Horizons*, 27(1), 54-64.
- Rodliyah, R. S., Imperiani, E. D., & Amalia, L. L. (2014). Indonesian tertiary students' attitudes towards the use of local culture vs target culture reading materials in English reading class. *Jurnal Pendidikan Bahasa dan Sastra*, 14(1), 109-120. [https://doi.org/10.17509/bs\\_jpbsp.v14i1.714](https://doi.org/10.17509/bs_jpbsp.v14i1.714)
- Rokhsari, S. (2012). The effect of text nativization and schema-based pre-reading activities on reading comprehension of EFL students. *Journal of Academic and Applied studies*, 2(5), 45-75.
- Rosenblatt, L. M. (1978). *The reader: The text: The poem*. Carbondale, IL: Southern Illinois University.
- Rubin, J. (1989). How learner strategies can inform language teaching. In V. Bickley (Ed.), *Proceedings of LULTAC*. Hong Kong: Department of Education, Institute of Language in Education.
- Rubin, J. (2001). Language learner self-management. *Journal of Asian Pacific Communication*, 11(1), 25-37. <https://doi.org/10.1075/japc.11.1.05rub>

Rumelhart, D. (1980) *Schemata: The building blocks of cognition*. In: Spiro, R., Bruce, Dewi Novita, 2023

**ASSESSING THE EFFECTIVENESS OF USING METACOGNITIVE STRATEGIES AND CULTURALLY FAMILIAR TEXTS TO IMPROVE READING COMPREHENSION**

Universitas Pendidikan Indonesia | [repository.upi.edu](https://repository.upi.edu) | [perpustakaan.upi.edu](https://perpustakaan.upi.edu)



- B. and Brewer, W., Eds., *Theoretical Issues in Reading Comprehension*, Erlbaum Associates, Mahway, 33-58. <https://doi.org/10.4324/9781315107493-4>
- Rumelhart, D. E. (2017). Schemata: the building blocks of cognition. In *Theoretical issues in reading comprehension* (pp. 33-58). Routledge.
- Sari, M. I. (2016). Cognitive and metacognitive reading strategy use and reading comprehension performance of Indonesian EFL pre-service teachers. *Journal of Foreign Language Teaching and Learning*, 1(2), 46-61. <https://doi.org/10.18196/ftl.1213>
- Sarıçoban, A., & Behjoo, B. M. (2017). Metacognitive awareness of Turkish EFL learners on reading strategies. *Atatürk Üniversitesi Sosyal Bilimler Enstitüsü Dergisi*, 21(1), 159-172.
- Sasaki, M. (2000). Effects of cultural schemata on students' test-taking processes for cloze tests: a multiple data source approach. *Language testing*, 17(1), 85-114. <https://doi.org/10.1177/026553220001700104>
- Schmitt, M. C. (1988). The effects of an elaborated directed reading activity on the meta comprehension skills of third graders. In J. E. Readence and R. S. Baldwin (Eds.), *Dialogues in literacy research* (pp. 167–189). Chicago, IL: National Reading Conference.
- Schmitt, M. C. (1990). A questionnaire to measure children's awareness of strategic reading processes. *The Reading Teacher*, 43, 454–461.
- Scott, B. M., & Levy, M. G. (2013). Metacognition: *Examining the components of a fuzzy concept*. *Educational Research eJournal*, 2(2), 120-131. <https://doi.org/10.5838/erej.2013.22.04>
- Schraw, G., & Lehman, S. (2001). Situational interest: a review of the literature and directions for future research. *Educational Psychology Review*, 13, 23-52. <https://doi.org/10.1023/A:1009004801455>
- Serafini, F. (2012). Expanding the four resources model: Reading visual and multi-modal texts. *Pedagogies: An international journal*, 7(2), 150-164. <https://doi.org/10.1080/1554480X.2012.656347>

Dewi Novita, 2023

**ASSESSING THE EFFECTIVENESS OF USING METACOGNITIVE STRATEGIES AND CULTURALLY FAMILIAR TEXTS TO IMPROVE READING COMPREHENSION**

Universitas Pendidikan Indonesia || [repository.upi.edu](https://repository.upi.edu) || [perpustakaan.upi.edu](https://perpustakaan.upi.edu)

- Sharifian, F. (2003). On cultural conceptualizations. *Journal of cognition and culture*, 3(3), 187-207.
- Sharifian, F. (2010). Globalization of English in World Englishes: An emerging variety among Persian speakers of English. *Contending with globalization in world Englishes*, 137-158. <https://doi.org/10.21832/9781847692764-010>
- Sheorey, R., & Mokhtari, K. (2001). Differences in the metacognitive awareness of reading strategies among native and non-native readers. *System*, 29(4), 431-449. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0346-251X\(01\)00039-2](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0346-251X(01)00039-2)
- Sheridan, R., & Condon, B. (2020). Letting students choose: How culture influences text selection in EFL reading courses. *Journal of Asia TEFL*, 17(2), 523. <https://doi.org/10.18823/asiatefl.2020.17.2.14.523>
- Sheridan, R., Tanaka, K. M., & Hogg, N. (2016). English through culturally familiar contexts: A pilot study in Japan. *Language Education in Asia*, 88. [https://doi.org/10.5746/LEiA/16/V7/I2/A03/Sheridan\\_Tanaka\\_Hogg](https://doi.org/10.5746/LEiA/16/V7/I2/A03/Sheridan_Tanaka_Hogg)
- Sheridan, R., Tanaka, K. M., & Hogg, N. (2019). Foreign language, local culture: How familiar contexts impact learning and engagement. *TESL-EJ*, 23(1), n1.
- Slife, B. D., & Weaver, C. A. (1992). Depression, cognitive skill, and metacognitive skill in problem solving. *Cognition & Emotion*, 6(1), 1-22. <https://doi.org/10.1080/02699939208411055>
- Smith, F. (2004). *Understanding reading* (5<sup>th</sup> Edition). Hillsdale, N.J: Erlbaum.
- Snow, C. (2002). *Reading for understanding. Towards an R&D program in reading comprehension*. RAND CORP SANTA MONICA CA.
- Stanovich, K. (1980). Towards the interactive- compensatory model of individual differences in the development of reading fluency. *Reading Research Quarterly*, 16, 32-71. <http://dx.doi.org/10.2307/747348>
- Stanovich, K. E. (2000). *Progress in understanding reading: Scientific foundations and new frontiers*. Guilford Press.
- Steffensen, M.S., Joag-dev, C., & Anderson, R. (1979). A cross-cultural perspective on reading comprehension. *Reading Research Quarterly* 15(1), 10-29.
- Stemmer, B. (1991). What's on a C-test taker's mind? Mental processes in C- test

- taking. Manuskripte zur Sprachlehrforschung Band, 36. Bochum: Universitätsverlag Dr. N. Brockmeyer.
- Swales, J. M. (1990). *Genre Analysis*, Cambridge: Cambridge University Press
- Takallou, F. (2011). The effect of metacognitive strategy instruction on EFL learners' reading comprehension performance and metacognitive awareness. *Asian EFL Journal*, 13(1), 272- 300.
- Taloon, M. (2006). *Narrative: A critical linguistics introduction* (2<sup>nd</sup> ed.). London: Routledge.
- Tapola, A., Veermans, M., & Niemivirta, M. (2013). Predictors and outcomes of situational interest during a science learning task. *Instructional Science*, 41, 1047-1064. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11251-013-9273-6>
- Taraban, R., Kerr, M., & Rynearson, K. (2004). Analytic and pragmatic factors in college students' metacognitive reading strategies. *Reading Psychology*, 25(2), 67-81. <https://doi.org/10.1080/02702710490435547>
- Tavakoli, H. (2014). The effect of explicit metacognitive strategy awareness in reading comprehension: The case of Iranian university EFL students. *The Reading Matrix*, 14(2), 314–336. <https://doi.org/10.30827/digibug.53893>
- Tavakoli, M., Shirinbakhsh, S., & Rezazadeh, M. (2013). Effect of cultural adaptation on EFL reading comprehension: The role of narrative nativization and foreign language attitude. *World Applied Sciences Journal*, 21(11), 1587-1596.
- Tulgan, B. (2013). Meet Generation Z: The second generation within the giant "Millennial" cohort. *Rainmaker Thinking*, 125, 1-13.
- Van Dijk, T. A., & Kintsch, W. (1983). *Strategies of discourse comprehension*. New York: Academic Press.
- VanDuzer, C. (1999). *Reading and the adult English language learner*. ERIC Digest.
- Vygotsky, L. (1978). *Mind in society: The development of higher mental processes*. Cambridge, MA: Harvard University Press.
- Wallace, C. Reading. (1992). Oxford: Oxford University Press
- Wallace, C. (2001, December). Conversations around the literacy hour: A case study of the responses of four bilingual children to the British national literacy

Dewi Novita, 2023

**ASSESSING THE EFFECTIVENESS OF USING METACOGNITIVE STRATEGIES AND CULTURALLY FAMILIAR TEXTS TO IMPROVE READING COMPREHENSION**

Universitas Pendidikan Indonesia | [repository.upi.edu](https://repository.upi.edu) | [perpustakaan.upi.edu](https://perpustakaan.upi.edu)

- strategy. In Applied Linguistic Studies into the Millennium Conference, Cape Town (Vol. 98, No. 11).
- Wallace, C., Cooke, M., & Shrubshall, P. (2004). Inside out/outside in: A study of reading in ESOL classrooms. *Language Issues*, 16(1), 7-12.
- Wenden, A.L. (1991). *Learner strategies for learner autonomy*. Englewood Cliffs, NJ: Prentice-Hall.
- Weng, P. S. (2012). The effect of background knowledge on EFL learners' reading comprehension. *Sino-US English Teaching*, 9(9).
- Winograd, P., & Hare, V. C. (1988). *Direct instruction of reading comprehension strategies: The nature of teacher explanation*. In Learning and study strategies (pp. 121-139). Academic Press. <https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-12-742460-6.50014-1>
- Wong, K. Y. (2015). Use of student mathematics questioning to promote active learning and metacognition. In *Selected regular lectures from the 12th International Congress on Mathematical Education* (pp. 877-895). Springer, Cham. [https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-17187-6\\_49](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-17187-6_49)
- Wood, K. D., & Algozzine, B. (1995). *Teaching reading to high-risk learners*. Boston, MA: Allyn and Bacon.
- Yoo, Y., & Namkung, G. (2012). English and American linguistic hegemony: A case study of the Educational Testing Service. *The Korean Journal of International Studies*, 10(2), 221-253.
- Yousef, H., Karimi, L., & Janfeshan, K. (2014). The relationship between cultural background and reading comprehension. *Theory & practice in language studies*, 4(4). <https://doi.org/10.4304/tpls.4.4.707-714>
- Yuet, C., & Chan, H. (2003). Cultural content and reading proficiency: A comparison of mainland Chinese and Hong Kong learners of English. *Language Culture and Curriculum*, 16(1), 60-69. <https://doi.org/10.1080/07908310308666657>
- Yule, G. (1996). *Pragmatics*. Oxford university press.
- Zare-ee, A. (2007). The relationship between cognitive and meta-cognitive strategy use and EFL reading achievements. *Journal of Applied Psychology*, 2(5), 105-119.

Dewi Novita, 2023

**ASSESSING THE EFFECTIVENESS OF USING METACOGNITIVE STRATEGIES AND CULTURALLY FAMILIAR TEXTS TO IMPROVE READING COMPREHENSION**

Universitas Pendidikan Indonesia | [repository.upi.edu](https://repository.upi.edu) | [perpustakaan.upi.edu](https://perpustakaan.upi.edu)

- Zhang, L. J. (2010). A dynamic metacognitive systems account of Chinese university students' knowledge about EFL reading. *Tesol Quarterly*, 44(2), 320-353. <https://doi.org/10.5054/tq.2010.223352>
- Zhang, L., & Seepho, S. (2013). Metacognitive strategy use and academic reading achievement: Insights from a Chinese context. *Electronic Journal from Foreign Language and Teaching*, 10(1), 54–69.