

DAFTAR PUSTAKA

- Akselaa, M. & Lundell, J. (2008). Computer-based molecular modeling: Finnish School teachers' experiences and views. *Chemistry Education Research and Practice*, **9**, (4), 301–308.
- Ardac, D. & Akaygun, S. (2004). Effectiveness of multimedia-based instruction that emphasizes molecular representations on students' understanding of chemical change. *Journal of Research and Science Teaching*. **41**,(4),317–337.
- Arikunto, Suharsimi. (2001). *Dasar-dasar Evaluasi Pendidikan* (Edisi Revisi). Jakarta: Bumi Aksara.
- Barke, H. D., Hazari A. & Yitbarek S. (2008). *Misconception in Chemistry*. Berlin: Springer.
- Borg, W.R., *et.al.* (2003). *Educational Research: An Introduction*;(Seventh Ed.). Newyork: Longman, Inc
- Brown. Theodore L., & Bursten B.E. (2009). *Chemistry: The Central Science*. (11th Ed.) Upper Saddle River, NJ: Pearson Prentice Hall
- Chandrasegaran, Treagust & Mocerino. (2007). Enhancing students' use of multiple levels of representations to describe and explain chemical reactions. *School Science Review*, **88**, 325-330.
- Chittleborough, G. D. & Treagust D.F. (2007). The modeling ability of non-major chemistry students and their understanding of the sub-microscopic level. *Chemistry Education Research and Practice*, **8**:274-292.
- Chiu, M.H & Wu, H.K. (2009). The roles of multimedia in the teaching and learning of the triplet relationship in chemistry. In: J.K. Gilbert & D. Treagust (Eds.). *Multiple Representations in Chemical Education: Models and Modeling in Science Education*. Dordrecht: Springer. pp. 251-283
- Cheng, M. & Gilbert, J.K. (2009). Towards a better utilization of diagrams in research into the use of representative levels in chemical education. in: J.K. Gilbert & D. Treagust (Eds.). *Multiple Representations in Chemical Education: Models and Modeling in Science Education*. Dordrecht: Springer.pp. 55-73.
- Dahar, Ratna W. (1996). *Teori-teori Belajar*. Jakarta: Erlangga.

- Dawley, Lisa. (2007). *The Tools for Successful Online Teaching*. London: Infosci.
- Davidowitz B. & Chittleborough, G. D. (2009). Linking the macroscopic and sub-microscopic levels : Diagram. In: J. Gilbert & D. Treagust (Eds.). *Multiple Representation in Chemical Education: Models and Modeling in Science Education*. Dordrecht: Springer. 169-191.
- Devetak, Iztok, et al. (2004). Submicroscopic representations as a tool for evaluating students' chemical conceptions. *Acta Chim. Slov.*, **51**, (4), 799:814.
- Donovan, W. & Nakhleh, M. (2007). Student use of web-based tutorial materials and understanding chemistry concepts. *Journal Comp. Math. and Sci.Tech.* **26**,(4), 291-327
- Falvo, David. (2008). Animations and simulations for teaching and learning molecular chemistry. *International Journal of Technology in Teaching and Learning*, **4**(1), 68–77.
- Farida, I. (2008). Kemampuan Mahasiswa Merepresentasikan Tingkat Makroskopik, Mikroskopik & Simbolik pada Topik Sintesis Amonia (Skala Lab). *Prosiding Seminar Nasional Kimia & Pendidikan Kimia IV*. Bandung : FPMIPA UPI
- Farida, I., Liliyasi, Widyantoro, D.H. & Sopandi, W. (2010). Representational competence's profile of Pre-Service Chemistry Teachers in chemical problem solving. *Proceeding The 4th International Seminar on Science Education*. Bandung: SPs UPI
- Finatri, Dian. (2007). Analisis konsepsi guru pada konsep larutan ditinjau dari representasi level mikroskopik. *Tesis*. Bandung:SPs UPI. Tidak diterbitkan.
- Garrison, D. R., & Vaughan, N. (2008). *Blended Learning in Higher Education*. San Francisco: Jossey-Bass.
- Gillani, Bijan B. (2003). *Learning theories and the design of e-learning environments*. Maryland: University Press of America.
- Gilbert, J.K. & Treagust, D.F. (2009). Introduction: Macro, sub-micro and symbolic representations and the relationship between them: Key models in chemical education. In: J. K. Gilbert & D. Treagust (Eds.). *Multiple Representations in Chemical Education: Models and Modeling in Science*

Education. Dordrecht: Springer. 1-8

- Gilbert, John K. (2005). Visualization: a metacognitive skill in science and science education. In Gilbert, J.K. (Ed.), *Visualization in Science Education*. Dordrecht: Springer.
- Gudimetla, P. & Iyers, R. Mahalinga (2006). The role for e-learning in engineering education: creating quality support structures to complement traditional learning. In *Proceedings 17th Annual Conference of The Australasian Association for Engineering Education*, Auckland, New Zealand.
- Hake, Richard R. (2002). Assessment of student learning in introductory science courses. *PKAL Roundtable on The Future: Assessment in The Service of Student Learning*. Duke University. [Available online: www.physics.indiana.edu/~hake].
- Harrison, A. G., & Treagust, D. F. (2002). The particulate nature of matter: challenges in understanding the submicroscopic world. In Gilbert, J.K. *et.al* (Eds.), *Chemical Education: Towards Research-Based Practice*. Dordrecht: Kluwer Academic Publishers.
- Herron, J. Dudley. (1977). Problems associated with concept analysis. *Journal of Science Education*, **61**(2), 185 – 199.
- Howard, Larry, Zsolt Remenyi, & Gabor Pap. (2006). Adaptive blended learning environments. *9th International Conference on Engineering Education* : July 23 – 28.
- Horton, William K., (2006). *E-learning by Design*. San Francisco: Pfeiffer Willey Imprint.
- Hughes, J. M., Mitchell, P. A., and Ramson, W. S. (1995). *Australian Concise Oxford Dictionary*. Melbourne: Oxford University Press.
- Kelly, R., & Jones, L. (2005). A qualitative study of how general chemistry students interpret features of molecular animations. *Paper Presented at The National Meeting of The American Chemical Society, Washington, DC*.
- Kozma, R., & Joel Russell. (2005). Modeling students becoming chemists: developing representational competence. In J. Gilbert (Ed.), *Visualization in Science Education*. Dordrecht: Springer. pp. 121-145

- Kozma, R. & Joel Russell. (2004). Multimedia learning of chemistry. In Richard Mayer (Ed.). *Cambridge Handbook of Multimedia Learning*. On-line version.
- Linn, M. C., Davis, E. A. & Bell, P. (Eds.). (2004). Inquiry and technology. *Internet Environments for Science Education*. New Jersey: Lawrence Erlbaum Associates: 3 – 27
- Leahy, W., & Sweller, J. (2004). Cognitive load and the imagination effect. *Applied Cognitive Psychology*. **18**:7, 857-73.
- Mammino L. (2008). Teaching chemistry with and without external representations in professional environments with limited resources. In : J.K Gilbert, Reiner & Nakhleh (Eds.). *Visualization : Theory and Practice in Science Education*. Dordrecht: Springer. pp. 155–185.
- Mc Murry, John & Fay, Robert. (2006). *Chemistry*. Fourth Edition. New York : Prentice Hall.
- Michalchik, V., Rosenquist, A., Kozma, R., Schank, P., & Kreikemeier, P. (2008). Representational resources for constructing shared understandings in the high school chemistry classroom. In : J.K Gilbert, Reiner & Nakhleh (Eds.). *Visualization: Theory and Practice in Science Education. Models and modeling in science education*. Dordrecht: Springer. 233-282.
- Morgil, I., Yavuz, S., Oskay, Ö. & Seçil, A. (2005). Traditional and Computer-Assisted Learning In Teaching Acids and Bases. *Chemistry Education Research and Practice*, **6** (1), 52-63
- Oliver, Martin & Keith Trigwell. (2005). Can ‘blended learning’ be redeemed ?. *E-Learning*, **2**, (1), 17-26
- Onder, Ismail & Geban, Omer. (2006). The effect conceptual change texts oriented instruction on students’ understanding of solubility equilibrium concept. *H.U Journal of education*. **30**, 166-173.
- Orgill, M. & Sutherland, A. (2008). Undergraduate chemistry students’ perceptions of and misconceptions about buffers & buffer problems. *Chemistry Education Research and Practice*, **9**:131–143.
- Özmen, Haluk. (2008). Determination of students’ alternative conceptions about chemical equilibrium: a review of research and the case of Turkey. *Chemistry Education Research and Practice*, **9**, 225–233

- Pekdag, Bulent (2010). Alternative methods in learning chemistry: Learning with animation, simulation, video and multimedia. *Journal of Turkish Science Education*, **7**, (6), 111-118
- Rosengrant, D., Van Heuleven, A., & Etkina, E. (2006). Students' use of multiple representations in problem solving. In P. Heron, L. McCullough & J. Marx, *Physics Education Research Conference (AIP Conference Proceedings)* Melville. New York : American Institute of Physics. pp. 49-52.
- Savec, Vesca, F., et.al. (2006). In-service and pre-service teachers' opinion on the use of models in teaching chemistry. *Acta Chim. Slov.* **53**:381-390.
- Sheppard, Keith. (2006). High school students' understanding of titrations and related acid-base phenomena. *Chemistry Education Research and Practice*, **7**,(1), 32-45
- Silberberg, M. (2009). *Chemistry: The molecular nature of matter and change*, 5th New York : McGraw-Hill
- Singh, Harvey. (2003). Building effective blended learning programs. *Issue of Educational Technology*, **43**. (6):51-54.
- Snelson, Chareen. (2005). Designing dynamic online lessons with multimedia representations. *The Journal of Educator Online*. **2**, (1), 1-12
- Sopandi, W. & Murniati. (2007). Microscopic level misconceptions on topic acid base, salt, buffer, and hydrolysis: a case study at a state Senior High School, *Prosiding Seminar Internasional I*. Bandung: SPS UPI.
- Stocker, Vincent Lee (2010). *Science Teaching With Moodle 2.0*. Brimingham : Packt Pub. Ltd (Tersedia online : www.packtpub.com).
- Subarkah, Cucu Zenab. (2008). Analisis kemampuan intertekstualitas mahasiswa pada topik Fermentasi Karbohidrat. *Prosiding Seminar Nasional Kimia & Pendidikan Kimia IV*. Bandung: Jurusan Pendidikan Kimia FPMIPA UPI.
- Sudria, Ida Bagus Nyoman (2007). Peningkatan kualitas konsepsi mahasiswa tentang konsep dasar kimia melalui optimalisasi pengaitan kajian aspek makroskopis, mikroskopis, & simbolik pada perkuliahan Kimia Dasar. *Prosiding Seminar Internasional I*. SPS UPI Bandung.
- Sweller, John (2006). Discussion of emerging topics in cognitive load research:

using learner & information characteristics in the design of powerful learning environments. *Applied Cognitive Psychology*, **20**, 353–357.

Talib, Othman, Robert Matthews & Margaret Secombe. (2005). Constructivist animations for conceptual change: An effective instructional strategy in understanding complex, abstract and dynamic science concepts. *Malaysian Online Journal of Instructional Technology (MOJIT)*, **2**,(3),78-87.

Taber, K. S. (2003). The atom in the chemistry curriculum: fundamental concept, teaching model or epistemological obstacle? *Foundations of Chemistry*, **5**, 43-84.

Taber, K. S. (2009). Learning at the symbolic level. In: Gilbert, J.K & D. Treagust (Eds.). *Multiple Representation in Chemical Education: Models & Modeling in Science Education*. Dordrecht: Springer. pp. 75-105

Tasker, Roy & Rebecca Dalton. (2006). Research into practice: visualization of the molecular world using animations. *Chemistry Education Research and Practice*, **7**, 141-159.

Treagust, David F., Chittleborough & Mamiala (2003). The role of submicroscopic and symbolic representations in chemical explanations. *International Journal of Science Education*, **25**, (11): 1353–1368

Treagust, David F. (2008). The role of multiple representations in learning science: enhancing students' conceptual understanding and motivation. In Yew-Jin & Aik-Ling (Eds.). *Science Education at The Nexus of Theory & Practice*. Rotterdam - Taipei: Sense Publishers. pp:7-23

Treagust, David F. & Chandrasegaran, (2009). The efficacy of an alternative instructional programme designed to enhance secondary students' competence in the triplet relationship. In: Gilbert, J.K & D. Treagust (Eds.). *Multiple Representation in Chemical Education: Models & Modeling in Science Education*. Dordrecht: Springer. pp:151-164

Venkataraman, Bhawani. (2009). Visualization and interactivity in the teaching of chemistry to science and non-science students. *Chemistry Education Research and Practice*, **10**: 62–69.

Waldrip, B., Prain, V. & Carolan, J. (2006). Learning junior secondary science through multi-modal representation. *E-Journal of Science*

Education, **11**,(1),87-107.

Wu, H-K. & Shah, P. (2004). Exploring Visuospatial Thinking in Chemistry Learning. *Science Education*, **88**, 465-92

Weerawardhana, Anula, Brian Ferry & Christine Brown (2006). Use of visualization software to support understanding of chemical equilibrium: the importance of appropriate teaching strategies. *Proceedings of The 23rd Annual Ascilite Conference: The University of Sydney*

Yeung, A., Schmid, S. & Tasker, R. (2008). Can one version of online learning materials benefit all students ?. *Symposium Presentation : Universe Science Proceedings Visualization*.

Zumdahl. et.al.,(2007). *Chemistry 7th Ed.* New York: Houghton Mifflin Co.

