

**EFEK MEDIASI EFISIENSI INVESTASI DALAM PENGARUH
KEPEMILIKAN MANAJERIAL TERHADAP NILAI PERUSAHAAN
YANG DI MODERASI DEWAN KOMISARIS INDEPENDEN DAN ASET
TIDAK BERWUJUD DI INDONESIA**

DISERTASI

diajukan untuk memenuhi sebagian syarat untuk memperoleh
Gelar Doktor Manajemen Konsentrasi Manajemen Keuangan



Oleh

**P E R W I T O
NIM 1907451**

**PROGRAM STUDI DOKTOR MANAJEMEN
FAKULTAS PENDIDIKAN EKONOMI DAN BISNIS
UNIVERSITAS PENDIDIKAN INDONESIA
2023**

EFEK MEDIASI EFISIENSI INVESTASI DALAM PENGARUH
KEPEMILIKAN MANAJERIAL TERHADAP NILAI PERUSAHAANYANG
DIMODERASI DEWAN KOMISARIS INDEPENDEN DAN ASET TIDAK
BERWUJUD DI INDONESIA

Oleh
Perwito

S.E. Sekolah Tinggi Ilmu Ekonomi Pasundan, 2009
M.M. Universitas Pendidikan Indonesia, 2011

Sebuah Disertasi yang diajukan untuk memenuhi salah satu syarat memperoleh
gelar Doktor Manajemen (Dr.) pada Fakultas Pendidikan Ekonomi dan Bisnis

© Perwito, 2023
Universitas Pendidikan Indonesia
Juli 2023

Hak Cipta dilindungi undang-undang.
Disertasi ini tidak boleh diperbanyak seluruhnya atau sebagian,
dengan dicetak ulang, difoto kopi, atau cara lainnya tanpa ijin dari penulis.

HALAMAN PENGESAHAN

PERWITO
NIM : 1907451

EFEK MEDIASI EFISIENSI INVESTASI DALAM PENGARUH KEPEMILIKAN MANAJERIAL TERHADAP NILAI PERUSAHAAN YANG DIMODERASI DEWAN KOMISARIS INDEPENDEN DAN ASET TIDAK BERWUJUD DI INDONESIA

Disetujui dan disahkan oleh panitia disertasi:
Promotor

Prof. Dr. H. Nugraha, S.E., M.Si., Akt. CA, CPA
NIP. 196612261990011002

Kopromotor

Anggota

Dr. Maya Sari, S.E., M.M.
NIP. 197107052002012001

Dr. H. Yaya Supriyatna, M.M.
NIP. 196010151985031002

Pengaji

Pengaji Luar Universitas

Prof. Dr. H. Disman, M.S.
NIP. 195902091984121001

Dr. Alfiana, Dra., M.M.
NIP.

Mengetahui

Ketua Program Studi S3 Manajemen
Fakultas Pendidikan Ekonomi dan Bisnis
Universitas Pendidikan Indonesia

Dr. Maya Sari, S.E., M.M.
NIP. 197107052002012001

Abstrak

Perwito (1907451)

Di bawah bimbingan Prof. Dr. H. Nugraha, S.E, M.Si, Akt. CA, CPA., Dr. Maya Sari, S.E.,M.M., Dr. H. Yayat Supriyatna, M.M.,

Perkembangan penelitian menunjukkan bahwa pendekatan kombinasi analisis kondisional efek mediasi dan moderasi, yang menjadi perspektif baru dalam ilmu manajemen keuangan, penelitian ini bertujuan untuk menemukan model dalam meningkatkan nilai perusahaan, baik efek langsung maupun tidak langsung kepemilikan manajerial melalui efisiensi investasi, dan ketika dimoderasi oleh Dewan Komisaris Independen dan aset tidak berwujud.

Desain penelitian ini menggunakan pendekatan kuantitatif, jenis penelitian menggunakan pendekatan *ex post facto* dan *exploratory*. Data observasi penelitian menggunakan 3.960 laporan tahunan perusahaan publik non keuangan di Indonesia periode 2015-2020, menguji efek kondisional bersyarat (*Conditional Process Analysis*) menggunakan pendekatan Process V.4.3.

Temuan penelitian menunjukkan; efek kepemilikan manajerial terhadap nilai perusahaan di mediasi oleh efisiensi investasi, efektif terjadi ketika di moderasi oleh hadirnya Dewan Komisaris independen sedang dan tinggi, serta efisiensi investasi yang melibatkan investasi pada kelompok asset tidak berwujud. Pada saat yang sama menemukan pola baru terjadi hipotesis *entrechment* dan hipotesis arus kas bebas (*free cash flow*) yang berdampak pada biaya keagenan, hal ini memperkaya pengetahuan teori keagenan. Lebih lanjut, terjadi Interaksi Dewan Komisaris Independen dalam menciptakan tata kelola perusahaan, serta dapat menurunkan biaya agensi karena adanya tidakan expropriasi manajemen, sehingga dapat menciptakan perspektif positif bagi investor yang berdampak pada peningkatan nilai perusahaan.

Selanjutnya, kebijakan inovasi investasi di perusahaan yang melibatkan aset tidak berwujud untuk meningkatkan daya saing perusahaan yang bekelanjutan, sehingga memberikan dampak positif bagi para pemegang saham dan seluruh pemangku kepentingan.

Kata Kunci: Kepemilikan Manajerial, Efisiensi Investasi, Aset tidak berwujud, Dewan Komisaris Independen, dan Efek Mediasi dan Moderasi

Abstract

Perwito (1907451)

Di bawah bimbingan Prof. Dr. H. Nugraha, S.E, M.Si, Akt. CA, CPA.,
Dr. Maya Sari, S.E.,M.M., Dr. H. Yayat Supriyatna, M.M.

Examining the conditional effects of mediation and moderation in the perspective of financial management science is still limited. This study aims to find models in increasing firm value, both direct and indirect effects of managerial ownership through investment efficiency, and when moderated by an independent board of commissioners and intangible assets.

The design of this study uses a quantitative approach, while the type of approach is ex post facto and explanatory. Research observation data uses 3,960 annual reports of non-financial public companies registered in Indonesia for the 2015-2020 period, tests conditional direct and indirect effects using SPSS Process V.4.3.

The results of the analysis show; the effect of increased managerial ownership on firm value through or mediated by investment efficiency, occurs most prominently when moderated by the presence of moderate and high independent commissioners, as well as investment efficiency involving investments in intangible asset groups. The pattern, of entrenchment hypothesis and free cash flow hypothesis is supporting, then the company needs to involve more independent boards in improving supervision and corporate governance, can reduce agency costs due to management expropriation actions, so as to create a positive perspective and good signal for investors and have an impact on increasing the value of the firm.

Equally important is the company's innovation policy, which enhances the company's sustainable competitiveness through investment in intangible assets in order to positively impact shareholders and all stakeholders.

Keywords : Managerial Ownership, Investment Efficiency, Intangible Assets, Independent Board of Commissioners, and Mediation and Moderation Effects

DAFTAR ISI

	Halaman
KATA PENGANTAR	i
ABSTRAK	iv
<i>ABSTRACT</i>	v
DAFTAR ISI.....	vi
DAFTAR TABEL.....	x
DAFTAR GAMBAR	xii
DAFTAR LAMPIRAN	xiii
BAB I PENDAHULUAN	1
1.1 Latar Belakang Penelitian	1
1.2 Rumusan Masalah Penelitian	20
1.3 Tujuan Penelitian.....	23
1.4 Manfaat/signifikansi Penelitian	23
1.4.1 Manfaat Teoritis	23
1.4.2 Manfaat Praktis	24
BAB II KAJIAN PUSTAKA, KERANGKA PEMIKIRAN, DAN HIPOTESIS	27
2.1 Teori Keagenan	27
2.1.1 Konsep Teori Keagenan	27
2.1.2 Masalah Keagenan	29
2.1.3 Penyebab masalah Keagenan	31

2.1.4	Biaya Keagenan	32
2.1.5	Perbaikan Masalah Keagenan	33
2.2	Struktur Kepemilikan	36
2.2.1	Konsep struktur kepemilikan	36
2.2.2	Jenis struktur kepemilikan	37
2.2.3	Pengukuran Struktur Kepemilikan	43
2.3	Keputusan Investasi Keuangan	43
2.3.1	Konsep Keputusan Investasi	43
2.3.2	Efisiensi investasi keuangan	45
2.3.3	Pengukuran efisiensi investasi keuangan	46
2.4	Tata Kelola Perusahaan	48
2.4.1	Konsep tata kelola perusahaan	48
2.4.2	Prinsip-prinsip tata kelola perusahaan	50
2.4.3	Implementasi tata kelola perusahaan	51
2.4.4	Struktur tata kelola perusahaan	52
2.5	Aset tidak berwujud	56
2.5.1	Konsep Aset tidak berwujud	56
2.5.2	Jenis-jenis aset tidak berwujud	58
2.5.3	Pengukuran aset tidak berwujud	59
2.6	Nilai Perusahaan	61
2.6.1	Konsep nilai perusahaan	61
2.6.2	Pengukuran nilai perusahaan	62
2.6.3	Hubungan Variabel Penelitian	64

2.6.3.1 Pengaruh struktur Kepemilikan terhadap Nilai perusahaan	64
2.6.3.2 Pengaruh struktur kepemilikan terhadap Efisiensi Investasi	70
2.6.3.3 Pengaruh efisiensi investasi terhadap nilai perusahaan	72
2.6.3.4 Pengaruh dewan komisaris independen terhadap nilai perusahaan	74
2.6.3.5 Pengaruh aset tidak berwujud terhadap nilai perusahaan	79
2.6.4 Ringkasan Penelitian Terdahulu	82
2.7 Kerangka Pemikiran	84
2.8 Pengembangan Hipotesis Penelitian	90
BAB III METODE PENELITIAN	92
3.1 Objek dan Subjek Penelitian	92
3.2 Desain dan jenis penelitian	93
3.3 Operasionalisasi Variabel	93
3.4 Jenis dan Sumber Data	94
3.5 Populasi, Sampel, dan Teknik Sampling Penelitian	96
3.5.1 Populasi Penelitian	96
3.5.2 Sampel Penelitian dan Teknik Sampling	100
3.6 Analisis Data	101
3.6.1 Tahap Persiapan	101

3.6.2	Statistik Deskriptif	102
3.6.3	Statistik Inferensial	102
3.6.3.1	Pengujian Asumsi Klasik	102
3.6.3.2	Rancangan Pengujian Hipotesis	104
BAB IV	HASIL PENELITIAN DAN PEMBAHASAN	111
4.1	Hasil Penelitian	111
4.1.1	Gambaran Umum Bursa Efek Indonesia	111
4.1.2	Analisis Deskriptif	112
4.1.3	Uji normalitas data dan Bebas Asumsi Klasik	124
4.1.4	Pengujian Hipotesis	130
4.2	Pembahasan	137
BAB IV	KESIMPULAN, IMPLIKASI DAN REKOMENDASI	170
5.1	Kesimpulan	170
5.2	Implikasi Praktis dan Rekomendasi	171
DAFTAR PUSTAKA	174
LAMPIRAN	198

DAFTAR TABEL

	Halaman
Tabel 1.1 Perkembangan Index Dunia	14
Tabel 2.1 Berbagai Penyebab Masalah Keagenan	31
Tabel 2.2 Ringkasan penelitian kepemilikan manajerial dan nilai perusahaan	65
Tabel 2.3 Ringkasan penelitian kepemilikan manajerial dan efisiensi investasi	71
Tabel 2.4 Ringkasan penelitian efisiensi investasi dan nilai perusahaan	73
Tabel 2.5 Ringkasan penelitian dewan independen dan nilai perusahaan	75
Tabel 2.6 Ringkasan penelitian aset tidak berwujud dan nilai perusahaan ...	80
Tabel 2.7 Hasil penelitian terdahulu relevan dengan penelitian	82
Tabel 3.1 Operasionalisasi variabel penelitian	95
Tabel 3.2 Perkembangan perusahaan tercatat di Bursa Efek Indonesia	97
Tabel 3.3 Perusahaan tercatat di Bursa Efek Indonesia berdasarkan klasifikasi sektor usaha	98
Tabel 3.4 Populasi penelitian	99
Tabel 3.5 Penentuan sampel penelitian	101
Tabel 3.6 Rangkuman Pertanyaan penelitian, hipotesis, uji statistik, dan kriteria uji	109
Tabel 4.1 Perkembangan indikator utama Bursa Efek Indonesia	111
Tabel 4.2 Hasil analisis deskriptif Struktur kepemilikan manajerial.....	114

Tabel 4.3 Hasil analisis deskriptif karakteristik Dewan Komisaris independen	117
Tabel 4.4 Hasil analisis deskriptif efisiensi investasi	119
Tabel 4.5 Hasil analisis deskriptif Aset tidak berwujud	121
Tabel 4.6 Hasil analisis deskriptif nilai perusahaan	123
Tabel 4.7 Hasil uji normalitas data	126
Tabel 4.8 Hasil uji multikolinearitas	127
Tabel 4.9 hasil uji autokorelasi	128
Tabel 4.10 Hasil uji heteroskedastisitas	129
Tabel 4.11 Hasil analisis koefisien untuk model proses bersyarat (<i>conditional process model</i>)	131
Tabel 4.12 Hasil analisis kondisional efek efisiensi investasi terhadap nilai perusahaan	134
Tabel 4.13 Kondisional efek langsung dan tidak langsung (<i>conditional indirect and direct effects</i>) kepemilikan manajerial terhadap nilai perusahaan	136

DAFTAR GAMBAR

	Halaman
Gambar 2.1 Type Masalah Keagenan (<i>Agency Problem</i>)	30
Gambar 2.2 Hubungan Antar variabel penelitian	89
Gambar 2.3 Model konseptual penelitian	90
Gambar 3.1 Diagram model konseptual penelitian efek mediasi dan moderasi	106
Gambar 3.2 Diagram model statistik penelitian efek mediasi dan moderasi ..	107
Gambar 4.1 Hasil uji satistik kondisional efek langsung dan tidak langsung kepemilikan manajerial terhadap nilai perusahaan	132

DAFTAR LAMPIRAN

Lampiran 1 : Data Observasi Penelitian

Lampiran 2 : Hasil uji statistik deskriptif

Lampiran 3 : Hasil uji bebas asumsi klasik

Lampiran 4 : Hasil pengujian hipotesis penelitian (model 22)

DAFTAR PUSTAKA

- Abdallah, A. A., & Ismail, A. K. (2017). Corporate governance practices, ownership structure , and corporate performance in the GCC countries. *Journal of International Financial Markets, Institutions & Money*, 46, 98–115. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.intfin.2016.08.004>
- Abdullah, N. A. I. N., Ali, M. M., & Haron, N. H. (2017). Ownership structure, firm value and growth opportunities: Malaysian evidence. *Advanced Science Letters*, 23(8), 7378–7382. <https://doi.org/10.1166/asl.2017.9479>
- Adams, R. B., & Santos, J. A. C. (2006). Identifying the effect of managerial control on firm performance. *Journal of Accounting and Economics*, 41(1–2), 55–85. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jacceco.2005.08.001>
- Adamu, A., & Haruna, J. (2020). *Ownership structures and firm performance in Nigeria : A canonical correlation analysis.* 2(4). <https://doi.org/10.30585/jrems.v2i4.537>
- Adeabah, D., Gyeke-dako, A., & Andoh, C. (2018). Board gender diversity , corporate governance and bank ef fi ciency in Ghana : a two stage data envelope analysis (DEA) approach. *Corporate Governance: The International Journal of Business in Society*, 19(2), 299–320. <https://doi.org/10.1108/CG-08-2017-0171>
- Agarwal, S. (2020). Relationship between Managerial Ownership and Agency Cost. *International Journal of Financial Management*, 10(4), 35–43. https://papers.ssrn.com/sol3/papers.cfm?abstract_id=3799983
- Agatha, B. R., Nurlaela, S., & Samrotun, Y. C. (2020). Kepemilikan Manajerial, Institusional, Dewan Komisaris Independen, Komite Audit dan Kinerja Keuangan Perusahaan Food and Beverage. *E-Jurnal Akuntansi*, 30(7), 1811. <https://doi.org/10.24843/eja.2020.v30.i07.p15>
- Agrawal, A., & Knoeber, C. R. (1996). Firm Performance and Mechanisms to Control Agency Problems between Managers and Shareholders Analysis , Vol . 31 , No . 3 (Sep . , 1996), pp . Published. *The Journal of Financial and Quantitative*, 31(3), 377–397. <https://www.jstor.org/stable/2331397?origin=crossref>
- Agrawal, A., & Mandelker, G. N. (1990). Large Shareholders and the Monitoring of Managers. *The Journal of Financial and Quantitative Analysis*, 25(2), 143. http://journals.cambridge.org/abstract_S0022109000007080
- Al-hiyari, A., Ismail, A. I., & Kolsi, M. C. (2022). Environmental, social and governance performance (ESG) and fi rm investment efficiency in emerging markets : the interaction effect of board cultural diversity. *Corporate Governance International Journal of Business in Society* , October. <https://doi.org/10.1108/CG-03-2022-0133>
- Al Amosh, H., & Khatib, S. F. A. (2022). Ownership structure and environmental, social and governance performance disclosure: the moderating role of the board independence. *Journal of Business and Socio-Economic Development*, 2(1), 49–66. <https://doi.org/10.1108/jbsed-07-2021-0094>
- Al Farooque, O., Buachoom, W., & Sun, L. (2020). Board, audit committee, ownership and financial performance – emerging trends from Thailand.

- Pacific Accounting Review*, 32(1), 54–81. <https://doi.org/10.1108/PAR-10-2018-0079>
- AlHares, A., Elamer, A. A., Alshbili, I., & Moustafa, M. W. (2020). Board structure and corporate R&D intensity: evidence from Forbes global 2000. *International Journal of Accounting and Information Management*, 28(3), 445–463. <https://doi.org/10.1108/IJAIM-11-2019-0127>
- Allam, B. (2018). The impact of board characteristics and ownership identity on agency costs and firm performance : UK evidence. *Corporate Governance: The International Journal of Business in Society*. <https://doi.org/10.1108/CG-09-2016-0184>
- Almeida, H., & Campello, M. (2007). Financial constraints, asset tangibility, and corporate investment. *Review of Financial Studies*, 20(5), 1429–1460. <https://doi.org/10.1093/rfs/hhm019>
- Alnabsha, A., Abdou, H., Ntim, C., & Elamer, A. (2018). Corporate Boards , Ownership Structures and Corporate Disclosures : Evidence from a Developing Country. *Journal of Applied Accounting Research*, 19(1), 20–21. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1108/JAAR-01-2016-0001>
- Alvarez, R., Jara, M., & Pombo, C. (2018). Do institutional blockholders influence corporate investment? Evidence from emerging markets. *Journal of Corporate Finance*, 53, 38–64. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jcorpfin.2018.09.003>
- Anderson, R. C., Mansi, S. A., & Reeb, D. M. (2003). Founding family ownership and the agency cost of debt. *Journal of Financial Economics*, 68(2), 263-285.
- Anelia, F., & Prasetyo, AB. (2020). The Effect Of Ownership Structure On Investment Efficiency (Case Study In Non Financial Firms Listed On Indonesia Stock Exchange In 2015-2017). *Accounting Research Journal of Sutaatmadja (ACCRUALS)*, 04, 66–76.
- Ang, J. S., Cole, R., & Lin, J. W. (2000). Agency costs and ownership structure. *THE JOURNAL OF FINANCE*, LV(1), 81–106. <https://doi.org/10.4324/9780203940136>
- Anita, A., & Yulianto, A. (2016). Pengaruh Kepemilikan Manajerial Dan Kebijakan Dividen Terhadap Nilai Perusahaan. *Management Analysis Journal*, 5(1), 229–232.
- Ardianingsih, A., & Ardiyani, K. (2010). Analisis Pengaruh Struktur Kepemilikan Terhadap Kinerja Perusahaan. *Jurnal Pena*, 19(2), 97–109.
- Attig, N., Cleary, S., El Ghoul, S., & Guedhami, O. (2012). Institutional investment horizon and investment-cash flow sensitivity. *Journal of Banking and Finance*, 36(4), 1164–1180. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbankfin.2011.11.015>
- Azhar, A. B., Abbas, N., Waheed, A., & Malik, Q. A. (2019). The Impact of Ownership Structure and Corporate Governance on Investment Efficiency : An Empirical Study from Pakistan Stock Exchange (PSX) The Impact of Ownership Structure and Corporate Governance on Investment Efficiency : An Empirical Study from Pak. *Pakistan Administrative Review*, 3(2), 84–98.
- Banker, R., et al. (2019). Market Valuation of Intangible Asset: Evidence on SG&A Expenditure. *Journal of International Accounting Research*, 90(4), 1–

- Barney, J. (1991). Firm Resources and Sustained Competitive Advantage. *Journal of Management*, 17(1), 99–120.
- Baron, R. M., & Kenny, D. A. (1986). The Moderator-Mediator Variable Distinction in Social Psychological Research: Conceptual, Strategic, and Statistical Considerations. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 51(6), 1173–1182. <https://doi.org/10.3390/su13084349>
- Basheer, M. F., & Ahmad, A. A. (2018). The Paradox of Managerial Ownership and Financial Decisions of the Textile Sector : An Asian Market Perspective. *The Journal of Social Sciences Research*, 4, 184–190.
- Belo, F., Lin, X., & Ana, M. (2014). Review of Economic Dynamics Brand capital and firm value ☆. *Review of Economic Dynamics*, 17(1), 150–169. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.red.2013.05.001>
- Bemby S., B., Mukhtaruddin, Hakiki, A., & Ferdianti, R. (2015). Intellectual capital,firm value and ownership structure as moderating variable: Empirical study on banking listed in indonesia stock exchange period 2009-2012. *Asian Social Science*, 11(16), 148–159. <https://doi.org/10.5539/ass.v11n16p148>
- Bhagat, S., & Black, B. (2001). The non-correlation between board independence and long term firm performance. *Journal of Corporation Law*, 27, 231–274.
- Bhatia, A., & Aggarwal, K. (2018). Impact of investment in intangible assets on corporate performance in India. *International Journal of Law and Management*. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1108/IJLMA-05-2017-0127>
- Biddle, G. C., Hilary, G., & Verdi, R. S. (2009). How does financial reporting quality relate to investment efficiency ? \$. *Journal of Accounting and Economics*, 48(2–3), 112–131. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jacceco.2009.09.001>
- Blose, L. E., & Joseph, C. P. (1997). Tobin ' s q-Ratio and Market Reaction to Capital Investment Announcements. *The Financial Review*, 32(3), 449–476.
- Bonazzi, L., & Islam, S. M. N. (2007). Agency theory and corporate governance: A study of the effectiveness of board in their monitoring of the CEO. *Journal of Modelling in Management*, 2(1), 7–23. <https://doi.org/10.1108/17465660710733022>
- Boone, A. L., Casares, L., Karpoff, J. M., & Raheja, C. G. (2007). The determinants of corporate board size and composition : An empirical analysis. *Jiurnal of Financial Economics*, 85, 66–101. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jfineco.2006.05.004>
- Bukair, A., & Rahman, A. (2015). International Journal of Islamic and Middle Eastern Finance and Management Article information : *International Journal of Islamic and Middle Eastern Finance and Management*, 8(3), 291–309.
- Butar-Butar, S. (2022). Managerial Characteristics and Investment Efficiency: Evidence from Indonesian Listed Companies. *Jurnal Dinamika Akuntansi Dan Bisnis*, 9(2), 189–204. <https://dx.doi.org/10.24815/JDAB.V9I2.24929>
- Chen, A., Kao, L., & Lu, C. S. (2014). Controlling ownership and firm performance in Taiwan: The role of external competition and internal governance. In *Pacific Basin Finance Journal* (Vol. 29). Elsevier B.V. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pacfin.2014.04.007>
- Chen, C. R., & Steiner, T. L. (1999). Managerial ownership and agency conflicts:

- A nonlinear simultaneous equation analysis of managerial ownership, risk taking, debt policy, and dividend policy. *Financial Review*, 34(1), 119–136. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1540-6288.1999.tb00448.x>
- Chen, F., Hope, O. K., Li, Q., & Wang, X. (2011). Financial reporting quality and investment efficiency of private firms in emerging markets. *Accounting Review*, 86(4), 1255–1288. <https://doi.org/10.2308/accr-10040>
- Chen, I., & Lin, S. (2013). *Managerial Optimism , Investment Efficiency , and Firm Valuation*. 17(3), 295–340.
- Chen, N., Sung, H.-C., & Yang, J. (2017). Ownership structure, corporate governance and investment efficiency of Chinese listed firms. *Pacific Accounting Review*, 29(3), 266–282. <https://doi.org/10.1108/par-12-2015-0046>
- Chen, S., Wang, Y., Albitar, K., & Huang, Z. (2021). Borsa _ Istanbul Review Does ownership concentration affect corporate environmental responsibility engagement? The mediating role of corporate leverage. *Borsa Istanbul Review*. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bir.2021.02.001>
- Chen, Y., & Chuang, W. (2009). Alignment or entrenchment? Corporate governance and cash holdings in growing firms ☆. *Journal of Business Research*, 62(11), 1200–1206. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbusres.2008.06.004>
- Cheng, S. (2008). Board size and the variability of corporate performance \$. *Journal of Financial Economics*, 87, 157–176. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jfineco.2006.10.006>
- Cheung, Y., Connelly, J. T., Estanislao, J. P., Limpaphayom, P., Lu, T., & Utama, S. (2014). Corporate Governance and Firm Valuation in Asian Emerging Markets. *Corporate Governance in Emerging Markets*, 27–53. <https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-642-44955-0>
- Cho, D. S., & Kim, J. (2007). Outside directors, ownership structure and firm profitability in Korea. *Corporate Governance: An International Review*, 15(2), 239–250. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-8683.2007.00557.x>
- Cho, M. H. (1998). Ownership structure, investment, and the corporate value: an empirical analysis. *Journal of Financial Economics*, 47(1), 103–121.
- Ciftci, I., Tatoglu, E., Demirbag, M., & Zaim, S. (2019). Corporate governance and firm performance in emerging markets: Evidence from Turkey. *International Business Review*, 28(September 2017), 90–103. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ibusrev.2018.08.004>
- Clausen, S., & Hirth, S. (2016). Measuring the value of intangibles. *Journal of Corporate Finance*, 40, 110–127. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jcorpfin.2016.07.012>
- Coles, J. L., Daniel, N. D., & Naveen, L. (2008). Boards : Does one size fit all ? *Journal of Financial Economics*, 87, 329–356. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jfineco.2006.08.008>
- Coletta, C., & Arruda de Souza Lima, R. (2020). Board of directors, performance and firm value in Brazilian listed state-owned enterprises. *Brazilian Review of Finance*, 18(2), 1. <https://doi.org/10.12660/rbfin.v18n2.2020.80898>
- Combs, J. G., Ketchen, D. J., Perryman, A. A., & Donahue, M. S. (2007). The moderating effect of CEO power on the board composition-firm performance

- relationship. *Journal of Management Studies*, 44(8), 1299–1323. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-6486.2007.00708.x>
- Cotter, J., & Silvester, M. (2003). Board and Monitoring Committee Independence. *A Journal of Accounting, Finance, and Business Studies and Business Studies*, 39(2).
- Dahya, J., Dimitrov, O., & Mcconnell, J. J. (2008). Dominant shareholders , corporate boards , and corporate value : A cross-country analysis. *Journal of Financial Economics*, 87, 73–100. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jfineco.2006.10.005>
- Davies, J. R., Hillier, D., & McColgan, P. (2005). Ownership structure, managerial behavior and corporate value. *Journal of Corporate Finance*, 11(4), 645–660. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jcorpfin.2004.07.001>
- De Andres, P., Azofra, V., & Lopez, F. (2005). Corporate boards in OECD countries: Size, composition, functioning and effectiveness. *Corporate Governance: An International Review*, 13(2), 197–210. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-8683.2005.00418.x>
- De Miguel, A., Pindado, J., & De La Torre, C. (2004). Ownership structure and firm value: New evidence from Spain. *Strategic Management Journal*, 25(12), 1199–1207. <https://doi.org/10.1002/smj.430>
- Demmou, L., & Stefanescu, I. (2019). Productivity growth and finance : The role of intangible assets a sector level analysis. *OECD Economics Department Working Papers*, 1547. <https://doi.org/https://dx.doi.org/10.1787/e26cae57-en>
- Demsetz, H., & Lehn, K. (1985). The Structure of Corporate Ownership: Causes and Consequences. *Readings in Applied Microeconomics: The Power of the Market*, 93(6), 1–439. <https://doi.org/10.4324/9780203878460>
- Demsetz, H., & Villalonga, B. (2001). Ownership structure and corporate performance. *Journal of Corporate Finance*, 7(2 A), 209–233. <https://doi.org/10.22495/cocv6i2p10>
- Denis, D. K. (2001). Twenty-five years of corporate governance research...and counting. *Review of Financial Economics*, 10(3), 191–212. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S1058-3300\(01\)00037-4](https://doi.org/10.1016/S1058-3300(01)00037-4)
- Ding, W., Levine, R., Lin, C., & Xie, W. (2020). Corporate Immunity to the COVID-19 Pandemic. *National Bureau of Economic Research*. <https://doi.org/10.3386/w27055>
- Donaldson, L. (1990). The Ethereal Hand: Organizational Economics and Management Theory. *Academy of Management Review*, 15(3), 369–381. <https://doi.org/10.5465/amr.1990.4308806>
- Doorasamy;M. (2021). Capital structure , firm value and managerial ownership : Evidence from East African countries. "Investment Management and Financial Innovations", 18(1), 346–356. [https://doi.org/10.21511/imfi.18\(1\).2021.28](https://doi.org/10.21511/imfi.18(1).2021.28)
- Drakos, A. A., & Bekiris, F. V. Ā. (2010). Endogeneity and the Relationship Between Board Structure and Firm Performance : A Simultaneous Equation Analysis for the Athens Stock Exchange. *ANAGERIAL AND DECISION ECONOMICS*, 401(February), 387–401. <https://doi.org/10.1002/mde>

- Ducassy, I., & Guyot, A. (2017). Complex ownership structures, corporate governance and firm performance: The French context. *Research in International Business and Finance*, 39, 291–306. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ribaf.2016.07.019>
- Duru, A., Iyengar, R. J., & Zampelli, E. M. (2016). The dynamic relationship between CEO duality and firm performance: The moderating role of board independence. *Journal of Business Research*, 69(10), 4269–4277. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbusres.2016.04.001>
- Eisenberg, T., Sundgren, S., & Wells, M. T. (1998). Larger board size and decreasing firm value in small firms. *Journal of Financial Economics*, 48(1), 35–54. [https://doi.org/10.1016/s0304-405x\(98\)00003-8](https://doi.org/10.1016/s0304-405x(98)00003-8)
- Eisenhardt, K. (1989). Agency Theory: An Assessment and Review. *Academy of Management Review*, 14(1), 57–74. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.5465/amr.1989.4279003>
- Eisfeldt, A. L., & Papanikolaou, D. (2013). Organization capital and the cross-section of expected returns. *Journal of Finance*, 68(4), 1365–1406. <https://doi.org/10.1111/jofi.12034>
- Eisfeldt, A. L., & Papanikolaou, D. (2014). The value and ownership of intangible capital. *American Economic Review*, 104(5), 189–194. <https://doi.org/10.1257/aer.104.5.189>
- Fahdiansyah, R., Qudsi, J., & Bachtiar, A. (2018). Struktur Kepemilikan Dan Nilai Perusahaan: (Studi Pada Perusahaan Manufaktur Yang Listing Di Bursa Efek Indonesia). *Jurnal VARIAN*, 1(2), 41–49. <https://doi.org/10.30812/varian.v1i2.70>
- Fahlenbrach, R., & Stulz, R. M. (2009). Managerial ownership dynamics and firm value. *Journal of Financial Economics*, 92(3), 342–361. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jfineco.2008.06.005>
- Fajriani, A., Wijaya, S. Y., & Widayastuti, S. (2021). Determinasi Efisiensi Investasi. *Konferensi Riset Nasional Ekonomi, Manajemen, Dan Akuntansi*, 2, 1541–1554.
- Fama, E. F., & French, K. R. (1998). Taxes, financing decisions, and firm value. *Journal of Finance*, 53(3), 819–843. <https://doi.org/10.1111/0022-1082.00036>
- Fama, E. F., & Jensen, M. C. (2019). Separation of ownership and control. *Corporate Governance: Values, Ethics and Leadership*, XXVI(June), 163–188. <https://doi.org/10.1086/467037>
- Fan, J., & Wong, T. (2002). Corporate ownership structure and the informativeness of accounting earnings in East Asia. *Accounting and Business Research*, 33(4), 245–257. <https://doi.org/10.1080/00014788.2002.9728973>
- Farag, H., & Mallin, C. (2017). Monitoring corporate boards : evidence from China. *The European Journal of Finance*, 25(9), 524–549. <https://doi.org/10.1080/1351847X.2017.1369138>
- Farrer, J., & Ramsay, I. M. (1998). Director Share Ownership and Corporate Performance - Evidence from Australia. *Corporate Governance: An International Review*, 6(4), 233–248. <https://doi.org/10.1111/1467->

8683.00112

- Firth, M., Malatesta, P. H., Xin, Q., & Xu, L. (2012). Corporate investment, government control, and financing channels: Evidence from China's Listed Companies. *Journal of Corporate Finance*, 18(3), 433–450. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jcorpfin.2012.01.004>
- Fleming, G., Heaney, R., & McCosker, R. (2005). Agency costs and ownership structure in Australia. *Pacific Basin Finance Journal*, 13(1), 29–52. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pacfin.2004.04.001>
- Galbreath, J. (2018). *Is Board Gender Diversity Linked to Financial Performance? The Mediating Mechanism of CSR*. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0007650316647967>
- Gamayuni, R. R. (2015). *The Effect Of Intangible Asset , Financial Performance And Financial Policies On The Firm Value*. 4(01), 202–212.
- Gan, H. (2019). Does CEO managerial ability matter? Evidence from corporate investment efficiency. *Review of Quantitative Finance and Accounting*, 52(4), 1085–1118. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11156-018-0737-2>
- García-Meca, E., & Sánchez-Ballesta, J. P. (2011). Firm value and ownership structure in the Spanish capital market. *Corporate Governance*, 11(1), 41–53. <https://doi.org/10.1108/1472070111108835>
- Gleason, K. I., & Klock, M. (2006). Intangible capital in the pharmaceutical and chemical industry. *The Quarterly Review of Economics and Finance*, 46, 300–314. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.qref.2005.03.001>
- Gomariz, M. F. C., & Ballesta, J. P. S. (2013). Financial reporting quality , debt maturity and investment efficiency. *Journal of Banking & Finance Journal*, 40, 494–506. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbankfin.2013.07.013>
- Gu, F., & Lev, B. (2017). Time to Change Your Investment Model. *Financial Analysts Journal*, 73(4), 23–33. <https://www.bloomberg.com/news/articles/2017-10-13/amazon-is-said-to-make-sportswear-push-in-industry-rattling-move>
- Gujarati, D. N. (2022). Basic econometrics. In *Prentice Hall*.
- Gujarati, Damodar N, & Porter, D. C. (2021). *Essentials of Essentials of*. SAGE Publications.
- Hadiprajitno, P. (2013). STRUKTUR KEPEMILIKAN, MEKANISME TATA KELOLA PERUSAHAAN, DAN BIAYA KEAGENAN DI INDONESIA (Studi Empirik pada Perusahaan di Bursa Efek Indonesia). *Jurnal Akuntansi Dan Auditing*, 9(2), 97–127. <https://doi.org/10.14710/jaa.v9i2.4789>
- Han, K. C., & Suk, D. Y. (1998). The effect of ownership structure on firm performance: Additional evidence. *Review of Financial Economics*, 7(2), 143–155. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S1058-3300\(99\)80150-5](https://doi.org/10.1016/S1058-3300(99)80150-5)
- Hanafi, M. M., Setiyono, B., & Sanjaya, I. P. (2018). Ownership structure and firm performance: evidence from the subprime crisis period. *Corporate Governance (Bingley)*, 18(2), 206–219. <https://doi.org/10.1108/CG-10-2016-0203>
- Haniffa, R., & Hudaib, M. (2006). Corporate Governance Structure and Performance of Malaysian Listed Companies. *Journal of Business Finance & Accounting*, 33(7), 1034–1062. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1468->

5957.2006.00594.x

- Haruman, T. (2008). THE EFFECT OF OWNERSHIP STRUCTURE ON FINANCIAL DECISIONS AND FIRM VALUE. *Symposium Nasional Akuntansi XI*, 150–166. <http://repository.widyatama.ac.id/xmlui/handle/123456789/3311>
- Hayes, A. (2018). *Introduction to Mediation, Moderation, and Conditional Process Analysis, A Regression-Based Approach* (David A. Kenny (ed.); Second Edi). THE GUILFORD PRESS. A Division of Guilford Publications, Inc.
- Hayes, A. (2022). *Introduction to Mediation, Moderation, and Conditional Process Analysis. A Regression-Based Approach* (David A. Kenny (ed.); Third Edit). THE GUILFORD PRESS. A Division of Guilford Publications, Inc.
- Hermalin, B. E., & Weisbach, M. S. (2003). Boards of Directors as an Endogenously Determined Institution: A review of the Economic Literature. *National Bureau of Economic Research*, 3, 41.
- Hermalin, B., & Weisbach, M. (1991). The Effects of Board Composition and Direct Incentives on Firm Performance. *Financial Management Association International*, 20(4), 101–112.
- Hidayat, A. A., & Utama, S. (2016). Board Characteristics and Firm Performance : Evidence from Indonesia. *International Research Journal of Business Studies*, 8(3), 137–154.
- Hidayat, R., Wahyudi, S., Muharam, H., & Zainudin, F. (2020). Institutional ownership, productivity sustainable investment based on financial constrains and firm value: Implications of agency theory, signaling theory, and asymmetry information on sharia companies in Indonesia. *International Journal of Financial Research*, 11(1), 71–81. <https://doi.org/10.5430/ijfr.v11n1p71>
- Hidthiir, M. H., Basheer, M. F., & Hassan, S. G. (2019). The Simultaneity of Corporate Financial Decisions Under Different Levels of Managerial Ownership : A Case of Pakistani Listed Firms. *Research in World Economy*, 10(2), 147–159. <https://doi.org/10.5430/rwe.v10n2p147>
- Himmelberg, C., Hubbard, G., & Palia, D. (1999). Understanding the determinants of managerial ownership and the link between ownership and performance: Comment. In *Journal of Financial Economics* (Vol. 53). [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0304-405X\(01\)00085-X](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0304-405X(01)00085-X)
- Hovey, M., Li, L., & Naughton, T. (2003). and Ownership of Listed Firms in China. *Corporate Governance*, 11(2), 112–122.
- Hu, Y., & Izumida, S. (2008). Ownership concentration and corporate performance: A causal analysis with Japanese panel data. *Corporate Governance: An International Review*, 16(4), 342–358. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-8683.2008.00690.x>
- Hudha, B., & Utomo Cahyo, D. (2021). Pengaruh Ukuran Dewan Direksi, Komisaris Independen, Keragaman Gender, dan Kompensasi Eksekutif terhadap Penghindaran Pajak Perusahaan. *Diponegoro Journal of Accounting*, 10(1), 1–10.

- Huson, M. R., Parrino, R., & Starks, L. T. (2001). Internal monitoring mechanisms and CEO turnover: A Long-Term Perspective. *Journal of Finance*, 56(6), 2265–2297.
- Ibrahim, H., & Samad, F. A. (2011). Corporate Governance Mechanisms and Performance of Public-Listed Family-Ownership in Malaysia. *International Journal of Economics and Finance*, 3(1), 105–115. <https://doi.org/10.5539/ijef.v3n1p105>
- IDX. (2020). Digital Capabilities To Advance Further. In *Laporan Tahunan 2020 Annual Report* (Vol. 434).
- Igartua, J. J., & Hayes, A. F. (2021). Mediation, Moderation, and Conditional Process Analysis: Concepts, Computations, and Some Common Confusions. *Spanish Journal of Psychology*, 24(6), 1–23. <https://doi.org/10.1017/SJP.2021.46>
- Iturriaga, F. J. L., & Sanz, J. A. R. (2001). Ownership Structure , Corporate Value and Firm Investment : A Simultaneous Equations Analysis of Spanish Companies. *Journal OfManagement and Governance*, 5, 179–204.
- Iwasaki, I., & Mizobata, S. (2020). Ownership Concentration and Firm Performance in European Emerging Economies: A Meta-Analysis. *Emerging Markets Finance and Trade*, 56(1), 32–67. <https://doi.org/10.1080/1540496X.2018.1530107>
- Jensen, M. C. (1993). The Modern Industrial Revolution, Exit, and the Failure of Internal Control Systems. *The Journal of Finance*, 48(3), 831–880. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1540-6261.1993.tb04022.x>
- Jensen, M, & Meckling, W. (1976). THEORY OF THE FIRM: MANAGERIAL BEHAVIOR, AGENCY COSTS AND OWNERSHIP STRUCTURE. *Journal of Financial Economics*, 3(10), 305–360. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0018726718812602>
- Jensen, MC. (1986). Agency Costs of Free Cash Flow , Corporate Finance , and Takeovers. *The American Economic Review*, 76(2), 323–329. <http://www.jstor.org/stable/1818789> .
- Jiang, F., Cai, W., Wang, X., & Zhu, B. (2018). Multiple large shareholders and corporate investment: Evidence from China. *Journal of Corporate Finance*, 50(2017), 66–83. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jcorpfin.2018.02.001>
- Jiang, F., & Kim, K. A. (2020). Corporate governance in China: A survey. *Review of Finance*, 24(4), 733–772. <https://doi.org/10.1093/ROF/RFAA012>
- Jiang, H., Jia, J., & Chapple, L. (2023). Enterprise risk management and investment efficiency: Australian evidence from risk management committees. *Australian Journal of Management*, November 2022. <https://doi.org/10.1177/03128962221144513>
- Johnson, L. D., & Pazderka, B. (1993). Firm value and investment in R&D. *Managerial and Decision Economics*, 14(1), 15–24. <https://doi.org/10.1002/mde.4090140103>
- Jumanne, B. B., & Keong, C. C. (2018). Ownership concentration, foreign ownership and corporate performance among the listed companies in East African community: the role of quality institutions. *African J. of Accounting, Auditing and Finance*, 6(1), 70. <https://doi.org/10.1504/ajaaaf.2018.10012250>

- Kao, M. F., Hodgkinson, L., & Jaafar, A. (2018). Ownership structure, board of directors and firm performance: evidence from Taiwan. *Corporate Governance: The International Journal of Business in Society*, 19(1), 189–216. <https://doi.org/10.1108/CG-04-2018-0144>
- Klein, A. (1998). Firm performance and board committee Structure. *The Journal of Law and Economics*, 41(1), 275–304.
- Klock, M., & Megna, P. (1993). The impact of intangible capital on Tobin's q in the semiconductor industry. *American Economic Review*, 83(2), 265–269. <https://doi.org/10.2307/2117675>
- KNKG. (2006). Pedoman Umum Good Corporate Governance Indonesia. *Komite Nasional Kebijakan Governane*.
- KNKG. (2021). *PEDOMAN UMUM GOVERNANSI KORPORAT INDONESIA (PUGKI) 2021*.
- Kombih, M. T., & Suhardianto, N. (2017). Pengaruh aktivitas pemasaran, kinerja keuangan, dan aset tidak berwujud terhadap nilai perusahaan. 1(3), 281-302. <https://doi.org/10.24034/j25485024.y2017.v1.i3.1909>
- Kong, Y., Famba, T., Kuraone, O., Chituku-Dzimiro, G., & Sun, H. (2020). Corporate governance mechanisms, ownership and firm value: Evidence from listed chinese firms. *International Journal of Financial Studies*, 8(2), 1–26. <https://doi.org/10.3390/ijfs8020020>
- Konijn, S. J. J., Kräussl, R., & Lucas, A. (2011). Blockholder dispersion and firm value. *Journal of Corporate Finance*, 17(5), 1330–1339. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jcorpfin.2011.06.005>
- Kusumastuti, S., & Sastra, P. (2007). Pengaruh Board Diversity Terhadap Nilai Perusahaan Dalam Perspektif Corporate Governance. *Jurnal Akuntansi Dan Keuangan*, 9(2), 88–98. <https://doi.org/10.9744/jak.9.2.pp.88-98>
- Kusumawati, E., & Setiawan, A. (2019). the Effect of Managerial Ownership, Institutional Ownership, Company Growth, Liquidity, and Profitability on Company Value. *Riset Akuntansi Dan Keuangan Indonesia*, Vol 4, No 2 (2019), 136–146. <https://doi.org/10.23917/reaksi.v4i2.8574>
- La Porta, R., Silanes, F., Shleifer, A., & Vishny, R. W. (2000). Investor protection and corporate governance. *Journal of Financial Economics*, 58(1–2), 3–27. [https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/S0304-405X\(00\)00065-9](https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/S0304-405X(00)00065-9)
- Laeven, L., & Levine, R. (2008). Complex ownership structures and corporate valuations. *Review of Financial Studies*, 21(2), 579–604. <https://doi.org/10.1093/rfs/hhm068>
- Latief, R., Raza, S. H., & Gillani, S. A. H. (2014). Impact of corporate governance on performance of privatized firms; evidence from non-financial sector of Pakistan. *Middle - East Journal of Scientific Research*, 19(3), 360–366. <https://doi.org/10.5829/idosi.mejsr.2014.19.3.13607>
- Lefort, F., & Urzúa, F. (2008). Board independence, firm performance and ownership concentration: Evidence from Chile. *Journal of Business Research*, 61(6), 615–622. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbusres.2007.06.036>
- Lev, B. I. (2018). INTANGIBLES. *SSRN Electronic Journal* ., July. doi:10.2139/ssrn.3218586
- Lev, B., & Radhakrishnan, S. (2005). The Valuation of Organization Capital. In

- Measuring Capital in the New Economy* (Issue August).
<https://doi.org/10.7208/chicago/9780226116174.003.0004>
- Li, K., Lu, L., Mittoo, U. R., & Zhang, Z. (2015). Board independence, ownership concentration and corporate performance-Chinese evidence. *International Review of Financial Analysis*, 41, 162–175.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.irfa.2015.05.024>
- Li, Zhenghui. (2019). *Does corporate environmental responsibility engagement affect firm value? The mediating role of corporate innovation*. October, 1–11. <https://doi.org/10.1002/bse.2416>
- Li, Zhichuan. (2014). Mutual monitoring and corporate governance. *Journal of Banking and Finance*, 45(1), 255–269.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbankfin.2013.12.008>
- Lin, Y. R., & Fu, X. M. (2017). Does institutional ownership influence firm performance? Evidence from China. *International Review of Economics and Finance*, 49(March 2016), 17–57. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.iref.2017.01.021>
- Linck, J. S., Netter, J. M., & Yang, T. (2008). The determinants of board structure. *Journal of Financial Economics*, 87(2), 308–328.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jfineco.2007.03.004>
- Lins, K. V. (2003). Equity Ownership and Firm Value in Emerging Markets. *The Journal of Financial and Quantitative Analysis*, 38(1), 159.
<https://doi.org/10.2307/4126768>
- Lipton, M., & Lorsch, J. W. (1992). A modest proposal for improved corporate governance: Business source. *Business Lawyer*, 42(1), 59–78.
<http://web.a.ebscohost.com.eserv.uum.edu.my/bsi/detail?vid=19&sid=e3a1315c-d940-4744-b224-1ce498b43ead@sessionmgr4005&hid=4114&bdata=JnNpdGU9YnNpLWxpdmU=#db=bth&AN=5963897>
- Liu, Yonghong, Lei, L., & Buttner, E. H. (2020). Establishing the boundary conditions for female board directors' influence on firm performance through CSR. *Journal of Business Research*, 121(February 2019), 112–120.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbusres.2020.08.026>
- Liu, Yu, Miletkov, M. K., Wei, Z., & Yang, T. (2015). Board independence and firm performance in China. *Journal of Corporate Finance*, 30, 223–244.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jcorpfin.2014.12.004>
- Loderer, C., & Martin, K. (1997). Executive stock ownership and performance: Tracking faint traces. *Journal of Financial Economics*, 45(2), 223–255.
[https://doi.org/10.1016/S0304-405X\(97\)00017-2](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0304-405X(97)00017-2)
- Lukviarman, N. (2004). Ownership Structure and Firm Performance: The case of Indonesia. In *Curtin Theses* (Issue 2, pp. 1–27).
- Maassen, G. F. (1999). An International Comparison of Corporate Governance Models. In *Boards*.
http://repub.eur.nl/res/pub/8028/Maassen_9789090125916.pdf
- Mackinnon, D. P., Fairchild, A. J., & Fritz, M. S. (2007). Mediation Analysis. *The Annual Review of Psychology*, 58, 593–614.
<https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.psych.58.110405.085542>
- Mak, Y. T., & Kusnadi, Y. (2005). Size really matters: Further evidence on the

- negative relationship between board size and firm value. *Pacific Basin Finance Journal*, 13(3), 301–318. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pacfin.2004.09.002>
- Mak, Y. T., & Li, Y. (2001). Determinants of corporate ownership and board structure: Evidence from Singapore. *Journal of Corporate Finance*, 7(3), 235–256. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0929-1199\(01\)00021-9](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0929-1199(01)00021-9)
- Mazlina Mustapha, & Ayoib Che Ahmad. (2011). Agency Theory and Managerial Ownership: Evidence from Malaysia. *Managerial Auditing Journal*, 26(5), 419–436.
- McConnel, J.J., Servaes, H. (1990). Regenerativ-Brennersysteme für Chargenöfen in der Stahlindustrie. *Journal of Financial Economics* 27, 27, 595–612.
- McConnell, J. J., & Muscarella, C. J. (1985). Corporate capital expenditure decisions and the market value of the firm. *Journal of Financial Economics*, 14(3), 399–422. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0304-405X\(85\)90006-6](https://doi.org/10.1016/0304-405X(85)90006-6)
- Memon, M. A., Cheah, J. H., Ramayah, T., Ting, H., & Chuah, F. (2018). Mediation analysis issues and recommendations. *Journal of Applied Structural Equation Modeling*, 2(1), I–IX. [https://doi.org/10.47263/JASEM.2\(1\)01](https://doi.org/10.47263/JASEM.2(1)01)
- Mirza, S. S., Majeed, M. A., & Ahsan, T. (2019). Board gender diversity, competitive pressure and investment efficiency in Chinese private firms. *Eurasian Business Review*, 10(3), 417–440. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s40821-019-00138-5>
- Mishra, R., & Kapil, S. (2017). Effect of ownership structure and board structure on firm value: evidence from India. *Corporate Governance (Bingley)*, 17(4), 700–726. <https://doi.org/10.1108/CG-03-2016-0059>
- Mollah et al. (2012). Ownership structure, corporate governance and firm performance. *Studies in Economics and Finance*, 29(4), 301–319. <https://doi.org/10.1108/10867371211266937>
- Morck, R., Nakamura, M., & Shivdasani, A. (2000). Banks, ownership structure, and firm value in Japan. *The Journal of Business*, 73(4), 539–567. <https://doi.org/10.1086/209654>
- Morck, R., Shleifer, A., & Vishny, R. (1988). Management ownership and market valuation. An empirical analysis. *Journal of Financial Economics*, 20(C), 293–315. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0304-405X\(88\)90048-7](https://doi.org/10.1016/0304-405X(88)90048-7)
- Moudud-Ul-Huq, S., Biswas, T., & Proshad Dola, S. (2020). Effect of managerial ownership on bank value: insights of an emerging economy. *Asian Journal of Accounting Research*, 5(2), 241–256. <https://doi.org/10.1108/AJAR-03-2020-0016>
- Mugobo, V. V., Mutize, M., & Aspeling, J. (2016). The ownership structure effect on firm performance in South Africa. *Corporate Ownership and Control*, 13(2CONT2), 461–464. <https://doi.org/10.22495/cocv13i2c2p7>
- Mukaria, H. K., Mwangi, M., Ochieng, D. E., & Okiro, K. (2020). Mediating Effect of Agency Cost on the Relationship between Ownership Structure and Firm Value. *Journal of Finance and Investment Analysis*, 9(3), 37–50.
- Munisi, G., Hermes, N., & Randøy, T. (2014). Corporate boards and ownership structure: Evidence from Sub-Saharan Africa. *International Business Review*,

- 23(4), 785–796. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ibusrev.2013.12.001>
- Naeem, K., & Li, M. C. (2019). Corporate investment efficiency: The role of financial development in firms with financing constraints and agency issues in OECD non-financial firms. *International Review of Financial Analysis*, 62(December 2018), 53–68. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.irfa.2019.01.003>
- Nair, S., Gopikumar, V., Viswanathan, P. K., Gopakumar, S., & Gil, M. T. (2020). A contingency model of board characteristics and foreign institutional investor ownership: the moderating role of firm size and market valuation. *Cogent Economics and Finance*, 8(1). <https://doi.org/10.1080/23322039.2020.1739465>
- Nashier, T., & Gupta, A. (2020). Ownership Concentration and Firm Performance in India. *Global Business Review*. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0972150919894395>
- Nathaniel;, & Sansaloni, B. (2016). Determinasi Efisiensi Investasi Perusahaan Publik di Indonesia. *Jurnal Akuntansi Bisnis*, 17(1), 165–175.
- Nguyen, T., Locke, S., & Reddy, K. (2015). Ownership concentration and corporate performance from a dynamic perspective: Does national governance quality matter? *International Review of Financial Analysis*, 41, 148–161. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.irfa.2015.06.005>
- Nor, N. H. M., Nawawi, A., & Salin, A. S. A. P. (2017). The Influence of Board Independence , Board Size and Managerial Ownership on Firm Investment Efficiency. *Pertanika Journal of Social Science and Humanities*, 25(3), 1039–1058.
- Noradiva, H., Parastou, A., & Azlina, A. (2016). *The Effects of Managerial Ownership on the Relationship between Intellectual Capital Performance and Firm Value*. 6(7). <https://doi.org/10.7763/IJSSH.2016.V6.702>
- Novianti, L., & Irni Yunita, S. T. (2019). Influence Analysis of Managerial Ownership and Institutional Ownership on Firm Performance in Indonesia Stock Exchange. *International Journal of Science and Research (IJSR)*, 8(1), 236–240. <https://www.ijsr.net/archive/v8i1/ART20193975.pdf>
- Nugroho, A. C., & Stoffers, J. (2020). *Market Competition and Agency Problem : a Study in Indonesian Manufacturing Companies Persaingan Pasar dan Pemasalahan Keagenan : Studi Kasus di Perusahaan Manufaktur di Indonesia*. 11(85), 65–77. <https://doi.org/10.15294/jdm.v11i1.21684>
- OECD. (2015). G20/OECD Principles of Corporate Governance. In *G20/OECD Principles of Corporate Governance*. OECD. <https://doi.org/10.1787/9789264236882-en>
- Otoritas Jasa Keuangan. (2014). Roadmap Tata Kelola Perusahaan Indonesia. *Otoritas Jasa Keuangan*, 84.
- Ozdemir, O., Vegas, L., Erkmen, E., & Binesh, F. (2021). *Board diversity and firm risk-taking in the tourism sector: Moderating effects Board diversity and firm risk-taking in the tourism sector: Moderating effects of board independence , CEO duality , and free cash flows*. May. <https://doi.org/10.1177/13548166211014367>
- Panda, B., & Leepsa, N. M. (2017). Agency theory: Review of theory and evidence on problems and perspectives. *Indian Journal of Corporate*

- Governance*, 10(1), 74–95. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0974686217701467>
- Perwitasari, D. (2014). OWNERSHIP STRUCTURE, COMPANY CHARACTERISTICS, AND PROFIT MANAGEMENT. *Jurnal Akuntansi Multiparadigma*, 5(3). <https://doi.org/10.18202/jamal.2014.12.5032>
- Peters, R. H., & Taylor, L. A. (2017). Intangible capital and the investment-q relation. *Journal of Financial Economics*, 123(2), 251–272. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jfineco.2016.03.011>
- Prabowo, M., & Simpson, J. (2011). Independent directors and firm performance in family controlled firms: evidence from Indonesia. *Asian-Pacific Economic Literature*, 25(1), 121–132. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-8411.2011.01276.x>
- Pratiwi, R. D., & Chariri, A. (2021). Effectiveness of The Board of Directors and Company Performance: Corporate Governance Perspective in Indonesia. *Jurnal Penelitian Ekonomi Dan Bisnis*, 6(1), 17–27. <https://doi.org/10.33633/jpeb.v6i1.4351>
- Preacher, K. J., Rucker, D. D., Hayes, A. F., Preacher, K. J., Rucker, D. D., Hayes, A. F., Rucker, D. D., & Hayes, A. F. (2016). Addressing Moderated Mediation Hypotheses : Theory , Methods , and Prescriptions Addressing Moderated Mediation Hypotheses : Theory , Methods , and Prescriptions. *Multivariate Behavioral Research*, 31(1)(June), 37–41. <http://www.tandfonline.com/doi/abs/10.1080/00273170701341316>
- Rajapathirana, R. P. J., & Hui, Y. (2017). Journal of Innovation Empirical paper Relationship between innovation capability , innovation type , and firm performance. *Suma de Negocios*, 1–16. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jik.2017.06.002>
- Ramelli, S., & Wagner, A. F. (2020). Feverish stock price reactions to COVID-19. *Review of Corporate Finance Studies*, 9(3), 622–655. <https://doi.org/10.1093/rcfs/cfaa012>
- Randøy, T., & Goel, S. (2003). Ownership structure, founder leadership, and performance in Norwegian SMEs: Implications for financing entrepreneurial opportunities. *Journal of Business Venturing*, 18(5), 619–637. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0883-9026\(03\)00013-2](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0883-9026(03)00013-2)
- Rashed, A. (2018). Ownership Structure and Investment Efficiency : Evidence From Egypt. *International Journal of Accounting and Financial Reporting*, 8(4), 1–21. <https://doi.org/10.5296/ijafr.v8i4.13630>
- Rashid, A. (2016). Managerial Ownership and Agency Cost: Evidence from Bangladesh. *Journal of Business Ethics*, 137(3), 609–621. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10551-015-2570-z>
- Rashid Khan, H. ur, Khidmat, W. Bin, Hares, O. Al, Muhammad, N., & Saleem, K. (2020). Corporate Governance Quality, Ownership Structure, Agency Costs and Firm Performance. Evidence from an Emerging Economy. *Journal of Risk and Financial Management*, 13(7), 154. <https://doi.org/10.3390/jrfm13070154>
- Rashid, M. M. (2020). “Ownership structure and firm performance: the mediating role of board characteristics.” *Corporate Governance (Bingley)*, 20(4), 719–737. <https://doi.org/10.1108/CG-02-2019-0056>

- Richardson, S. (2006). Over-investment of free cash flow. *Review of Accounting Studies*, 11(2–3), 159–189. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11142-006-9012-1>
- Rini, I. S., Sutrisno, T., & Nurkholis. (2017). The Effect of Ownership Structure on Firm Value with Investment Decision as Intervening Variable (Empirical Study of the Listed Companies in Indonesia Stock Exchange Period 2008–2014). *International Journal of Social and Local Economic Governance (IJLEG)*, 3(2), 99–110. <http://ijleg.ub.ac.id>
- Rizqia, D. A., Aisjah, S., Program, P., & Java, E. (2013). *Effect of Managerial Ownership , Financial Leverage , Profitability , Firm Size , and Investment Opportunity on Dividend Policy and Firm Value*. 4(11), 120–130.
- Rocca, M. La, Rocca, T. La, & Cariola, A. (2007). Overinvestment And Underinvestment Problems : Determining Factors , Consequences And Solutions. *Corporate Ownership & Control*, 5(1), 79–95.
- Rogers, E. M., Singhal, A., & Quinlan, M. M. (2014). Diffusion of innovations. In An integrated approach to communication theory and research. *Routledge*., 432–448.
- Rungtusanatham, M., Miller, J. W ., Boyer, K. K. (2014). “Theorizing, Testing, and Concluding for Mediation in SCM Research: Tutorial and Procedural Recommendations.” *Journal of Operations Management*, 32(3), 99-113.
- Sahrul, M., & Novita, S. (2020). Ownership Structure, Firm Value and Mediating Effect of Firm Performance. *Jurnal Akuntansi*, 24(2), 219. <https://doi.org/10.24912/ja.v24i2.692>
- Saleh, A. S., Halili, E., Zeitun, R., & Salim, R. (2017). Global financial crisis, ownership structure and firm financial performance. *Studies in Economics and Finance*, 34(4), 447–465. <https://doi.org/10.1108/sef-09-2016-0223>
- Sani, A. (2020). Managerial Ownership and Financial Performance of the Nigerian Listed Firms: The Moderating Role of Board Independence. *International Journal of Academic Research in Accounting, Finance and Management Sciences*, 10(3), 64–73. <https://doi.org/10.6007/ijarafms/v10-i3/7821>
- Sari, N. I. L., & Suaryana, I. G. N. A. (2014). Pengaruh Kualitas Laporan Keuangan pada Efisiensi Investasi Perusahaan Pertambangan. *E-Jurnal Akuntansi Universitas Udayana*, 3(8), 524–537.
- Sekaran, U., & Bougie, R. (2016). Research methods for business: A skill building approach. In *john wiley & sons* (Fourth Ed). John Wiley & Sons, Inc. <https://doi.org/10.1002/9781119111931.ch108>
- Shahzad, F., Rehman, I. U., Colombage, S., & Nawaz, F. (2019). Financial reporting quality, family ownership, and investment efficiency: An empirical investigation. *Managerial Finance*, 45(4), 513–535. <https://doi.org/10.1108/MF-02-2018-0081>
- Shan, Y. (2019). Managerial ownership, board independence and firm performance. *Accounting Research Journal*, 34(1), 1–28.
- Shleifer, A; Vishny, R. (1986). Large Shareholders and Corporate Control. *Journal of Political Economy*, 94(3), 461–488.
- Shleifer, A., & Vishny, R. W. (1997). A survey of corporate governance. *THE JOURNAL OF FINANCE*, LII(2), 737–783.

- <https://doi.org/10.4324/9780203940136>
- Siddique, M., Rasheed, A., & Rehman, K. U. (2022). THE MEDIATING ROLE OF CORPORATE SOCIAL PERFORMANCE BETWEEN. *Pakistan Journal of Social Research*, 4(3), 172–185.
- Simanungkalit, E. R. (2017). THE EFFECT OF CORPORATE GOVERNANCE AND OWNERSHIP STRUCTURE ON CORPORATE INVESTMENT EFFICIENCY. *Jurnal Akuntansi Bisnis*, 15(2), 179–199.
- Singh, M., & Davidson, W. N. (2003). Agency costs, ownership structure and corporate governance mechanisms. *Journal of Banking and Finance*, 27(5), 793–816. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0378-4266\(01\)00260-6](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0378-4266(01)00260-6)
- Situmorang, A., & Simanjutak, A. (2019). PENGARUH GOOD CORPORATE GOVERNANCE TERHADAP KINERJA KEUANGAN PERUSAHAAN PERBANKAN YANG TERDAFTAR DI BURSA EFEK INDONESIA. *Jurnal Akuntansi Dan Bisnis: Jurnal Program Studi Akuntansi*, 5(2), 160–169.
- Soliman, W. S. M. K. (2020). Investigating the effect of corporate governance on audit quality and its impact on investment efficiency. *Investment Management and Financial Innovations*, 17(3), 175–188. [https://doi.org/10.21511/imfi.17\(3\).2020.14](https://doi.org/10.21511/imfi.17(3).2020.14)
- Stein, J. C. (2003). AGENCY , INFORMATION AND CORPORATE INVESTMENT. In *Corporate Finance* (Vol. 1). Elsevier Masson SAS. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S1574-0102\(03\)01006-9](https://doi.org/10.1016/S1574-0102(03)01006-9)
- Sugosha, M., & Artini, S. L. (2020). The Role of Profitability in Mediating Company Ownership Structure and Size of Firm Value in the Pharmaceutical Industry on the Indonesia Stock Exchange. *International Research Journal of Management, IT & Social Sciences*, 7(1), 104–115. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.21744/irjmis.v7n1.827>
- Sujoko, S., & Soebiantoro, U. (2007). The Effect of Share Ownership Structure, Leverage, Internal Factors and External Factors on Firm Value (Indonesian Manufactur and non Manufactur Sector). *Jurnal Ekonomi Manajemen*, 9(1), 41–48.
- Sukirni, D. (2012). Managerial Ownership, Institutional Ownership, Dividend Policy and Debt Policy Analysis of Company Value. *Accounting Analysis Journal*, 1(2). <https://doi.org/10.15294/aaaj.v1i2.703>
- Sun, Q., & Xiaolan, M. Z. (2019). Financing intangible capital R. *Journal of Financial Economics*, 133(3), 564–588. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jfineco.2019.04.003>
- Suriawinata, I. S., & Nurmalita, D. M. (2022). Ownership Structure, Firm Value and the Moderating Effects of Firm Size: Empirical Evidence From Indonesian Consumer Goods Industry. *Jurnal Manajemen Dan Kewirausahaan*, 24(1), 91–104. <https://doi.org/10.9744/jmk.24.1.91-104>
- Sutrisno, S. (2020). Corporate Governance, Profitability, and Firm Value Study on the Indonesian Sharia Stock Index. *Jurnal Ekonomi Dan Bisnis Islam (Journal of Islamic Economics and Business)*, 6(2), 292. <https://doi.org/10.20473/jebis.v6i2.23231>
- Takahashi, H., & Yamada, K. (2021). When the Japanese stock market meets

- COVID-19: Impact of ownership, China and US exposure, and ESG channels. *International Review of Financial Analysis*, 74(February), 101670. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.irfa.2021.101670>
- Thomsen, S., Pedersen, T., & Kurt, H. (2006). Blockholder ownership : Effects on firm value in market and control based governance systems. *Journal of Corporate Finance*, 12, 246–269. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jcorpfin.2005.03.001>
- Ting, I. W. K., Kweh, Q. L., Lean, H. H., & Ng, J. H. (2016). Ownership structure and firm performance: The role of R&D. *Institutions and Economies*, 8(4), 1–21.
- Titman, S., Wei, K. C. J., & Xie, F. (2004). Capital investments and stock returns. *Journal of Financial and Quantitative Analysis*, 39(4), 677–700. <https://doi.org/10.1017/s0022109000003173>
- Tran, N. H., & Le, C. D. (2020). Ownership concentration, corporate risk-taking and performance: Evidence from Vietnamese listed firms. *Cogent Economics and Finance*, 8(1). <https://doi.org/10.1080/23322039.2020.1732640>
- Tsai, C. F., Lu, Y. H., & Yen, D. C. (2012). Determinants of intangible assets value: The data mining approach. *Knowledge-Based Systems*, 31, 67–77. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.knosys.2012.02.007>
- Tseng, C. Y. (2020). *Family firms and long-term orientation of SG&A expenditures*. Review of Quantitative Finance and Accounting. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1007/s11156-020-00872-2>
- Tulung, J. E., & Ramdani, D. (2018). Independence , Size And Performance Of The Board: An Emerging Market Research. *Corporate Ownership & Control*, 15(2), 201–208. <https://doi.org/10.22495/cocv15i2c1p6>
- Ullah, I., Zeb, A., Khan, M. A., & Xiao, W. (2020). Board diversity and investment efficiency: evidence from China. *Corporate Governance (Bingley)*, 20(6), 1105–1134. <https://doi.org/10.1108/CG-01-2020-0001>
- Vafeas, N., & Vlittis, A. (2019). Board executive committees , board decisions , and firm value. *Journal of Corporate Finance*, 58(March 2018), 43–63. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jcorpfin.2019.04.010>
- Verdi, R. S. (2006). Financial Reporting Quality and Investment Efficiency. Available at SSRN 930922. <https://doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.930922>
- Vijayakumaran, R. (2021). Impact of managerial ownership on investment and liquidity constraints: Evidence from Chinese listed companies. In *Research in International Business and Finance* (Vol. 55). Elsevier B.V. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ribaf.2020.101321>
- Villalonga, B., & Amit, R. (2006). How do family ownership, control and management affect firm value? *Journal of Financial Economics*, 80(2), 385–417. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jfineco.2004.12.005>
- Wahyudi, U., & Pawestri, H. P. (2006). Implications of Ownership Structure on Firm Value: With Financial Decisions as Intervening Variables. *Symposium Nasional Akuntansi* 9 Padang, 53, 160. <https://doi.org/10.1017/CBO9781107415324.004>
- Wang, B. (2017). Ownership, institutions and firm value: Cross-provincial evidence from China. *Research in International Business and Finance*, 44,

- 547–565. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ribaf.2017.07.125>
- Wang, K. T., & Shailer, G. (2017). Family ownership and financial performance relations in emerging markets. *International Review of Economics and Finance*, 51(June 2015), 82–98. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.iref.2017.05.014>
- Wati, L. N., Primiana, H. I., Pirzada, K., & Sudarsono, R. (2019). Political connection, blockholder ownership and performance. *Entrepreneurship and Sustainability Issues*, 7(1), 52–68. [https://doi.org/10.9770/jesi.2019.7.1\(5\)](https://doi.org/10.9770/jesi.2019.7.1(5))
- Weisbach, M. S. (1988). Outside directors and CEO turnover. *Journal of Financial Economics*, 20, 431–460. [https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/0304-405X\(88\)90053-0](https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/0304-405X(88)90053-0)
- Wibisono, H., Susilawati, C. E., & Sunarjanto, A. (2017). Pengaruh Intangible Asset Terhadap Firm Value Melalui Financial Policy Dan Financial Performance Pada Industri Manufaktur DI. 6(1).
- Widiantoro, D. M. (2012). Measuring the Impact of Intangible Asset Investment Toward Company Financial Health and Company Agency Problem: Empirical Research from Indonesian. *Journal of Finance and Risk Perspectives*, 1(2), 1–24. http://papers.ssrn.com/sol3/papers.cfm?abstract_id=2078900
- Widodo, A. (2016). Efek Moderasi Dari Komisaris Independen Pada Hubungan Antara Kepemilikan Manajerial Dengan Kebijakan Dividen Dan Kebijakan Utang. *Jurnal Ilmu Manajemen Dan Akuntansi Terapan (JIMAT)*, 7(1), 1–20.
- Willim, A. P. (2015). Price Book Value & Tobin's Q: Which One is Better for Measure Corporate Governance? *European Journal of Business and Management Wwww.Iiste.Org ISSN*, 7(27), 74–79. www.iiste.org
- Wu, T. H., Ting, P. J. L., Lin, M. C., & Chang, C. C. (2022). Corporate ownership and firm performance: a mediating role of innovation efficiency. *Economics of Innovation and New Technology*, 31(4), 292-319.
- Wulandari, E. (2020). Pengaruh Intangible Asset , Debt To Equity Ratio , Dan Return On Asset Terhadap Nilai Perusahaan Pada Perusahaan Manufaktur Yang Terdaftar Di Bursa Efek Indonesia Periode 2014-2018. 7(1), 35–45.
- Xie, B., Davidson, W. N., & Dadalt, P. J. (2003). Earnings management and corporate governance: The role of the board and the audit committee. *Journal of Corporate Finance*, 9(3), 295–316. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0929-1199\(02\)00006-8](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0929-1199(02)00006-8)
- Yameen, M., Farhan, N. H., & Tabash, M. I. (2019). The impact of corporate governance practices on firm's performance: An empirical evidence from Indian tourism sector. *Journal of International Studies*, 12(1), 208–228. <https://doi.org/10.14254/2071-8330.2019/12-1/14>
- Yermack, D. (1996). Higher Market Valuation for Firms with a Small Board of Directors. *Journal of Financial Economics*, 40(40), 185–211.
- Yusoff, W. F. W., & Alhaji, I. A. (2012). Corporate governance and firm performance of listed companies in Malaysia. *Trends and Development in Management Studies*, 1(1), 43–65. <https://doi.org/10.9734/ajeba/2021/v21i1530478>
- Zabri, S. M., Ahmad, K., & Wah, K. K. (2016). Corporate Governance Practices

- and Firm Performance: Evidence from Top 100 Public Listed Companies in Malaysia. *Procedia Economics and Finance*, 35(October 2015), 287–296. [https://doi.org/10.1016/s2212-5671\(16\)00036-8](https://doi.org/10.1016/s2212-5671(16)00036-8)
- Zaid, M. A. A., Abuhileh, S. T. F., & Pucheta-Martínez, M. C. (2020). Ownership structure, stakeholder engagement, and corporate social responsibility policies: The moderating effect of board independence. *Corporate Social Responsibility and Environmental Management*, 27(3), 1344–1360. <https://doi.org/10.1002/csr.1888>
- Zandi, G., Singh, J., Mohamad, S., & Ehsanullah, S. (2020). Ownership Structure and Firm Performance. *International Journal of Financial Research*, 11(2), 293–300. <https://doi.org/10.5430/ijfr.v11n2p293>
- Zheka, V. (2005). *Corporate Governance , Ownership Structure and Corporate Efficiency : The Case of Ukraine.* 460, 451–460. <https://doi.org/10.1002/mde.1258>

Buku Referensi

- Baridwan, Zaki. 2015. *Intermediate Accounting*. Edisi kedelapan, cetakan ketujuh. Yogyakarta: BPFE
- Brigham dan Houston. 2019. Fundamentals of Financial Management. 15th ed., Cengage Learning, Inc
- 2018. Dasar-Dasar Manajemen Keuangan. Edisi 14. Jakarta: Salemba Empat.
- Donal E. Kieso, Jerry J. Weygandt, and Terry D. Warfield, 2007, Akuntansi Intermediate. Edisi Keduabelas, Jakarta : Erlangga
- Ferdinand, A. 2014. Metode Penelitian Manajemen. Pedoman Penelitian untuk Penulisan Skripsi, Tesis, dan Disertasi Ilmu Manajemen. Edisi kelima. UNDIP Press.
- Gujarati, Damodar N dan Dawn C. Porter. 2015. Dasar-dasar Ekonometrika (*Basic Econometrics*). Edisi 5. Jakarta: Salemba Empat.
- Hyers. 2018. *Introduction to Mediation, Moderation, and Conditional Process Analysis. A Regression-Based Approach*. Second Editon. New York. The Guilford Press.
- Kusnendi. 2019. Materi Bahan Ajar. Sekolah Pascasarjana. Universitas Pendidikan Indonesia. Bandung.
- Kuncoro, M. 2007. Metode Kuantitatif. Yogyakarta: Unit Penerbit dan Percetakan (UPP) STIM YKPN
- Sekaran, Uma dan Roger Bougie, (2017), Metode Penelitian untuk Bisnis: Pendekatan Pengembangan Keahlian, Edisi 6, Buku 1 dan 2, Cetakan Kedua, Jakarta. Salemba Empat
- Sugiyono & Susanto, A. 2017. Cara Mudah Belajar SPSS & Lisrel. Teori dan Aplikasi untuk Analisis Data Penelitian. Alfabeta, Bandung
- Tendelilin, E. 2010. Portofolio dan Investasi. Teori dan Aplikasi. Yogyakarta: Kanisius.
- Van Horne J.C. & Wachowicz, J.M. 2017. Prinsip-prinsip Manajemen Keuangan (*fundamentals of financial management*). Edisi 13, Jakarta. Salemba Empat.