

**PROGRAM PENGEMBANGAN PROFESIONALISME GURU  
DENGAN STRATEGI STEM WAT (*With Activity for Teachers*) – HYBRID UNTUK  
MENINGKATKAN STEM PCK GURU IPA SMP**

Disertasi

Diajukan Untuk Memenuhi Sebagian Syarat Untuk Memperoleh Gelar Doktor  
Pendidikan IPA

Program Studi Pendidikan Ilmu Pengetahuan Alam



Oleh

Santi Setiani Hasanah

NIM 1914319

**FAKULTAS PENDIDIKAN MATEMATIKA DAN ILMU PENGETAHUAN ALAM  
PROGRAM STUDI PENDIDIKAN ILMU PENGETAHUAN ALAM  
UNIVERSITAS PENDIDIKAN INDONESIA**

2023

**PROGRAM PENGEMBANGAN PROFESIONALISME GURU  
DENGAN STRATEGI STEM WAT (*With Activity for Teachers*) –  
HYBRID UNTUK MENINGKATKAN STEM PCK GURU IPA SMP**

Oleh:

Santi Setiani Hasanah

S. Pd. Pendidikan Kimia UPI, 2002

M. Pd. Pendidikan IPA Sekolah Lanjutan Konsentrasi Pendidikan Kimia, 2013

Sebuah Disertasi yang diajukan untuk memenuhi salah satu syarat memperoleh gelar  
Doktor Pendidikan IPA (Dr.) pada FPMIPA UPI

@Santi Setiani Hasanah 2023  
Universitas Pendidikan Indonesia  
Mei 2023

Hak Cipta dilindungi undang-undang  
Disertasi ini tidak boleh diperbanyak seluruhnya atau sebagian,  
dengan dicetak ulang, difoto kopi, atau cara lainnya tanpa ijin dari penulis

## LEMBAR PERNYATAAN KEASLIAN DISERTASI

Dengan ini saya menyatakan bahwa disertasi dengan judul “PROGRAM PENGEMBANGAN PROFESIONALISME GURU DENGAN STRATEGI STEM WAT (*With Activity for Teachers*) – HYBRID UNTUK MENINGKATKAN STEM PCK GURU IPA SMP” ini beserta seluruh isinya adalah benar-benar karya saya sendiri. Saya tidak melakukan penjiplakan atau pengutipan dengan cara-cara yang tidak sesuai dengan etika ilmu yang berlaku dalam masyarakat keilmuan. Atas pernyataan ini, saya siap menanggung risiko/sanksi apabila di kemudian hari ditemukan adanya pelanggaran etika keilmuan atau ada klaim dari pihak lain terhadap keaslian karya saya ini.

Bandung, Mei 2023



Santi Setiani Hasanah  
1914319

## LEMBAR PENGESAHAN DISERTASI

SANTI SETIANI HASANAH

PROGRAM PENGEMBANGAN PROFESIONALISME GURU  
DENGAN STRATEGI STEM WAT (*With Activity for Teachers*) – HYBRID UNTUK  
MENINGKATKAN STEM PCK GURU IPA SMP

Diujikan pada tanggal 30 Januari 2023  
Disetujui dan disahkan oleh:

Promotor,



Dr. Riandi, M. Si  
NIP. 196305011988031002

Co. Promotor,



Prof. Dr. Anna Permanasari, M.Si.  
NIP. 195807121983032002

Aggota,



Prof. Dr. Ida Kaniawati, M. Si  
NIP. 196807031992032001

Mengetahui,  
Ketua Program Studi Pendidikan Ilmu Pengetahuan Alam,



Prof. Dr. Ida Kaniawati, M. Si  
NIP. 196807031992032001

## **UCAPAN TERIMA KASIH**

Penulis sangat berterima kasih terutama kepada Dr. Riandi, M. Si. sebagai promotor, Prof. Dr. Anna Permanasari, M. Si. sebagai co-promotor, dan Prof. Dr. Ida Kaniawati, M. Si. sebagai anggota tim promosi, atas segala bimbingan, saran, dan nasihatnya selama penelitian dan penulisan disertasi ini.

Kepada yang tercinta, ibu, suami, dan ananda Hasna dan Haziq, serta seluruh keluarga besar penulis, disampaikan penghargaan dan ucapan terima kasih atas dukungan, doa, curahan kasih sayang, dan pasokan semangat yang tak ada habisnya.

Kepada rekan-rekan seperjuangan di Program Doktoral Pendidikan IPA tahun 2019, terima kasih atas segala kebersamaan selama kita menjalani suka dan duka di masa perkuliahan. Semoga semangat kebersamaan kita dalam menghadapi segala tantangan akan terus berlanjut.

Penulis juga berterima kasih kepada para pimpinan dan rekan-rekan kerja penulis di Balai Besar Guru Penggerak (BBGP) Provinsi Jawa Barat, atas izin dan dukungannya selama penulis menjalani masa studi.

Atas jasa seluruh dosen, karyawan, dan semua pihak lain yang tidak dapat disebutkan satu per satu, penulis mengucapkan terima kasih dan memohonkan balasan yang lebih baik dari Allah SWT.

Bandung, Mei 2023

Penulis

## ABSTRAK

Menghadapi perkembangan teknologi yang cukup pesat pada abad 21 ini, reformasi dalam dunia pendidikan mutlak diperlukan. Guru perlu melakukan inovasi pembelajaran yang dapat mengembangkan keterampilan abad 21 siswa, agar siswa dapat menghadapi tantangan zaman dengan baik. Salah satu inovasi pembelajaran yang dapat melatihkan keterampilan abad 21 ini adalah pembelajaran STEM. Agar guru dapat melaksanakan pembelajaran STEM dengan baik, perlu dikembangkan program pengembangan profesionalisme guru (P3G). Agar P3G berjalan efektif, aktivitas pembelajaran pada saat pelaksanaan program dan alokasi waktu pelaksanaan program menjadi faktor penentu. Penelitian ini mengembangkan P3G dengan strategi STEM *With Activity for Teachers* (WAT), menggunakan pola *hybrid*. Tujuan penelitian adalah untuk menghasilkan program pengembangan profesionalisme guru dalam pembelajaran STEM yang valid dan teruji, dan mengevaluasi peran P3G dengan strategi STEM WAT-*Hybrid* terhadap pengembangan STEM PCK guru IPA. Metode yang digunakan dalam penelitian ini adalah penelitian campuran atau *mixed methods research*, dimana peneliti mengumpulkan dan menganalisis data, mengintegrasikan temuan, dan menarik kesimpulan dengan menggunakan dua pendekatan, yaitu analisis data kuantitatif dan kualitatif. Indikator-indikator STEM PCK dijadikan ukuran keberhasilan program. P3G dengan strategi STEM WAT – *Hybrid* dilaksanakan dengan dua moda, yaitu moda tatap muka, diikuti dengan moda *online*. Hasil penelitian menunjukkan bahwa program mempunyai pengaruh yang cukup tinggi terhadap peningkatan pengetahuan guru mengenai pembelajaran STEM (ukuran efek 3,589). Keterampilan guru dalam mengembangkan perangkat pembelajaran STEM (lembar kerja peserta didik, rencana pembelajaran, dan asesmen) secara keseluruhan berada pada kategori baik. Hasil analisis video implementasi pembelajaran STEM menunjukkan bahwa keterampilan guru dalam mengimplementasikan pembelajaran STEM sudah amat baik. Implementasi pembelajaran STEM juga memberikan kontribusi terhadap peningkatan hasil belajar siswa. Analisis dengan menggunakan analisis deskriptif kuantitatif dan kualitatif menunjukkan bahwa dampak program terhadap peningkatan pengetahuan siswa adalah 65,2% nilai N Gain siswa berada pada kategori tinggi, dan 34,8% siswa berada pada kategori sedang. Untuk keterampilan abad 21 siswa pada keterampilan berpikir kritis, kreatif, komunikasi, dan kolaborasi berada pada kategori baik.

Kata Kunci: *STEM, Pembelajaran STEM, STEM PCK, program pengembangan profesionalisme guru, hybrid.*

## ABSTRACT

Facing the rapid development of technology in the 21st century, reforms in the world of education are necessary. Teachers need to make learning innovations that can develop students' 21st-century skills so that students can face the challenges of the times well. One of the learning innovations that can train 21st-century skills is STEM learning. For teachers to carry out STEM learning well, developing a Teachers Professional Development Program (TPD) is necessary. For a TPD program to run effectively, learning activities during program implementation and the time allocation for program implementation are determining factors. This research develops TPD program in STEM With Activity for Teachers (WAT) using a hybrid pattern. The research objectives were to produce a valid and tested STEM learning TPD program and to evaluate the role of the STEM WAT-Hybrid teachers professional development program in the development of science teachers STEM PCK. The method used in this research is mixed methods research, in which researchers collect and analyze data, integrate findings, and draw conclusions using two approaches, namely quantitative and qualitative data analysis. STEM PCK indicators are used as a measure of program success. TPD with STEM WAT – Hybrid is implemented with a face-to-face mode, followed by an online mode. The study results show that the program has a fairly strong influence on increasing teacher knowledge about STEM learning. Teacher skills in developing STEM learning tools (student worksheets, lesson plans, and assessments) are good. The video analysis results of the implementation of STEM learning show that the teacher's skills in implementing STEM learning are good. The implementation of STEM learning also contributes to improving student learning outcomes. Analysis using descriptive quantitative and qualitative shows that the impact of the program on increasing student knowledge is 65.2% of students' N Gain scores are in the high category, and 34.8% of students are in the medium category. For students' 21st century skills in critical thinking, creative, communication, and collaboration skills are in the good category.

**Keywords:** STEM, STEM learning, STEM PCK, teacher professional development program, hybrid training.

## DAFTAR ISI

<u>LEMBAR PERNYATAAN KEASLIAN DISERTASI</u> .....	Error! Bookmark not defined.
<u>LEMBAR PENGESAHAN DISERTASI</u> .....	ii
<u>ABSTRAK</u> .....	v
<u>DAFTAR ISI</u> .....	viii
<u>BAB I</u> .....	Error! Bookmark not defined.
1.1. <u>Latar Belakang Penelitian</u> .....	Error! Bookmark not defined.
1.2. <u>Rumusan Masalah Penelitian</u> .....	Error! Bookmark not defined.
1.3. <u>Pembatasan Masalah</u> .....	Error! Bookmark not defined.
1.4. <u>Tujuan Penelitian</u> .....	Error! Bookmark not defined.
1.5. <u>Manfaat Hasil Penelitian</u> .....	Error! Bookmark not defined.
1.6. <u>Definisi Operasional</u> .....	Error! Bookmark not defined.
1.7. <u>Struktur Organisasi Disertasi</u> .....	Error! Bookmark not defined.
<u>BAB II</u> .....	Error! Bookmark not defined.
2.1. <u>Pembelajaran STEM (<i>Science, Technology, Engineering, and Mathematics</i>)</u> Error! Bookmark not defined.	
2.2. <u>Program Pengembangan Profesionalisme Guru (P3G) sebagai Sarana Peningkatan Kompetensi Guru</u> .....	Error! Bookmark not defined.
2.3. <u>PCK dan STEM PCK</u> .....	Error! Bookmark not defined.
2.4. <u>Konsep Sains dalam P3G dengan strategi STEM WAT – Hybrid</u> .....	Error! Bookmark not defined.
2.5. <u>Learning Management System (LMS) untuk <i>On the Job Training</i></u> .....	Error! Bookmark not defined.
2.6. <u>Kerangka Pikir Penelitian</u> .....	Error! Bookmark not defined.
<u>BAB III</u> .....	Error! Bookmark not defined.
3.1. <u>Desain Penelitian</u> .....	Error! Bookmark not defined.
3.2. <u>Partisipan</u> .....	Error! Bookmark not defined.
3.3. <u>Instrumen Penelitian</u> .....	Error! Bookmark not defined.
3.4. <u>Prosedur Penelitian</u> .....	Error! Bookmark not defined.
3.5. <u>Analisis Data</u> .....	Error! Bookmark not defined.

<u>BAB IV</u>	<b>Error! Bookmark not defined.</b>
4.1. <u>Karakteristik Program Pengembangan Profesionalisme Guru (P3G) dengan Strategi STEM WAT-Hybrid</u>	<b>Error! Bookmark not defined.</b>
4.2. <u>Pengaruh P3G dengan Strategi STEM WAT-Hybrid Moda Tatap Muka Terhadap Peningkatan Pengetahuan Guru Mengenai Pembelajaran STEM</u>	<b>Error! Bookmark not defined.</b>
4.3. <u>Keterampilan Guru Dalam Mengembangkan Perangkat Pembelajaran STEM</u>	<b>Error! Bookmark not defined.</b>
4.4. <u>Keterampilan Guru Dalam Mengimplementasikan Pembelajaran STEM di Kelas</u>	<b>Error! Bookmark not defined.</b>
4.5. <u>Dampak P3G dengan strategi STEM WAT – Hybrid Terhadap Peningkatan Pengetahuan Sains dan Keterampilan Abad 21 Siswa</u>	<b>Error! Bookmark not defined.</b>
<u>BAB V</u>	<b>Error! Bookmark not defined.</b>
<u>DAFTAR PUSTAKA</u>	xiii

## DAFTAR TABEL

Tabel 2. 1 Integrasi EDP dalam Sintaks Model Pembelajaran 5E	<b>Error! Bookmark not defined.</b>
Tabel 3. 1 Data Partisipan Penelitian.....	<b>Error! Bookmark not defined.</b>
Tabel 3. 2 Instrumen Penelitian.....	<b>Error! Bookmark not defined.</b>
Tabel 3. 3 Hasil Validasi Soal .....	<b>Error! Bookmark not defined.</b>
Tabel 3. 4 Hasil uji korelasi Rho Spearman .....	<b>Error! Bookmark not defined.</b>
Tabel 3. 5 Kriteria dan indikator rencana dan asesmen pembelajaran STEM .....	<b>Error! Bookmark not defined.</b>
Tabel 3. 6 Kriteria dan indikator LKPD pembelajaran STEM.....	<b>Error! Bookmark not defined.</b>
Tabel 3. 7 Kriteria dan indikator video pembelajaran STEM .....	<b>Error! Bookmark not defined.</b>
Tabel 3. 8 Hasil validasi instrumen evaluasi program.....	<b>Error! Bookmark not defined.</b>
Tabel 4. 1 Silabus P3G dengan strategi STEM WAT-Hybrid .....	<b>Error! Bookmark not defined.</b>
Tabel 4. 2 Hasil validasi ahli tentang relevansi silabus program terhadap pengembangan STEM PCK guru .....	<b>Error! Bookmark not defined.</b>
Tabel 4. 3 Masukan Validator Terhadap Silabus Program.....	<b>Error! Bookmark not defined.</b>
Tabel 4. 4 Rangkuman Hasil Analisis Produk Peserta Dan Catatan Lapangan .....	<b>Error! Bookmark not defined.</b>
Tabel 4. 5 Hasil Revisi P3G dengan strategi STEM WAT-Hybrid.....	<b>Error! Bookmark not defined.</b>
Tabel 4. 6 Desain “Kota Ramah Lingkungan” .....	<b>Error! Bookmark not defined.</b>
Tabel 4. 7 Prototype “Kota Ramah Lingkungan” .....	<b>Error! Bookmark not defined.</b>
Tabel 4. 8 Komponen dalam Video Implementasi Pembelajaran STEM.....	<b>Error! Bookmark not defined.</b>
Tabel 4. 9 Activity completion peserta P3G dengan strategi STEM WAT-Hybrid dalam LMS .....	<b>Error! Bookmark not defined.</b>
Tabel 4. 10 Data peserta yang tuntas mengikuti P3G dengan strategi STEM WAT - Hybrid.....	<b>Error! Bookmark not defined.</b>
Tabel 4. 11 Pengaruh Program terhadap Peningkatan Pengetahuan Guru tentang Pembelajaran STEM .....	<b>Error! Bookmark not defined.</b>
Tabel 4. 12 Hasil Uji Wilcoxon Berpasangan .....	<b>Error! Bookmark not defined.</b>

Tabel 4. 13 Integrasi konsep dalam mata diklat SP dan EDP dalam pembelajaran STEM	<b>Error! Bookmark not defined.</b>
Tabel 4. 14 Keterkaitan mata diklat, aktivitas pembelajaran, dan indikator <i>integration knowledge</i>	<b>Error! Bookmark not defined.</b>
Tabel 4. 15 Keterkaitan mata diklat, aktivitas pembelajaran, dan indikator <i>21<sup>st</sup> century skills</i>	<b>Error! Bookmark not defined.</b>
Tabel 4. 16 Rencana Pembelajaran TE	<b>Error! Bookmark not defined.</b>
Tabel 4. 17 Pengetahuan Kognitif Sains Siswa Ditinjau dari Gender..	<b>Error! Bookmark not defined.</b>
Tabel 4. 18 Ketrampilan Abad 21 Siswa	<b>Error! Bookmark not defined.</b>

## DAFTAR GAMBAR

Gambar 2. 1 Tujuh Tahap EDP (Capraro et al., 2013)	<b>Error! Bookmark not defined.</b>
Gambar 2. 2 Keterampilan di Abad 21 (Koenig, 2011)	<b>Error! Bookmark not defined.</b>
Gambar 2. 3 Pelangi Keterampilan Abad 21 (Trilling & Fadel, 2009)	<b>Error! Bookmark not defined.</b>
Gambar 2. 4 Paradigma Penelitian	<b>Error! Bookmark not defined.</b>
Gambar 3. 1 Desain Penelitian	<b>Error! Bookmark not defined.</b>
Gambar 3. 2. Tahapan pelaksanaan program	<b>Error! Bookmark not defined.</b>
Gambar 3. 3 Tampilan LMS moda online (on the job training)	<b>Error! Bookmark not defined.</b>
Gambar 4. 1 Alur pengembangan program	<b>Error! Bookmark not defined.</b>
Gambar 4. 2 Gambaran tampilan aktivitas dalam LMS	<b>Error! Bookmark not defined.</b>
Gambar 4. 3 Forum diskusi dalam LMS	<b>Error! Bookmark not defined.</b>
Gambar 4. 4 Alur implementasi program STEM WAT – Hybrid	<b>Error! Bookmark not defined.</b>
Gambar 4.5 Tujuh Tahap EDP (Capraro et al., 2013)	<b>Error! Bookmark not defined.</b>
Gambar 4.6 LK praktikum mengukur tegangan dan arus	<b>Error! Bookmark not defined.</b>
Gambar 4. 7 Data hasil percobaan	<b>Error! Bookmark not defined.</b>
Gambar 4. 8 LK praktikum mengukur energi sinar matahari	<b>Error! Bookmark not defined.</b>
Gambar 4. 9 Data hasil percobaan waktu terhadap kuat arus pada panel surya	<b>Error! Bookmark not defined.</b>
Gambar 4. 10 Perbaikan Desain Kelompok 3	<b>Error! Bookmark not defined.</b>

Gambar 4. 11 Contoh tujuan pembelajaran dari peserta program. **Error! Bookmark not defined.**

Gambar 4. 12 Contoh aktivitas pembelajaran STEM..... **Error! Bookmark not defined.**

Gambar 4. 13 Simulasi Pembelajaran STEM ..... **Error! Bookmark not defined.**

Gambar 4. 14 Sesi satu refleksi pelatihan in service training..... **Error! Bookmark not defined.**

Gambar 4. 15 Hasil refleksi dan respon peserta ..... **Error! Bookmark not defined.**

Gambar 4. 16 Aktivitas pembelajaran pada sesi 2..... **Error! Bookmark not defined.**

Gambar 4. 17 Hasil rekaman zoom meeting sesi 2 ..... **Error! Bookmark not defined.**

Gambar 4. 18 Forum diskusi pada sesi 2..... **Error! Bookmark not defined.**

Gambar 4. 19 Aktivitas LMS pada sesi 3 ..... **Error! Bookmark not defined.**

Gambar 4. 20 Zoom meeting sesi ketiga ..... **Error! Bookmark not defined.**

Gambar 4. 21 Aktivitas dalam forum diskusi..... **Error! Bookmark not defined.**

Gambar 4. 22 Aktivitas dalam LMS sesi 4..... **Error! Bookmark not defined.**

Gambar 4. 23 Aktivitas LMS sesi 5 ..... **Error! Bookmark not defined.**

Gambar 4. 24 Hasil evaluasi program ..... **Error! Bookmark not defined.**

Gambar 4. 25 Distribusi jumlah guru berdasarkan setiap indikator dalam pengetahuan STEM PCK..... **Error! Bookmark not defined.**

Gambar 4. 26 Pengaruh program untuk setiap individu.. **Error! Bookmark not defined.**

Gambar 4. 27 Pengaruh program pada indikator STEM integration knowledge .... **Error! Bookmark not defined.**

Gambar 4. 28 Nilai N gain pada *pedagogical knowledge* ..... **Error! Bookmark not defined.**

Gambar 4. 29 Nilai N gain pada indikator *21<sup>st</sup> century skills*..... **Error! Bookmark not defined.**

Gambar 4. 31 Hasil penilaian LKPD bagian identifikasi masalah **Error! Bookmark not defined.**

Gambar 4. 32 Identifikasi masalah oleh ND ..... **Error! Bookmark not defined.**

Gambar 4. 33 Potensi Sekolah ND ..... **Error! Bookmark not defined.**

Gambar 4. 34 Contoh Perkiraan Jawaban Siswa ..... **Error! Bookmark not defined.**

Gambar 4. 35 Hasil Penilaian Aktivitas Bertukar Pikiran dalam LKPD..... **Error! Bookmark not defined.**

Gambar 4. 36 Kegiatan Praktikum pada Aktivitas Bertukar Pikiran dalam LKPD DS ..... **Error! Bookmark not defined.**

Gambar 4. 37 Hasil Penilaian Prototype dalam LKPD ... **Error! Bookmark not defined.**

Gambar 4. 38 Hasil penilaian Rencana dan Asesmen Pembelajaran STEM..... **Error! Bookmark not defined.**

Gambar 4. 39 Contoh Tujuan Pembelajaran yang Dibuat Peserta Program ..... **Error! Bookmark not defined.**

Gambar 4. 40 Contoh Aktivitas Pembelajaran yang Dibuat Peserta.... **Error! Bookmark not defined.**

Gambar 4. 41 Contoh Soal Kognitif dalam Rencana Pembelajaran..... **Error! Bookmark not defined.**

Gambar 4. 42 Contoh Rubrik yang Dibuat Peserta .....**Error! Bookmark not defined.**

Gambar 4. 43 Nilai Keterampilan Guru dalam Implementasi Pembelajaran STEM

.....**Error! Bookmark not defined.**

Gambar 4. 44 Tahap Reflection.....**Error! Bookmark not defined.**

Gambar 4. 45 Tahap Research.....**Error! Bookmark not defined.**

Gambar 4. 46 Peningkatan Pengetahuan Sains Siswa....**Error! Bookmark not defined.**

Gambar 4. 47 Contoh Soal Kognitif yang Diujikan Pada Siswa...**Error! Bookmark not defined.**

Gambar 4. 48 Contoh Rubrik Penilaian Keterampilan Siswa .....**Error! Bookmark not defined.**

Gambar 4. 49 Distribusi Jumlah Siswa Berdasarkan Nilai Keterampilan Abad 21**Error!**  
**Bookmark not defined.**

## DAFTAR PUSTAKA

Abell, S. K. (2008). Twenty Years Later: Does pedagogical content knowledge remain a useful idea? *International Journal of Science Education*, 30(10), 1405–1416.

Santi Setiani Hasanah, 2023

PROGRAM PENGEMBANGAN PROFESIONALISME GURU DENGAN STRATEGI STEM WAT (*With Activity for Teachers*) - HYBRID UNTUK MENINGKATKAN STEM PCK GURU IPA SMP

Universitas Pendidikan Indonesia | repository.upi.edu | perpustakan.upi.edu

<https://doi.org/10.1080/09500690802187041>

- Affouneh, S., Salha, S., Burgos, D., Khlaif, Z. N., Saifi, A. G., Mater, N., & Odeh, A. (2020). Factors that foster and deter STEM professional development among teachers. *Science Education*, 104(5), 857–872. <https://doi.org/10.1002/sce.21591>
- Allen, M., Webb, A. W., Matthews, C. E., Orlov, G., McKee, D., Berry, J., Boyle, A., DiCiccio, T., Ransom, T., Rees-Jones, A., Stoye, J., Straub, R., Kulin, S., Ehmke, T., Noben, I., Deinum, J. F., Douwes-van Ark, I. M. E., Hofman, W. H. A., Lavi, R., ... Cappiello, J. (2020). Characterizing STEM teacher education: Affordances and constraints of explicit STEM preparation for elementary teachers. *Studies in Educational Evaluation*, 136(4), 300–309. <https://doi.org/10.1111/ssm.12185>
- Anderson, R. D. (2002). Reforming science teaching: What research says about inquiry. *Journal of Science Teacher Education*, 13(1), 1–12. <https://doi.org/10.1023/A:1015171124982>
- Appleton, K. (2003). How do beginning primary school teachers cope with science? Toward an understanding of science teaching practice. *Research in Science Education*, 33(1), 1–25. <https://doi.org/10.1023/A:1023666618800>
- Armour, K., Quennerstedt, M., Chambers, F., & Makopoulou, K. (2017). What is ‘effective’ CPD for contemporary physical education teachers? A Deweyan framework. *Sport, Education and Society*, 22(7), 799–811. <https://doi.org/10.1080/13573322.2015.1083000>
- Aschbacher, P., & Alonso, A. (2006). Examining the Utility of Elementary Science Notebooks for Formative Assessment Purposes. *Educational Assessment*, 11(3–4), 179–203. <https://doi.org/10.1080/10627197.2006.9652989>
- Avalos, B. (2011). Teacher professional development in Teaching and Teacher Education over ten years. *Teaching and Teacher Education*, 27(1), 10–20. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tate.2010.08.007>
- Banilower, E. R., Heck, D. J., & Weiss, I. R. (2007). Can professional development make the vision of the standards a reality? The impact of the National Science Foundation’s local systemic change through teacher enhancement initiative. *Journal of Research in Science Teaching*, 44(3), 375–395. <https://doi.org/10.1002/tea.20145>
- Barnett, J., & Hodson, D. (2001). Pedagogical context knowledge: Toward a Fuller understanding of what good science teachers know. *Science Education*, 85(4), 426–453. <https://doi.org/10.1002/sce.1017>
- Bayar, A. (2014). The Components of Effective Professional Development Activities in terms of Teachers’ Perspective. *International Online Journal of Educational Sciences*, 6(2), 319–327. <https://doi.org/10.15345/ijoes.2014.02.006>
- Becker, K., & Park, K. (2011). Effects of integrative approaches among science, technology, engineering, and mathematics (STEM) subjects on students’ learning:

A preliminary meta-analysis. *Journal of STEM Education*, 12(5&6), 23–38.  
file:///Users/ruthsc/Downloads/out (1).pdf

Bickmore, D. L. (2012). Professional learning experiences and administrator practice: is there a connection? *Professional Development in Education*, 38(1), 95–112.  
<https://doi.org/10.1080/19415257.2011.579004>

Billiark, K., Hubelbank, J., Oliva, T., & Camesano, T. (2014). Teaching STEM by design. *Advances in Engineering Education*, 4(1), 1–21.

Binkley, M., Erstad, O., Herman, J., Raizen, S., Ripley, M., Miller-Ricci, M., & Rumble, M. (2012). Defining Twenty-First Century Skills. In P. Griffin (Ed.), *Assessment and Teaching of 21st Century Skills*. Springer Netherlands.  
<https://doi.org/10.1007/978-94-007-2324-5>

Binmohsen, S. A., & Abrahams, I. (2020). Science teachers' continuing professional development: online vs face-to-face. *Research in Science and Technological Education*, 00(00), 1–29. <https://doi.org/10.1080/02635143.2020.1785857>

Birman, B. F., Desimone, L., Porter, A. C., & Garet, M. S. (2000). Designing professional development that works. *Educational Leadership*, 57(8), 28–33.

Blair, R. C., & Higgins, J. J. (1985). Comparison of the power of the paired samples t test to that of Wilcoxon's signed-ranks test under various population shapes. *Psychological Bulletin*, 97(1), 119–128. <https://doi.org/10.1037/0033-2909.97.1.119>

Borenstein, M., Hedges, L. V., Higgins, J. P. T., & Rothstein, H. R. (2009). *Introduction to meta-analysis*. John Wiley and Son Ltd.  
[https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-14908-0\\_2](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-14908-0_2)

Borenstein, Michael, Hedges, L. V., Higgin, J. P. T., & Rothstein, H. R. (2009). Introduction to meta-analysis. In *Leadership and Organizational Outcomes: Meta-Analysis of Empirical Studies* (1st ed.). John Wiley & Sons.  
[https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-14908-0\\_2](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-14908-0_2)

Borko, H., Jacobs, J., & Koellner, K. (2010). Contemporary approaches to teacher professional development. *International Encyclopedia of Education*, January, 548–556. <https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-08-044894-7.00654-0>

Breiner, J. M., Harkness, S. S., Johnson, C. C., & Koehler, C. M. (2012). What is STEM? A discussion about conceptions of STEM in education and partnerships. *School Science and Mathematics*, 112(1), 3–11. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1949-8594.2011.00109.x>

Brophy, S., Klein, S., Portsmore, M., & Rogers, C. (2008). Advancing Engineering Education in P-12 Classrooms. *Journal of Engineering Education*, 70(2), 100–112.  
<https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1747-0285.2007.00539.x>

Buczynski, S., & Hansen, C. B. (2010). Impact of professional development on teacher practice: Uncovering connections. *Teaching and Teacher Education*, 26(3), 599–

Santi Setiani Hasanah, 2023

PROGRAM PENGEMBANGAN PROFESIONALISME GURU DENGAN STRATEGI STEM WAT (With Activity for Teachers) - HYBRID UNTUK MENINGKATKAN STEM PCK GURU IPA SMP  
Universitas Pendidikan Indonesia | repository.upi.edu | perpustakan.upi.edu

607. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tate.2009.09.006>

Bybee, R. W. (2015). The Case for STEM Education: Challenges and Opportunities. In *The Case for STEM Education: Challenges and Opportunities*.

<https://doi.org/10.2505/9781936959259>

Capobianco, B. M., & Rupp, M. (2014). STEM teachers' planned and enacted attempts at implementing engineering design-based instruction. *School Science and Mathematics*, 114(6), 258–270. <https://doi.org/10.1111/ssm.12078>

Capraro, R. M., Capraro, M. M., & Morgan, J. R. (2013). STEM project-based learning an integrated science, technology, engineering, and mathematics (STEM) approach. In *STEM Project-Based Learning an Integrated Science, Technology, Engineering, and Mathematics (STEM) Approach*. <https://doi.org/10.1007/978-94-6209-143-6>

Capraro, R. M., Capraro, M. M., Scheurich, J. J., Jones, M., Morgan, J., Huggins, K. S., Corlu, M. S., Younes, R., & Han, S. (2016). Impact of sustained professional development in STEM on outcome measures in a diverse urban district. *Journal of Educational Research*, 109(2), 181–196.

<https://doi.org/10.1080/00220671.2014.936997>

Capraro, R. M., & Slough, S. W. (2013). Why PBL? why STEM? why now? an introduction to STEM project-based learning: An integrated science, technology, engineering, and mathematics (STEM) approach. *STEM Project-Based Learning an Integrated Science, Technology, Engineering, and Mathematics (STEM) Approach*, 1–5. [https://doi.org/10.1007/978-94-6209-143-6\\_1](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-94-6209-143-6_1)

Careaga-Butter, M., Badilla-Quintana, M. G., & Fuentes-Henríquez, C. (2020). Critical and prospective analysis of online education in pandemic and post-pandemic contexts: Digital tools and resources to support teaching in synchronous and asynchronous learning modalities. *Aloma*, 38(2), 23–32.

<https://doi.org/10.51698/ALOMA.2020.38.2.23-32>

Carnoy, M., Khavenson, T., Loyalka, P., Schmidt, W. H., & Zakharov, A. (2016). Revisiting the Relationship Between International Assessment Outcomes and Educational Production: Evidence From a Longitudinal PISA-TIMSS Sample. *American Educational Research Journal*, 53(4), 1054–1085.

<https://doi.org/10.3102/0002831216653180>

Chai, C. S. (2019). Teacher Professional Development for Science, Technology, Engineering and Mathematics (STEM) education: A review from the perspectives of Technological Pedagogical Content (TPACK). *Asia-Pacific Education Researcher*, 97(3), 369–387. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s40299-018-0400-7>

Chanunan, S. (2021). Enhancing Preservice STEM Teachers' STEM PCK and Teaching Self - Efficacy Through STEM PCK - Based Course With the Uses of Experiential Learning Coupled With Worked Example. *Journal of Education Naresuan University*, 23(1), 45–73.

- Chval, K., Abell, S., Pareja, E., Musikul, K., & Ritzka, G. (2008). Science and mathematics teachers' experiences, needs, and expectations regarding professional development. *Eurasia Journal of Mathematics, Science and Technology Education*, 4(1), 31–43. <https://doi.org/10.12973/ejmste/75304>
- Çiğdem, H., & Yıldırım, O. G. (2014). Effects of Students' Characteristics on Online Learning Readiness : A Vocational College Example. *Turkish Online Journal of Distance Education*, 15(July), 80–93.
- Cohen, L., Manion, L., & Morrison, K. (2018). *Research Methods in Education* (8th ed.). Routledge Taylor & Francis Group.
- Collins, A. (1998). National Science Education Standards: A Political Document. *Journal of Research in Science Teaching*, 35(7), 711–727. [https://doi.org/10.1002/\(SICI\)1098-2736\(199809\)35](https://doi.org/10.1002/(SICI)1098-2736(199809)35)
- Cunningham, C. M., & Carlsen, W. S. (2014). Teaching Engineering Practices. *Journal of Science Teacher Education*, 25(2), 197–210. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10972-014-9380-5>
- Cunningham, C. M., Knight, M. T., Carlsen, W. S., & Kelly, G. (2007). Integrating engineering in middle and high school classrooms. *International Journal of Engineering Education*, 23(1), 3–8.
- Cunningham, C. M., & Lachapelle, C. P. (2014). Designing engineering experiences to engage all students. In *Engineering in pre-college settings: Synthesizing research, policy, and practices* (J. Strobel). Purdue University Press. <https://doi.org/10.18260/1-2--21286>
- Custer, R. L., & Daugherty, J. L. (2009). The Nature and Status of STEM Professional Development: Effective Practices for Secondary Level Engineering Education. In *National Center For Engineering And Technology Education* (Vol. 23).
- Dare, E. A., Ellis, J. A., & Roehrig, G. H. (2018). Understanding science teachers' implementations of integrated STEM curricular units through a phenomenological multiple case study. *International Journal of STEM Education*, 5(4), 1–19. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s40594-018-0101-z>
- Darling-Hammond, L., Hyer, M.E., & Gardner, M. (2017). Effective Teacher Professional Development (research brief). *Effective Teacher Professional Development (Research Brief)*, June, 1–8.
- Desimone, L. M. (2009). Improving impact studies of teachers' professional development: Toward better conceptualizations and measures. *Educational Researcher*, 38(3), 181–199. <https://doi.org/10.3102/0013189X08331140>
- Desimone, L. M., Porter, A. C., Garet, M. S., Yoon, K. S., & Birman, B. F. (2002). Effects of professional development on teachers' instruction: Results from a three-year longitudinal study. *Educational Evaluation and Policy Analysis*, 24(2), 81–112. <https://doi.org/10.3102/01623737024002081>

- Doering, A., Veletsianos, G., Scharber, C., & Miller, C. (2009). Using the technological, pedagogical, and content knowledge framework to design online learning environments and professional development. *Journal of Educational Computing Research*, 41(3), 319–346. <https://doi.org/10.2190/EC.41.3.d>
- Doppelt, Y., Schunn, C. D., Silk, E. M., Mehalik, M. M., Reynolds, B., & Ward, E. (2009). Evaluating the impact of a facilitated learning community approach to professional development on teacher practice and student achievement. *Research in Science and Technological Education*, 27(3), 339–354. <https://doi.org/10.1080/02635140903166026>
- Easton, L. B. (2008). From Professional Development To Professional Learning. *Phi Delta Kappa*, 89(10), 755–761.
- Eckman, E. W., Williams, M. A., & Silver-Thorn, M. B. (2016). An Integrated Model for STEM Teacher Preparation: The Value of a Teaching Cooperative Educational Experience. *Journal of STEM Teacher Education*, 51(1), 71–82. <https://doi.org/10.30707/jste51.1eckman>
- Eilks, I., & Markic, S. (2011). Effects of a long-term participatory action research project on science teachers' professional development. *Eurasia Journal of Mathematics, Science and Technology Education*, 7(3), 149–160. <https://doi.org/10.12973/ejmste/75196>
- Ejiwale, J. A. (2012). Facilitating Teaching and Learning Across STEM Fields. *Journal of STEM Education: Innovations and Research*, 13(3), 87–94. [http://ojs.jstem.org/index.php?journal=JSTEM&page=article&op=view&path\[\]&id=711](http://ojs.jstem.org/index.php?journal=JSTEM&page=article&op=view&path[]&id=711)
- EL-Deghaidy, H., Mansour, N., Alzaghibi, M., & Alhammad, K. (2017). Context of STEM integration in schools: Views from in-service science teachers. *Eurasia Journal of Mathematics, Science and Technology Education*, 13(6), 2459–2484. <https://doi.org/10.12973/EURASIA.2017.01235A>
- English, L. D. (2016). STEM education K-12: perspectives on integration. *International Journal of STEM Education*, 3(3), 1–8. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s40594-016-0036-1>
- Epstein, D., & Miller, R. T. (2011). Slow off the Mark. *Center for American Progress, May*, 1–21. [www.americanpress.org](http://www.americanpress.org)
- Estapa, A. T., & Tank, K. M. (2017). Supporting integrated STEM in the elementary classroom: a professional development approach centered on an engineering design challenge. *International Journal of STEM Education*, 4(6), 1–16. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s40594-017-0058-3>
- Fadaei, A. S. (2019). Comparing Two Results: Hake Gain and Dellow Gain, to Analyze FCI Data in Active Learning Process. *US-China Education Review A*, 9(1), 31–39. <https://doi.org/10.17265/2161-623X/2019.01.003>
- Felder, Richard M.; Brent, R. (2016). *Teaching and Learning STEM: a Practical Guide*

(1st Editio). Jossey-Bass.

- Fore, G. A., Feldhaus, C. R., Sorge, B. H., Agarwal, M., & Varahramyan, K. (2015). Learning at the nano-level: Accounting for complexity in the internalization of secondary STEM teacher professional development. *Teaching and Teacher Education*, 51, 101–112. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tate.2015.06.008>
- Garet, M. S., Heppen, J. B., Walters, K., Parkinson, J., Smith, T. M., Song, M., Garrett, R., Yang, R., Borman, G. D., & Wei, T. E. (2016). Focusing on mathematical knowledge: The impact of content-intensive teacher professional development. In *National Center for Education Statistic*. <http://ies.ed.gov/ncee>
- Garet, Michael S, Porter, A. C., Desimone, L., & Birman, B. F. (2001). What Makes Professional Development Effective? Results From a National Sample of Teachers of Teachers. *American Educational Research Journal*, 38(4), 915–945. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.3102%2F00028312038004915>
- Gess-Newsome, Julie, Taylor, J. A., Carlson, J., Gardner, A. L., Wilson, C. D., & Stuhlsatz, M. A. M. (2019). Teacher pedagogical content knowledge, practice, and student achievement †. *International Journal of Science Education*, 41(7), 944–963. <https://doi.org/10.1080/09500693.2016.1265158>
- Ghodke, S., Rajole, M., Pagar, D., Makone, M., & Kumar, M. (2014). Energy Efficient Solar System. *Multidisciplinary Journal of Research in Engineering and Technology*, 1(1), 80–84.
- Gott, R., & Roberts, R. (2008). Concepts of evidence and their role in open-ended practical investigations and scientific literacy; background to published papers. In *The School of Education, Durham* .... [https://community.dur.ac.uk/rosalyn.roberts/Evidence/Gott & Roberts \(2008\) Research Report.pdf](https://community.dur.ac.uk/rosalyn.roberts/Evidence/Gott & Roberts (2008) Research Report.pdf)
- Green, S. L. (2014). STEM education: How to train 21st century teachers. In *STEM Education: How to Train 21st Century Teachers*.
- Guo, J., & Woulfin, S. (2016). Twenty-First Century Creativity: An Investigation of How the Partnership for 21st Century Instructional Framework Reflects the Principles of Creativity. *Roeper Review*, 38(3), 153–161. <https://doi.org/10.1080/02783193.2016.1183741>
- Guskey, T. R. (2003). The Characteristics of Effective Professional Development: A Synthesis of Lists. In *Annual meeting of the American Educational Research Association*. <https://doi.org/10.1177/019263650308763702>
- Guskey, T. R., & Yoon, K. S. (2008). What works in professional development. *Phi Delta Kappan*, 90(7), 495–500. <https://doi.org/10.1177/003172170909000709>
- Guzey, S. S., Tang, K., Wang, H.-H., Roehrig, G., & Moore, T. (2014). A high-quality professional development for teachers of grades 3-6 for implementing engineering into classrooms. *School Science and Mathematics*, 114(3), 139–149.

<https://doi.org/10.1039/c5ta00958h>

- Hacıoğlu, Y., Yamak, H., & Kavak, N. (2017). The Opinions of Prospective Science Teachers Regarding STEM Education: The Engineering Design Based Science Education. *Gazi Üniversitesi Gazi Eğitim Fakültesi Dergisi*, 37(2), 649–684.
- Han, S., Yalvac, B., Capraro, M. M., & Capraro, R. M. (2015). In-service teachers' implementation and understanding of STEM project based learning. *Eurasia Journal of Mathematics, Science and Technology Education*, 11(1), 63–76. <https://doi.org/10.12973/eurasia.2015.1306a>
- Harjanto, I., Lie, A., Wihardini, D., Pryor, L., & Wilson, M. (2018). Community-based teacher professional development in remote areas in Indonesia. *Journal of Education for Teaching*, 44(2), 212–231. <https://doi.org/10.1080/02607476.2017.1415515>
- Harris, J. B., & Hofer, M. J. (2011). Technological pedagogical content knowledge (TPACK) in action: A descriptive study of secondary teachers' curriculum-based, technology-related instructional planning. *Journal of Research on Technology in Education*, 43(3), 211–229. <https://doi.org/10.1080/15391523.2011.10782570>
- Hasanah, S. S., Permanasari, A., & Riandi. (2021a). The Effectiveness of the Teacher Professional Development Program in Implementing Curriculum 2013 in The Framework of STEM Education. *Proceedings of the 5th Asian Education Symposium 2020 (AES 2020)*, 566(Aes 2020), 385–388. <https://doi.org/10.2991/assehr.k.210715.081>
- Hasanah, S. S., Permanasari, A., & Riandi, R. (2021b). Online Professional Development for Improving Teacher's STEM PCK Competence, Can It Be An Alternative? (An Evaluation Using the CIPP Model). *Jurnal IPA & Pembelajaran IPA*, 5(2), 162–171. <https://doi.org/10.24815/jipi.v5i2.20713>
- Hasanah, S. S., Riandi, Permanasari, A., & Kaniawati, I. (2022). STEM Training for Lesson Plan on Bioplastic and Environment: Does it Affect the teachers? *Moroccan Journal of Chemistry*, 10(3), 564–575. <https://doi.org/10.48317/IMIST.PRSM/morjchem-v10i3.33144>
- Heller, J. I., Daehler, K. R., Wong, N., Shinohara, M., & Miratrix, L. W. (2012). Differential effects of three professional development models on teacher knowledge and student achievement in elementary science. *Journal of Research in Science Teaching*, 49(3), 333–362. <https://doi.org/10.1002/tea.21004>
- Hill, H. C., Lynch, K., Gonzalez, K. E., & Pollard, C. (2020). Professional development that improves STEM outcomes. *Phi Delta Kappan*, 101(5), 50–56. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0031721720903829>
- Hite, R. L., & Milbourne, J. D. (2022). Divining the professional development experiences of K-12 STEM master teacher leaders in the United States. *Professional Development in Education*, 48(3), 476–492. <https://doi.org/10.1080/19415257.2021.1955733>

- Holmlund, T. D., Lesseig, K., & Slavit, D. (2018). Making Sense of “STEM education” in K-12 Contexts. *International Journal of STEM Education*, 5(1), 1–18. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s40594-018-0127-2>
- Hsu, Y. S., Lin, Y. H., & Yang, B. (2017). Impact of augmented reality lessons on students’ STEM interest. *Research and Practice in Technology Enhanced Learning*, 12(2), 1–14. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s41039-016-0039-z>
- Hu, A., Levis, S., Meehl, G. A., Han, W., Washington, W. M., Oleson, K. W., Van Ruijven, B. J., He, M., & Strand, W. G. (2016). Impact of solar panels on global climate. *Nature Climate Change*, 6(3), 290–294. <https://doi.org/10.1038/nclimate2843>
- Hume, A., & Berry, A. (2011). Constructing CoRes-a Strategy for Building PCK in Pre-service Science Teacher Education. *Research in Science Education*, 41(3), 341–355. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11165-010-9168-3>
- Hurley, M. M. (2001). Reviewing Integrated Science and Mathematics: The Search for Evidence and Definitions From New Perspectives. *School Science and Mathematics*, 101(5), 259–268. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1949-8594.2001.tb18028.x>
- Hynes, M., Portsmore, M., Dare, E., Milton, E., Rogers, C., Hammer, D., & Carberry, A. (2011). Infusing Engineering Design into High School STEM Courses. *Publications*, 165, 8–13.
- Johnson, Carla C., & Fargo, J. D. (2010). Urban school reform enabled by transformative professional development: Impact on teacher change and student learning of science. *Urban Education*, 45(1), 4–29. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0042085909352073>
- Johnson, Carla C., & Sondergeld, T. A. (2015). Effective STEM Professional Development. In C. Carla Johnson, T. J. Moore, & E. E. Peters-Burton (Eds.), *STEM Road-Map* (A Framework, Vol. 3, Issue April, pp. 203–210). Routledge.
- Kathryn F. Cochran, James A. DeRuiter, & Richard A. King. (1993). Pedagogical Content Knowing: An Integrative Model for Teacher Preparation. *Journal of Teacher Education*, 44(4), 263–272.
- Kelley, T. R., & Knowles, J. G. (2016). A conceptual framework for integrated STEM education. *International Journal of STEM Education*, 112(1), 1–11. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s40594-016-0046-z>
- Kennedy, M. M., Ahn, S., & Choi, J. (2008). The value added by teacher education. In M. Cochran-Smith, S. Feiman-Nemser, J. McIntyre, & (with K.E. Demers) (Eds.), *Handbook of research on teacher education: Enduring issues in changing contexts* (3rd ed., pp. 1249–1273). Routledge Taylor & Francis Group. <https://doi.org/10.1080/01626620.1994.10463204>
- Kennedy, T. J., & Odell, M. R. L. (2014). Engaging Students In STEM Education.

- Science Education International*, 25(3), 246–258.
- Khandani, S. (2005). *Engineering Design Process : Education Transfer Plan* (Issue August). <http://www.iisme.org/ETPExemplary.cfm>
- Kleickmann, T., Richter, D., Kunter, M., Elsner, J., Besser, M., Krauss, S., & Baumert, J. (2013). Teachers' Content Knowledge and Pedagogical Content Knowledge: The Role of Structural Differences in Teacher Education. *Journal of Teacher Education*, 64(1), 90–106. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0022487112460398>
- Koellner, K., Jacobs, J., & Borko, H. (2011). Mathematics Professional Development : Critical Features for Developing Leadership Skills and Building Teachers ' Capacity. *Mathematics Teacher Education and Development*, 13(2008), 115–136.
- Koenig, J. A. (2011). 21 ST CENTURY SKILLS Summary of a Workshop. In *Social Sciences*.
- Landry, S. H., Swank, P. R., Smith, K. E., Assel, M. A., & Gunnewig, S. B. (2006). Enhancing Early Literacy Skills for Preschool Children : Model to Scale. *Journal of Learning Disabilities*, 39(4), 306–324.
- Larkin, K., & Jorgensen, R. (2017). STEM education in the junior secondary: The state of play. In *STEM education in the junior secondary: The state of play* (pp. 1–294). <https://doi.org/10.1007/978-981-10-5448-8>
- Learners, M. G., Expectations, M. H., Gerrold, D., Committee on Highly Successful Schools or Programs in K-12 STEM, & Education; National Research Council. (2011). Successful K-12 STEM Education: Identifying Effective Approaches in Science, Technology, Engineering, and Mathematics Committee on Highly Successful Schools or Programs in K-12 STEM Education; National Research Council. In *Mathematics Education in the Middle Grades*. <https://www.ltrr.arizona.edu/~sheppard/TUSD/NRC2011.pdf>
- Lee, K.-T., & Nason, R. (2012). Reforming the Preparation of Future STEM Teachers Kar-Tin. *Proceedings of the 2nd International STEM in Education Conference*, 33–39. <https://medium.com/@arifwicaksanaa/pengertian-use-case-a7e576e1b6bf>
- Lesseig, K., Nelson, T. H., Slavit, D., & Seidel, R. A. (2016). Supporting middle school teachers' implementation of STEM design challenges. *School Science and Mathematics*, 116(4), 177–188. <https://doi.org/10.1111/ssm.12172>
- Lipsey, M. W., & Wilson, D. (2001). *Applied social research methods series*. Sage Publications Inc.
- Loewenberg Ball, D., Thames, M. H., & Phelps, G. (2008). Content knowledge for teaching: What makes it special? *Journal of Teacher Education*, 59(5), 389–407. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0022487108324554>
- Lom, E., & Sullenger, K. (2011). Informal spaces in collaborations: Exploring the edges/ boundaries of professional development. *Professional Development in Education*, 37(1), 55–74. <https://doi.org/10.1080/19415257.2010.489811>

- Magnusson, S., Krajcik, J., & Borko, H. (1999). Nature, sources, and development of pedagogical content knowledge for science teaching. In J. Gess-Newsome & N. G. Lederman (Eds.), *Examining Pedagogical Content Knowledge: The construct and its implications for science education*. Kluwer Academic.  
[https://doi.org/10.1007/0-306-47217-1\\_4](https://doi.org/10.1007/0-306-47217-1_4)
- Masters, J., De Kramer, R. M., O'Dwyer, L. M., Dash, S., & Russell, M. (2010). The effects of online professional development on fourth grade english language arts teachers' knowledge and instructional practices. *Journal of Educational Computing Research*, 43(3), 355–375. <https://doi.org/10.2190/EC.43.3.e>
- Mayasari, T., Susilowati, E., & Winarno, N. (2019). Practicing integrated STEM in renewable energy projects: Solar power. *Journal of Physics: Conference Series*, 1280(5). <https://doi.org/10.1088/1742-6596/1280/5/052033>
- McFadden, J. R., & Roehrig, G. H. (2017). Exploring teacher design team endeavors while creating an elementary-focused STEM-integrated curriculum. *International Journal of STEM Education*, 4(21), 1–22. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s40594-017-0084-1>
- Merriam, S. B. (2001). Andragogy and Self-Directed Learning: Pillars of Adult Learning Theory. *New Directions for Adult and Continuing Education*, 2001(89), 3–13. <https://doi.org/10.1002/ace.3>
- Mohamad, M. M., Sulaiman, N. L., Sern, L. C., & Salleh, K. M. (2015). Measuring the validity and reliability of research instruments. *Procedia - Social and Behavioral Sciences*, 204(November), 164–171. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.sbspro.2015.08.129>
- Moore, T. J., Glancy, A. W., Tank, K. M., Kersten, J. A., Smith, K. A., & Stohlmann, M. S. (2014). A Framework for Quality K-12 Engineering Education: Research and Development. *Journal of Pre-College Engineering Education Research (J-PEER)*, 4(1), 1–13. <https://doi.org/10.7771/2157-9288.1069>
- Nadelson, L. S., Callahan, J., Pyke, P., Hay, A., Dance, M., & Pfiester, J. (2013). Teacher STEM perception and preparation: Inquiry-based STEM professional development for elementary teachers. *Journal of Educational Research*, 106(2), 157–168. <https://doi.org/10.1080/00220671.2012.667014>
- Nadelson, L. S., Seifert, A., Moll, A. J., & Coats, B. (2012). i-STEM Summer Institute: An Integrated Approach to Teacher Professional Development in STEM. *Journal of STEM Education*, 13(2), 69–84.
- National Research Council. (2012). A framework for K-12 science education: Practices, crosscutting concepts, and core ideas. In *A Framework for K-12 Science Education: Practices, Crosscutting Concepts, and Core Ideas*.  
<https://doi.org/10.17226/13165>
- National Research Council. (2013). Monitoring progress toward successful K-12 STEM education: A nation advancing? In *Monitoring Progress Toward Successful K-12 STEM Education: A Nation Advancing?* <https://doi.org/10.17226/13509>

- Niess, M. L. (2011). Investigating TPACK: Knowledge growth in teaching with technology. *Journal of Educational Computing Research*, 44(3), 299–317. <https://doi.org/10.2190/EC.44.3.c>
- Noh, T., Cha, J., Kang, S., & Scharmann, L. C. (2004). Perceived professional needs of Korean science teachers majoring in chemical education and their preferences for online and on-site training. *International Journal of Science Education*, 26(10), 1269–1289. <https://doi.org/10.1080/0950069042000205422>
- Oluwatayo, J. A. (2012). Validity and reliability issues in educational research. *Journal of Educational and Social Research*, 2(May), 391–400. <https://doi.org/10.5901/jesr.2012.v2n2.391>
- Park, S., & Oliver, J. S. (2008). Revisiting the conceptualisation of pedagogical content knowledge (PCK): PCK as a conceptual tool to understand teachers as professionals. *Research in Science Education*, 38(3), 261–284. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11165-007-9049-6>
- Piburn, M., Sawada, D., & Turley, J. (2000a). Reformed teaching observation protocol (RTOP) reference manual. ... of Teachers, 1–41. <https://doi.org/ED419696>
- Piburn, M., Sawada, D., & Turley, J. (2000b). Reformed teaching observation protocol (RTOP) reference manual. ... of Teachers, March, 1–41. <https://doi.org/ED419696>
- Picciano, A. G. (2017). Theories and frameworks for online education: Seeking an integrated model. *Online Learning Journal*, 21(3), 166–190. <https://doi.org/10.24059/olj.v21i3.1225>
- Rizk, J., & Chaiko, Y. (2008). Solar Tracking System: More Efficient Use of Solar Panels. *Proceedings of World Academy of Science: Engineering & Technology*, 43, 313–315.
- Roesken-Winter, B., Schüler, S., Stahnke, R., & Blömeke, S. (2015). Effective CPD on a large scale: examining the development of multipliers. *ZDM Mathematics Education*, 47(1), 13–25. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11858-014-0644-5>
- Rosato, J., Lucarelli, C., Beckworth, C., & Morelli, R. (2017). A comparison of online and hybrid professional development for CS principles teachers. *Annual Conference on Innovation and Technology in Computer Science Education, ITiCSE, Part F1286*, 140–145. <https://doi.org/10.1145/3059009.305906>
- Rosner, B., Glynn, R. J., Lee, M. T., Rosner, B., Glynn, R. J., & Lee, M. T. (2006). The Wilcoxon signed rank test for paired comparisons of clustered data. *Biometrics*, 62(1), 185–192. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1541-0420.2005.00515.x>
- Russell, M., Carey, R., Kleiman, G., & Venable, J. D. (2019). Face-to-face and online professional development for mathematics teachers: A comparative study. *Online Learning Journal*, 13(2), 71–87. <https://doi.org/10.24059/OLJ.V13I2.1669>
- Saavedra, A. R., & Darleen Opfer, V. (2012). Learning 21st-century skills requires 21st-century teaching. *Phi Delta Kappan*, 94(2), 8–13.

<https://doi.org/10.1177/003172171209400203>

- Sanders, M. (2009). STEM,STEMEducation,STEMmania. *The Technology Teacher*, 20, 20–27.  
<https://vttechworks.lib.vt.edu/bitstream/handle/10919/51616/STEMmania.pdf?sequence=1&isAllowed=y>
- Sari, E. R. (2012). Online learning community: A case study of teacher professional development in Indonesia. *Intercultural Education*, 23(1), 63–72.  
<https://doi.org/10.1080/14675986.2012.664755>
- Sarkim, T. (2020). Developing teachers' PCK about STEM teaching approach through the implementation of design research. *Journal of Physics: Conference Series*, 1470(1), 0–8. <https://doi.org/10.1088/1742-6596/1470/1/012025>
- Saxton, E., Burns, R., Holbeck, S., Kelley, S., Prince, D., Rigelman, N., & Skinner, E. A. (2014). A Common Measurement System for K-12 STEM education: Adopting an educational evaluation methodology that elevates theoretical foundations and systems thinking. *Studies in Educational Evaluation*, 40, 18–35.  
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.stueduc.2013.11.005>
- Schmidt, D. A., Baran, E., Thompson, A. D., Mishra, P., Koehler, M. J., & Shin, T. S. (2009). Technological pedagogical content knowledge (Track): The development and validation of an assessment instrument for preservice teachers. *Journal of Research on Technology in Education*, 42(2), 123–149.  
<https://doi.org/10.1080/15391523.2009.10782544>
- Schwerdt, G., & Wuppermann, A. C. (2011). Is traditional teaching really all that bad? A within-student between-subject approach. *Economics of Education Review*, 30(2), 365–379. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.econedurev.2010.11.005>
- Setiani, S., Riandi, R., Kaniawati, I., & Permanasari, A. (2022). Bibliometric Analysis of The Literature on STEM Pedagogical Content Knowledge for the years 2011-2022. *Jurnal Inspirasi Pendidikan*, 12(1), 41–50. <https://doi.org/DOI: http://dx.doi.org/ 10.21831>
- Shahali, E. H. M., Halim, L., Rasul, M. S., Osman, K., & Zulkifeli, M. A. (2017). STEM learning through engineering design: Impact on middle secondary students' interest towards STEM. *Eurasia Journal of Mathematics, Science and Technology Education*, 13(5), 1189–1211. <https://doi.org/10.12973/eurasia.2017.00667a>
- Shriki, A., & Lavy, I. (2012). Perceptions of Israeli mathematics teachers regarding their professional development needs. *Professional Development in Education*, 38(3), 411–433. <https://doi.org/10.1080/19415257.2011.626062>
- Shulman, L. S. (1986). Those Who Understand: A Conception of Teacher Knowledge. *American Educator*, 10(1), 4–14.  
<http://www.eric.ed.gov/ERICWebPortal/recordDetail?accno=EJ333816%5Cnpapers3://publication/uuid/E77F7FFC-98B3-40B5-90D2-50050B024672>

- Srikoom, W., Faikhamta, C., & Hanuscin, D. L. (2018). Dimensions of Effective STEM Integrated Teaching Practice. *K-12 STEM Education*, 4(2), 313–330.  
[https://pdfs.semanticscholar.org/f70e/61c9196d3af8ae6990753d12ecbc4c0ea758.pdf?\\_ga=2.194362734.2132636689.1570570157-155469691.1570570157](https://pdfs.semanticscholar.org/f70e/61c9196d3af8ae6990753d12ecbc4c0ea758.pdf?_ga=2.194362734.2132636689.1570570157-155469691.1570570157)
- Stehle, S. M., & Peters-Burton, E. E. (2019). Developing student 21st Century skills in selected exemplary inclusive STEM high schools. *International Journal of STEM Education*, 6(1), 1–15. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s40594-019-0192-1>
- Stohlmann, M. (2021). *Integrated STEM education through game-based learning*. 2238–2242. <https://doi.org/10.5127/pmena.42.2020-381>
- Stohlmann, M., Moore, T., & Roehrig, G. (2012). Considerations for Teaching Integrated STEM Education. *Journal of Pre-College Engineering Education Research*, 2(1), 28–34. <https://doi.org/10.5703/1288284314653>
- Stuchlikova, L. (2016). Challenges of education in the 21st century. *ICETA 2016 - 14th IEEE International Conference on Emerging ELearning Technologies and Applications, Proceedings*, 335–340.  
<https://doi.org/10.1109/ICETA.2016.7802072>
- Supriatna, A. (2005). Indonesia ' s Issues and Challenges on Teacher Professional Development. In *CICE Series* (Vol. 4, Issue 2).
- Tal, R. T., Dori, Y. J., Keiny, S., & Zoller, U. (2001). Assessing conceptual change of teachers involved in STES education and curriculum development - The STEMS project approach. *International Journal of Science Education*, 23(3), 247–262.  
<https://doi.org/10.1080/095006901750066501>
- Tanang, H., & Abu, B. (2014). Teacher Professionalism and Professional Development Practices in South Sulawesi, Indonesia. *Journal of Curriculum and Teaching*, 3(2), 25–42. <https://doi.org/10.5430/jct.v3n2p25>
- Techakosit, S., & Nilsook, P. (2018). The development of STEM literacy using the learning process of scientific imagineering through AR. *International Journal of Emerging Technologies in Learning*, 13(1), 230–238.  
<https://doi.org/10.3991/ijet.v13i01.7664>
- Thibaut, L., Knippertz, H., Dehaene, W., & Depaepe, F. (2018). The influence of teachers' attitudes and school context on instructional practices in integrated STEM education. *Teaching and Teacher Education*, 71, 190–205.  
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tate.2017.12.014>
- Toma, R. B., & Greca, I. M. (2018). The effect of integrative STEM instruction on elementary students' attitudes toward science. *Eurasia Journal of Mathematics, Science and Technology Education*, 14(4), 1383–1395.  
<https://doi.org/10.29333/ejmste/83676>
- Trilling, B., & Fadel, C. (2009). Bernie Trilling, Charles Fadel-21st Century Skills – Learning for Life in Our Times -Jossey-Bass (2009). In *Journal of Sustainable*

*Development Education and Research* (Vol. 2, Issue 1).

- Van Driel, J. H., Beijaard, D., & Verloop, N. (2001). Professional development and reform in science education: The role of teachers' practical knowledge. *Journal of Research in Science Teaching*, 38(2), 137–158. [https://doi.org/10.1002/1098-2736\(200102\)38:2<137::AID-TEA1001>3.0.CO;2-U](https://doi.org/10.1002/1098-2736(200102)38:2<137::AID-TEA1001>3.0.CO;2-U)
- Voogt, J., & Roblin, N. P. (2010). *21st Century Skills Discussion Paper - Voogt & Pareja Roblin (2010)*. 1–32.
- Wahono, B., & Chang, C. Y. (2019). Assessing Teacher's Attitude, Knowledge, and Application (AKA) on STEM: An Effort to Foster the Sustainable Development of STEM Education. *Sustainability (Switzerland)*, 11(4), 1–18. <https://doi.org/10.3390/su11040950>
- Wang, H., Moore, T. J., Roehrig, G. H., & Park, M. S. (2011). STEM Integration : Teacher Perceptions and Practice STEM Integration : Teacher Perceptions and Practice. *Journal of Pre-College Engineering Education Research (J-PEER)*, 1(2), 1–13. <https://doi.org/10.5703/1288284314636>
- Watson, R., & Manning, A. (2008). Factors influencing the transformation of new teaching approaches from a programme of professional development to the classroom. *International Journal of Science Education*, 30(5), 689–709. <https://doi.org/10.1080/09500690701854881>
- Widodo, A., & Riandi. (2013). Dual-mode teacher professional development: challenges and re-visioning future TPD in Indonesia. *Teacher Development*, 17(3), 380–392. <https://doi.org/10.1080/13664530.2013.813757>
- Wilson, C. D., Stuhlsatz, M., Hvidsten, C., & Gardner, A. (2018). Analysis of Practice and Teacher PCK: Inferences from Professional Development Research. In S. M. Uzzo, S. B. Graves, E. Shay, M. Harford, & R. Thompson (Eds.), *Pedagogical Content Knowledge in STEM Research to Practice* (pp. 3–16). Springer. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-97475-0>
- Winter, J. C. F. De, Gosling, S. D., & Potter, J. (2016). Supplemental material for comparing the Pearson and Spearman correlation coefficients across distributions and sample sizes: A tutorial using simulations and empirical data. *Psychological Methods*, 21(3), 273–290. <https://doi.org/10.1037/met0000079.supp>
- Yildirim, B., & Sahin Topalcengiz, E. (2018). STEM Pedagogical Content Knowledge Scale (STEMPCK): A Validity and Reliability Study. *Journal of STEM Teacher Education*, 53(2). <https://doi.org/10.30707/53.2yildirim>
- Yoon, K. S., Duncan, T., Lee, S. W.-Y., Scarloss, B., & Shapley, K. L. (2007). Reviewing the Evidence on How Teacher Professional Development Affects Student Achievement. In *Issues and Answers Report* (Issue REL 2007-No. 33). <https://doi.org/10.3102/0002831208328088>
- Yusof, Y. M., Zakaria, E., & Maat, S. M. (2012). Teachers' general pedagogical content

knowledge (PCK) and content knowledge of algebra. *Social Sciences (Pakistan)*, 7(5), 668–672. <https://doi.org/10.3923/sscience.2012.668.672>

Zimmerman, D. W. (1996). An efficient alternative to the Wilcoxon signed-ranks test for paired nonnormal data. *Journal of General Psychology*, 123(1), 29–40. <https://doi.org/10.1080/00221309.1996.9921257>