**CHAPTER I** 

INTRODUCTION

This chapter introduces the present study. It covers the background of the study,

statement of problems, aims of the study, scope of the study, research

methodology, clarification of terms, and organization of the paper.

1. 1 Background

Discourse can be defined as a way to picture social practices or reality and it

can also legitimize or delegitimize the actions of actors within the social practices

in a particular context (van Leeuwen, 2008). In picturing the social practice,

discourse represents the events in the social practice, and evaluates and justifies

them (van Leeuwen, 2008). The existing discourse in society might experience

some changes, which in van Leeuwen's term is called transformation (van

Leeuwen, 2009). There must be motives underlying any kind of transformation in

the production of a discourse, e.g. to form a particular image or to legitimize a

particular issue or action in the discourse. Thus, the way people legitimize

particular things in a discourse can be used to see how discourse producer makes

the discourse acceptable for the audience of the discourse and how the discourse

fulfils the goal of particular people.

It is important to know people who produce discourse and how they make the

discourse acceptable for its audience. According to Amer (2009: 2), legitimation

is linked to power where people or things can be legitimized depending on "who

speaks, the social status and role he/she speaks from and in what capacity".

Vera Mahritta, 2012

Amer's statement suggests that the power of discourse producers plays an

important role in making the discourse legitimate and acceptable for the people.

They can make the legitimation explicitly mentioned or even make the

legitimation invisible, depending on the particular goal they try to reach. People

who have power to legitimize a discourse might use their power appropriately for

the good sake of many people or abuse their power for their own advantage. This

phenomenon can often be revealed by Critical Discourse Analysis.

There have been studies conducted within the framework of Critical

Discourse Analysis. Most of them focus on the representation of particular people

or group, such as the representation of the United States in newspaper articles (see

Achugar 2004; Li 2009). There is also a study analyzing the way the American

newspapers and US Department of Defense press release represent robot as

"saving lives and selling war" (see Roderick 2010). The issue of gender

construction in books and narratives has also been investigated using Critical

Discourse Analysis (see Hobson 2004; Sunderland 2006; Wagner and Wodak

2006).

In analyzing the representation in discourse, there are also studies that focus

on the issue of legitimation in discourse. Amer (2009) analyzes the legitimation

strategies used to portray the Palestinian intifada by a famous columnist, Thomas

Friedman. In economic issue, Vaara et al. (2006) analyze the legitimation

strategies used in the articles about global industrial restructuring in media. In

political discourse, van Leeuwen and Wodak (1999) analyze the legitimation

strategies used by Austrian authority in legitimizing immigrant control. The

Vera Mahritta, 2012

The Legitimation Strategis in The Statement of The Secretary General of United Nations Regarding Libyan Conflict: A Critical Discourse Analysis

analysis of legitimation is also used in investigating the speeches of presidents of

the United States to reveal the strategies used to legitimize the United States'

action in promoting war against particular countries that are viewed as a threat to

United States and to the world (see Oddo, 2011; Reyes, 2011). Combining

political and educational area, Peled-Elhanan (2010) investigates the legitimation

of massacre to Palestinians in Israel school books.

While previous studies investigate the legitimation strategies used by the

United States regarding war against other countries, the present study attempts to

investigate the legitimation strategies used by the United Nations (UN) in

promoting peace to a country that is experiencing conflict. Employing the

theoretical framework of discursive construction of legitimation proposed by van

Leeuwen (2008), the present study investigates the way the United Nations

legitimizes its actions in response to the ongoing conflict in Libya. As the

international organization that concerns world peace, the United Nations is

mandated to take any possible measure to stop the conflict that might threaten

world peace. Using seven statements or remarks from the Secretary General of the

United Nations, the present study aims to figure out the types of legitimation used

in justifying the United Nations' actions on Libyan conflict and their linguistic

realizations. It will also examine the extent to which the actions are legitimized.

Vera Mahritta, 2012

1. 2 Statement of Problems

The problem of the present study is formulated in the following research

questions:

1. What types of legitimation are used in the statements of the United

Nations' Secretary General regarding its action on Libyan conflict?

2. What are the linguistic realizations of the legitimation?

3. To what extent are the actions legitimized?

1. 3 Aims of the Study

This study is aimed at figuring out:

1. the types of legitimation used in the statements of the United Nations

Secretary General regarding its actions on Libyan conflict.

2. the linguistic realizations of the legitimation in the statements.

3. the extent to which the actions are legitimized.

1. 4 Scope of the Study

The present study analyses the use of legitimation strategies by using seven

statements delivered by the Secretary General of United Nations during the

conflict in Libya. This study examines how the United Nation's actions on Libyan

conflict were legitimized based on van Leeuwen's framework of discursive

construction of legitimation (2008) and their linguistic realizations. The analysis

of types of legitimation and their linguistic realizations are used to answer the

questions of this study.

1. 5 Research Method

This study employs the analytical framework of Critical Discourse Analysis.

It uses qualitative method where the analysis focuses on analyzing and

interpreting the elements in the text (see Creswell, 2008). The descriptive

quantitative method is also utilized in this study to reveal the trends in the use of

legitimation strategies. Van Leeuwen's approach on discursive construction of

legitimation (2008) is chosen as the basis for analyzing the texts.

The data collected are statements from Secretary General of the United

Nations regarding the United Nations' response to the conflict in Libya. There are

seven statements used in this study which were taken from the official site of

United Nations www.un.org on 12 October 2011. All of the statements are related

to the situation in Libya and the implementation of the resolutions 1970 and 1973,

which were issued on March 7, 2011.

This study employs CDA framework to analyze the seven remarks from

Secretary General of the United Nations. The analysis begins with the

identification of the major actions that relate to the Libyan conflict. It is followed

by the classification of legitimation strategies and their linguistic realizations.

Then, the classification is quantified to examine trends in the use of legitimation

strategies.

Vera Mahritta, 2012

1. 6 Clarification of Key Terms

To avoid misunderstanding, the following key terms are clarified to suit the

content of the present study.

Critical Discourse Analysis (CDA)

According to van Dijk (2008), CDA investigates the issue of power abuse,

domination, and inequality in the texts based on the social and political

contexts where and when the texts occur. In this study, CDA is used as a

tool to investigate the way people in power exercise their power to

legitimize particular actions in the text.

Legitimation

According to van Dijk (2007 cited in Peled-Elhanan, 2010), legitimation

can be defined as a defense strategy that is used by a speaker toward past

or present actions that are potentially being criticized or challenged by

others. Meanwhile, van Leeuwen (2008) uses legitimation to reveal the

reason why a discourse is produced in a particular way. In this study,

legitimation refers to the strategy used by particular people and institution

to make the discourse acceptable for the audience of the discourse.

Secretary General

Secretary-General can be defined as the United Nations' symbol and the

representative of the people's interest especially the poor and the

vulnerable people (United Nations, 2011a).

In this study, Secretary General of United Nations is defined as United

Nations' representative that shows United Nations' standpoint toward

particular issue without neglecting the aspiration of its members.

**United Nations** 

United Nations is an international organization with its main role is to

maintain world peace and security, concerning the social issues in the

world and the issue of human rights (United Nations, 2011b).

1. 7 Organization of Paper

This paper is organized in five chapters where each chapter contains

subtopics which give detailed explanation of the information written. The first

chapter, Introduction, includes background of the study, statement of problems,

aims of the study, scope of the study, research methodology, clarification of key

terms and organization of paper. The second chapter presents the theoretical

framework relevant to the present study. The third chapter, Research

Methodology, explains the procedures taken in conducting the study. In the fourth

chapter, the finding and discussion of this study are presented. The fifth chapter of

the paper concludes the present study and offers some suggestions.