

TABLE OF CONTENT

PAGE OF APPROVAL.....	i
STATEMENT OF AUTHORIZATION	ii
PREFACE	iii
ACKNOWLEDGEMENT	iv
ABSTRACT	vi
TABLE OF CONTENT	vii
LIST OF TABLES	ix
LIST OF GRAPHS	x
LIST OF FIGURES	xi
APPENDIXES	xii
 CHAPTER I INTRODUCTION	
1.1. Background.....	1
1.2. Statement of the Problem	5
1.3. The Aims of the Research	5
1.4. Significance of the Research	5
1.5. Scope of the Research.....	6
1.6. Hypothesis	6
1.7. Method of the Research	6
1.8. Clarification of Terms	7
1.9. Organization of the Paper	7
 CHAPTER II THEORETICAL FOUNDATION	
2.1. The Nature of Reading	9
2.1.1. The Definition of Reading	9
2.1.2. The Purpose of Reading	10
2.1.3. The L1 and L2 Reading	11
2.1.4. The Reading Process	12
2.2. The Principles of Cooperative Learning and Teams-Games Tournament (TGT) ..	13
2.2.1. The Definition of Cooperative Learning	13
2.2.2. The Concept of Cooperative Learning	15
2.2.3. The Elements of Cooperative Learning	15
2.2.4. The Phases of Cooperative Learning	19
2.2.5. The Benefits of Cooperative Learning	19
2.2.6. Teams-Games Tournament (TGT)	21
2.3. The TGT Method in Reading Narrative Text	31
2.3.1. The Narrative Text	31
2.3.2. Related Previous Research	32
 CHAPTER III RESEARCH METHODOLOGY	
3.1. Design of the Research.....	34
3.2. Data Collection	37
3.2.1. Population and Sample	37
3.2.2. Instruments	37
3.3. Procedure of the Research	39
3.4. Data Analysis	41
3.4.1. Analysis of Pilot Test	41
3.4.1.1. The Difficulty Index.....	41
3.4.1.2. The Discriminating Index	41

3.4.1.3. The Validity.....	42
3.4.1.4. The Reliability	42
3.4.2. Analysis of Pre and Post Test.....	42
3.4.2.1. The Normality Test	43
3.4.2.2. The Homogeneity Test	43
3.4.2.3. The Mann-Whitney U Test	43
3.4.3. Analysis of Questionnaire	44
CHAPTER IV FINDINGS AND DISCUSSIONS	
4.1. Findings	46
4.1.1. Pilot Test	46
4.1.1.1. The Difficulty Index	46
4.1.1.2. The Discriminating Index	47
4.1.1.3. The Validity	48
4.1.1.4. The Reliability	48
4.1.2. Pre Test	49
4.1.2.1. The Normality Test	49
4.1.2.2. The Homogeneity Test	51
4.1.2.3. The Mann-Whitney U Test	52
4.1.3. Post Test	53
4.1.3.1. The Normality Test	54
4.1.3.2. The Homogeneity Test	55
4.1.3.3. The Mann-Whitney U Test	56
4.1.4. Field Notes	58
4.1.5. Questionnaire	58
4.2. Discussion.....	60
4.2.1. Quantitative Findings	60
4.2.2. Qualitative Findings	62
4.2.2.1. Students' Response toward the TGT Activities.....	63
4.2.2.2. Students' Response toward the Social Interaction.....	64
4.2.2.3. Students' Response toward the Teacher's Role.....	65
CHAPTER V CONCLUSIONS AND SUGGESTIONS	
5.1. Conclusions	67
5.2. Suggestions	68
5.2.1. For Teacher	68
5.2.2. For Further Research	69
BIBLIOGRAPHY	
APPENDIXES	
ABOUT THE RESEARCHER	

LIST OF TABLES

Table	
2.1 The Skilled L2 Reading Process	12
2.2 Cooperative Learning Phases.....	19
2.3 Team Grouping Procedure	24
2.4 The Point Calculation in Tournament for Three Players	28
2.5 The Point Calculation in Tournament for Four Players	29

2.6 Team Rewards	30
3.1 The Students' Response Categories	44
4.1 The Interpretation of Difficulty Index	47
4.2 The Interpretation of Discriminating Index	47
4.3 The Category of Validity	48
4.4 The Interpretation of Reliability Test	48
4.5 The Pre Test Score of Experimental and Control Group	49
4.6 The Result of Normality Pre Test	50
4.7 The Result of Homogeneity Pre Test	52
4.8 The Result of Mann-Whitney U Pre Test	53
4.9 The PostTest Score of Experimental and Control Group.....	53
4.10 The Result of Normality Post Test.....	54
4.11 The Result of Homogeneity Post Test	56
4.12 The Result of Mann-Whitney U Post Test	57
4.13 Criteria of Index Gain	58



LIST OF GRAPHS

Graph	
4.1 Q-Q Plot Data of Pre Test of Experimental Group	51
4.2 Q-Q Plot Data of Pre Test of Control Group	51
4.3 Q-Q Plot Data of Post Test of Experimental Group	55
4.4 Q-Q Plot Data of Post Test of Control Group.....	55



LIST OF FIGURES

Figure	
2.1 The Placement of Tournament Table	26
3.1 Quasi Experimental with Nonequivalent Groups Design	35
3.2 The Independent and Dependent Variables	36



LIST OF APPENDIXES

Appendix

- A Teaching Instruments
- B Research Instruments
- C Quantitative Data
- D Qualitative Data
- E Official Letters

