TABLE OF CONTENTS

APPROVAL PAGE ..... **i**
DECLARATION ..... **ii**
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS ..... **iii**
ABSTRACT ..... **iv**
TABLE OF CONTENTS ..... **v**
LIST OF FIGURES ..... **vii**
LIST OF TABLES ..... **viii**

CHAPTER ONE: INTRODUCTION

1.1 Background 1
1.2 The Purpose of the Study 4
1.3 Research Questions 4
1.4 Limitation of the Study 5
1.5 Significance of the Study 5
1.6 Organization of the Thesis 6

CHAPTER TWO: LITERATURE REVIEW

2.1 Speech Act 8
2.1.1 Apology 12
2.1.2 Apology Strategies 18
2.2 Previous Studies on Apology 25
2.3 Power, Distance, and Ranking of Imposition 27

CHAPTER THREE: METHODOLOGY OF THE RESEARCH

3.1 Research Design 29
3.2 Setting and Participants 31
3.3 Instrumentation 32
3.4 Data Collection 34
3.5 Data Analysis 35

CHAPTER FOUR: FINDINGS AND DISCUSSIONS

4.1 The Use of Apology Strategy: General Finding…… 39
4.2 Apologizing Strategy to the Hearer with Lower Power in Different levels of Severity……...… 42
4.3 Apologizing Strategy to the Hearer with Equal Power in Different levels of Severity…… 52
4.4 Apologizing Strategy to the Hearer with Higher Power in Different levels of Severity…… ……... 63

CHAPTER FIVE: CONCLUSION AND SUGGESTION

5.1 Conclusion ……... 74
5.2 Suggestion …… 76

REFERENCES…..…… 78

APPENDICES

Appendix 1 : Guided Situations (Discourse Role-Play Task)…… 83
Appendix 2 : The Data Transcribed from the Role Play …… 86
Appendix 3 :The Distribution of Apologizing Strategy Used by Each Participant ……..…….. 96

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 2.1 Aijmer's Apologizing Strategy ……. 19

Figure 4.1 Numbers of Strategy Occurrence ……. 39

Figure 4.2 Strategy Used to the Lower Power ……..…. 42

Figure 4.3 Strategy Used to the Equal Power ……..…… 52

Figure 4.4 Strategy Used to the Higher Power ……..……. 63

LIST OF TABLES

Table 2.1 Olshtain & Cohen's Apologizing Strategy……... 21

Table 3.1 The Distribution of Contextual Factors…… 37

Table 4.1 Number of Occurrence on Each Strategy……. 40

UNIVERSITAS PENDIDIKAN INDONESIA
PERPUSTAKAAN