

MODEL *PROJECT-BASED BLENDED LEARNING* DENGAN *COGNITIVE CONFLICT STRATEGY* UNTUK MENINGKATKAN *MATHEMATICAL SPATIAL LITERACY*, KEMAMPUAN *MATHEMATICAL LOGICAL THINKING* dan *MATHEMATICAL SELF-CONCEPT* SISWA SMA

DISERTASI

diajukan untuk memenuhi sebagian syarat untuk memperoleh gelar Doktor
Pendidikan Matematika



Oleh

RIKA MULYATI MUSTIKA SARI

1907191

PROGRAM STUDI PENDIDIKAN MATEMATIKA-S3

FAKULTAS PENDIDIKAN MATEMATIKA DAN IPA

UNIVERSITAS PENDIDIKAN INDONESIA

BANDUNG

2023

**ANALISIS PROSES BERPIKIR GEOMETRI MAHASISWA CALON GURU MATEMATIKA
DALAM PERSPEKTIF WAY OF THINKING DAN WAY OF UNDERSTANDING
PADA IMPLEMENTASI DESAIN DIDAKTIS INTEGRASI DYNAMIC GEOMETRY SOFTWARE**

Oleh

Thesa Kandaga

Dr. Universitas Pendidikan Indonesia, 2022
M. Pd. Universitas Pendidikan Indonesia, 2013

Sebuah Disertasi yang diajukan untuk memenuhi salah satu syarat memperoleh
gelar Doktor Pendidikan (Dr.) pada Fakultas Pendidikan Matematika dan Ilmu
Pengetahuan Alam

© Thesa Kandaga 2022
Universitas Pendidikan Indonesia
Juli 2022

Hak Cipta dilindungi undang-undang.
Disertasi ini tidak boleh diperbanyak seluruhnya atau sebagian, dengan dicetak
ulang, difoto kopi, atau cara lainnya tanpa ijin dari penulis.

HALAMAN PENGESAHAN

RIKA MULYATI MUSTIKA SARI

MODEL *PROJECT-BASED BLENDED LEARNING* DENGAN *COGNITIVE CONFLICT STRATEGY* UNTUK MENINGKATKAN *MATHEMATICAL SPATIAL LITERACY*, KEMAMPUAN *MATHEMATICAL LOGICAL THINKING* dan *MATHEMATICAL SELF-CONCEPT* SISWA SMA

disetujui dan disahkan oleh:

Prof. Dr. H. Nanang Priatna, M.Pd
Promotor merangkap Ketua

Dr. H. Dadang Juandi, M.Si
Ko-Promotor merangkap Sekretaris

Prof. Turmudi, M.Ed., M.Sc., Ph.D
Anggota Penguji

Prof. H. Yaya Sukjaya Kusumah, M. Sc., Ph.D
Anggota Penguji

Prof. Dr. I Made Arnawa, M.Si
Penguji Luar Universitas

Mengetahui,
Ketua Program Studi Pendidikan Matematika
Universitas Pendidikan Indonesia

Dr. H. Dadang Juandi, M.Si
NIP. 19640117 1992 02 1 001

ABSTRAK

Rika Mulyati Mustika Sari (1907191). Model *Project-Based Blended Learning* dengan *Cognitive Conflict Strategy* untuk Meningkatkan *Mathematical Spatial Literacy*, Kemampuan *Mathematical Logical Thinking* dan *Mathematical Self-Concept* Siswa SMA

Penelitian ini dilatabelakangi karena masih rendahnya literasi spasial matematis, kemampuan berpikir logis matematis siswa SMA dalam materi geometri serta penilaian afektif yang masih jarang diberikan kepada siswa salah satunya *Mathematical Self-Concept*. Tujuan utama penelitian ini adalah untuk mengkaji peningkatan literasi spasial matematis, kemampuan berpikir logis matematis, dan pencapaian *Mathematical Self-Concept* siswa, sebagai akibat dari pembelajaran *Project-Based Blended Learning* dengan *Cognitive Conflict Strategy* (PjBBL-CCS) dan pembelajaran *Problem-Based Learning* (PBL). Penelitian ini dilaksanakan atas dasar pentingnya literasi spasial matematis, kemampuan berpikir logis matematis, dan *Mathematical Self-Concept* untuk dimiliki siswa untuk meningkatkan kompetensinya dalam pembelajaran matematika. Penelitian ini menggunakan metode kuasi eksperimen kelompok PjBBL-CCS dengan desain yang digunakan yaitu *desain faktorial*. Penelitian ini merupakan kelompok PjBBL-CCS yang dilakukan pada siswa SMA Negeri di Kabupaten Bandung. Sampel penelitian adalah seluruh siswa kelas XI Tahun Pelajaran 2021/2022. Variabel-variabel penelitian ditentukan berdasarkan model pembelajaran, peringkat sekolah, dan pengetahuan awal matematis (PAM). Penentuan kategori PAM siswa diperoleh melalui tes dan di bagi menjadi tiga kategori, yaitu: tinggi, sedang, dan rendah. Penentuan peringkat sekolah didasarkan kepada perolehan nilai ujian nasional pada pelajaran matematika yang terbagi menjadi tiga peringkat sekolah, yaitu: tinggi, sedang dan rendah. Dalam penelitian ini dipilih sekolah pada peringkat tinggi dan sedang. Pada setiap sekolah yang terpilih, dipilih dua kelas secara acak yakni kelompok PjBBL-CCS dan kelompok PBL. Kelompok PjBBL-CCS diberi perlakuan PjBBL-CCS sedangkan kelompok PBL diberikan pembelajaran PBL. Instrumen yang digunakan dalam penelitian ini terdiri atas tes pengetahuan awal matematis (PAM), tes literasi spasial matematis, tes kemampuan berpikir logis matematis, dan skala *Mathematical Self-Concept*. Data dianalisis dengan menggunakan uji *Mann Whitney U Test* dan *Two Way Anova*. Berdasarkan hasil analisis, diperoleh simpulan secara umum, bahwa: (1) a) Ditinjau dari model pembelajaran, peningkatan literasi spasial matematis siswa yang memperoleh pembelajaran PjBBL-CCS lebih baik daripada siswa yang memperoleh pembelajaran PBL, b) Ditinjau dari PAM peningkatan literasi spasial matematis siswa untuk tiap-tiap PAM berbeda, c) Ditinjau dari peringkat sekolah, peningkatan literasi spasial matematis siswa yang memperoleh pembelajaran PjBBL-CCS sama dengan siswa yang memperoleh pembelajaran PBL; (2) Tidak terdapat pengaruh interaksi antara pembelajaran (PjBBL-CCS dan PBL) dan PAM terhadap peningkatan literasi spasial matematis siswa; (3) Tidak terdapat pengaruh interaksi antara pembelajaran (PjBBL-CCS dan PBL) dan peringkat sekolah (tinggi, sedang) terhadap peningkatan literasi spasial matematis siswa; (4) a) Ditinjau dari model pembelajaran, peningkatan kemampuan berpikir logis matematis siswa yang mendapatkan pembelajaran PjBBL-CCS lebih baik daripada siswa yang mendapatkan pembelajaran PBL, b) Ditinjau dari PAM rata-rata peningkatan kemampuan berpikir logis matematis siswa untuk tiap-tiap kelompok perlakuan berbeda, c) Ditinjau dari peringkat sekolah, peningkatan kemampuan berpikir logis matematis siswa yang memperoleh pembelajaran PjBBL-CCS sama dengan siswa yang memperoleh pembelajaran PBL; (5) Tidak terdapat pengaruh interaksi antara pembelajaran (PjBBL-CCS dan PBL) dan PAM terhadap peningkatan kemampuan berpikir logis matematis siswa; (6) Tidak terdapat pengaruh interaksi antara pembelajaran (PjBBL-CCS dan PBL) dan peringkat sekolah (tinggi, sedang) terhadap peningkatan kemampuan berpikir logis matematis; (7) a) Ditinjau dari model pembelajaran, pencapaian *Mathematical Self-Concept* siswa yang mendapat pembelajaran PjBBL-CCS sama dengan siswa yang mendapat pembelajaran PBL, b) Ditinjau dari PAM paling sedikit ada satu rata-rata kelompok perlakuan yang tidak sama dengan rata-rata kelompok perlakuan lain c) Ditinjau dari peringkat sekolah (tinggi dan sedang), pencapaian *Mathematical Self-Concept* setiap kelompok perlakuan sama; (8) Tidak terdapat pengaruh interaksi antara pembelajaran (PjBBL-CCS dan PBL) dan PAM terhadap pencapaian *Mathematical Self-Concept* siswa; (9) Tidak terdapat pengaruh interaksi antara pembelajaran (PjBBL-CCS dan PBL) dan peringkat sekolah (tinggi, sedang) terhadap pencapaian *Mathematical Self-Concept* siswa.

Kata kunci: *Project-Based Learning*, *Blended Learning*, *Conflict Cognitive Strategy*, Literasi Spasial Matematis, Kemampuan Berpikir Logis Matematis, *Mathematical Self-Concept*.

Rika Mulyati Mustika Sari (1907191). Project-Based Blended Learning With Cognitive Conflict Strategy to Improve Mathematical Spatial Literacy, Logical Thinking Skills, and Self-Concept Students Senior High School.

ABSTRACT

The background of this research is that there is still a lack of mathematical spatial literacy, high school students' ability to think mathematically and logically about geometry material, and affective assessments that are rarely given to students, one of which is Mathematical Self-Concept. The main purpose of this study is to examine the increase in mathematical spatial literacy, mathematical logical thinking skills, and students' mathematical self-concept achievement as a result of Project-Based Blended Learning with Cognitive Conflict Strategy (PjBBL-CCS) and Problem-Based Learning (PBL) learning. This study conducts on the basis of the relevance of mathematical spatial literacy, the skills to think mathematically logically, and mathematical self-concept for students to have in order to enhance their mathematics learning competence. This study employed a quasi-experimental design utilizing a factorial methodology. This study is an experiment undertaken with Bandung regency public high school students. The sample of this study consists of all eleventh-grade students for the academic year 2021-2022. The factors for the study were established based on the learning model, school ranking, and prior mathematical expertise (PAM). The PAM classifications of students are determined by tests and categorized into three categories: high, medium, and low. The rankings of schools are based on the achievement of national exam scores in mathematics, which are categorized into three levels: high, medium, and low. This study chose schools with high and average ratings. In each selected school, two classes, the experimental class and the control class, were picked at random. The experimental class received PjBBL-CCS, while the control class received PBL instruction. A mathematical prior knowledge exam (PAM), a mathematical spatial literacy test, a mathematical logical reasoning skills test, and a mathematical self-concept scale were employed in this study. Using the t-test, two-way ANOVA, and one-way ANOVA, the data were evaluated. The results of data analysis lead to the following conclusions; (1) a) The growth in mathematical spatial literacy of students who get PjBBL-CCS learning is greater than that of students who receive PBL learning, b) based on the learning model. Regarding PAM, the increase in students' mathematical spatial literacy differs for each PAM, c). In terms of school rankings, the increase in the mathematical spatial literacy of students who receive PjBBL-CCS learning is the same as that of students who receive PBL learning; (2) There is no interaction effect between learning (PjBBL-CCS and PBL) and PAM on increasing students' mathematical spatial literacy; (3) There is no interaction effect between learning (PjBBL-CCS and PBL) and school rankings (high, medium) on increasing students' mathematical spatial literacy; (4) a) The improvement in logical-mathematical reasoning skills of students who received PjBBL-CCS learning was greater than that of students who received PBL learning, b). Regarding PAM, the average growth in mathematical and logical reasoning skills for each treatment group, c) In terms of the learning model, students who obtain PjBBL-CCS learning attain the same mathematical self-concept as students who receive PBL learning. (5) There is no interaction effect between learning (PjBBL-CCS and PBL) and PAM on increasing students' mathematical logical thinking skills; (6) there is no effect of interaction between learning (PjBBL-CCS and PBL) and school ranking (high, medium) on the improvement of mathematical logical thinking skills; (7) a) in terms of the learning model, the achievement of the mathematical self-concept of students who receive PjBBL-CCS learning is the same as students who receive PBL learning, b) In terms of PAM, there is at least one treatment group average that is not the same as the other treatment group averages, c) In terms of school rankings (high and medium), the achievement of the mathematical self-concept of each treatment group was the same; (8) There is no interaction effect between learning (PjBBL-CCS and PBL) and PAM on students' mathematical self-concept achievement; (9) There is no interaction effect between learning (PjBBL-CCS and PBL) and school rankings (high, medium) on students' mathematical self-concept achievement.

Keywords: Project-based Learning, Blended Learning, Conflict Cognitive Strategy, Mathematical Spatial Literacy, Mathematical Logical Thinking Skills, Mathematical Self-Concept.

DAFTAR ISI

HALAMAN PERSETUJUAN	i
HALAMAN PERNYATAAN.....	i
UCAPAN TERIMA KASIH	ii
ABSTRAK	iv
ABSTRACT	v
DAFTAR ISI.....	vi
DAFTAR TABEL	ix
DAFTAR GAMBAR.....	xv
DAFTAR LAMPIRAN.....	xvii
BAB I PENDAHULUAN.....	1
1.1. Latar Belakang	1
1.2. Rumusan Masalah Penelitian	17
1.3. Tujuan Penelitian.....	16
1.4. Manfaat Penelitian.....	18
BAB II KAJIAN PUSTAKA	20
2.1.Literasi Spasial Matematis	20
2.2 Kemampuan Berpikir Logis Matematis	25
2.3. <i>Mathematical Self-Concept</i>	30
2.4. <i>Project-Based Blended Learning</i> dengan <i>Cognitive Conflict Strategy</i>	32
2.4.1.Definisi <i>Project-Based Blended Learning</i> dengan <i>Cognitive</i> <i>Conflict Strategy</i>	34
2.4.2.Karakteristik <i>Project-Based Blended Learning</i> dengan <i>Cognitive Conflict Strategy</i>	39
2.4.3.Langkah-langkah <i>Project-Based Blended Learning</i> dengan <i>Cognitive Conflict Strategy</i>	42
2.4.4.Model Penilaian <i>Project-Based Blended Learning</i> dengan <i>Cognitive Conflict Strategy</i>	48

2.4.5. Pelaksanaan <i>Project-Based Blended Learning</i> dengan <i>Cognitive Conflict Strategy</i>	49
2.5. <i>Problem-Based learning</i>	51
2.6. Pengetahuan Awal Matematis	54
2.7. Peringkat Sekolah.....	55
2.8. Penelitian Relevan.....	56
2.9. Kerangka Berpikir	61
2.10. Hipotesis	63
BAB III METODE PENELITIAN	65
3.1. Jenis dan Desain Penelitian	65
3.2. Populasi dan Sampel Penelitian	69
3.3. Definisi Operasional.....	72
3.3.1. Pengetahuan Awal Matematis (PAM)	77
3.3.2. Tes <i>Mathematical Spatial Literacy</i> (MSL)	82
3.3.3. Tes Kemampuan <i>Mathematical Logical Thinking Skills</i>	87
3.3.4. <i>Mathematical Self-Concept</i>	91
3.3.5. Perangkat Pembelajaran	94
3.3.6. Bahan Ajar.....	95
3.4. Prosedur dan Pelaksanaan Penelitian	98
3.5. Teknik Analisis Data	100
BAB IV HASIL ANALISIS DATA DAN PEMBAHASAN	105
4.1. Hasil Analisis Data.....	105
4.1.1. Analisis Data Pengetahuan Awal Matematis (PAM).....	105
4.1.2 Analisis Data <i>Mathematical Spatial Literacy</i> (MSL)	108
4.1.3. Analisis Data <i>Mathematical Logical Thinking</i> (MLT)	133
4.1.4. Analisis Data <i>Matematis Self Concept</i> (MSC).....	160
4.1.5. Ketercapaian Indikator Instrumen Tes dan Non Tes.....	177
4.1.6. Gambaran Pelaksanaan <i>Project-Based Blended Learning</i> Dengan <i>Cognitive Conflict Strategy</i>	208
4.2. Pembahasan	215
4.2.1. Analisis Data Pengetahuan Awal Matematis (PAM).....	215
4.2.2. Analisis Data Literasi Spasial Matematis berdasarkan Model	

Pembelajaran, Peringkat sekolah, dan Pengetahuan awal matematis.....	217
4.2.3. Analisis Data Kemampuan Berpikir Logis Matematis berdasarkan Model Pembelajaran, Peringkat Sekolah, dan Pengetahuan Awal Matematis	222
4.2.4. Analisis Data <i>Mathematical Self Concept</i> (MSC) berdasarkan Model Pembelajaran, Peringkat Sekolah, dan Pengetahuan Awal Matematis	227
BAB V SIMPULAN, IMPLIKASI DAN REKOMENDASI	230
5.2. Implikasi.....	233
5.3. Rekomendasi	234
DAFTAR PUSTAKA	235
LAMPIRAN.....	247
RENCANA PELAKSANAAN PEMBELAJARAN.....	379
RIWAYAT HIDUP	554

DAFTAR PUSTAKA

- Ahmar, A. S., Rahman, A., & Mulbar, U. (2018). The Analysis of Students' Logical Thinking Ability and Adversity Quotient, and it is Reviewed from Cognitive Style. *Journal of Physics: Conference Series*, 1028(1). <https://doi.org/10.1088/1742-6596/1028/1/012167>
- Almulla, M. A. (2020). The effectiveness of the Project-Based Learning (PjBL) approach as a way to engage students in learning. *Sage Open. Advance online publication*. <https://doi.org/10.1177/2158244020938702>
- Anderson, L.W. & Krathwohl, D.R. (2010). *Kerangka Landasan Pembelajaran, Pengajaran, dan Asesmen*; Revisi Taksonomi Pendidikan Bloom. Terj. Agung Prihantoro. Yogyakarta: PustakaPelajar.
- Bath, D., & Bourke, J. (2007). *Getting Started With Blended Learning*. Australia: Griffith University.
- Biehler, R. (2019). Allgemeinbildung, Mathematical Literacy, and Competence Orientation. In *Traditions in German-Speaking Mathematics Education Research*. Hamburg: ICME-13 Monographs. https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-11069-7_6
- Bonk, C. J., & Graham, C. R. (2006). *The handbook of blended learning: global perspectives, local designs*. San Fransisco: Pfeiffer. <https://doi.org/10.4018/978-1-4666-8632-8.ch068>
- Bonk, C. J., & Graham, C. R. (2012). *The handbook of blended learning: Global perspectives, local designs*. John Wiley & Sons.
- Borg, W.R, & gall, M. D.(1979).*Educational Research:An Introduction (Third Edition)*.New York and London : Longman Inc.
- Burns, R. B. (1993). *Konsep Diri, Teori, Pengukuran dan Perilaku*. Jakarta: Arcan.
- Calhoun, J. F., & Acocella, J. R. (1995). *Psikologi Tentang Penyesuaian dan Hubungan Kemanusiaan*. Semarang: MIP Semarang Press.
- Carman, J. M. (2005). *Blended Learning Design for Five Key Ingredients*. <http://blended2010.pbworks.com/f/Carman.pdf>
- Clements, D. H., & Sarama, J. (2011). Early childhood teacher education: The case of geometry. *Journal of Mathematics Teacher Education*, 14(2), 133–148.

<https://doi.org/10.1007/s10857-011-9173-0>

- Dahlan, J. A., Rohayati, A., & Karso. (2012). Implementasi strategi pembelajaran ek2flik kognitif dalam upaya meningkatkan. *Jurnal Pendidikan*, 13(2), 65–76.
<https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.33830/jp.v13i2.372.2012>
- Darhim. (2014). *Teori Belajar Matematika* (Bahan PLPG) (file.upi.edu/Direk1tori/FPMIPA/JUR._PEND._MATEMATIKA/195503031980021-DARHIM/Makalah_Artikel/PLPG(TeoriBelajar).pdf). (diakses April 2020).
- de Lange, J. (1996). Using and applying mathematics in education. Dalam A.J. Bishop, et al. (eds). *International handbook of mathematics education, Part one*. (Hal. 49-97). Kluwer academic publisher.
- de Lange, J. (2003). Mathematics for literacy. Dalam B. L. Madison & L. A. Steen (Eds.), *Quantitative literacy: Why numeracy matters for schools and colleges* (pp. 75–89). Princeton, NJ: National Council on Education and the Disciplines.
- de Lange, J. (2006). Mathematical Literacy for Living From Oecd-Pisa Perspective. *sukuba Journal of Educational Study in Mathematics*, 25, 13–25.
https://www.criced.tsukuba.ac.jp/math/sympo_2006/lange.pdf
- Delima, N. (2019). *Model Comprehensive Mathematics Intruction (CMI) untuk Meningkatkan Kemampuan Mathematical Thinking dan Self-CONcept Siswa SMA*. Disertasi pada PPs UPI. Bandung: Tidak Diterbitkan.
- Depdiknas. 2008. Kurikulum Tingkat Satuan Pendidikan. Jakarta: Dikmenum.
- Depdiknas Depdiknas. (2003). Undang-Undang No. 20 Tahun 2003, tentang Sistem Pendidikan Nasional. Jakarta: Depdiknas.
- Desmita. (2010). *Psikologi Perkembangan Peserta Didik; Panduan Bagi Orang Tua dan Guru dalam Memahami Psikologi Anak Usia SD, SMP, dan SMA*. Bandung: Resmaja Rosdakarya.
- Dhewantoro, H.N.S. (2016). “Model Pembelajaran E-Learning Untuk Membentuk Karakter Siswa Yang Mampu Bersaing di Era MEA.” Prosiding Seminar Nasional dan Call for Paper ke-2 Pengintegrasian Nilai Karakter dalam Pembelajaran Kreatif 1 di Era Masyarakat ek1onomi ASEAN. Pp. 1-10.
- Dito, S., & Pujiastuti, H. (2021). Dampak Revolusi Industri 4.0 Pada Sek1tor Pendidikan: Kajian Literatur Mengenai Digital Learning Pada Pendidikan

- Dasar dan Menengah. *Jurnal Sains Dan Edukasi Sains*, 4(2), 59-65.
<https://doi.org/10.24246/juses.v4i2p59-65>
- Duch, B.J., Groh, S.E., & Allen, D.E. (2001). *Why Problem-Based Learning: A Case Study of Institutional Change in Undergraduate Education*. Dalam B.J. The Power of Problem-Based Learning. Virginia, Amerika: Stylus Publishing
- Dyer, J.H., Gregersen, H. & Christensen, C.M. (2011). *Innovators DNA: mastering the five skills of disruptive innovators*. Boston: Harvard Business Publishing.
- Erdogan, N., & Bozeman, D. (2015). Models of *Project-Based Learning* for the 21st century. In A. Sahin (Ed.), *A practice-based model of STEM teaching: STEM students on the stage (S.O.S.)* (p. 31-42). Sense Publishers.
- Ferla, J., Valcke, M., & Cai, Y. (2009). Academic self-efficacy and academic self-concept: Reconsidering structural relationships. *Learning and Individual Differences*, 19(4), 499–505. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.lindif.2009.05.004>
- Freankel, J. R., Wallen, N. E., & Hyun, H. H. (2012). *How to design and evaluate research in education (Eighth)*. McGraw-Hill Companies, Inc.
- Gagne, R.M. & Briggs, L.J. (1979), *Principles of Instructional Design*. Second Ed. New York: Holt, Rinehart and Winston.
- Gage, N.L. & Berliner, D.C. (1984). *Educational Psychology*. (Third edition). Boston: Houghton Mifflin Company.
- Gall, M. D., Gall, J. P., Borg, W. R. (2003). *Education Research: An Introduction*. Seventh edition. Boston: Pearson Education, Inc.
- Gorbalenya, A. E., Baker, S. C., Baric, R. S., de Groot, R. J., Drosten, C., Gulyaeva, A. A., ... & Ziebuhr, J. (2020). Severe acute respiratory syndrome-related coronavirus: The species and its viruses—a statement of the Coronavirus Study Group. *BioRxiv*. Now published in *Nature Microbiology*.
<https://doi.org/10.1038/s41564-020-0695-z>.
- Goos, M., & Spencer, T. (2003). Properties of shape *Mathematical-making waves*, spencer. *The 19th Biennial Conference of The Australian Association of Mathematics Teachers*, Educational Sciences: Theory and Practice, Adelaide: AAMT, hlm. 424–434
- Gourgey, A. F. (1982). Development of A Scale for The Measurement of Self-Concept in *Mathematical*. New York University: *Educational Resources*

Information Center.

- Gunawan, Sahidu, H., Harjono, A., & Suranti, N. M. Y. (2017). *Project-Based Learning with virtual media assistance affects students' creativity in physics. Jurnal Cakrawala Pendidikan, 36(2), 167-179.* <https://doi.org/10.21831/cp.v36i2.13514>
- Hapizah. (2015). *Peningkatan Kemampuan Penalaran Matematis, Komunikasi Matematis, dan Kemandirian Belajar Mahasiswa Calon Guru Matematika Melalui Blended Learning dengan Strategi Probing-prompting* [Universitas Pendidikan Indonesia]. <http://repository.upi.edu/20411/>
- Herman, T. (2006). Pembelajaran berbasis masalah untuk meningkatkan kemampuan penalaran matematis siswa SMP. *Cakrawala Pendidikan* 1(1), 47-56
- Herniati, H., Latifah, M., & Muflikhati, I. (2011). *Gaya Pengasuhan, Konsep Diri, Motivasi Belajar dan Prestasi Belajar Siswa SMA pada Berbagai Model Pembelajaran.* <http://repository.ipb.ac.id/handle/123456789/52928>
- Hurlock, E. B. (1978). *Developmental Psychology* (4 ed.). New Delhi: Tata McGraw Hill Publishing Company Limited.
- Husamah, H. (2015). Blended Project-Based Learning: Metacognitive Awareness of Biology Education New Students. *Journal of Education and Learning (EduLearn), 9(4), 274–281.* <https://doi.org/10.11591/edulearn.v9i4.2121>
- Incikabi, L., Tuna, A., & Biber, A. C. (2013). An Analysis Of *Mathematical Teacher Candidates Critical Thinking Dispositions And Their Logical Thinking Skills. Journal of International Education Research (JIER), 9(3), 257–266.* <https://doi.org/10.19030/jier.v9i3.7884>
- Ismaimuza, D. (2010). *Kemampuan berpikir kritis dan kreatif matematis peserta didik SMP melalui pembelajaran berbasis masalah dengan strategi konflik kognitif.* Disertasi pada PPs UPI. Bandung: Tidak Diterbitkan.
- Joyce, T. B. Y., & Yates, S. M. (2007). A rasch analysis of the academic self-concept questionnaire. *International Education Journal, 8(2), 470–484.* <https://eric.ed.gov/?id=EJ834282>
- Kamaliyah, Zulkardi, & Darmawijoyo. (2013). Developing the sixth level of PISA-like *Mathematical* problems for secondary school students. *Journal on*

Mathematics Education, 4(1), 9–28. <https://doi.org/10.22342/jme.4.1.559.9-28>

- Kandaga, T., Rosjanuardi, R., & Juandi, D. (2022). Epistemological Obstacle in Transformation Geometry Based on van Hiele's Level. *Eurasia Journal of Mathematics, Science and Technology Education*, 18(4). doi: <https://doi.org/10.29333/ejmste/11914>
- Kemendikbud. (2013). Petunjuk teknis persiapan implementasi kurikulum tahun 2013. Direktorat Jenderal Pendidikan Menengah.
- Kemendikbud. 2013. Peraturan Menteri Pendidikan dan Kebudayaan No 69 Tahun 2013 Tentang Kerangka Dasar dan Struktur Kurikulum sekolah Menengah Atas/Madrasah Aliyah. Jakarta: Kementerian Pendidikan dan Kebudayaan Republik Indonesia
- Kemdikbud. (2018). Kurikulum 2013 Revisi Tahun 2018. Jakarta: Dirjen Dikdasmen Kemdikbud.
- Kurniawati, dkk. (2015). Analisis Karakteristik Berpikir Geometri dan Kemandirian Belajar dalam Pembelajaran Fase Van Hiele Berbantuan Geometers Sketchpad. *Unnes Journal of Mathematics Education Research*, Volume 4 (2). Halaman 102-107. Tersedia di <http://journal.unnes.ac.id/sju/index.php/ujmer/article/view/9836>
- Lampiran Peraturan Menteri Pendidikan dan Kebudayaan Nomor 69 Tahun 2013 Tentang Kerangka Dasar dan Struktur Kurikulum Sekolah Menengah Atas/Madrasah Aliyah.
- Lane, Suzanne, The Conceptual Framework for the Development of a *Mathematical Performance Assessment Instrument*. *Educational Measurement: Issues and Practice*, Summer 1993, 16-23
- Lin, Y. W., Tseng, C. L., & Chiang, P. J. (2017). The Effect of Blended Learning in *Mathematical Course*. *Eurasia Journal of Mathematics, Science & Technology Education*, 13(3). 741-740.
- Litster, K., & Roberts, J. (2011). The self-concepts and perceived competencies of gifted and non-gifted student: a meta-analysis. *Journal of Research in Special Education Needs*, 11(2), 130–140.
- Mahendra, R., Murtafiah, W., & Adamura, F. (2009). Profil penalaran siswa kelas

- x sma dalam menyelesaikan masalah persamaan kuadrat ditinjau dari kemampuan awal siswa. *Jurnal Ilmiah Pendidikan Matematika*, 4(1), 40–48
- Maier, P. H. (1998). Spasial Geometry and Spatial Ability - How To Make Solid Geometry Solid? *Selected papers from the Annual Conference of Didactics of Mathematics*, 69–81.
- Maryati. (2019). *Peningkatan Kemampuan Literasi Statis, Penalaran Statis, dan Disposisi Statis siswa Madrasah Tsanawiyah Melalui Pembelajaran Berbasis Proyek Modifikasi*. Disertasi pada PPs UPI. Bandung: Tidak Diterbitkan.
- Marsh, H. W., Trautwein, U., Lüdtke, O., Köller, O., & Baumert, J. (2005). *Academic self-concept, interest, grades, and standardized test scores*. Reciprocal effects models of causal ordering. *Child Development*, 76(2), 397–416.
- Montana, (2001). “*Positive & Negative Self Concept*”, dapat ditelusuri www.montana.edu.
- Nagy, G., Watt, H. M. G., Eccles, J. S., Trautwein, U., Lüdtke, O., & Baumert, J. (2010). The Development of Students’ *Mathematical Self-Concept* in Relation to Gender: Different Countries, Different Trajectories? *Journal of Research on Adolescence*, 20(2), 482–506. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1532-7795.2010.00644.x>
- National Academy Science. (2006). *Learning to Think Spatially*. Wasington DC: The National Academics Press.
- Nazarenko, A. L. (2015). Blended Learning vs Traditional Learning: What Works? (A Case Study Research). *Procedia - Social and Behavioral Sciences*, 200(October), 77–82. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.sbspro.2015.08.018>
- NCTM. (2000). *Principles and Standards for School Mathematics*. Reston, VA: Autor.
- Novita, R., Prahmana, R., Fajri, N., & Putra, M. (2018). Penyebab kesulitan belajar geometri dimensi tiga. *Jurnal Riset Pendidikan Matematika*, 5(1), 18-29. doi:<http://dx.doi.org/10.21831/jrpm.v5i1.16836>
- Novilita, H & Suharnan. (2013). Konsep Diri Adversity Quotient dan Kemandirian Belajar Siswa. *Jurnal Psikolog*, 8 (1).
- Noto, M. S., Priatna, N., & Dahlan, J. A. (2018). Analysis of Learning Obstacles

- on Transformation Geometry. *International Conference on Mathematics and Science Education (ICMScE 2018), Journal of Physics: Conf. Series 250 1157 (2019) 042100*, Indonesia, hlm. 1-5. doi: 10.1088/1742- 6596/1157/4/042100
- Nurmalia dan Sabri Yusuf. (2016). Pengaruh Kebiasaan Belajar Siswa Terhadap Hasil Belajar Siswa Di Madrasah Aliah Negeri (Man) Kreueng Geukueh Kabupaten Aceh Utara, *Jurnal Sains Ekonomi dan Edukasi*, IV(1).
- OECD. (2013). *PISA 2012 Assessment and Analytical Framework Mathematics Reading, Science, Problem Solving, and Financial Literacy*, PISA, OECD Publishing, <https://doi.org/http://dx.doi.org/10.1787/9789264190511-en>.
- OECD (2019), *PISA 2018 Assessment and Analytical Framework*, PISA, OECD Publishing, Paris, <https://doi.org/10.1787/b25efab8-en>.
- O'Mara, A. J., Marsh, H. W., Craven, R. G., & Debus, R. L. (2006). Do selfconcept interventions make a difference? A synergistic blend of construct validation and meta- analysis. *Educational Psychologist*, 41(3), 181–206.
- Ovan, O., & Nugroho, S. (2017). Analisis Kemampuan Literasi Matematis Ditinjau dari Metakognisi Siswa pada Model Pisa-Cps. *Unnes Journal of Mathematics Education Research*, 6(1), 96-102. <https://journal.unnes.ac.id/sju/index.php/ujmer/article/view/18421>
- Pakhomova, E. G., Yanuschik, O. V., & Dorofeeva, M. G. (2016). Analysis of the E-learning Technologies Used for Teaching *Mathematical* at Tomsk Polytechnic University. *SHS Web of Conferences*, 28, 1–4. <https://doi.org/10.1051/shsconf/20162801108>
- Pinho-lobes, M., & Macedo, J. (2016). Project-based learning in geotechnics : Cooperative versus collaborative teamwork. *European Journal of Engineering Education*, 4(1), 70–90.
- Plucker, J. A., & Stocking, V. B. (2001). Looking outside and inside: Self-concept development of gifted adolescents. *Exceptional Children*, 67(4), 535–548. <https://doi.org/10.1177/001440290106700407>
- Praptiwi & Handhika, Jeffry. (2012). Efektivitas Metode Kooperatif Tipe GI dan STAD Ditinjau dari Kemampuan Awal. *Jurnal Penelitian Pembelajaran Fisika*, 3 (1).

- Priatna, N., & Sari, R. M. M. (2022). Analyzing Students' Mathematical Spatial Literacy Using a Project-Based Blended Learning Model. *Jurnal Matematika Kreatif-Inovatif*, 13(1), 78–87. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.15294/kreano.v13i1.34995>
- Priatna, N. ., Avip, B. ., & Sari, R. M. M. (2022). Efektifitas Project Based Learning-STEM dan Pemecahan Masalah Matematis Siswa pada Materi Trigonometri. *SJME (Supremum Journal of Mathematics Education)*, 6(2), 151–161. <https://doi.org/10.35706/sjme.v6i2.6588>
- Puspendik (2019). *Ringkasan eksekutif hasil ujian nasional 2019 SMA/MA dan SMK masukan untuk pembelajaran di sekolah*. Jakarta: Kementerian Pendidikan dan Kebudayaan <https://hasilun.puspendik.kemdikbud.go.id/>.
- Ramakrisnan, P., Yahya, Y. B., Hasrol, M. N. H., & Aziz, A. A. (2012). Blended Learning: A Suitable Framework For E-Learning In Higher Education. *Procedia - Social and Behavioral Sciences*, 67(April 2014), 513–526. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.sbspro.2012.11.356>
- Ratna Wilis D. (2011). *Teori Belajar dan Pembelajaran*. Bandung: Erlangga.
- Rohaeti, E. E., Budiyanto, A.M., & Sumarmo, U. (2014). Enhancing students' mathematical logical thinking ability and self regulated learning through *Problem-Based learning*. *International Journal of Education*, 8 (1), 53-63.
- Ruseffendi, E.T. (2005). *Dasar-dasar Penelitian & Bidang. Non Eksata Lainnya*. Bandung: PT. Tarsito
- Ruseffendi, E. T. (2006). *Pengantar Kepada Membantu Guru Mengembangkan Kompetensinya dalam Pengajaran Matematika untuk Meningkatkan CBSA*. Bandung: Tarsito.
- Sahin, M. (2010). Blended learning in vocational education: An experimental study. *International Journal of Vocational and Technical Education*, 2(October), 95–101. <https://academicjournals.org/journal/IJVTE/article-full-text-pdf/6D83DA2650>
- Saragih. (2011). *Penerapan Pendekatan Pembelajaran Matematika Realistik dan Kelompok Kecil untuk Meningkatkan Kemampuan Keruangan, Berpikir Logis dan Sikap Positif terhadap Matematika Siswa Kelas VIII*. Disertasi pada PPs UPI. Bandung: Tidak Diterbitkan.

- Sari, D. M., Kusumah, Y. S., & Nurlaelah, E. (2018). Analysis of Students' Prior Ability in Mathematical Logical Thinking Ability. *Advanced Journal of Technical and Vocational Education*, 2(1), 13–18. <https://doi.org/10.26666/rmp.ajtve.2018.1.3>
- Sari, R. M. M., & Priatna, N. (2020). Blended learning: A strategy of current Mathematical learning. *Journal of Physics: Conference Series*, 1663(1). <https://doi.org/10.1088/1742-6596/1663/1/012049>
- Sari, R. M. M., Priatna, N., & Juandi, D. (2022). Implementing project-based blended learning model using cognitive conflict strategy to enhance students' mathematical spatial literacy. *European Journal of Educational Research*, 11(4), 2031-2041. <https://doi.org/10.12973/eu-jer.11.4.2031>
- Schober, B., Wagner, P., Reimann, R., & Spiel, C. (2008). Vienna E-Lecturing (VEL): Learning How to Learn Self-Regulated in an Internet-Based Blended Learning Setting. *International Journal on E-Learning*, 7(4), 703–723. <https://www.learntechlib.org/primary/p/24292/>
- Schwartz, J. E. (2010). *Why Learn Geometry?* tersedia:<http://www.education.com/reference/article/why-learn-geometry-%0A%20Mathematical/>
- Setia Wardana, M. Y., & Rifaldiyah, Y. (2019). Penerapan Model *Problem-Based learning* terhadap Hasil Belajar Kognitif Pemecahan masalah matematis. *Thinking Skills and Creativity Journal*, 2(1), 19–26. <https://doi.org/10.23887/tscj.v2i1.18380>
- Sezen, N., & Bülbül, A. (2011). A scale on logical thinking abilities. *Procedia - Social and Behavioral Sciences*, 15, 2476–2480. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.sbspro.2011.04.131>
- Shahroom, A. A., & Hussin, N. (2018). Industrial Revolution 4.0 and Education. *International Journal of Research in Business and Social Sciences*, 8(9), 314–319. <https://doi.org/10.6007/ijarbss/v8-i9/4593>
- She, H. C., Stacey, K., & Schmidt, W. H. (2018). Science and *Mathematical Literacy: PISA for Better School Education*. *International Journal of Science and Mathematics Education*, 16, 1–5. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10763-018-9911-1>

- Stein, J., & Graham, C. R. (2014). *Essentials for Blended Learning*. New York: Routledge. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.4324/9780203075258>
- Sugiyanta. (2008). *Pendekatan Konflik Kognitif dalam Pembelajaran Fisika*. Yogyakarta: Widyaaiswara LPMP DIY.
- Sugiyono. (2016). *Metode penelitian kombinasi*. Bandung: Alfabeta.
- Subaryana. (2015). Konsep Diri dan Prestasi Belajar. *Jurnal Dinamika Pendidikan Dasar*, 7(2), 21- 30.
- Suherman, E dan Kusumah, Y.S. (1990). *Petunjuk Praktis untuk Melaksanakan Evaluasi Pendidikan Matematika*. Bandung: Widyakusumah.
- Suherman, E. (2003). *Evaluasi Pembelajaran Matematika*. Jurusan Pendidikan Matematika Fakultas Pendidikan Matematika dan Ilmu Pengetahuan Alam UPI: Bandung.
- Sumarmo, Utari, dkk. 2012. Kemampuan dan Disposisi Berpikir Logis, Kritis dan Kreatif Matematik (Eksperimen Terhadap Siswa SMA Menggunakan Pembelajaran Berbasis Masalah dan Strategi Think Talk Write). *Jurnal Pengajaran MIPA*, Volume 17 (Nomor 1, April 2012). 17-33.
- Sudjana, N. (2000). *Dasar-dasar Proses Belajar Mengajar*. Bandung: Sinar Baru.
- Supianti, I. I., Wahyudin, Kartasasmita, B. G., & Nurlaelah, E. (2018). Teachers' Perspective on The Application of Information and Communication Technologi (ICT) in *Mathematical Learning*. *Journal of Physics: Conference Series*, 2019(1321), 1–5. <https://doi.org/10.1088/1742-6596/1321/2/022107>
- Sumarmo, U., Hidayat, W., Zukarnaen, R., Hamidah, M., & Sariningsih, R. (2012). Kemampuan Dan Disposisi Berpikir Logis, Kritis, dan Kreatif Matematik (kelompok PjBBL-CCS Terhadap Siswa SMA Menggunakan Pembelajaran Berbasis Masalah dan Strategi Think-Talk-Write). *Jurnal Pengajaran Matematika dan Ilmu Pengetahuan Alam*, 17(1), 17. <https://doi.org/10.18269/jpmipa.v17i1.228>
- Swestyani, S., Masykuri, M., Prayitno, B. A., Rinanto, Y., & Widoretno, S. (2018). An analysis of logical thinking using mind mapping. *Journal of Physics: Conference Series*, 1022(1). <https://doi.org/10.1088/1742-6596/1022/1/012020>

- The George Lucas Educational Foundation. (2005). *Edutopia Modules*. Dipetik April 7, 2019, dari *Instructional Module Project Based Learning*: The George Lucas Educational Foundation .(2005).Instructional Mhttp://www.edutopia.org/modules/PBL/whatpbl.php
- Thomas, J. (2000). A Review Of Research On Project Based Learning.
- Trianto. (2009). *Mendesain Model Pembelajaran Inovatif-Progresif*. Jakarta: Kencana Prenada Media Grup. Undang-Undang. (2003).
- Undang-Undang Republik Indonesia Nomor 20 Tahun 2003 Tentang Sistem Pendidikan Nasional. Jakarta: UUD1945.
- Undang-Undang RI. (2003b). *Sistem Pendidikan Nasional Nomor 20 Tahun 2003 Pasal 37 Ayat 1*. Jakarta.
- Usdiyana, D., Purniati, T., Yulianti, K., & Harningsih, E. (2009). Meningkatkan kemampuan berpikir logis siswa smp melalui pembelajaran matematika realistik. *Jurnal Pengajaran MIPA*, 13(1), 1–14.
- Van de WALLE, John A. 1994. *Elementary School Mathematical*. New York: Longman.
- Wahyudi, Anugraheni, I., & Winanto, A. (2018). Development of Project-Based Blended Learning Model to Support Student Creativity in Designing *Mathematical Learning* in Elementary School. *Jurnal Ilmiah Pendidikan Matematika*, 6(2), 68–81. <https://doi.org/http://doi.org/10.25273/jipm.v6i2.1766>
- Wahyuningsih, S., A., Q., Satyananda, D., & Atan, N. A. (2021). The Effect of Online Project-Based Learning Application on Mathematics Students' Visual Thinking Continuum in Covid-19 Pandemic. *International Journal of Interactive Mobile Technologies*, 15(8), 4–17
- Watson, J. M. (2002). Creating cognitive conflict in a controlled research setting: Sampling. *The Sixth International Conference on Teaching Statistics*, 12, 1–6. https://iase-web.org/documents/papers/icots6/6a1_wats.pdf
- Wen, P. (2018). Application of Bruner ' s Learning Theory in *Mathematical Studies*, 283(*Cesses*), 234–237 <https://dx.doi.org/10.2991/cesses-18.2018.53>
- Willis, J. K., & Johnson, A. (2001). Multiply with MI Using Multiple Intelligences to Master Multiplication. *Teaching Children Mathematics (TCM)*, 7(5), 260–

269. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.5951/TCM.7.5.0260>
- Wirnoto, T., & Ratnaningsih, N. (2022). Problematika Pengembangan Kreativitas Peserta Didik Dalam Pembelajaran Matematika Berdasarkan Persepsi Guru. *Jurnal Pendidikan Dan Pembelajaran Matematika Indonesia*, 11(1), 33. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.23887/jppmi.v11i1.760>
- Wuryanto, H., & Abduh, M. (2022). Mengkaji Kembali Hasil PISA Sebagai Pendekatan Inovasi Pembelajaran Untuk Peningkatan Kompetensi Literasi dan Numerasi. Direktorat Guru Pendidikan Dasar. <https://gurudikdas.kemdikbud.go.id/>
- Lin, Y. W., Tseng, C. L., & Chiang, P. J. (2016). The effect of blended learning in mathematics course. *Eurasia Journal of Mathematics, Science and Technology Education*, 13(3), 741-770.
- Yumiati. (2015). Meningkatkan kemampuan berpikir aljabar, berpikir kritis, selfregulated learning siswa SMP melalui pembelajaran connecting, organizing, reflecting, extending. (Disertasi). sekolah Pascasarjana, Universitas Pendidikan Indonesia, Bandung: Tidak diterbitkan.
- Yushau, B. (2006). The Effects of Blended E-Learning on *Mathematical* and Computer Attitudes in Pre-Calculus Algebra Let us know how access to this document benefits you . *The Mathematics Enthusiast*, 3(2), 176–183. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.54870/1551-3440.1048>
- Yusuf, S., & Juntika, N. (2007). *Teori Kepribadian*. Bandung: PT Remaja Rosdakarya.
- Zetriuslita. (2017). *Peningkatan Kemampuan Berpikir Kritis Matematis, Komunikasi Matematis dan Curiosity Matematis Mahasiswa Melalui Pembelajaran Berbasis Masalah dengan Cognitive Conflict Strategy*. Disertasi pada PPs UPI. Bandung: Tidak Diterbitkan.
- Zulkarnaen, R. (2018). *Peningkatan Kemampuan Pemodelan dan Penalaran Matematis serta Academic Self-Concept Siswa SMA melalui Interpretation-Construction Design Model*. Disertasi UPI.