

**THE COMPARISON OF STUDENTS' SCIENCE PROCESS SKILLS IN  
LEARNING DYNAMIC ELECTRICITY THROUGH TRADITIONAL  
AND COMBINED LABORATORY**

**RESEARCH PAPER**

Submitted as Requirement to Obtain Degree of *Sarjana Pendidikan* in  
International Program on Science Education



Arranged by:

Nabilla Hana Syafira

NIM 1802081

**INTERNATIONAL PROGRAM ON SCIENCE EDUCATION  
FACULTY OF MATHEMATICS AND SCIENCE EDUCATION  
UNIVERSITAS PENDIDIKAN INDONESIA**

**2023**

THE COMPARISON OF STUDENTS' SCIENCE PROCESS SKILLS IN  
LEARNING DYNAMIC ELECTRICITY THROUGH TRADITIONAL AND  
COMBINED LABORATORY

Skripsi ini diajukan untuk memenuhi salah satu syarat  
memperoleh gelar Sarjana Pendidikan  
pada Program Studi International Program on Science Education (IPSE)  
Fakultas Pendidikan Matematika dan Ilmu Pengetahuan Alam

Nabilla Hana Syafira

Universitas Pendidikan Indonesia

Januari 2023

Hak cipta dilindungi Undang – Undang

Skripsi ini tidak boleh diperbanyak seluruhnya atau Sebagian,  
Dengan cetak ulang, difotokopi, atau cara lainnya tanpa izin dari Penulis

## APPROVAL SHEET

THE COMPARISON OF STUDENTS' SCIENCE PROCESS SKILLS IN  
LEARNING DYNAMIC ELECTRICITY THROUGH TRADITIONAL AND  
COMBINED LABORATORY

by:

Nabilla Hana Syafira

1802081

Approved and authorized by:

Supervisor I



Dr. Rika Rafikah Agustin, S.Pd., M.Pd.

NIP 198308032011211001

Supervisor II



Lilit Rusyati, S.Pd., M.Pd.

NIP. 198704202012122001

Perceived by,

Head of International Program on Science Education Study Program



Dr. Eka Cahya Prima, S.Pd., M.T.

NIP. 199006262014041001

## DECLARATION

I hereby declare that the thesis entitled “The Comparison of Students’ Science Process Skills in Learning Dynamic Electricity Through Traditional and Combined Laboratory” and all its content have been done by my work. I do not plagiarize or quote citations from other research in ways that are not following the ethics of science applicable in scientific societies. For this statement, I am prepared to bear the risk of sanction if later violation of scientific ethic is discovered of there is a claim from another part for the authenticity of my work.



## ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The author is fully grateful and thankful to Allah SWT for the mercy so that the author can finish this research paper. The author would also like to express gratitude and appreciation to the following:

1. Dr. Eka Cahya Prima, S.Pd., M.T. as the head of the International Program on Science Education Study Program.
2. Dr. Rika Rafikah Agustin, S.Pd., M.Pd. as the first supervisor guides me, gives advices, gives motivation, and encourages me during working on this research paper.
3. Lilit Rusyati, S.Pd., M.Pd. as the second supervisor who guides me, gives me advices, gives motivation, and encourages me during working on this research paper.
4. Ikmanda Nugraha, S.Pd., M.Pd. as the academic supervisor of 2018 who always guides and motivates me in my study since 2018.
5. All of the IPSE lecturers, lab assistants, and administrator who help, support and motivation in my study since 2018.
6. Headmaster of SMP Labschool UPI Bandung, Mr Ikhsan as the science teacher, and also students from IX D and IX E who help, support and give me permission to take data for this research paper.
7. My family who always support me, motivates me, cheer me and being there for me during the working of this research paper.
8. All of IPSE 2018 students, for making my college days colorful and full of story.
9. *Discord* server members, Jube, Shafa, Yudi, Azzahra, and Alifah, who have been more than willing to help me and support me in every situation and condition during my study.

**THE COMPARISON OF STUDENTS' SCIENCE PROCESS  
SKILLS IN LEARNING DYNAMIC ELECTRICITY THROUGH  
TRADITIONAL AND COMBINED LABORATORY**

**Nabilla Hana Syafira**

International Program on Science Education

Universitas Pendidikan Indonesia

[nabillahsyafira@upi.edu](mailto:nabillahsyafira@upi.edu)

**ABSTRACT**

Virtual laboratory activity appears useful during remote education and offline learning to avoid the risk that can be gained during traditional laboratory activity. The results from PISA show that the skills and knowledge of Indonesian students in science are below the average and lower than the previous result. Laboratory activities are also suggested as effective ways to promote science process skills. This study aims to compare students' science process skills through traditional laboratory and combination of traditional and virtual laboratory in the form of PhET Simulation. This research is quantitative research with two-groups pretest-posttest design. The population of this research is 9<sup>th</sup> grade students with the total of 34 students. The measurement of students' science process skills uses objective test and direct observation rubric. Data from both groups is analysed using SPSS 24.0 software referring to Mann Whitney test. The result shows that students' science process skills in combined laboratory is significantly different with traditional laboratory on students' observing, communicating, classifying, planning an experiment, and asking questions skills between combined laboratory and traditional only laboratory activities with the lowest significancy value is 0.00. Contrary, there is no significant difference on students' hypothesizing, interpreting, predicting, and applying concept skills through combined laboratory and traditional laboratory activities with the highest significancy value is 0.95.

**Keywords:** Dynamic Electricity, Ohm's Law, PhET Simulation, Science Process Skills, Traditional Laboratory, Virtual Laboratory.

**PERBANDINGAN KETERAMPILAN PROSES SAINS SISWA  
DALAM PEMBELAJARAN LISTRIK DINAMIS MELALUI  
LABORATORIUM FISIK DAN KOMBINASI**

**Nabilla Hana Syafira**

International Program on Science Education

Universitas Pendidikan Indonesia

[nabillahsyafira@upi.edu](mailto:nabillahsyafira@upi.edu)

**ABSTRAK**

Kegiatan laboratorium virtual tampaknya bermanfaat selama pendidikan jarak jauh dan pembelajaran luring untuk menghindari risiko yang dapat diperoleh selama kegiatan laboratorium tradisional. Hasil PISA menunjukkan bahwa keterampilan dan pengetahuan siswa Indonesia dalam bidang IPA berada di bawah rata-rata dan lebih rendah dari hasil sebelumnya. Kegiatan laboratorium juga disarankan sebagai cara yang efektif untuk mempromosikan keterampilan proses sains. Penelitian ini bertujuan untuk membandingkan keterampilan proses sains siswa melalui laboratorium tradisional dan kombinasi laboratorium tradisional dan virtual dalam bentuk *PhET Simulation*. Penelitian ini merupakan penelitian kuantitatif dengan desain *two-group pretest-posttest design*. Populasi penelitian ini adalah siswa kelas 9 yang berjumlah 34 siswa. Pengukuran keterampilan proses sains siswa menggunakan tes objektif dan rubrik observasi langsung. Data dari kedua kelompok dianalisis menggunakan software SPSS 24.0 mengacu pada uji Mann Whitney. Hasil penelitian menunjukkan bahwa keterampilan proses sains siswa di laboratorium gabungan berbeda secara signifikan dengan laboratorium tradisional pada keterampilan siswa mengamati, berkomunikasi, mengklasifikasikan, merencanakan percobaan, dan bertanya antara laboratorium gabungan dan laboratorium tradisional saja dengan nilai signifikansi terendah adalah 0,00. Sebaliknya, tidak ada perbedaan yang signifikan pada keterampilan berhipotesis, menafsirkan, memprediksi, dan menerapkan konsep siswa melalui kegiatan laboratorium gabungan dan laboratorium tradisional dengan nilai signifikansi tertinggi adalah 0,95.

**Keywords:** Hukum Ohm, Keterampilan Proses Sains, Laboratorium Tradisional, Laboratorium Virtual, Listrik Dinamis, Simulasi PhET

## LIST OF CONTENT

APPROVAL SHEET .....	i
DECLARATION .....	ii
ACKNOWLEDGEMENT .....	iii
ABSTRACT .....	iv
LIST OF CONTENT .....	vi
LIST OF TABLES .....	ix
LIST OF FIGURES .....	x
LIST OF APPENDICES .....	xi
CHAPTER I INTRODUCTION .....	1
1.1 Background .....	1
1.2 Research Problem.....	3
1.3 Limitation of Problem .....	4
1.4 Research Objectives .....	4
1.5 Research Benefit .....	4
1.6 Organization of Research Paper.....	5
CHAPTER II LITERATURE REVIEW .....	6
2.1 Laboratory Activity .....	6
2.1.1 PhET Simulation .....	7
2.2 Science Process Skills .....	7
2.2.1 Observing Skills .....	8
2.2.2 Hypothesizing Skills.....	8
2.2.3 Interpreting Skills .....	9
2.2.4 Predicting Skills .....	9
2.2.5 Communicating Skills .....	9



2.2.6	Classifying Skills.....	10
2.2.7	Planning Experiment Skills.....	10
2.2.8	Applying Concept Skills.....	10
2.2.9	Asking Question Skills.....	10
2.2.10	Assessing Science Process Skills.....	11
2.3	Dynamic Electricity.....	12
2.3.1	Ohm's Law.....	13
2.4	Relevant Researches.....	14
CHAPTER III RESEARCH METHODOLOGY .....		16
3.1	Research Method and Research Design.....	16
3.2	Population and Sample.....	17
3.3	Operational Definition.....	17
3.4	Assumption.....	18
3.5	Hypothesis.....	18
3.6	Research Instrument.....	18
3.6.1	Objective Test.....	18
3.6.2	Direct Observation Rubric.....	23
3.7	Data Analysis.....	24
3.8	Research Procedures.....	26
CHAPTER IV RESULT AND DISCUSSION.....		30
4.1	Comparison of Average Score of Students' Science Process Skills Between Combined Laboratory and Traditional Laboratory Activity	31
4.1.1	Comparison of Students' Observing Skills Between Combined Laboratory and Traditional Laboratory Activity.....	33
4.1.2	Comparison of Students' Hypothesizing Skills Between Combined Laboratory and Traditional Laboratory Activity	36

4.1.3	Comparison of Students' Interpreting Skills Between Combined Laboratory and Traditional Laboratory Activity.....	39
4.1.4	Comparison of Students' Predicting Skills Between Combined Laboratory and Traditional Laboratory Activity.....	42
4.1.5	Comparison of Students' Communicating Skills Between Combined Laboratory and Traditional Laboratory Activity	45
4.1.6	Comparison of Students' Classifying Skills Between Combined Laboratory and Traditional Laboratory Activity.....	46
4.1.7	Comparison of Students' Planning Experiment Skills Between Combined Laboratory and Traditional Laboratory Activity	51
4.1.8	Comparison of Students' Applying Concept Skills Between Combined Laboratory and Traditional Laboratory Activity	54
4.1.9	Comparison of Students' Asking Question Skills Between Combined Laboratory and Traditional Laboratory Activity	56
4.2	Science Process Skills Aspect with the Most Contrast and the Least Contrast Difference Between Combined Laboratory and Traditional Laboratory .....	59
<b>CHAPTER V CONCLUSION, IMPLICATION, AND RECOMMENDATION</b>		<b>62</b>
5.1	Conclusion.....	62
5.2	Implication .....	63
5.3	Recommendation.....	64
<b>REFERENCES.....</b>		<b>65</b>
<b>APPENDICES .....</b>		<b>70</b>
<b>AUTOBIOGRAPHY .....</b>		<b>143</b>

## LIST OF TABLES

Table 2.1 Core Competences and Basic Competences of Dynamic Electricity Topic .....	12
Table 3.1 Quasi Experimental Pretest and Posttest Design .....	16
Table 3.2 Blueprint of test (before validation).....	19
Table 3.3 Difficulty Level Interpretation .....	21
Table 3.4 Recapitulation of Test Analysis .....	22
Table 3.5 Blueprint of Test (after validation) .....	23
Table 3.6 N-Gain Score Category .....	25
Table 4.1 Implementation of Laboratory Activity .....	33
Table 4.2 The Descriptive Statistics of Students' Observing Skills .....	34
Table 4.3 The Descriptive Statistics of Students' Hypothesizing Skills.....	37
Table 4.4 The Descriptive Statistics of Students' Interpreting Skills .....	40
Table 4.5 The Descriptive Statistics of Students' Predicting Skills.....	43
Table 4.6 The Descriptive Statistics of Students' Communicating Skills .....	46
Table 4.7 The Descriptive Statistics of Students' Classifying Skills.....	48
Table 4.8 The Descriptive Statistics of Students' Planning Experiment Skills ....	51
Table 4.9 The Descriptive Statistics of Students' Applying Concept Skills .....	54
Table 4.10 The Descriptive Statistics of Students' Asking Question Skills.....	57
Table 4.11 Interpretation of Comparison of Students' Interpreting Skills Between Combined Laboratory and Traditional Laboratory Activity .....	59

## LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 3.1 Flowchart of Research Procedures .....	29
Figure 4.1 Average Pretest and Posttest Science Process Skills Score of Combined and Traditional Group .....	31
Figure 4.2 Average Pretest and Posttest Score of Students' Science Process Skills in Observing, Communicating, Classifying, Planning Experiment, and Asking Question Skills.....	61

## LIST OF APPENDICES

Appendix B.1 Objective Test Before Revision.....	106
Appendix B.2 Objective Test After Revision .....	119
Appendix B.3 Direct Observation Rubrics .....	128
Appendix B.4 Expert Judgements.....	134
Appendix C.1 Validity and Reliability Recapitulation of ObjectiveTest .....	138
Appendix D.1 Objective Test Result .....	141
Appendix D.2 Direct Observation Rubric Result .....	145
Appendix E.1 Research Permission from UPI.....	149
Appendix F.1 Documentation of Learning Activity .....	151

## REFERENCES

- Aktamis, H., & Ergin, Ö. (2008). The effect of scientific process skills education on students' scientific creativity, science attitudes and academic achievements. *Asia-Pacific Forum on Science Learning and Teaching.*, 9(1), 1–21.
- Alatas, F., & Fachrunisa, Z. (2019). an Effective of Pogil With Virtual Laboratory in Improving Science Process Skills and Attitudes: Simple Harmonic Motion Concept. *Edusains*, 10(2), 327–334. <https://doi.org/10.15408/es.v10i2.10239>
- Alkan, F. (2016). Experiential learning: Its effects on achievement and scientific process skills. *Journal of Turkish Science Education*, 13(2), 15–26. <https://doi.org/10.12973/tused.10164a>
- Boujaoude, S. B., & Jurdak, M. E. (2010). *Integrating physics and math through microcomputer-based laboratories (mbl): effects on discourse type, quality, and mathematization. April*, 1019–1047.
- Budai, T., & Kuczmann, M. (2018). Towards a modern, integrated virtual laboratory system. *Acta Polytechnica Hungarica*, 15(3), 191–204. <https://doi.org/10.12700/APH.15.3.2018.3.11>
- Cahyani, R., Rustaman, N. Y., Arifin, M., & Hendriani, Y. (2014). KEMAMPUAN KOGNISI, KERJA ILMIAH DAN SIKAP MAHASISWA NON IPA MELALUI PEMBELAJARAN INKUIRI BERBANTUAN MULTIMEDIA. *Jurnal Pendidikan IPA Indonesia*, 3(1), 1–4. <http://journal.unnes.ac.id/nju/index.php/jpii>
- Chen, X., Song, G., & Zhang, Y. (2010). Virtual and remote laboratory development: A review. *Proceedings of the 12th International Conference on Engineering, Science, Construction, and Operations in Challenging Environments - Earth and Space 2010*, 41096(July 2015), 3843–3852. [https://doi.org/10.1061/41096\(366\)368](https://doi.org/10.1061/41096(366)368)
- Cohen, L., Manion, L., Morrison, K., & Wyse, D. (2010). *A guide to teaching practice* (5th Editio). Routledge.
- Creswell, J. W. (2013). *Research Design: Qualitative, Quantitative, and Mixed Method Approaches* (4th Editio). SAGE Publications.
- de Jong, T., Linn, M. C., & Zacharia, Z. C. (2009). Physical and Virtual Laboratories in Science and Engineering Education. *Science*, 340(April), 305–309.
- Edelson, D. C., Gordin, D. N., & Pea, R. D. (2011). *Journal of the Learning Addressing the Challenges of Inquiry-Based Learning Through Technology and Curriculum Design. February 2013*, 37–41.
- Efstathiou, C., Hovardas, T., Xenofontos, N. A., Zacharia, Z. C., deJong, T., Anjewierden, A., & van Riesen, S. A. N. (2018). Providing guidance in virtual lab experimentation: the case of an experiment design tool. *Educational Technology Research and Development*, 66(3), 767–791.

<https://doi.org/10.1007/s11423-018-9576-z>

- Fatmaryanti, S. D., Pratiwi, U., Akhdinirwanto, R. W., & Sulisworo, D. (2022). A task model for supporting virtual laboratory based on inquiry skills, social and scientific communication. *International Journal of Evaluation and Research in Education*, *11*(1), 385–391. <https://doi.org/10.11591/ijere.v11i1.21737>
- Gorghiu, L. M., Gorghiu, G., Alexandrescu, T., & Borcea, L. (2009). Exploring chemistry using virtual instrumentation-challenges and successes. *Research, Reflections and Innovations in Integrating ICT in Education*, *1*(1), 371–375.
- Gunawan, G., Setiawan, A., Widiantoro, D.H. (2013). Model Virtual Laboratory Fisika Modern untuk Meningkatkan Keterampilan Generik Sains Calon Guru. *Jurnal Pendidikan Dan Pembelajaran*, *20*(1), 25–32.
- Gunawan, G., Suranti, N. M. Y., Nisrina, N., Herayanti, L., & Rahmatiah, R. (2018). The effect of virtual lab and gender toward students' creativity of physics in senior high school. *Journal of Physics: Conference Series*, *1108*(1). <https://doi.org/10.1088/1742-6596/1108/1/012043>
- Gunawan, Harjono, A., Hermansyah, & Herayanti, L. (2019). Guided inquiry model through virtual laboratory to enhance students' science process skills on heat concept. *Cakrawala Pendidikan*, *38*(2), 259–268. <https://doi.org/10.21831/cp.v38i2.23345>
- Guttenplan, D. . (2011). *Web Tutors Become Stars Far From Classroom*. <https://www.nytimes.com/2011/12/12/world/americas/12iht-educLede12.html?smid=url-share>
- Hallberg, A. (2017). *The Top 4 Countries That Have Developed eLearning*. <https://elearningindustry.com/countries-that-have-developed-elearning-top-4>
- Harms, U. (2000). Introduction, Virtual and Remote Labs, Demonstration of Examples. *2nd European Conference on Physics Teaching in Engineering Education*.
- Haryadi, R., & Pujiastuti, H. (2020). PhET simulation software-based learning to improve science process skills. *Journal of Physics: Conference Series*, *1521*(2). <https://doi.org/10.1088/1742-6596/1521/2/022017>
- Hofstein, A., & Lunetta, V. N. (2004). The Laboratory in Science Education: Foundations for the Twenty-First Century. *Science Education*, *88*(1), 28–54. <https://doi.org/10.1002/sce.10106>
- Hofstein, A., Navon, O., Kipnis, M., & Mamlok-Naaman, R. (2005). Developing students' ability to ask more and better questions resulting from inquiry-type chemistry laboratories. *Journal of Research in Science Teaching*, *42*(7), 791–806. <https://doi.org/10.1002/tea.20072>
- Jaakkola, T., & Nurmi, S. (2008). Fostering elementary school students' understanding of simple electricity by combining simulation and laboratory activities: Original article. *Journal of Computer Assisted Learning*, *24*(4), 271–283. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2729.2007.00259.x>

- Jeon, S., & Park, J. (2014). Analysis of Relationships of Scientific Communication Skills, Science Process Skills, Logical Thinking Skills, and Academic Achievement Level of Elementary School Students. *Journal of the Korean Association for Research in Science Education*, 34, 647–655. <https://doi.org/10.14697/jkase.2014.34.7.0647>
- Josephsen, J., & Kristensen, A. K. (2006). Simulation of laboratory assignments to support students' learning of introductory inorganic chemistry. *Chemistry Education Research and Practice*, 7(4), 266–279. <https://doi.org/10.1039/B6RP90013E>
- Kapici, H. O. (2019). *Using Hands-On and Virtual Laboratories Alone or Together — Which Works Better for Acquiring Knowledge and Skills ?*
- Kaplan, R. M., & Saccuzzo, D. P. (2013). *Psychological assessment and theory: Creating and using psychological tests* (8th ed.). Wadsworth Cengage Learning.
- Khalaf, B. K., Academy, L., Bt, Z., Zin, M., & Academy, L. (2018). *Traditional and Inquiry-Based Learning Pedagogy : A Systematic Critical Review*. 11(4), 545–564.
- Khoury, A. H., Eddeen, L. M. N., Saadeh, D. S., & Harfoushi, O. K. (2011). E-learning: Justifications and Obstacles. *International Journal of Emerging Technologies in Learning*, 6(3), 53–56. <https://doi.org/10.3991/ijet.v6i3.1610>
- Kurniaman, O., Oktari, C., & Pahrurazi, P. (2020). The Implementation of Teaching Materials Reading Graphic Organizers in Elementary Schools. *Lensa: Kajian Kebahasaan, Kesusastraan, Dan Budaya*, 9(2), 105. <https://doi.org/10.26714/lensa.9.2.2019.105-119>
- Kusumo, N., Kurniawan, F. B., & Putri, N. I. (2012). Elearning obstacle faced by Indonesian students. *The Eighth International Conference on ELearning for Knowledge-Based Society*.
- McCowan, R. J. R., & McCowan, S. S. C. (1999). Item Analysis for Criterion-Referenced Tests. In *Online Submission*. <http://eric.ed.gov/ERICWebPortal/recordDetail?accno=ED501716>
- Mutlu, A., & Şeşen, B. A. (2016). Impact of virtual chemistry laboratory instruction on pre-service science teachers' scientific process skills. *SHS Web of Conferences*, 26, 01088. <https://doi.org/10.1051/shsconf/20162601088>
- Nirmala, W., & Darmawati, S. (2021). The Effectiveness of Discovery-Based Virtual Laboratory Learning to Improve Student Science Process Skills. *Journal of Education Technology*, 5(1), 103. <https://doi.org/10.23887/jet.v5i1.33368>
- OECD. (2022). *Education GPS*. <https://gpseducation.oecd.org/CountryProfile?primaryCountry=IDN&treshold=10&topic=PI>
- Oidov, L., Tortogtokh, U., & Purevdagva, E. (2012). Virtual laboratory for physics



- teaching. *2012 International Conference on Management and Education Innovation*, 37, 319–323. <http://www.ipedr.com/vol37/062-ICMEI2012-E10015.pdf>
- Payudi, P., Ertikanto, C., Fadiawati, N., & Suyatna, A. (2017). The development of student worksheet assisted by interactive multimedia of photoelectric effect to build science process skills. *International Journal of Science and Applied Science: Conference Series*, 2(1), 273. <https://doi.org/10.20961/ijsascs.v2i1.16726>
- PISA. (2003). *The PISA 2003 assessment framework—mathematics, reading, science and problem solving knowledge and skills*.
- Puncreobutr, V. (2016). Education 4.0: New challenge of learning. *St. Theresa Journal of Humanities and Social Sciences*, 2(2), 92–97.
- Ratamun, M. M., & Osman, K. (2018). The Effectiveness of Virtual Lab Compared Physical Lab in The Mastery of Science Process Skills. *Problems of Education in The 21st Century*, 76(4), 544–560. <http://www.scientiasocialis.lt/pec/view/biblio/year/2018/volume/76/issue/4>
- Riantoni, C., Astalini, A., & Darmaji, D. (2019). Studi penggunaan PhET Interactive Simulations dalam pembelajaran fisika. *Jurnal Riset Dan Kajian Pendidikan Fisika*, 6(2), 71. <https://doi.org/10.12928/jrpkpf.v6i2.14202>
- Robinson, R., Molenda, M., & Rezabek, L. (2013). Facilitating learning. In *Educational technology* (pp. 27–60). Routledge.
- Rustaman, N. (2007). Asesmen Pendidikan IPA. *Diklat NTT04*, 1–7.
- Rustaman, N. Y. (2007). *Belajar IPA Melalui Keterampilan Proses Sains (KPS)*. 23.
- Safaah, E. S., Muslim, M., & Liliawati, W. (2017). Teaching Science Process Skills by Using the 5-Stage Learning Cycle in Junior High School. *Journal of Physics: Conference Series*, 895(1). <https://doi.org/10.1088/1742-6596/895/1/012106>
- Safitri, L. N., Fahrudin, & Jumadi. (2020). Comparison of students science process skills after using learning an experimental and virtual laboratory on Archimedes Laws. *Journal of Physics: Conference Series*, 1440(1), 3–7. <https://doi.org/10.1088/1742-6596/1440/1/012079>
- Sapriadil, S., Setiawan, A., Suhandi, A., Malik, A., Safitri, D., Lisdiani, S. A. S., & Hermita, N. (2018). Optimizing students' scientific communication skills through higher order thinking virtual laboratory (HOTVL). *Journal of Physics: Conference Series*, 1013(1). <https://doi.org/10.1088/1742-6596/1013/1/012050>
- Shahali, E. H. M., & Halim, L. (2010). Development and validation of a test of integrated science process skills. *Procedia - Social and Behavioral Sciences*, 9, 142–146. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.sbspro.2010.12.127>
- Smith, K. A., & Welliver, P. W. (1990). The development of a science process

- assessment for fourth-grade students. *Journal of Research in Science Teaching*, 27(8), 727–738. <https://doi.org/10.1002/tea.3660270803>
- Sugiyono, D. (2013). *Metode penelitian pendidikan pendekatan kuantitatif, kualitatif dan R&D*. Alfabeta.
- Tikkanen, S. (2017). Two-Group Pretest–Posttest Design. In M. Allen (Ed.), *The SAGE Encyclopedia of Communication Research Methods* (Vol. 4, Issue 1). SAGE Publications. <https://doi.org/https://dx.doi.org/10.4135/9781483381411>
- Tüysüz, C. (2010). The effect of the virtual laboratory on students' achievement and attitude in chemistry. *International Online Journal of Educational Sciences*, 2(1), 37–53.
- Usman, M., Suyanta, & Huda, K. (2021). Virtual lab as distance learning media to enhance student's science process skill during the COVID-19 pandemic. *Journal of Physics: Conference Series*, 1882(1). <https://doi.org/10.1088/1742-6596/1882/1/012126>
- Wahyuni, S., Indrawati, I., Sudarti, S., & Suana, W. (2017). Developing science process skills and problem-solving abilities based on outdoor learning in junior high school. *Jurnal Pendidikan IPA Indonesia*, 6(1), 165–169. <https://doi.org/10.15294/jpii.v6i1.6849>
- Widodo, A. (2021). Pembelajaran Ilmu Pengetahuan Alam Dasar-Dasar untuk Praktik. In *UPI Press*.
- Yang, K. Y., & Heh, J. S. (2007). The impact of internet virtual physics laboratory instruction on the achievement in physics, science process skills and computer attitudes of 10th-grade students. *Journal of Science Education and Technology*, 16(5), 451–461. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10956-007-9062-6>