

**PENGEMBANGAN PROGRAM PERKULIAHAN BIOLOGI KONSERVASI
BERBASIS *CITIZEN SCIENCE PROJECT* UNTUK MENINGKATKAN
LITERASI BIODIVERSITAS DAN KETERAMPILAN MENELITI
MAHASISWA CALON GURU BIOLOGI**

DISERTASI

Diajukan untuk Memenuhi Sebagian dari Syarat untuk Memperoleh Gelar Doktor
Pendidikan Ilmu Pengetahuan Alam



Oleh:
IPIN ARIPIN
NIM. 1803355

**PROGRAM STUDI PENDIDIKAN ILMU PENGETAHUAN ALAM
FAKULTAS PENDIDIKAN MATEMATIKA DAN ILMU PENGETAHUAN ALAM
UNIVERSITAS PENDIDIKAN INDONESIA
2022**

**PENGEMBANGAN PROGRAM PERKULIAHAN BIOLOGI KONSERVASI
BERBASIS *CITIZEN SCIENCE PROJECT* UNTUK MENINGKATKAN
LITERASI BIODIVERSITAS DAN KETERAMPILAN MENELITI
MAHASISWA CALON GURU BIOLOGI**

Oleh:
Ipin Aripin

Dr. Pendidikan IPA, FPMIPA Universitas Pendidikan Indonesia, 2022
M.Pd. Pendidikan IPA, Universitas Pendidikan Indonesia, 2011

Sebuah Disertasi yang diajukan untuk memenuhi salah satu syarat memperoleh gelar
Doktor Pendidikan IPA (Dr.) pada Fakultas Pendidikan Matematika dan Ilmu
Pengetahuan Alam

© Ipin Aripin 2022
Universitas Pendidikan Indonesia
Agustus 2022

Hak Cipta dilindungi undang-undang.
Disertasi ini tidak boleh diperbanyak seluruhnya atau sebagian,
dengan dicetak ulang, difoto kopi, atau cara lainnya tanpa ijin dari penulis.


LEMBAR PENGESAHAN DISERTASI

Ipin Aripin

**PENGEMBANGAN PROGRAM PERKULIAHAN BIOLOGI KONSERVASI
BERBASIS *CITIZEN SCIENCE PROJECT* UNTUK MENINGKATKAN
LITERASI BIODIVERSITAS DAN KETERAMPILAN MENELITI
MAHASISWA CALON GURU BIOLOGI**

Disetujui dan disahkan oleh panitia Disertasi:

Promotor,



Prof. Topik Hidayat, M. Si., Ph.D.

NIP. 197004101997021001

Ko-promotor,



Prof. Dr. Nuryani Rustaman, M.Pd.

NIP. 920220119501231201

Anggota,



Dr. Riandi, M.Si.

NIP. 196305011988031002

**Ketua Program Studi Pendidikan Ilmu Pengetahuan Alam
Fakultas Pendidikan Matematika dan Ilmu Pengetahuan Alam
Universitas Pendidikan Indonesia**



Dr. Ida Kaniawati, M.Si.

NIP. 196807031992032001

PERNYATAAN KEASLIAN DISERTASI

Dengan ini saya menyatakan bahwa Disertasi dengan judul “**Pengembangan Program Perkuliahan Biologi Konservasi Berbasis *Citizen Science Project* Untuk Meningkatkan Literasi Biodiversitas dan Keterampilan Meneliti Mahasiswa Calon Guru Biologi**” beserta seluruh isinya adalah benar-benar karya saya sendiri, Saya tidak melakukan penjiplakan atau pengutipan dengan cara-cara yang tidak sesuai dengan etika ilmu yang berlaku dalam masyarakat keilmuan. Atas pernyataan ini, saya siap menanggung resiko/sanksi yang dijatuhkan kepada saya apabila dikemudian hari ditemukan adanya pelanggaran etika keilmuan dalam karya saya ini, atau ada klaim dari pihak lain terhadap keaslian karya saya ini.

Bandung, 25 Juli 2022
Yang membuat
pernyataan



Ipin Aripin

KATA PENGANTAR

Alhamdulillah puji dan syukur kehadiran Allah SWT yang telah memberikan nikmat dan inspirasi-Nya dalam penyusunan disertasi ini. Shalawat serta salam semoga senantiasa tercurahkan kepada nabi Muhammad SAW sebagai suri tauladan bagi umat manusia. Disertasi ini merupakan salah satu syarat memperoleh gelar Doktor pada Program Studi Pendidikan IPA FPMIPA Universitas Pendidikan Indonesia.

Citizen Science merupakan *trend* baru dalam kegiatan riset. Ancaman *loss biodiversity* yang semakin nyata dihadapi umat manusia sehingga memerlukan tindakan pencegahan yang *extra ordinary* dengan melibatkan berbagai *stakeholder* termasuk masyarakat. Upaya membangun kesadaran terhadap pentingnya biodiversitas dapat dikembangkan melalui sektor pendidikan. Salah satu upaya membangun kesadaran terhadap biodiversitas pada jenjang pendidikan tinggi adalah melalui pengembangan program perkuliahan yang dapat membekali literasi biodiversitas salah satunya melalui mata kuliah biologi konservasi.

Mata kuliah biologi konservasi sangat cocok untuk membekali literasi biodiversitas pada mahasiswa karena mata kuliah ini menyajikan konsep biologi terkait keanekaragaman hayati dan konservasinya. Selain itu melalui kegiatan *Citizen Science Project* diharapkan membekali keterampilan meneliti mahasiswa sehingga akan menghasilkan lulusan yang *literate* dan memiliki *research skills* yang mumpuni. Dengan kemampuan tersebut mahasiswa diharapkan dapat mentransformasikan pengetahuannya pada masyarakat luas yang pada akhirnya akan membentuk kesadaran secara kolektif tentang pentingnya kelestarian biodiversitas untuk pembangunan berkelanjutan.

Penulisan disertasi ini jauh dari sempurna akan tetapi penulis berharap karya ini dapat memberikan manfaat bagi masyarakat luas khususnya dunia pendidikan di Indonesia. Semoga karya ini dicatat sebagai amal jariah bagi penulis. Aamiin

Penulis,

Ipin Aripin

UCAPAN TERIMA KASIH

Puji dan syukur Alhamdulillah penulis panjatkan kehadiran Allah SWT atas limpahan nikmat dan izin-Nya penulis dapat menyelesaikan disertasi ini. Disertasi yang berjudul “Pengembangan Program Perkuliahan Biologi Konservasi Berbasis *Citizen Science Project* Untuk Meningkatkan Literasi Biodiversitas dan Keterampilan Meneliti Mahasiswa Calon Guru Biologi” merupakan salah satu bagian dari syarat memperoleh gelar Doktor Pendidikan IPA di FMIPA Universitas Pendidikan Indonesia. Shalawat serta salam semoga senantiasa tercurahkan kepada nabi akhir jaman yang telah membawa manusia dari *dark age* ke jaman yang penuh kemuliaan dan teknologi.

Terselesaikannya Disertasi ini atas bantuan dan motivasi dari berbagai pihak, untuk itu dengan segala kerendahan hati, penulis menyampaikan terima kasih yang sedalam-dalamnya kepada:

1. Prof. Topik Hidayat, M.Si., Ph.D. selaku promotor yang telah memberikan inspirasi, bimbingan, motivasi, saran, dan arahan yang sangat bermakna sehingga penulis dapat menyelesaikan disertasi ini.
2. Prof. Dr. Hj. Nuryani Y. Rustaman, M.Pd. selaku ko-promotor yang telah memberikan bimbingan, motivasi, saran, dan arahan dengan sabar dan teliti yang sangat menginspirasi bagi penulis.
3. Dr. H. Riandi, M.Si selaku anggota promotor yang telah dengan sabar memberikan bimbingan, motivasi, saran, dan arahan kepada penulis sehingga penulis dapat menyelesaikan disertasi ini.
4. Prof. Tatang Herman, M.Ed., selaku dekan FMIPA UPI.
5. Dr. rer. nat Adi Rahmat, M.Si., Prof. Dr. Nahadi, M.Si., Dr. Dadi Rusdiana, M.Si., selaku wakil dekan FPMIPA UPI.
6. Dr. Ida Kaniawati, M.Si. selaku Ketua Program Studi Pendidikan IPA yang selalu mengingatkan, memfasilitasi, dan mengarahkan untuk kelancaran dan penyelesaian disertasi ini.
7. Dr. Siti Sriyati, M.Si., dan Dr. Suroso Mukti Leksono, M.Si., selaku penguji yang telah memberikan masukan berharga untuk perbaikan disertasi ini.

8. Prof. Dr. Ari Widodo, M.Ed., selaku dosen wali yang telah membimbing dan memotivasi.
9. Seluruh dosen Sekolah Pascasarjana Universitas Pendidikan Indonesia atas ilmu, pengalaman, bimbingan yang sangat berarti bagi penulis.
10. Validator dan fasilitator penelitian ini, yaitu: Dr. Wahyu Surakusumah, M.Si., Dr. Rini Solihat, M.Pd., Dr. Ina Rosdiana Lesmanawati, M.Si., Dr. Djohar Maknun, M.Si., Dr. Ismail Fikri Natadiwijaya, M.Pd., Bambang Ekanara, M.Pd., dan Aden Arif Gaffar, M.Pd.
11. Civitas akademika Universitas Majalengka Dr. Indra Adibudiman, M.Pd. (Rektor), Dr. Lalan Suherlan, M.Si. (YPPM), Dr. Yoyo Zakaria A. M.Pd. (Dekan FKIP), dan khususnya sivitas akademika Prodi Pendidikan Biologi Aden Arif Gaffar, M.Pd., Abdur Rasyid, M.Pd., M. Kurnia Sugandi, M.Pd., Vitta Yaumul H., M.Pd., Yeni Suryaningsih, M.Pd. dan Iim Halimatul M. M.Pd. yang telah membantu dan memotivasi dalam penyelesaian studi doctoral ini.
12. Keluarga besar Tadris Biologi IAIN Syekh Nurjati Cirebon khususnya Dr. Ina Rosdiana, M.Si., Asep Mulyani, M.Pd., Dr. Kartimi, M.Pd. dan Edy Chandra, MA, atas fasilitas, kesempatan, motivasi, dan kepercayaan yang selama ini diberikan khususnya dalam proses penelitian ini.
13. Rekan seperjuangan Prodi IPA angkatan 2018 yang selalu saling mendukung, tempat curhat dan berbagi, yaitu: Pa. Sujito, Pa. Sunardi, Bu. Diah, Pa. Dindin, Bu. Titin, Mas Ari, Teh Susanti, Mas Oktian, Pa. Ma'ruf dan Pa. Yogi kebersamaan dengan kalian tidak akan terlupakan sepanjang hayat.
14. Teman-teman seperjuangan bimbingan Prof. Dr. Hj. Nuryani, M.Pd., Ibu Ulfi, Ibu Lilit, Pa. Aa, dan Ibu Andi yang selalu kompak bimbingan dan berbagi solusi. Terutama Bu Ulfi yang selalu direpotkan mengirimkan draft.
15. Seluruh staf FPMIPA UPI yang telah memfasilitasi dan memberikan pelayanan terbaiknya.
16. Mahasiswa Tadris Biologi IAIN Syekh Nurjati Cirebon yang dengan sabar dan bijaksana bersedia menjadi subjek penelitian disertasi.

Penulis mempersembahkan karya ini teruntuk orang tua terkasih Bpk. Jamhari (alm), Ibu Junah (alm). Karya ini juga penulis menghadiahkan teruntuk istri tercinta Diana Yulianti, S.Pd.I, dan anak tersayang M. Faiz Alkhalifi serta calon anak kedua yang masih dalam kandungan. Bpk. Rustandi dan Ibu Imas Permasih serta keluarga besar yang memberikan dukungan moril dan materil.

Disertasi ini telah melewati berbagai proses dan tahapan dalam penulisannya, akan tetapi masih jauh dari kata sempurna. Saran yang konstruktif sangat penulis harapkan. Semoga karya ini akan memberikan manfaat bagi masyarakat khususnya dunia pendidikan.

ABSTRAK

PENGEMBANGAN PROGRAM PERKULIAHAN BIOLOGI KONSERVASI BERBASIS *CITIZEN SCIENCE PROJECT* UNTUK MENINGKATKAN LITERASI BIODIVERSITAS DAN KETERAMPILAN MENELITI MAHASISWA CALON GURU BIOLOGI

Studi tentang pengembangan program perkuliahan biologi konservasi berbasis *Citizen Science Project* dilaksanakan untuk meningkatkan literasi biodiversitas dan keterampilan meneliti mahasiswa calon guru biologi. Pengembangan program perkuliahan menggunakan *Design and Development Research (DDR)* yang terdiri atas tahapan identifikasi masalah, menentukan tujuan, mendesain pengembangan program, menguji program, evaluasi hasil pengujian, diseminasi dan publikasi. Penelitian ini melibatkan (n= 63) mahasiswa calon guru biologi pada sebuah LPTK di Cirebon – Jawa Barat. Subjek penelitian dipilah menjadi dua grup yakni kelas *Hybrid Citizen Science Project/HCS*P (n=31) dan kelas *Online Citizen Science Project/OCSP* (n=32). Data penelitian tentang literasi biodiversitas dan keterampilan meneliti dijamin menggunakan instrumen tes (pilihan ganda dan esai) dan non tes (lembar angket, pedoman wawancara, rancangan program riset, laporan riset, rancangan program konservasi biodiversitas, *log book*, presentasi hasil riset, dan artikel hasil riset). Analisis data dilakukan secara kualitatif dan kuantitatif dengan bantuan SPSS 25. Hasil penelitian menunjukkan bahwa: 1) program perkuliahan biologi konservasi berbasis CSP yang telah dikembangkan memiliki karakteristik: berorientasi pada pembekalan literasi biodiversitas dan keterampilan meneliti, menggunakan tipologi *hybrid*, mempromosikan pendidikan biodiversitas, penggunaan ICT dalam pengumpulan data penelitian biodiversitas melalui aplikasi *iNaturalist*, dan dilaksanakan pada jenjang pendidikan formal, 2) terdapat peningkatan literasi biodiversitas mahasiswa calon guru biologi dengan kategori sedang; 3) program perkuliahan biologi konservasi berbasis CSP berkontribusi terhadap keterampilan meneliti dengan kategori sedang, dan 4) mahasiswa merespon positif dan antusias terhadap program perkuliahan biologi konservasi berbasis CSP.

Kata kunci: Perkuliahan, biologi konservasi, *citizen science project*, literasi biodiversitas, keterampilan meneliti

ABSTRACT

DEVELOPING BIOLOGY CONSERVATION LEARNING PROGRAM BASED ON CITIZEN SCIENCE PROJECT TO ENHANCE PRESERVICE BIOLOGY TEACHERS' BIODIVERSITY LITERACY AND RESEARCH SKILLS

A study about biology conservation development program citizen science project based, was held to enhance biodiversity literacy and reseach skills. The instruction development used *Design and Development Research (DDR)* which consisted of identifying problem, selecting a purpose, designing the program, testing the program, test evaluation, presentation and publication. The sample of this research was a sixty three students of LPTK in Cirebon west Java. The samples of this research were break down into two groups HCSP Class (n=31) and OCSP class (n=32). The technique of collecting data used test (multiple choice and essay), and non test such as questionnaire, interview, planning research program, reseach report, biodiversity design, log book, research result presentation, and research article journal. The data was analyzed qualitatively and quantitative through SPSS 25. The result shows that 1) The CSP-based biology conservation learning program that has been developed has characteristics: oriented to providing biodiversity literacy and research skills, using a hybrid typology, promote biodiversity education, the use of ICT in the collection of biodiversity research data through the iNaturalist application, and implemented at the level of formal education. 2) There were improvement of prospective biology teacher biodiversity literacy in medium category. 3) CSP-based conseravtion biology learning was contributing to the research skill in medium category, and 4) students responded positively and enthusiastically to the CSP-based conservation biology learning program.

Keywords: Learning, biological conservation, citizen science project, biodiversity literacy, research skills

DAFTAR ISI

| | |
|---|-------|
| LEMBAR PENGESAHAN DISERTASI | ii |
| PERNYATAAN KEASLIAN DISERTASI | iii |
| KATA PENGANTAR | iv |
| UCAPAN TERIMA KASIH | v |
| ABSTRAK | viii |
| ABSTRACT | ix |
| DAFTAR ISI | x |
| DAFTAR TABEL | xii |
| DAFTAR GAMBAR | xv |
| DAFTAR LAMPIRAN | xviii |
| | |
| BAB I PENDAHULUAN | 1 |
| A. Latar Belakang Masalah..... | 1 |
| B. Rumusan Masalah dan Pertanyaan Penelitian..... | 11 |
| C. Tujuan Penelitian..... | 12 |
| D. Manfaat Penelitian..... | 13 |
| E. Struktur Organisasi Disertasi..... | 14 |
| | |
| BAB II KAJIAN PUSTAKA | 16 |
| A. Program Perkuliahan Biologi Konservasi..... | 16 |
| B. <i>Citizen Science</i> (CS) sebagai Metode Pembelajaran Biologi Konservasi..... | 21 |
| C. Literasi Biodiversitas (<i>Biodiversity Literacy</i>) sebagai Aspek Penting Pembelajaran Biodiversitas..... | 53 |
| D. Keterampilan Meneliti (<i>Research Skills</i>) pada Program CSP..... | 64 |
| E. Penelitian yang Relevan..... | 74 |
| | |
| BAB III METODE PENELITIAN | 78 |
| A. Paradigma Penelitian..... | 78 |
| B. Desain Penelitian..... | 81 |
| C. Lokasi dan Waktu Penelitian..... | 89 |
| D. Subjek Penelitian..... | 89 |
| E. Definisi Operasional..... | 90 |
| F. Instrumen Penelitian..... | 90 |
| G. Teknik Analisis Data..... | 107 |
| | |
| BAB IV HASIL TEMUAN DAN PEMBAHASAN | 111 |
| A. Karakteristik Program Perkuliahan Biologi Konservasi Berbasis CSP..... | 111 |
| B. Kemampuan Literasi Biodiversitas Mahasiswa Calon Guru Biologi Melalui Perkuliahan Biologi Konservasi Berbasis CSP..... | 150 |
| C. Keterampilan Meneliti Mahasiswa Calon Guru Biologi Melalui Perkuliahan Biologi Konservasi Berbasis CSP..... | 183 |
| D. Respon Mahasiswa Terhadap Program Perkuliahan Biologi Konservasi Berbasis <i>Citizen Science Project</i> (CSP)..... | 220 |

| | |
|---|------------|
| BAB V SIMPULAN, IMPLIKASI, DAN REKOMENDASI | 229 |
| A. Simpulan..... | 229 |
| B. Implikasi | 230 |
| C. Rekomendasi | 230 |
| DAFTAR PUSTAKA | 231 |

DAFTAR TABEL

| | |
|--|----|
| Tabel 2. 1 Perjalanan Sejarah Konservasi Biodiversitas di Dunia..... | 16 |
| Tabel 2. 2 Perbandingan Konten Mata Kuliah Biologi Konservasi..... | 19 |
| Tabel 2. 3 Perkembangan Biologi Konservasi di Indonesia | 21 |
| Tabel 2. 4 Istilah-istilah <i>Citizen Science</i> | 22 |
| Tabel 2. 5 Manfaat CS terhadap Sains, Sosial, dan Partisipan | 24 |
| Tabel 2. 6 Peranan CS dalam kegiatan CSP | 28 |
| Tabel 2. 7 Model-model Partisipasi CS | 29 |
| Tabel 2. 8 Model Partisipasi CS Menurut Shirk (2012)..... | 30 |
| Tabel 2. 9 Kerangka Evaluasi CSP Menurut Philips | 31 |
| Tabel 2. 10 Kerangka Kerja Evaluasi CSP | 33 |
| Tabel 2. 11 Profil Program OCSP di Indonesia dan Dunia | 38 |
| Tabel 2. 12 <i>Tools</i> untuk Identifikasi Berbagai Biodiversitas..... | 46 |
| Tabel 2. 13 Bentuk Program CSP dan <i>Learning Outcome</i> Pada Partisipan..... | 49 |
| Tabel 2. 14 Definisi Biodiversitas Menurut Para Ahli | 54 |
| Tabel 2. 15 Prinsip-Prinsip Dasar Biologi Konservasi dalam Pengembangan Literasi Biodiversitas..... | 57 |
| Tabel 2. 16 Indikator Literasi Biodiversitas World Wildlife Fund | 60 |
| Tabel 2. 17 Komponen Penilaian Pembelajaran Biodiversitas | 61 |
| Tabel 2. 18 Tingkatan Literasi Biodiversitas | 63 |
| Tabel 2. 19 Kerangka Kerja Pengembangan Penelitian..... | 66 |
| Tabel 2. 20 Aspek Keterampilan Meneliti dalam RSD..... | 68 |
| Tabel 2. 21 Tingkatan <i>Outotomy</i> Penelitian Menurut RSD | 69 |
| Tabel 2. 22 Metode Pembelajaran Keterampilan Meneliti | 73 |
| Tabel 2. 23 Hasil Penelitian Yang Relevan | 75 |
| Tabel 3. 1 Kegiatan Workshop Biologi Konservasi Berbasis CSP..... | 85 |
| Tabel 3. 2 Hasil Evaluasi Uji Coba Penelitian | 86 |
| Tabel 3. 3 Desain Penelitian Untuk Implementasi Program Perkuliahan Biologi Konservasi Berbasis CSP | 88 |
| Tabel 3. 4 Instrumen Penelitian | 90 |
| Tabel 3. 5 Indikator Dimensi Konten Literasi Biodiversitas | 92 |
| Tabel 3. 6 Indikator Tes Dimensi Proses Biodiversitas | 93 |
| Tabel 3. 7 Indikator Tes Dimensi Konteks | 93 |
| Tabel 3. 8 Kisi-kisi Dimensi Sikap Terhadap Biodiversitas | 94 |
| Tabel 3. 9 Cara Konversi Skor Literasi Biodiversitas..... | 95 |
| Tabel 3. 10 Penafsiran Capaian Literasi Biodiversitas | 95 |
| Tabel 3. 11 Indikator Tes Keterampilan Meneliti Dimensi Kognitif..... | 97 |
| Tabel 3. 12 Format Penilaian Laporan Penelitian CSP..... | 97 |

| | |
|---|-----|
| Tabel 3. 13 Format Penilaian Presentasi Penelitian CSP..... | 98 |
| Tabel 3. 14 Format Penilaian Artikel Publikasi Ilmiah..... | 98 |
| Tabel 3. 15 Format Penelitian Rancangan Proyek Konservasi Biodiversitas | 99 |
| Tabel 3. 16 Indikator Kuesioner Evaluasi Program CSP..... | 99 |
| Tabel 3. 17 Kisi-kisi Kuesioner Respon Mahasiswa | 100 |
| Tabel 3. 18 Rekapitulasi Bentuk Analisis Instrumen Uji Coba | 101 |
| Tabel 3. 19 Hasil Uji Coba Tes Literasi Biodiversitas Dimensi Konten Paket A | 102 |
| Tabel 3. 20 Hasil Uji Coba Tes Literasi Biodiversitas Dimensi Konten Paket B | 103 |
| Tabel 3. 21 Hasil Uji Coba Tes Literasi Biodiversitas Dimensi Proses..... | 104 |
| Tabel 3. 22 Hasil Expert Judgement Dimensi Konteks | 105 |
| Tabel 3. 23 Hasil Uji Coba Tes Keterampilan Meneliti Paket A..... | 106 |
| Tabel 3. 24 Hasil Uji Coba Tes Keterampilan Meneliti Paket B | 107 |
| Tabel 3. 25 Indeks <i>N-gain</i> | 109 |
| Tabel 3. 26 Skor Penilaian Pernyataan Pada Kuesioner | 110 |
| Tabel 3. 27 Kriteria Interpretasi Skor | 110 |
| Tabel 4. 1 Sintaks CSP Hasil Modifikasi..... | 112 |
| Tabel 4. 2 Komponen Pendukung Perkuliahan dan Penilaiannya | 115 |
| Tabel 4. 3 Hasil Temuan Uji Coba Tahap I | 117 |
| Tabel 4. 4 Protokol Verifikasi Data Penelitian CSP | 121 |
| Tabel 4. 5 Hasil Temuan Uji Coba Tahap II..... | 122 |
| Tabel 4. 6 Hasil Tes Pada Uji Coba Tahap II | 125 |
| Tabel 4. 7 Diseminasi dan Publikasi Artikel Hasil Pengembangan Program | 127 |
| Tabel 4. 8 Rancangan Program Perkuliahan Biologi Konservasi Berbasis <i>Citizen Science Project</i> | 131 |
| Tabel 4. 9 Perbedaan Kegiatan Proyek Kelas HCSP dan Kelas OCSP | 146 |
| Tabel 4. 10 Pengujian Statistik Literasi Biodiversitas Dimensi Konten | 153 |
| Tabel 4. 11 Hasil Pengujian Statistik Literasi Biodiversitas Dimensi Proses..... | 156 |
| Tabel 4. 12 Hasil Pengujian Statistik Literasi Biodiversitas Dimensi Konteks.. | 158 |
| Tabel 4. 13 Hasil Pengujian Statistik Literasi Biodiversitas Dimensi Sikap..... | 160 |
| Tabel 4. 14 Rekapitulasi Hasil Pre-test Literasi Biodiversitas Kelas HCSP..... | 162 |
| Tabel 4. 15 Rekapitulasi Hasil Pre-test Literasi Biodiversitas Kelas OCSP..... | 163 |
| Tabel 4. 16 Rekapitulasi Hasil Post-test Literasi Biodiversitas Kelas HCSP | 166 |
| Tabel 4. 17 Rekapitulasi Hasil Post-test Literasi Biodiversitas Kelas OCSP | 167 |
| Tabel 4. 18 <i>N-gain</i> Indikator Dimensi Konten..... | 170 |
| Tabel 4. 19 <i>N-gain</i> Sub-indikator Dimensi Konten | 171 |
| Tabel 4. 20 <i>N-gain</i> Indikator Dimensi Proses | 173 |
| Tabel 4. 21 <i>N-gain</i> Indikator Dimensi Konteks | 175 |
| Tabel 4. 22 <i>N-gain</i> Indikator Dimensi Sikap | 176 |
| Tabel 4. 23 <i>N-gain</i> Literasi Biodiversitas Setiap Dimensi..... | 178 |
| Tabel 4. 24 Peningkatan Literasi Biodiversitas Berdasarkan Klaster Kelas HCSP | 179 |

| | |
|---|-----|
| Tabel 4. 25 Peningkatan Literasi Biodiversitas Berdasarkan Klaster Kelas OCSP | 180 |
| Tabel 4. 26 Pengujian Statistik Ranah Kognitif..... | 185 |
| Tabel 4. 27 Hasil Wawancara Mengenai Keterampilan Meneliti | 186 |
| Tabel 4. 28 Hasil Tes Ranah Kognitif Pada Kelas HCSP | 188 |
| Tabel 4. 29 Data Hasil Tes Ranah Kognitif Kelas OCSP | 189 |
| Tabel 4. 30 Peningkatan Ranah Kognitif antara Kelompok Mahasiswa | 191 |
| Tabel 4. 31 Peningkatan Indikator Keterampilan Meneliti Ranah Kognitif | 191 |
| Tabel 4. 32 Hasil Review Judul Riset HCSP | 197 |
| Tabel 4. 33 Judul Penelitian Hasil Revisi Kelas HCSP | 197 |
| Tabel 4. 34 Hasil Review Judul Riset OCSP | 198 |
| Tabel 4. 35 Judul Penelitian Hasil Revisi Kelas OCSP | 199 |
| Tabel 4. 36 Hasil Pengujian Statistik Keterampilan Meneliti..... | 215 |
| Tabel 4. 37 Rekapitulasi Keterampilan Meneliti Kelas HCSP | 216 |
| Tabel 4. 38 Rekapitulasi Keterampilan Meneliti Kelas OCSP | 217 |

DAFTAR GAMBAR

| | |
|---|-----|
| Gambar 2. 1 Biologi Konservasi sebagai Multi-inter-trans-disiplin | 18 |
| Gambar 2. 2 Potensi Citizen Science | 25 |
| Gambar 2. 3 Tahapan <i>Citizen Science Project</i> | 25 |
| Gambar 2. 4 Framework CSP Diadaptasi dari Pettibone (2014) | 27 |
| Gambar 2. 5 Tingkat Partisipasi dan Keterlibatan Dalam CPS | 29 |
| Gambar 2. 6 Kerangka Evaluasi Program CSP..... | 31 |
| Gambar 2. 7 Proses Kegiatan OCS | 37 |
| Gambar 2. 8 Alur Kegiatan OCSP | 38 |
| Gambar 2. 9 Tampilan OCSP Wolbachia | 41 |
| Gambar 2. 10 Halaman Awal Aplikasi CS <i>Biodiversitywarriors</i> | 42 |
| Gambar 2. 11 Bagian Pendaftaran Laman <i>Biodiversitywarriors</i> | 42 |
| Gambar 2. 12 Bagian <i>Project</i> Laman <i>Biodiversitywarriors</i> | 42 |
| Gambar 2. 13 Tampilan Laman Depan Aplikasi CS iNaturalist..... | 43 |
| Gambar 2. 14 Bagian Laman Pengisian Data Pendaftaran | 43 |
| Gambar 2. 15 Bagian Laman Pendaftaran <i>Project Online</i> | 43 |
| Gambar 2. 16 Bagian Penginputan Data Penelitian Online | 44 |
| Gambar 2. 17 Bagian Hasil <i>Input Project Online</i> | 44 |
| Gambar 2. 18 Menu Aplikasi CS Explorer | 45 |
| Gambar 2. 19 Laman Aplikasi PlantNet | 45 |
| Gambar 2. 20 Laman Aplikasi WildTrack..... | 46 |
| Gambar 2. 21 Fase Belajar Observasional | 47 |
| Gambar 2. 22 Framework Pengembangan Penelitian | 66 |
| Gambar 2. 23 Skema Tahap Metode Ilmiah Prinsip Deduktif Hipotetik..... | 71 |
| Gambar 2. 24 Pemetaan Peluang Penelitian Citizen Science | 75 |
| Gambar 3. 1 Paradigma Penelitian..... | 79 |
| Gambar 3. 2 Desain Penelitian DDR | 81 |
| Gambar 3. 3 Alur Pengembangan Program Perkuliahan Biologi Konservasi Berbasis Citizen Science..... | 82 |
| Gambar 3. 4 Cover Bahan Ajar Biologi Konservasi Sebelum dan Setelah Revisi | 84 |
| Gambar 4. 1 Cover Buku Panduan Program Perkuliahan..... | 118 |
| Gambar 4. 2 Halaman Depan Tampilan Google Classrom Kelas HCSP..... | 130 |
| Gambar 4. 3 Halaman Penugasan Mata Kuliah Biologi Konservasi Kelas HCSP | 130 |
| Gambar 4. 4 Alur Kegiatan Kelas HCSP | 140 |
| Gambar 4. 5 Alur Proyek Penelitian pada Kelas HCSP..... | 141 |
| Gambar 4. 6 Proyek Online Biologi Konservasi Berbasis CSP..... | 141 |
| Gambar 4. 7 Hasil Upload Data Penelitian Pada Aplikasi iNaturalist..... | 142 |
| Gambar 4. 8 Hasil Upload Data Penelitian Partisipan Penelitian | 142 |
| Gambar 4. 9 Kegiatan <i>Citizen Science Project</i> | 143 |

| | |
|---|-----|
| Gambar 4. 10 Halaman Depan Tampilan Google Classrom Kelas OCSP..... | 144 |
| Gambar 4. 11 Halaman Penugasan Mata Kuliah Biologi Konservasi Kelas OCSP | 145 |
| Gambar 4. 12 Alur Kegiatan Kelas OCSP | 147 |
| Gambar 4. 13 Data Penelitian Terverifikasi <i>Research Grade</i> | 147 |
| Gambar 4. 14 Data Penelitian Terverifikasi Needs ID dan Casual..... | 148 |
| Gambar 4. 15 Alur Proyek Penelitian pada Kelas OCSP..... | 149 |
| Gambar 4. 16 Hasil Tes Literasi Biodiversitas Dimensi Konten | 150 |
| Gambar 4. 17 Capaian Skor Indikator Literasi Biodiversitas Dimensi Konten .. | 154 |
| Gambar 4. 18 Capaian Sub-indikator Literasi Biodiversitas Dimensi Konten ... | 155 |
| Gambar 4. 19 Capaian Literasi Biodiversitas Dimensi Proses | 156 |
| Gambar 4. 20 Capaian Literasi Biodiversitas Dimensi Proses | 157 |
| Gambar 4. 21 Capaian Dimensi Konteks Biodiversitas..... | 158 |
| Gambar 4. 22 Capaian Indikator Dimensi Konteks Biodiversitas | 159 |
| Gambar 4. 23 Hasil Pre-test Literasi Biodiversitas Dimensi Sikap | 160 |
| Gambar 4. 24 Capaian Indikator Dimensi Sikap | 161 |
| Gambar 4. 25 Capaian Pre-test Literasi Biodiversitas Setiap Dimensinya | 164 |
| Gambar 4. 26 Capain Tingkatan Literasi Biodiversitas Pada Pre-test..... | 165 |
| Gambar 4. 27 Capaian Post-test Literasi Biodiversitas Setiap Dimensinya | 168 |
| Gambar 4. 28 Capain Tingkatan Literasi Biodiversitas Pada Post-Test..... | 169 |
| Gambar 4. 29 Indeks Peningkatan Literasi Biodiversitas Dimensi Konten..... | 170 |
| Gambar 4. 30 N-gain Literasi Biodiversitas Dimensi Proses | 173 |
| Gambar 4. 31 N-gain Literasi Biodiversitas Dimensi Konteks..... | 175 |
| Gambar 4. 32 N-gain Literasi Biodiversitas Dimensi Sikap..... | 176 |
| Gambar 4. 33 Jenis Literasi Menurut Keluasannya | 182 |
| Gambar 4. 34 Hasil Pre-Test Ranah Kognitif | 184 |
| Gambar 4. 35 Capaian Indikator Ranah Kognitif pada Pre-Test | 185 |
| Gambar 4. 38 N-gain Ranah Kognitif | 191 |
| Gambar 4. 40 Kegiatan pada Tahapan <i>Embark and Clarify</i> | 194 |
| Gambar 4. 41 Cover Tugas Analisis Jurnal | 195 |
| Gambar 4. 42 Hasil Penilaian Rancangan Penelitian..... | 196 |
| Gambar 4. 43 Deskripsi Log Book Penelitian HCSP | 200 |
| Gambar 4. 44 Contoh Teknik Dokumentasi Spesimen..... | 200 |
| Gambar 4. 45 Publikasi Data Penelitian Pada HCSP..... | 201 |
| Gambar 4. 46 Halaman Depan Log Book OCSP..... | 202 |
| Gambar 4. 47 Anotasi Data <i>Log Book</i> OCSP | 202 |
| Gambar 4. 48 Hasil Rekapitulasi <i>Log Book</i> Penelitian..... | 203 |
| Gambar 4. 49 Hasil Penilaian Laporan Riset Biodiversitas..... | 204 |
| Gambar 4. 50 Hasil Penilaian Jurnal Publikasi..... | 206 |
| Gambar 4. 51 Bagian Judul Penelitian..... | 206 |
| Gambar 4. 52 Bagian Identitas/Afiliasi Jurnal Yang Belum Terisi..... | 207 |

| | |
|--|-----|
| Gambar 4. 53 Bagian Abstrak Jurnal | 208 |
| Gambar 4. 54 Contoh Publikasi Jurnal Dengan Keywords Belum Terisi..... | 208 |
| Gambar 4. 55 Bagian Latar Belakang Masalah | 209 |
| Gambar 4. 56 Contoh Penulisan Referensi Yang Salah..... | 210 |
| Gambar 4. 57 Rekapitulasi Penilaian Presentasi..... | 212 |
| Gambar 4. 58 Rekapitulasi Penilaian Rancangan Proyek Konservasi Biodiversitas | 213 |
| Gambar 4. 59 Contoh Poster Kampanye Biodiversitas..... | 214 |
| Gambar 4. 60 Capaian Skor Keterampilan Meneliti Melalui CSP | 215 |
| Gambar 4. 66 Framework Program Perkuliahan Biologi Konservasi Berbasis CSP | 219 |
| Gambar 4. 61 Capaian Persepsi Mahasiswa Terhadap Pelaksanaan Program Perkuliahan Berbasis CSP | 221 |
| Gambar 4. 62 Grafik Persepsi Mahasiswa Terhadap Program Perkuliahan | 222 |
| Gambar 4. 63 Persepsi terhadap Capaian LO Program Perkuliahan CSP | 224 |
| Gambar 4. 64 Capaian Setiap Indikator Evaluasi LO Program CSP | 224 |

DAFTAR LAMPIRAN

| | | |
|-------------|---|-----|
| Lampiran 1 | Rancangan Program Perkuliahan (RPS)..... | 252 |
| Lampiran 2 | Instrumen Tes Literasi Biodiversitas Dimensi Konten | 265 |
| Lampiran 3 | Instrumen Tes Literasi Biodiversitas Dimensi Proses | 300 |
| Lampiran 4 | Instrumen Tes Literasi Biodiversitas Dimensi Konteks | 323 |
| Lampiran 5 | Instrumen Tes Literasi Biodiversitas Dimensi Sikap | 331 |
| Lampiran 6 | Instrumen Tes Keterampilan Meneliti Aspek Pengetahuan Meneliti..... | 338 |
| Lampiran 7 | Instrumen Keterampilan Meneliti Non Tes | 362 |
| Lampiran 8 | Rubrik Holistik Keterampilan Meneliti | 383 |
| Lampiran 9 | Instrumen Evaluai Program Perkuliahan Biologi Konservasi Berbasis <i>Citizen Science Project</i> | 388 |
| Lampiran 10 | Instrumen Respon Terhadap Program Perkuliahan Biologi Konservasi Berbasis <i>Citizen Science Project</i> | 398 |
| Lampiran 11 | Toolkit <i>Citizen Science Project</i> | 402 |
| Lampiran 12 | Log Book Penelitian | 457 |
| Lampiran 13 | Data Hasil Penelitian Literasi Biodiversitas | 464 |
| Lampiran 14 | Data Hasil Penelitian Keterampilan Meneliti | 511 |
| Lampiran 15 | Data Hasil Penelitian Keterampilan Meneliti Non Tes | 525 |
| Lampiran 16 | Data Hasil Penelitian Evaluasi Program Perkuliahan Biologi Konservasi Berbasis <i>Citizen Science Project</i> | 564 |
| Lampiran 17 | Data Hasil Penelitian Respon Terhadap Program Perkuliahan Biologi Konservasi Berbasis <i>Citizen Science Project</i> | 568 |
| Lampiran 18 | Data Hasil Uji Statistik | 572 |
| Lampiran 19 | Hasil Uji Coba Instrumen Penelitian | 583 |
| Lampiran 20 | Dokumentasi Penelitian..... | 588 |
| Lampiran 21 | Publikasi Ilmiah dan Riwayat Hidup..... | 591 |
| Lampiran 22 | Lembar Expert Judgment | 594 |

DAFTAR PUSTAKA

- Abbott, D. (2019). Game-based learning for postgraduates: An empirical study of an educational game to teach research skills. *Higher Education Pedagogies*, 4(1), 80–104. <https://doi.org/10.1080/23752696.2019.1629825>
- Adawiah, R., Jiwa, M., & Esa, N. (2015). Student Teachers' Knowledge of Biodiversity. *International Journal of Scientific and Research Publications*, 5(1), 2250–3153. www.ijsrp.org
- Allen, P. E., & Cooper, C. B. (2006). *Citizen Science as a Tool for Biodiversity Monitoring* (pp. 1–16). <http://www.inecc.gob.mx/descargas/publicaciones/507.pdf>
- Amin, M. (2016). Perkembangan biologi dan tantangan pembelajarannya. *Seminar Nasional Pendidikan Dan Saintek, 2016*, 1–11.
- Aminudin, C. (2020). Peranan Sains Warga dalam Perlindungan dan Pengelolaan Lingkungan Hidup. *Ekologi, Masyarakat & Sains*, 1, 22–30.
- Andriani, W., Subandowo, M., Karyono, H., & Gunawan, W. (2021). Learning Loss dalam Pembelajaran Daring di Masa Pandemi Corona. *Prosiding Seminar Nasional Teknologi Pembelajaran Universitas Negeri Malang*, 1(1), 485–501. <http://snastep.com/proceeding/index.php/snastep/index>
- Anggraeni, N., Adisendjaja, Y. H., & Amprasto, A. (2017). Profile of High School Students' Understanding of Scientific Inquiry. *Journal of Physics: Conference Series*, 895(1). <https://doi.org/10.1088/1742-6596/895/1/012138>
- Apriana, E., Munandar, A., Rustaman, N., & Surtikanti, H. K. (2020). Pengembangan Program Perkuliahan Biologi Konservasi dengan Pendekatan Kontekstual Berbasis Kearifan Lokal Aceh. *Jurnal Visipena*, 11(1), 99–115.
- Arbuthnott, K. D., & Devoe, D. (2014). Understanding of Biodiversity Among Western Canadian University Students. *Human Ecology*, 42(1), 147–158. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10745-013-9611-y>
- Arikunto, S. (2018). *Dasar-dasar Evaluasi Pendidikan Edisi 3*. Bumi Aksara.
- Arikunto, S., & Jabar, C. S. A. (2008). *Evaluasi Program Pendidikan : pedoman teoritis praktis bagi mahasiswa dan praktisi pendidikan*. Bumi Aksara.
- Aripin, I., & Hidayat, T. (2020). Public perception in Majalengka (Indonesia) toward citizen science concept. *Journal of Physics: Conference Series*, 1521(4). <https://doi.org/10.1088/1742-6596/1521/4/042095>
- Aripin, I., Hidayat, T., Rustaman, N., & Riandi. (2021a). Knowledge, Attitudes, and Behavior of Prospective Biology Teachers towards Biodiversity Conservation in Indonesia. *European Online Journal of Natural and Social Sciences*, 10(3), 456–462.
- Aripin, I., Hidayat, T., Rustaman, N., & Riandi. (2021b). Pengembangan Program Perkuliahan Biologi Konservasi Berbasis Citizen Science Project. *Pedagogi Hayati*, 5(1), 1–9.
- Aripin, I., Hidayat, T., Rustaman, N., & Riandi. (2021c). Online Citizen Science Untuk Penelitian Dan Pengumpulan Data Biodiversitas Di Indonesia. *Prosiding Seminar Nasional Penelitian Dan Pengabdian 2021*, 288–298.
- Aripin, I., Hidayat, T., Rustaman, N., & Riandi, R. (2021d). Prospective biology teachers' research skills. *Journal of Physics: Conference Series*, 1806(1). <https://doi.org/10.1088/1742-6596/1806/1/012170>
- Aristeidou, M. (2016). Citizen inquiry: engaging citizens in online communities of

Ipin Aripin, 2022

PENGEMBANGAN PROGRAM PERKULIAHAN BIOLOGI KONSERVASI BERBASIS CITIZEN SCIENCE PROJECT UNTUK MENINGKATKAN LITERASI BIODIVERSITAS DAN KETERAMPILAN MENELITI MAHASISWA CALON GURU BIOLOGI

Universitas Pendidikan Indonesia | repository.upi.edu | perpustakaan.upi.edu

- scientific inquiries. In *PQDT - UK & Ireland* (Issue December).
- Aristeidou, M., & Herodotou, C. (2020). Online Citizen Science: A Systematic Review of Effects on Learning and Scientific Literacy. *Citizen Science: Theory and Practice*, 5(1), 1–12. <https://doi.org/10.5334/cstp.224>
- Aristeidou, M., Herodotou, C., Ballard, H. L., Young, A. N., Miller, A. E., Higgins, L., & Johnson, R. F. (2021). Exploring the participation of young citizen scientists in scientific research: The case of iNaturalist. *PLoS ONE*, 16(1 January), 1–13. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0245682>
- Ashe, S. J., & Lopez, R. M. (2021). Communication in Online Learning – How Important is It? *The Clearing House: A Journal of Educational Strategies, Issues and Ideas*, 94(1), 15–30. <https://doi.org/10.1080/00098655.2020.1835795>
- Atik, S., & Celik, O. T. (2021). Analysis of the Relationships between Academic Motivation, Engagement, Burnout and Academic Achievement with Structural Equation Modelling. *International Journal of Contemporary Educational Research*, 8(2), 2148–3868. <https://doi.org/10.33200/ijcer.826088>
- Azizah, A., & dan Parmin. (2012). Inquiry Training Untuk Mengembangkan Keterampilan Meneliti Mahasiswa. *USEJ - Unnes Science Education Journal*, 1(1). <https://doi.org/10.15294/usej.v1i1.848>
- Baker, E., Drury, J. P., Judge, J., Roy, D. B., Smith, G. C., & Stephens, P. A. (2021). The verification of ecological citizen science data: Current approaches and future possibilities. *Citizen Science: Theory and Practice*, 6(1), 1–14. <https://doi.org/10.5334/cstp.351>
- Ballard, H. L., & Belsky, J. M. (2010). Participatory action research and environmental learning: Implications for resilient forests and communities. *Environmental Education Research*, 16(5–6), 611–627. <https://doi.org/10.1080/13504622.2010.505440>
- Ballard, H. L., Dixon, C. G. H., & Harris, E. M. (2017). Youth-focused citizen science: Examining the role of environmental science learning and agency for conservation. *Biological Conservation*, 208, 65–75. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biocon.2016.05.024>
- Bandaranaike, S. (2018). Research Skill Development to Work Skill Development. *Journal of University Teaching & Learning Practice*, 15(4). <https://ro.uow.edu.au/jutlp> Available at: <https://ro.uow.edu.au/jutlp/vol15/iss4/7>: <https://ro.uow.edu.au/jutlp/vol15/iss4/7>
- Bandura, A. (1977). Social Learning Theory. In *Syria Studies* (Vol. 7, Issue 1). Prentice Hall. https://www.researchgate.net/publication/269107473_What_is_governance/link/548173090cf22525dcb61443/download%0Ahttp://www.econ.upf.edu/~reynal/Civil_wars_12December2010.pdf%0Ahttps://think-asia.org/handle/11540/8282%0Ahttps://www.jstor.org/stable/41857625
- Bayas, A., Buckley, M., Ford, C., & Lawes, J. (2017). *A Citizen Science Platform for Long-Term Monitoring of Microplastic Pollution in Port Phillip Bay*.
- Bell, S., Marzano, M., Cent, J., Kobierska, H., Podjed, D., Vandzinskaite, D., Reinert, H., Armaitiene, A., Grodzińska-Jurczak, M., & Muršič, R. (2008). What counts? Volunteers and their organisations in the recording and monitoring of biodiversity. *Biodiversity and Conservation*, 17(14), 3443–

3454. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10531-008-9357-9>

- Beza, E., Steinke, J., Van Etten, J., Reidsma, P., Fadda, C., Mitra, S., Mathur, P., & Kooistra, L. (2017). What are the prospects for citizen science in agriculture? Evidence from three continents on motivation and mobile telephone use of resource-poor farmers. *PLoS ONE*, *12*(5), 1–26. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0175700>
- Blackburn, K., Hung, V. T. Y., & Pozzolo, A. F. (2000). Research, development and human capital accumulation. *Journal of Macroeconomics*, *22*(2), 189–206. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0164-0704\(00\)00128-2](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0164-0704(00)00128-2)
- Bonney, R., Ballard, H., Jordan, R., McCallie, E., Phillips, T., Shirk, J., & Wilderman, C. C. (2009). *Public Participation in Scientific Research: Defining the Field and Assessing Its Potential for Informal Science Education: A CAISE Inquiry Group Report; Center for Advancement of Informal Science Education (CAISE)*. Center for Advancement of Informal Science Education.
- Bonney, R., Cooper, C., Dickinson, J., Kelling, S., Phillips, T., Rosenberg, K. V., & Shirk, J. (2009). Citizen Science: A Developing Tool for Expanding Science Knowledge and Scientific Literacy. *BioScience*, *59*(11), 977–984. <https://doi.org/10.1525/bio.2009.59.11.9>
- Bonney, R., Phillips, T. B., Ballard, H. L., & Enck, J. W. (2016). Can citizen science enhance public understanding of science? *Public Understanding of Science*, *25*(1), 2–16. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0963662515607406>
- Bonney, R., Shirk, J. L., Phillips, T. B., Wiggins, A., Ballard, H. L., Miller-Rushing, A. J., & Parrish, J. K. (2014). Next steps for citizen science: Strategic investments and coordination are needed for citizen science to reach its full potential. *Citizen Science*, *343*(6178), 1436–1437. <https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1251554>
- Bortnik, B., Stozhko, N., Pervukhina, I., Tchernysheva, A., & Belysheva, G. (2017). Effect of virtual analytical chemistry laboratory on enhancing student research skills and practices. *Research in Learning Technology*, *25*(1063519), 1–20. <https://doi.org/10.25304/rlt.v25.1968>
- Brewer, C. A. (2001). Cultivating Conservation Literacy Trickle Down Education Is Not Enough. *Conservation Biology*, *15*(5), 1203–1205. <https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1523-1739.2001.00010.x>
- Brossard, D., Lewenstein, B., & Bonney, R. (2005). Scientific knowledge and attitude change: The impact of a citizen science project. *International Journal of Science Education*, *27*(9), 1099–1121. <https://doi.org/10.1080/09500690500069483>
- Bruckermann, T., Greving, H., Schumann, A., Stillfried, M., Börner, K., Kimmig, S. E., Hagen, R., Brandt, M., & Harms, U. (2021). To know about science is to love it? Unraveling cause–effect relationships between knowledge and attitudes toward science in citizen science on urban wildlife ecology. *Journal of Research in Science Teaching*, *58*(8), 1179–1202. <https://doi.org/10.1002/tea.21697>
- Çakir, M., Irez, S., & Doğan, Ö. K. (2010). Understandings of current environmental issues: Turkish case study in six teacher education colleges. *Educational Studies*, *36*(1), 21–33. <https://doi.org/10.1080/03055690903148522>

Ipin Aripin, 2022

PENGEMBANGAN PROGRAM PERKULIAHAN BIOLOGI KONSERVASI BERBASIS CITIZEN SCIENCE PROJECT UNTUK MENINGKATKAN LITERASI BIODIVERSITAS DAN KETERAMPILAN MENELITI MAHASISWA CALON GURU BIOLOGI

Universitas Pendidikan Indonesia | repository.upi.edu | perpustakaan.upi.edu

- California Academy of Sciences. (2018). *Citizen Science Toolkit Teaching Science Through Citizen Science*. <http://www.riss.kr/link?id=T14746997>
- Cardak, O., & Dikmenli, M. (2017). Science Student Teachers' Ideas About Biological Diversity. *Journal of Education and Practice*, 8(25), 92–95.
- Centre for Environment Education. (2013). *Comprehensive Educational Strategy For Biodiversity Conservation and Sustainable Use*.
- Chabibah, U. (2012). *Indonesia Menuju Bangsa Inovasi 2030*.
- Chandler, M., See, L., Copas, K., Bonde, A. M. Z., Claramunt, B., Danielsen, F., Kristoffer, J., Masinde, S., Miller-rushing, A. J., Newman, G., Rosemartin, A., & Turak, E. (2016). Contribution of citizen science towards international biodiversity monitoring. *Biologi Conservation*. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biocon.2016.09.004>
- Chen, G., & Sun, W. (2018). The role of botanical gardens in scientific research, conservation, and citizen science. *Plant Diversity*, 40(4), 181–188. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pld.2018.07.006>
- Chu, S. (2008). Grade 4 Students' Development of Research Skills through Inquiry-Based Learning Projects. *School Libraries Worldwide*, 14(1), 10.
- Cohn, J. P. (2008). Citizen science: Can volunteers do real research? *BioScience*, 58(3), 192–197. <https://doi.org/10.1641/B580303>
- Conrad, C. C., & Hilchey, K. G. (2011). A review of citizen science and community-based environmental monitoring: Issues and opportunities. *Environmental Monitoring and Assessment*, 176(1–4), 273–291. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10661-010-1582-5>
- Corin, E. N., Jones, M. G., Andre, T., Childers, G. M., & Stevens, V. (2017). Science hobbyists: active users of the science-learning ecosystem. *International Journal of Science Education, Part B: Communication and Public Engagement*, 7(2), 161–180. <https://doi.org/10.1080/21548455.2015.1118664>
- Couvet, D., Jiguet, F., Julliard, R., Levrel, H., & Teyssedre, A. (2008). Enhancing citizen contributions to biodiversity science and public policy. *Interdisciplinary Science Reviews*, 33(1), 95–103. <https://doi.org/10.1179/030801808X260031>
- Craig, R., & Bielenberg, B. (2015). Promoting a culture of inquiry: Foregrounding research skills in first and second year engineering students. *QScience Proceedings*, 2015(4), 14. <https://doi.org/10.5339/qproc.2015.wcee2014.14>
- Creswell, J.W., & Clark, V. L. P. (2007). *Designing and Conducting Mixed Methods Research*. Sage Publication.
- Cronje, R., Rohlinger, S., Crall, A., & Newman, G. (2011). Does participation in citizen science improve scientific literacy? A study to compare assessment methods. *Applied Environmental Education & Amp Communication*, 10, 135–145.
- Cukai, B. (2015). *Jaga Alam, Lindungi Flora & Fauna Indonesia*. 47, 1–64.
- Cunha, D. G. F., Marques, J. F., de Resende, J. C., de Falco, P. B., de Souza, C. M., & Loisselle, S. A. (2017). Citizen science participation in research in the environmental sciences: Key factors related to projects' success and longevity. *Anais Da Academia Brasileira de Ciencias*, 89(3), 2229–2245. <https://doi.org/10.1590/0001-3765201720160548>
- Curtis, V. (2015). *Online citizen science projects: an exploration of motivation*,

- contribution and participation*. The Open University.
- Dale, A., & Newman, L. (2005). Sustainable development, education and literacy. *International Journal of Sustainability in Higher Education*, 6(4), 351–362. <https://doi.org/10.1108/14676370510623847>
- Damayanti, D. F., Solihat, R., & Hidayat, T. (2021). Upaya meningkatkan research skill siswa melalui citizen science project pada pembelajaran biologi SMA. *Bioedukasi*, 12(2), 133–140.
- Danielsen, F., Burgess, N. D., & Balmford, A. (2005). Monitoring matters: Examining the potential of locally-based approaches. In *Biodiversity and Conservation* (Vol. 14, Issue 11). <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10531-005-8375-0>
- Davidson, Z. E., & Palermo, C. (2015). Developing Research Competence in Undergraduate Students through Hands on Learning. *Journal of Biomedical Education*, 2015, 1–9. <https://doi.org/10.1155/2015/306380>
- Dickinson, J. L., Shirk, J., Bonter, D., Bonney, R., Crain, R. L., Martin, J., Phillips, T., & Purcell, K. (2012). The current state of citizen science as a tool for ecological research and public engagement. *Frontiers in Ecology and the Environment*, 10(6), 291–297. <https://doi.org/10.1890/110236>
- Dickinson, J. L., Zuckerman, B., & Bonter, D. N. (2010). Citizen science as an ecological research tool: Challenges and benefits. *Annual Review of Ecology, Evolution, and Systematics*, 41(December), 149–172. <https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-ecolsys-102209-144636>
- Dikmenli, M. (2010). Biology Student Teachers Conceptual Frameworks Regarding Biodiversity. *Education*, 130(3), 479–489.
- Disinger, J. F., & Roth, C. E. (1992). Environmental Literacy: EEIC Digest. *Environmental Education*, 1–7.
- Doyle, C., David, R., Li, Y., Luczak-Roesch, M., Anderson, D., & Pierson, C. M. (2019). *Using the Web for Science in the Classroom*. 71–80. <https://doi.org/10.1145/3292522.3326022>
- Doyle, C., Li, Y., Luczak-Roesch, M., Anderson, D., Glasson, B., Boucher, M., Brieseman, C., Coton, M., & Christenson, D. (2018). What is online citizen science anyway? An educational perspective. *Computers and Society*, 1–16.
- Dreyfus, A., Wals, A. E. J., van Weelie, D., & Weelie, D. van. (1999). Biodiversity as a Postmodern Theme for Environmental Education. *Canadian Journal of Environmental Education*, 4(January 2015), 155–176. <https://doi.org/10.1017/s0814062600003761>
- Drummond, H. P., & Selvaratnam, M. (2009). Intellectual skills needed for the effective learning and application of chemical knowledge. *South African Journal of Chemistry*, 62, 179–184.
- Echeverria, A., Ariz, I., Moreno, J., Peralta, J., & Gonzalez, E. M. (2021). Learning plant biodiversity in nature: The use of the citizen–science platform inaturalist as a collaborative tool in secondary education. *Sustainability (Switzerland)*, 13(2), 1–12. <https://doi.org/10.3390/su13020735>
- Ellis, T., & Levy, Y. (2010). A Guide for Novice Researchers: Design and Development Research Methods. *Proceedings of the 2010 InSITE Conference, December*, 107–118. <https://doi.org/10.28945/1237>
- Ellwood, E. R., Monfils, A., White, L., Linton, D., Douglas, N., & Phillips, M. (2019). Developing a Data-Literate Workforce through BLUE: Biodiversity Literacy in Undergraduate Education. *Biodiversity Information Science and*

- Standards*, 3, 0–1. <https://doi.org/10.3897/biss.3.37339>
- Elwood, S., Goodchild, M. F., & Sui, D. Z. (2012). Researching Volunteered Geographic Information: Spatial Data, Geographic Research, and New Social Practice. *Annals of the Association of American Geographers*, 102(3), 571–590. <https://doi.org/10.1080/00045608.2011.595657>
- Engzell, P., Frey, A., & Verhagen, M. D. (2021). Learning loss due to school closures during the COVID-19 pandemic. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*, 118(17). <https://doi.org/10.1073/PNAS.2022376118>
- Esmailian, B., Rust, M., Gopalakrishnan, P. K., Behdad, S., & Pontevedra, V. (2018). Use of Citizen Science to Improve Student Experience in Engineering Design. *Procedia Manufacturing*, 26, 1361–1368. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.promfg.2018.07.124>
- Esson, M., & Moss, A. (2016). The challenges of evaluating conservation education across cultures. *International Zoo Yearbook*, 50(1), 61–67. <https://doi.org/10.1111/izy.12113>
- European Commission. (2017). *Horizon 2020 Work Programme 2018–2020. Science with and for Society*. <https://ec.europa.eu/programmes/horizon2020/en/h2020-section/science-and-society#Article>
- Faizah, U., Rustaman, N. Y., & Supriatno, B. (2021). *The potential of citizen science as a follow up program of student project assignment for biodiversity studies to be meaningful*. <https://doi.org/10.1088/1742-6596/1806/1/012172>
- Falk, S., Foster, G., Comont, R., Conroy, J., Bostock, H., Salisbury, A., Kilbey, D., Bennett, J., & Smith, B. (2019). Evaluating the ability of citizen scientists to identify bumblebee (*Bombus*) species. *PLoS ONE*, 14(6), 1–21. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0218614>
- Farhadinia, M. S., Moll, R. J., Montgomery, R. A., Ashrafi, S., Johnson, P. J., Hunter, L. T. B., & Macdonald, D. W. (2018). Citizen science data facilitate monitoring of rare large carnivores in remote montane landscapes. *Ecological Indicators*, 94(April), 283–291. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecolind.2018.06.064>
- FGDP. (2007). *Research Competencies Framework*. The Royal College of Surgeons of England.
- Fiebelkorn, F., & Menzel, S. (2013). Student Teachers' Understanding of the Terminology, Distribution, and Loss of Biodiversity: Perspectives from a Biodiversity Hotspot and an Industrialized Country. *Research in Science Education*, 43(4), 1593–1615. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11165-012-9323-0>
- Gaston, K. J. (1996). *What is biodiversity?* Blackwell Science Ltd.
- Godet, L. et al. (2018). What conservation does. *Journal in Ecology and Evolution*, 33(10), 720–730.
- Gray, S. a, Nicosia, K., & Jordan, R. C. (2012). Lessons Learned from Citizen Science in the Classroom. A Response to “The Future of Citizen Science.” *Democracy and Education*, 20(2), 1–5. <https://doi.org/10.1080/02701367.2009.10599565>
- Grey, F., Wyler, D., Fröhlich, J., & Maes, K. (2016). Citizen science at universities : Trends, guidelines and recommendations. *Advice Paper*, 20, 24.

<https://www.leru.org/publications/citizen-science-at-universities-trends-guidelines-and-recommendations#>

- Guo, B., Yu, Z., Zhou, X., & Zhang, D. (2014). From participatory sensing to Mobile Crowd Sensing. *2014 IEEE International Conference on Pervasive Computing and Communication Workshops, PERCOM WORKSHOPS 2014*, 593–598. <https://doi.org/10.1109/PerComW.2014.6815273>
- Gyuris, E. (2018). Evaluating the effectiveness of postgraduate research skills training and its alignment with the research skill development framework. *Journal of University Teaching and Learning Practice*, 15(4).
- Hake, R. R. (1999). Analyzing Change / Gain Score. *American Educational Research Association's Division*. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1057/9781137412362>
- Haklay, M. (2012). Citizen Science and Volunteered Geographic Information: Overview and Typology of Participation. In D. Sui et al. (Ed.), *Crowdsourcing Geographic Knowledge: Volunteered Geographic Information (VGI) in Theory and Practice*. Springer Science. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-94-007-4587-2_7
- Haklay, M. (2013). Crowdsourcing geographic Knowledge: Volunteered geographic information (VGI) in Theory and Practice. *Crowdsourcing Geographic Knowledge: Volunteered Geographic Information (VGI) in Theory and Practice*, 9789400745(Elwood 2008), 1–396. <https://doi.org/10.1007/978-94-007-4587-2>
- Hamblen, C. (2004). *Conservation Studies in Biology*. Cambridge University Press.
- Hardati, P. (2015). *Pendidikan Konservasi*. Magnum Pustaka Utama.
- Haryono, A., Saskiawan, I., & Lisdiyanti, P. (2017). *Panduan Penelitian Bidang Ilmu Pengetahuan Alam Bagi Pemula*. LIPI Press.
- Hidayat, S. (2018). Peningkatan Mutu Penelitian Di Indonesia Dalam Mengatasi Masalah Pendidikan. *Bioilmi: Jurnal Pendidikan*, 4(2), 34–44. <https://doi.org/10.19109/bioilmi.v4i2.2872>
- Hidayat, T. (2017). Menggairahkan pembelajaran taksonomi di kelas menggunakan metode fenetik. In *ResearchGate*. <https://www.researchgate.net/publication/313525815%0AMenggairahkan>
- Hidayat, T., & Pancoro, A. (2008). Kajian Filogenetika Molekuler dan Perannya dalam Menyediakan Informasi Dasar untuk Meningkatkan Kualitas Sumber Genetik Anggrek. *AgroBiogen*, 4(1), 35–40.
- Hikmawati, Kusmiyati, & Sutrio. (2019). Keterampilan Psikomotor Siswa Dalam Melakukan Kegiatan Percobaan Tentang Suhu Dan Kalor Menggunakan Media Tiga Dimensi Dan Simulasi Komputer. *Jurnal Penelitian Dan Pembelajaran Fisika Indonesia*, 1(1), 1–5.
- Hitchcock, C., Sullivan, J., & O'Donnell, K. (2021). Cultivating Bioliteracy, Biodiscovery, Data Literacy, and Ecological Monitoring in Undergraduate Courses with iNaturalist. *Citizen Science: Theory and Practice*, 6(1), 26. <https://doi.org/10.5334/cstp.439>
- Hitchcock, C., Vance-Chalcraft, H., & Aristeidou, M. (2021). Citizen Science in Higher Education. *Citizen Science: Theory and Practice*, 6(1), 1–4. <https://doi.org/10.5334/cstp.467>
- Hooykaas, M. J. D., Schilthuizen, M., Aten, C., Hemelaar, E. M., Albers, C. J., & Smeets, I. (2019). Identification skills in biodiversity professionals and

Ipin Aripin, 2022

PENGEMBANGAN PROGRAM PERKULIAHAN BIOLOGI KONSERVASI BERBASIS CITIZEN SCIENCE PROJECT UNTUK MENINGKATKAN LITERASI BIODIVERSITAS DAN KETERAMPILAN MENELITI MAHASISWA CALON GURU BIOLOGI

Universitas Pendidikan Indonesia | repository.upi.edu | perpustakaan.upi.edu

- laypeople: A gap in species literacy. *Biological Conservation*, 238(July), 108202. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biocon.2019.108202>
- Howe, C. (2009). *The Role of Education as a Tool for Environmental Conservation and Sustainable Development*. Imperial College London.
- Huguenbuch, B. E., Bynum, N., Sterling, E., Bower, A. H., John, A., Abraham, B. J., Engels, C., Mull, J. F., Pierce, J. D., Zjhra, M. L., Rhode, J. M., & Ketcham, S. R. (2009). Evaluating a Multi-Component Assessment Framework for Biodiversity Education. *Ecology*, 6(February).
- Hunter, M. L. J., & Gibbs, J. P. (2006). *Fundamentals of Conservation Biology, 3rd Edition*. Sinauer Associates, Inc.
- Ibrahim, Y., Surtikanti, H. K., & ... (2018). The development of inquiry based-integrated fieldtrip program to enhance scientific attitude among students of biology education. ... on *Education*) ..., 6. <http://proceedings.conference.unpas.ac.id/index.php/ice/article/view/322>
- IBSAP. (2016). *Indonesian Biodiversity Strategy and Action Plan (IBSAP) 2015-2020*. BAPPENAS.
- Ilma, S., Al-Muhdhar, M. H. I., Rohman, F., & Saptasari, M. (2020). The correlation between science process skills and biology cognitive learning outcome of senior high school students. *JPBI (Jurnal Pendidikan Biologi Indonesia)*, 6(1), 55–64. <https://doi.org/10.22219/jpbi.v6i1.10794>
- Indrawan, M., Primack, R. B., & Supriatna, J. (2012). *Biologi Konservasi*. Yayasan Obor Indonesia.
- Irwin, A. (1995). *Citizen Science : A Study of People, Expertise and Sustainable Development* (London). Routledge.
- Jäckel, D., Mortega, K. G., Sturm, U., Brockmeyer, U., Khorramshahi, O., & Voigt-Heucke, S. L. (2021). Opportunities and limitations: A comparative analysis of citizen science and expert recordings for bioacoustic research. *PLoS ONE*, 16(6 June 2021), 1–25. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0253763>
- Jacobson, S. K., McDuff, M. D., & Monroe, M. C. (2007). Conservation Education and Outreach Techniques. In *Conservation Education and Outreach Techniques*. Oxford University Press. <https://doi.org/10.1093/acprof:oso/9780198567714.001.0001>
- Jenkins, L. L. (2011). Using citizen science beyond teaching science content: A strategy for making science relevant to students' lives. *Cultural Studies of Science Education*, 6(2), 501–508. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11422-010-9304-4>
- Jody L. Fitzpatrick, Sanders, J. R., & Worthen, B. R. (2011). *Program Evaluation: Alternative Approaches and Practical Guidelines*. Pearson.
- Jordan, R. C., Ballard, H. L., & Phillips, T. B. (2012). Key issues and new approaches for evaluating citizen-science learning outcomes. *Frontiers in Ecology and the Environment*, 10(6), 307–309. <https://doi.org/10.1890/110280>
- Jordan, R., Crall, A., Gray, S., Phillips, T., & Mellor, D. (2015). Citizen science as a distinct field of inquiry. *BioScience*, 65(2), 208–211. <https://doi.org/10.1093/biosci/biu217>
- Kandamby, G. W. T. C. (2018). Enhancement of learning through field study. *Journal of Technology and Science Education*, 8(4), 408–419. <https://doi.org/10.3926/jotse.403>

- Kapon, S. (2016). Doing research in school: Physics inquiry in the zone of proximal development. *Journal of Research in Science Teaching*, 53(8), 1172–1197. <https://doi.org/10.1002/tea.21325>
- Katili, A. S. & Rahmat, A. (2020). Biodiversity Literacy in Science Education for Biodiversity Conservation. *International Journal of Innovations In Engineering Research and Technology (IJIERT)*, 7(5), 31–35.
- Kelemen-Finan, J., Scheuch, M., & Winter, S. (2018). Contributions from citizen science to science education: an examination of a biodiversity citizen science project with schools in Central Europe. *International Journal of Science Education*, 40(17), 2078–2098. <https://doi.org/10.1080/09500693.2018.1520405>
- Kelling, S. Johnston, A., Hochachka, W. M., Iliff, M., Fink, D., Gerbracht, J., Lagoze, C., La Sorte, F. A., Moore, T., Wiggins, A., Wong, W. K., Wood, C., & Yu, J. (2015). Can observation skills of citizen scientists be estimated using species accumulation curves? *PLoS ONE*, 10(10), 1–20. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0139600>
- Khattak, R., & Ur, N. (2014). *Munich Personal RePEc Archive The Significance of Research and Development for Economic Growth: The Case of Pakistan*. 56005.
- Kieslinger, B., Schäfer, T., Heigl, F., Dörler, D., Richter, A., & Bonn, A. (2018). *The Challenge of Evaluation: An Open Framework for Evaluating Citizen Science Activities*. <https://doi.org/10.17605/OSF.IO/ENZC9>
- Killen, H., Chang, L., Soul, L., & Barclay, R. (2022). Combining Physical and Digital Data Collection for Citizen Science Climate Research. *Citizen Science: Theory and Practice*, 7(1), 1–14. <https://doi.org/10.5334/CSTP.422>
- Kinslow, A. T., et al. (2018). Socio-scientific reasoning and environmental literacy in a fieldbased ecology class. *Environmental Education Research*. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1080/13504622.2018.1442418>
- Kishimoto, K., & Kobori, H. (2021). COVID-19 pandemic drives changes in participation in citizen science project “City Nature Challenge” in Tokyo. *Biological Conservation*, 255(February), 109001. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biocon.2021.109001>
- Kobori, H., Dickinson, J. L., Washitani, I., Sakurai, R., Amano, T., Komatsu, N., Kitamura, W., Takagawa, S., Koyama, K., Ogawara, T., & Miller-Rushing, A. J. (2016). Citizen science: a new approach to advance ecology, education, and conservation. *Ecological Research*, 31(1), 1–19. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11284-015-1314-y>
- Krombaß, A., & Harms, U. (2011). Acquiring knowledge about biodiversity in a museum — are worksheets effective? *Journal of Biological Education*, 42(4), 157–163. <https://doi.org/10.1080/00219266.2008.9656134>
- Kullenberg, C., & Kasperowski, D. (2016). What is citizen science? - A scientometric meta-analysis. *PLoS ONE*, 11(1), 1–16. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0147152>
- Kurniawan, I. S., Tapilow, F. S., & Hidayat, T. (2017). How can Smartphone-Based Internet Data Support Animal Ecology Fieldtrip? *Journal of Physics: Conference Series*, 895(1). <https://doi.org/10.1088/1742-6596/895/1/012134>
- Laverty, M. F., Eleanor Sterling, E. J., & Johnson, E. A. (2003). *Synthesis: Why is Biodiversity Important?* The Center for Biodiversity and Conservation of the

American Museum of Natural History.

- Leksono, S. M., & Rustaman, N. (2012). Pengembangan Literasi Biodiversitas sebagai Tujuan Pembelajaran Biologi Konservasi Bagi Calon Guru Biologi. *Prosiding Seminar Nasional Dan Rapat Tahunan Badan Kerjasama PTN Wilayah Barat Bidang Ilmu MIPA (FMIPA UNIMED)*.
- Leksono, S. (2016). Pengaruh Pembelajaran Mini Riset Berbasis Kearifan Lokal Terhadap Kemampuan Penguasaan Materi Biologi Konservasi. *Proceeding Biology Education Conference*, 13(1), 575–578. <http://www.jurnal.fkip.uns.ac.id/index.php/prosbio/article/view/9580>
- Leksono, S. M. (2015). *Biologi Konservasi Konsep Dasar dan Pembelajarannya di Sekolah Berbasis Kearifan Lokal*. Untirta Press.
- Leksono, S. M., Rustaman, N., & Redjeki, S. (2015). Pengaruh Penerapan Program Perkuliahan Biologi Konservasi Berbasis Kearifan Lokal Terhadap Kemampuan Literasi Biodiversitas Mahasiswa Calon Guru. *Jurnal Cakrawala Pendidikan*, 1(1), 89–96. <https://doi.org/10.21831/cp.v1i1.4179>
- Levine, R. L. (2003). Models of Attitude and Belief Change from the Perspective of System Dynamics. *Proceedings of the 21st International Conference of the System Dynamics Society*.
- Lewandowski, E., Caldwell, W., Elmquist, D., & Oberhauser, K. (2017). *Public Perceptions of Citizen Science*. 2(1), 1–9.
- Lewandowski, E. J., & Oberhauser, K. S. (2017). Butterfly citizen scientists in the United States increase their engagement in conservation. *Biological Conservation*, 208, 106–112. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biocon.2015.07.029>
- Liberatore, A., Bowkett, E., MacLeod, C. J., Spurr, E., & Longnecker, N. (2018). Social Media as a Platform for a Citizen Science Community of Practice. *Citizen Science: Theory and Practice*, 3(1), 1–14. <https://doi.org/10.5334/cstp.108>
- Lichti, D., Mosley, P., & Callis-Duehl, K. (2021). Learning from the Trees: Using Project Budburst to Enhance Data Literacy and Scientific Writing Skills in an Introductory Biology Laboratory During Remote Learning. *Citizen Science: Theory and Practice*, 6(1), 32. <https://doi.org/10.5334/cstp.432>
- Lindemann-Matthies, P., Constantinou, C., Junge, X., Köhler, K., Mayer, J., Nagel, U., Raper, G., Schüle, D., & Kadji-Beltran, C. (2009). The integration of biodiversity education in the initial education of primary school teachers: four comparative case studies from Europe. *Environmental Education Research*, 15(1), 17–37. <https://doi.org/10.1080/13504620802613496>
- LIPI. (2010). *Indonesia tak Punya Data Keanekaragaman Hayati*. <http://lipi.go.id/berita/indonesia-tak-punya-data-keanekaragaman-hayati/4692>
- Littledyke, M. (2008). Science education for environmental awareness: Approaches to integrating cognitive and affective domains. *Environmental Education Research*, 14 (1), 1–17. <https://doi.org/doi:10.1080/13504620701843301>
- Liu, H. Y., Kobernus, M., Broday, D., & Bartonova, A. (2014). A conceptual approach to a citizens' observatory-supporting community-based environmental governance. *Environmental Health: A Global Access Science Source*, 13(1), 1–13. <https://doi.org/10.1186/1476-069X-13-107>
- Lopez, C. (2021). Motives for citizen science program participation and the role of the organization: Lessons from water quality monitors in texas. *Citizen*

Ipin Aripin, 2022

PENGEMBANGAN PROGRAM PERKULIAHAN BIOLOGI KONSERVASI BERBASIS CITIZEN SCIENCE PROJECT UNTUK MENINGKATKAN LITERASI BIODIVERSITAS DAN KETERAMPILAN MENELITI MAHASISWA CALON GURU BIOLOGI

Universitas Pendidikan Indonesia | repository.upi.edu | perpustakaan.upi.edu

- Science: Theory and Practice*, 6(1), 1–22. <https://doi.org/10.5334/cstp.341>
- Lorenz, A. (2016). The Influence of a Citizen Science Project: Student Attitudes, Sense of Place, and Understanding of Science Practices. *Doctoral Projects, Masters Plan B, and Related Works*.
- Lüftenegger, M., Bardach, L., Bergsmann, E., Schober, B., & Spiel, C. (2019). A citizen science approach to measuring students' achievement goals. *International Journal of Educational Research*, 95(March), 36–51. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijer.2019.03.003>
- Lyashevskaya, O., & Farnsworth, K. D. (2012). How many dimensions of biodiversity do we need? *Ecological Indicators*, 18, 485–492. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecolind.2011.12.016>
- MacPhail, V. J., & Colla, S. R. (2020). Power of the people: A review of citizen science programs for conservation. *Biological Conservation*, 249(July), 108739. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biocon.2020.108739>
- Maknun, D., Gloria, R. Y., & Muzakki, J. A. (2019). *Model Kerja Lab Berbasis Proyek Untuk Meningkatkan Keterampilan Meneliti Dan Kesadaran Ekospiritual Mahasiswa S1 Program* 65. <http://repository.syekhnurjati.ac.id/3197/>
- Maknun, D., Gloria, R. Y., & Muzakki, J. A. (2020). Keterampilan meneliti yang dimiliki mahasiswa prodi pendidikan biologi se-wilayah III Cirebon. *Jurnal Inovasi Pendidikan IPA*, 6(1), 39–47. <https://doi.org/10.21831/jipi.v6i1.28251>
- Maskall, J., & Cotterell, S. (2011). A project-based approach to research skills development in first year undergraduates. *Planet*, 24(1), 22–29. <https://doi.org/10.11120/plan.2011.00240022>
- Mason, C. E., & Garbarino, J. (2016). The Power of Engaging Citizen Scientists for Scientific Progress. *Journal of Microbiology & Biology Education*, 17(1), 7–12. <https://doi.org/10.1128/jmbe.v17i1.1052>
- Masters, K., Oh, E. Y., Cox, J., Simmons, B., Lintott, C., Graham, G., Greenhill, A., & Holmes, K. (2016). Science learning via participation in online citizen science. *Journal of Science Communication*, 15(3). <https://doi.org/10.22323/2.15030207>
- McKinley, D. C., Miller-Rushing, A. J., Ballard, H. L., Bonney, R., Brown, H., Cook-Patton, S. C., Evans, D. M., French, R. A., Parrish, J. K., Phillips, T. B., Ryan, S. F., Shanley, L. A., Shirk, J. L., Stepenuck, K. F., Weltzin, J. F., Wiggins, A., Boyle, O. D., Briggs, R. D., Chapin, S. F., ... Soukup, M. A. (2017). Citizen science can improve conservation science, natural resource management, and environmental protection. *Biological Conservation*, 208, 15–28. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biocon.2016.05.015>
- McKinley, D. C., Miller-rushing, A. J., Ballard, H. L., Bonney, R., Brown, H., Evans, D. M., French, R. A., Parrish, J. K., Phillips, T. B., Ryan, S. F., Shanley, L. A., Shirk, J. L., Stepenuck, K. F., Weltzin, J. F., Wiggins, A., Boyle, O. D., Briggs, R. D., Iii, S. F. C., Hewitt, D. A., & Soukup, M. A. (2015). *Published by the Ecological Society of America esa Management and Environmental Protection*. 19.
- Medrizam, Pratiwi, S., & Wardiyono. (2004). *Wilayah Kritis Keanekaragaman Hayati di Indonesia: Studi Kasus Ekosistem Pesisir Laut*. Direktorat Pengendalian Sumber Daya Alam dan Lingkungan Hidup.
- Meerah, T. S. M., & Arsad, N. M. (2010). Developing research skills at secondary

- school. *Procedia - Social and Behavioral Sciences*, 9, 512–516. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.sbspro.2010.12.189>
- Meerah, T. S. M., Osman, K., Zakaria, E., Ikhsan, Z. H., Krish, P., Lian, D. K. C., & Mahmud, D. (2012). Measuring Graduate Students Research Skills. *Procedia - Social and Behavioral Sciences*, 60, 626–629. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.sbspro.2012.09.433>
- Meeting, M. C., & Meeting, W. G. (2016). *Citizen Science to promote creativity , scientific literacy , and innovation throughout Europe*.
- Meltzer, D. (2002). The Relationship between Mathematics Preparation and Conceptual Learning Gains in Physics. *American Journal of Physics*, 70(12), 1259–1268.
- Meulen, D. van der. (2017). Teaching undergraduates research skills by doing and reflecting. *CeROArt, HS*, 0–11. <https://doi.org/10.4000/ceroart.5055>
- Milkisso, K. P. (2020). *Undergraduate University Students ' Knowledge , Attitude and Behavior towards Biodiversity*. 10(02), 39–50.
- Mitchell, N., Triska, M., Liberatore, A., Ashcroft, L., Weatherill, R., & Longnecker, N. (2017). Benefits and challenges of incorporating citizen science into university education. *PLoS ONE*, 12(11), 1–15. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0186285>
- Moss, A., Jensen, E., & Gusset, M. (2014). A Global Evaluation of Biodiversity Literacy in Zoo and Aquarium Visitors. *WAZA Magazine, October*, 37.
- Moss, A., Jensen, E., & Gusset, M. (2015). Evaluating the contribution of zoos and aquariums to Aichi Biodiversity Target 1. *Conservation Biology*, 29(2), 537–544. <https://doi.org/10.1111/cobi.12383>
- Munandar, A. (2009). *Konservasi Fauna Indonesia*. Rizqi Press.
- Naeem, S., Prager, C., Weeks, B., Varga, A., Flynn, D. F. B., Griffin, K., Muscarella, R., Palmer, M., Wood, S., & Schuster, W. (2016). Biodiversity as a multidimensional construct: A review, framework and case study of herbivory's impact on plant biodiversity. *Proceedings of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences*, 283(1844). <https://doi.org/10.1098/rspb.2015.3005>
- National Academies of Sciences, Engineering, and M. (2018). Learning Through Citizen Science: Enhancing Opportunities by Design. In *The National Academies Press*. The National Academies Press. <https://doi.org/doi:https://doi.org/10.17226/25183>
- Navarro-Perez, M., & Tidball, K. G. (2012). Challenges of Biodiversity Education : A Review of Education Strategies for Biodiversity Education. *International Electronic Journal of Environmental Educationfile:///C:/Users/Rachel/Desktop/Questirre/International Organizzazioni.Pdf*, 2(1), 12–30.
- Nugent, J. (2020). iNaturalist: Citizen Science for the Digital Age. *The Science Teacher*, 87(8), 58.
- Nuraeni, H., Rustaman, N. Y., & Hidayat, T. (2017). *Teacher's Understanding of Biodiversity, Conservation, and Hotspots Biodiversity Concepts*. 57(ICMSEd 2016), 252–256. <https://doi.org/10.2991/icmsed-16.2017.54>
- Nurjanah. (2020). *Pengembangan Perkuliahan Fisika Dasar Menggunakan Dialogue Reflective Oriented Problem Based Learning (DROPBL) untuk Meningkatkan Keterampilan Pemecahan Masalah dan Berpikir Kritis Mahasiswa Calon Guru*. Universitas Pendidikan Indonesia.

- Nurlaelah, I., Widodo, A., Redjeki, S., & Rahman, T. (2020). Student's research skills in middle school of Kuningan district. *Journal of Physics: Conference Series*, 1521(4). <https://doi.org/10.1088/1742-6596/1521/4/042105>
- Oberhauser, K., & Lebuhn, G. (2012). Insects and plants: Engaging undergraduates in authentic research through citizen science. *Frontiers in Ecology and the Environment*, 10(6), 318–320. <https://doi.org/10.1890/110274>
- Odenwald, S. (2020). Smartphone sensors for citizen science applications: Light and sound. *Citizen Science: Theory and Practice*, 5(1), 1–16. <https://doi.org/10.5334/cstp.254>
- OECD. (2006). PISA 2006 Technical Report. In *Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development (OECD)*.
- Palmer Fry, B. (2011). Community forest monitoring in REDD+: The “M” in MRV? *Environmental Science and Policy*, 14(2), 181–187. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envsci.2010.12.004>
- Paradise, C., & Bartkovich, L. (2021). Integrating Citizen Science with Online Biological Collections to Promote Species and Biodiversity Literacy in an Entomology Course. *Citizen Science: Theory and Practice*, 6(1), 28. <https://doi.org/10.5334/cstp.405>
- Paul, J. D., & Buytaert, W. (2018). Citizen Science and Low-Cost Sensors for Integrated Water Resources Management. In *Advances in Chemical Pollution, Environmental Management and Protection* (Vol. 3). Elsevier Ltd. <https://doi.org/10.1016/bs.apmp.2018.07.001>
- Pawicara, R., & Conilie, M. (2020). Analisis Pembelajaran Daring terhadap Kejenuhan Belajar Mahasiswa Tadris Biologi IAIN Jember di Tengah Pandemi Covid-19. *ALVEOLI: Jurnal Pendidikan Biologi*, 1(1), 29–38. <https://doi.org/10.35719/alveoli.v1i1.7>
- Peggie, D. (2014). *Mengenal Kupu-kupu*. Pandu Aksara Publishing.
- Peter, M., Diekötter, T., Kremer, K., & Höffler, T. (2021). Citizen science project characteristics: Connection to participants' gains in knowledge and skills. *PLoS ONE*, 16(7 July 2021), 1–30. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0253692>
- Peter, Maria, Diekötter, T., Höffler, T., & Kremer, K. (2021). Biodiversity citizen science: Outcomes for the participating citizens. *People and Nature*, 3(2), 294–311. <https://doi.org/10.1002/pan3.10193>
- Pettibone, L. et al. (2014). *Citizen science for all A guide for citizen science practitioners*. The German Federal Ministry of Education and Research. www.buergerschaffenwissen.de
- Pettibone, L. et al. (2016). *Citizen science for all A guide for citizen science practitioners*. Leibniz Institute for Evolution and Biodiversity Science. www.buergerschaffenwissen.de
- Philips, T. et al. (2014). *User's guide for evaluating learning outcomes in citizen science*. Cornell Lab of Ornithology.
- Phillips, T., Porticella, N., Constan, M., & Bonney, R. (2018). A Framework for Articulating and Measuring Individual Learning Outcomes from Participation in Citizen Science. *Citizen Science: Theory and Practice*, 3(2), 3. <https://doi.org/10.5334/cstp.126>
- Pocock, M.J.O., Chapman, D. S., Sheppard, L. J., & Roy, H. E. (2014). *Choosing and Using Citizen Science: a guide to when and how to use citizen science to*

- monitor biodiversity and the environment*. Centre for Ecology and Hydrology. Pocock, Michael J.O., Chandler, M., Bonney, R., Thornhill, I., Albin, A., August, T., Bachman, S., Brown, P. M. J., Cunha, D. G. F., Grez, A., Jackson, C., Peters, M., Rabarijaon, N. R., Roy, H. E., Zaviero, T., & Danielsen, F. (2018). A Vision for Global Biodiversity Monitoring With Citizen Science. In *Advances in Ecological Research* (1st ed., Vol. 59, Issue January). Elsevier Ltd. <https://doi.org/10.1016/bs.aecr.2018.06.003>
- Prahmana, R. C. I. (2015). Hubungan Antara Keterampilan Meneliti dan Pembuatan Skripsi Mahasiswa Pendidikan Matematika. *Jurnal Numeracy*, 2(2). <https://doi.org/10.1017/CBO9781107415324.004>
- Raddick, M. J., Prather, E. E., & Wallace, C. S. (2019). Galaxy zoo: Science content knowledge of citizen scientists. *Public Understanding of Science*, 28(6), 636–651. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0963662519840222>
- Rahmawati, D. N., Zahro, L., & Hidayatullah, A. F. (2021). Pembelajaran Praktikum pada Mahasiswa Prodi Biologi dan Pendidikan Biologi Universitas Islam Negri Walisongo Semarang di Masa Pandemi Covid-19. *Indonesian Journal of Science Learning*, 2(2), 109–116. <http://jurnalftk.uinsby.ac.id/index.php/IJSL/article/view/1238%0Ahttp://jurnalftk.uinsby.ac.id/index.php/IJSL/article/download/1238/554>
- Ramadoss, A., & Poyya Moli, G. (2010). Biodiversity Conservation through Environmental Education for Sustainable Development - A Case Study from Puducherry, India. *International Electronic Journal of Environmental Education*, 1(2), 97–111. <https://doi.org/10.18497/iejee-green.99495>
- Rangkuti, A. N. (2016). Pembelajaran Berbasis Riset di Perguruan Tinggi. *Batusangkar International Conference, October 2016*, 141–152.
- Redondo, M. L., Dios, M. A. Q., Manzanares, M. C. S., & Navarro, S. J. (2018). Citizen Science in School. *Hand-on Science. Advancing Science. Improving Education*, July, 1–5.
- Riandi, R., Apriliana, V., & Purwianingsih, W. (2018). The Analysis of 21st Century Teachers' Ability in Technological Pedagogical Content Knowledge. *Advances in Social Science, Education and Humanities Research*, 212(Icei), 275–278. <https://doi.org/10.2991/icei-18.2018.60>
- Richard Gott, P. M. (1987). *Assessing Investigations at Ages 13 and 15*. Assesment of Performance Unit.
- Richey, R. C., & Klein, J. D. (2007). *Design and Development Research*. Lawrence Erlbaum Associates, Inc.
- Rijal, M., Saefudin, & Amprasto. (2018). Field trip method as an effort to reveal student environmental literacy on biodiversity issue and context. *Journal of Physics: Conference Series*, 1013(1). <https://doi.org/10.1088/1742-6596/1013/1/012020>
- Roche, J., Bell, L., Galvão, C., Golumbic, Y. N., Kloetzer, L., Knoblen, N., Laakso, M., Lorke, J., Mannion, G., Massetti, L., Mauchline, A., Pata, K., Ruck, A., Taraba, P., & Winter, S. (2020). Citizen Science, Education, and Learning: Challenges and Opportunities. *Frontiers in Sociology*, 5(December), 1–10. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fsoc.2020.613814>
- Rodríguez, G., Pérez, N., Núñez, G., Baños, J. E., & Carrió, M. (2019). Developing creative and research skills through an open and interprofessional inquiry-based learning course. *BMC Medical Education*, 19(1), 1–13.

<https://doi.org/10.1186/s12909-019-1563-5>

- Roetman, P. E. J., & Daniels, C. B. (2018). The benefits of citizen science in research, education and community engagement. *Creating Sustainable Communities in a Changing World, March 2018*, 249–260.
- Roger, E., Geary, W. L., Saunders, M. E., Meredith, F., Welbourne, D. J., Bako, A., Canavan, E., Herro, F., Herron, C., Hung, O., Kunstler, M., Lin, J., Ludlow, N., Paton, M., Salt, S., Simpson, T., Wang, A., Zimmerman, N., Drews, K. B., ... Moles, A. T. (2018). *Citizen science in schools : Engaging students in research on urban habitat for pollinators.* 1–8. <https://doi.org/10.1111/aec.12608>
- Roito, E., Solihat, R., & Wulan, A. R. (2019). Pencapaian Keterampilan Meneliti Abad Ke-21 Peserta Didik SMA pada Pembelajaran Ekosistem melalui Step-By-Step Model Experiment. *Assimilation: Indonesian Journal of Biology Education*, 2(1), 14–18.
- Roth, C. E. (1992). *Environmental Literacy: Its Roots, Evolution and Directios in the 1990s.*
- Ruiz-Mallén, I., Riboli-Sasco, L., Ribault, C., Heras, M., Laguna, D., & Perié, L. (2016). Citizen Science: Toward Transformative Learning. *Science Communication*, 38(4), 523–534. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1075547016642241>
- Rustaman, N. Y. (2005). *Kemampuan Dasar Bekerja Ilmiah dalam Pendidikan Sains dan Asesmennya.*
- Rustaman, N. Y. (2007). *Belajar IPA Melalui Keterampilan Proses Sains.*
- Rustaman, N. Y. (2015). Integrasi Aspek Afektif-Kognitif melalui Pembelajaran Bioresources Berorientasi Local Wisdom dan Berpikir Sistem Untuk Membekali Perilaku Konservasi Melalui Klasifikasi-Generalisasi. *Prosiding Seminar Nasional Biotik*, 1–11.
- Sabirova, E. G., & Zakirova, V. G. (2015). Formation of Pupils' Research Skills in Informational and Educational Environment of Elementary School. *Procedia - Social and Behavioral Sciences*, 191, 1139–1142. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.sbspro.2015.04.257>
- Sakir, N. A. I., & Kim, J. G. (2021). Comparing biodiversity-related contents in secondary biology textbooks from Korea, Indonesia, and the United States of America. *Journal of Biological Education*, 55(1), 17–30. <https://doi.org/10.1080/00219266.2019.1643760>
- Samedi, S. (2015). Konservasi Keanekaragaman Hayati Di Indonesia: Rekomendasi Perbaikan Undang-Undang Konservasi. *Jurnal Hukum Lingkungan Indonesia*, 2(2), 1. <https://doi.org/10.38011/jhli.v2i2.23>
- Sari, D. P., Wulan, A. R., & Solihat, R. (2019). Developing 21st century student research skills through assessment matrix and edmodo in biology project. *Journal of Physics: Conference Series*, 1157(2). <https://doi.org/10.1088/1742-6596/1157/2/022093>
- Schaal, S., Schaal, S., & Lude, A. (2015). Digital Geogames to foster local biodiversity. *International Journal for Transformative Research*, 2(2), 16–29. <https://doi.org/10.1515/ijtr-2015-0009>
- Scheuch, M., Panhuber, T., Winter, S., Kelemen-finan, J., Bardy-durchhalter, M., Kapelari, S., Scheuch, M., Panhuber, T., Winter, S., & Kelemen-finan, J. (2018). Butterflies & wild bees : biology teachers ' PCK development through citizen science. *Journal of Biological Education*, 9266, 1–10.

Ipin Aripin, 2022

PENGEMBANGAN PROGRAM PERKULIAHAN BIOLOGI KONSERVASI BERBASIS CITIZEN SCIENCE PROJECT UNTUK MENINGKATKAN LITERASI BIODIVERSITAS DAN KETERAMPILAN MENELITI MAHASISWA CALON GURU BIOLOGI

Universitas Pendidikan Indonesia | repository.upi.edu | perpustakaan.upi.edu

<https://doi.org/10.1080/00219266.2017.1405530>

- Schneiderhan-Opel, J., & Bogner, F. X. (2020a). FutureForest: Promoting Biodiversity Literacy by Implementing Citizen Science in the Classroom. *American Biology Teacher*, 82(4), 234–240. <https://doi.org/10.1525/abt.2020.82.4.234>
- Schneiderhan-Opel, J., & Bogner, F. X. (2020b). How fascination for biology is associated with students' learning in a biodiversity citizen science project. *Studies in Educational Evaluation*, 66(October 2019), 100892. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.stueduc.2020.100892>
- Shirk, J. L., Ballard, H. L., Wilderman, C. C., Phillips, T., Wiggins, A., Jordan, R., McCallie, E., Minarchek, M., Lewenstein, B. V., Krasny, M. E., & Bonney, R. (2012). Public participation in scientific research: A framework for deliberate design. *Ecology and Society*, 17(2). <https://doi.org/10.5751/ES-04705-170229>
- Shwartz, Y., Ben-Zvi, R., & Hofstein, A. (2006). The use of scientific literacy taxonomy for assessing the development of chemical literacy among high-school students. *Chemistry Education Research and Practice*, 7(4), 203–225. <https://doi.org/10.1039/B6RP90011A>
- Sickler, J., Cherry, T. M., Allee, L., Smyth, R. R., & Losey, J. (2014). Scientific Value and Educational Goals: Balancing Priorities and Increasing Adult Engagement in a Citizen Science Project. *Applied Environmental Education and Communication*, 13(2), 109–119. <https://doi.org/10.1080/1533015X.2014.947051>
- Silva, C. G., Monteiro, A., Manahl, C., Lostal, E., Holoher-Ertl, T., Andrade, N., Brasileiro, F., Mota, P. G., Sanz, F. S., Carrodeguas, J. A., & Brito, R. M. M. (2016). Cell spotting: Educational and motivational outcomes of cell biology citizen science project in the classroom. *Journal of Science Communication*, 15(1), 1–20. <https://doi.org/10.22323/2.15010202>
- Silvertown, J. (2009). A new dawn for citizen science. *Trends in Ecology and Evolution*, 24, 467–471.
- Soanes, K., Cranney, K., Dade, M. C., Edwards, A. M., Palavalli-Nettimi, R., & Doherty, T. S. (2020). How to work with children and animals: A guide for school-based citizen science in wildlife research. *Austral Ecology*, 45(1), 3–14. <https://doi.org/10.1111/aec.12836>
- Solihat, R., Rustaman, N., Widodo, A., & Saefudin. (2015). Keterampilan Riset Mahasiswa Biologi dan Pendidikan Biologi; Analisis Berdasarkan Refleksi Personal. *Metodik Didaktik*, 9(2), 16–24.
- Spash, C. L., & Hanley, N. (1995). Preferences, information and biodiversity preservation. *Ecological Economics*, 12(3), 191–208. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0921-8009\(94\)00056-2](https://doi.org/10.1016/0921-8009(94)00056-2)
- Starr, J., Schweik, C. M., Bush, N., Fletcher, L., Finn, J., Fish, J., & Barger, C. T. (2014). Lights, camera...citizen science: Assessing the effectiveness of smartphone-based video training in invasive plant identification. *PLoS ONE*, 9(11). <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0111433>
- Stevens, R. D., & Gavilanez, M. M. (2015). Dimensionality of community structure: Phylogenetic, morphological and functional perspectives along biodiversity and environmental gradients. *Ecography*, 38(9), 861–875. <https://doi.org/10.1111/ecog.00847>

Ipin Aripin, 2022

PENGEMBANGAN PROGRAM PERKULIAHAN BIOLOGI KONSERVASI BERBASIS CITIZEN SCIENCE PROJECT UNTUK MENINGKATKAN LITERASI BIODIVERSITAS DAN KETERAMPILAN MENELITI MAHASISWA CALON GURU BIOLOGI

Universitas Pendidikan Indonesia | repository.upi.edu | perpustakaan.upi.edu

- Stevens, S., Mills, R., & Kuchel, L. (2019). Teaching communication in general science degrees: highly valued but missing the mark. *Assessment and Evaluation in Higher Education*, 44(8), 1163–1176. <https://doi.org/10.1080/02602938.2019.1578861>
- SturtzSreetharan, C., Ruth, A., Wutich, A., Glegziabher, M., Mitchell, C., Bernard, H. R., & Brewis, A. (2021). Citizen Social Scientists' Observations on Complex Tasks Match Trained Research Assistants', Suggesting Lived Experiences are Valuable in Data Collection. *Citizen Science: Theory and Practice*, 6(1), 37. <https://doi.org/10.5334/cstp.449>
- Stylinski, C. D., Peterman, K., Phillips, T., Linhart, J., & Becker-Klein, R. (2020). Assessing science inquiry skills of citizen science volunteers: a snapshot of the field. *International Journal of Science Education, Part B: Communication and Public Engagement*, 10(1), 77–92. <https://doi.org/10.1080/21548455.2020.1719288>
- Subali, B. (2017). *Metode Penelitian Pendidikan Biologi dan Pendidikan Sains Pada Umumnya*. UNY Press.
- Subekti, H., Setiawan, B., Yuhanna, Susilo, H., Ibrohim, & Suwono, H. (2018). Analisis Keterampilan Riset Mahasiswa Calon Guru IPA di Universitas Negeri Surabaya : Studi Eksplorasi. *Proceeding Seminar Nasional IPA IX, April*.
- Subekti, H., Yuhanna, W. L., & Susilo, H. (2018). Representation of Mutual Terms and Research Skills Towards Grade Point Average : Exploration Study. *Florea*, 5(1), 1–10.
- Sudarsana, I. K., Putra, I. B. M. A., Astawa, I. N. T., & Yogantara, I. W. L. (2019). The use of Google classroom in the learning process. *IOP Conf. Series: Journal of Physics*, 1175. <https://doi.org/10.1088/1742-6596/1175/1/012165>
- Suhartini. (2009). Peran Konservasi Keanekaragaman Hayati Dalam Menunjang Pembangunan Yang Berkelanjutan. *Prosiding Seminar Nasional FMIPA UNY*.
- Supriatna, J. (2018). *Konservasi Biodiversitas Teori dan Praktik di Indonesia*. Yayasan Obor Indonesia.
- Surapranata, S. (2005). *Analisis, Validitas, Reliabilitas dan Interpretasi Hasil Tes Implementasi Kurikulum 2004*. Remaja Rosda Karya.
- Suyanto, A. (2017). *Konservasi Lingkungan*. Graha Cendikia.
- Theobald, E. J., Ettinger, A. K., Burgess, H. K., DeBey, L. B., Schmidt, N. R., Froehlich, H. E., Wagner, C., HilleRisLambers, J., Tewksbury, J., Harsch, M. A., & Parrish, J. K. (2015). Global change and local solutions: Tapping the unrealized potential of citizen science for biodiversity research. *Biological Conservation*, 181, 236–244. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biocon.2014.10.021>
- Thomas, R. E. W. et al. (2018). Metrics and outcomes of conservation education: a quarter century of lessons learned. *Environmental Education Research*, 25(2), 172–192. <https://doi.org/10.1080/13504622.2018.1450849>
- Tosh, J., James, K., Rumsey, F., Crookshank, A., Dyer, R., & Hopkins, D. (2016). Is DNA barcoding child's play? Science education and the utility of DNA barcoding for the discrimination of UK tree species. *Botanical Journal of the Linnean Society*, 181(4), 711–722. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1111/boj.12449>
- Treweek, J. (2001). *A Review of Experiences and Methods: Integrating Biodiversity With National Environmental Assessment Processes* (Issue January 2001).

- UNEP. <https://doi.org/10.13140/RG.2.1.2651.9761>
- Trombulak, S. C., Omland, K. S., Robinson, J. A., Lusk, J. J., Fleischner, T. L., Brown, G., & Domroese, M. (2004). Principles of Conservation Biology: Recommended Guidelines for Conservation Literacy from the Education Committee of the Society for Conservation Biology. *Conservation Biology*, 18(5), 1180–1190. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1523-1739.2004.01851.x>
- Turrini, T., Dörler, D., Richter, A., Heigl, F., & Bonn, A. (2018). The threefold potential of environmental citizen science - Generating knowledge, creating learning opportunities and enabling civic participation. *Biological Conservation*, 225(December 2017), 176–186. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biocon.2018.03.024>
- Tweddle, J.C., et al. (2012). *Guide to citizen science: developing, implementing and evaluating citizen science to study biodiversity and the environment in the UK*. Natural History Museum and NERC Centre for Ecology & Hydrology for UK-EOF.
- UNESCO-UNEP. (1977). *Intergovernmental Conference on Environmental Education* (Issue October).
- UNESCO. (2016). *Education for people and planet: Sustainable Development Goals United Nations Educational, Scientific and Cultural Organization. The Global Education Monitoring Report 2nd Edition*.
- UNESCO. (2017). *Reading the past, writing the future*.
- Uyanto, S. (2006). *Pedoman Analisis Data dengan SPSS*. Graha Ilmu.
- Valle, N., Antonenko, P., Soltis, P. S., Soltis, D. E., Folk, R. A., Guralnick, R. P., Oliverio, J. C., Difato, T. T., Xu, Z., & Cheng, L. (2020). Informal multimedia biodiversity awareness event as a digital ecology for promoting culture of science. *Education and Information Technologies*, 25(4), 3275–3297. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10639-020-10121-7>
- Van Weelie, D., & Wals, A. E. J. (2002). Making biodiversity meaningful through environmental education. *International Journal of Science Education*, 24(11), 1143–1156. <https://doi.org/10.1080/09500690210134839>
- Vance-Chalcraft, H. D., Gates, T. A., Hogan, K. A., Evans, M., Bunnell, A., & Hurlbert, A. H. (2021). Using Citizen Science to Incorporate Research into Introductory Biology Courses at Multiple Universities. *Citizen Science: Theory and Practice*, 6(1), 23. <https://doi.org/10.5334/cstp.424>
- Venuste, N., Olivier, H., & Valens, N. (2017). Knowledge , Attitudes and Awareness of Pre- Service Teachers on Biodiversity Conservation in Rwanda. *International Journal of Environmental and Science Education*, 12(April), 643–652.
- Vitae. (2012). Vitae Researcher Development Framework. *Researcher Development Framework*, 1–8. <https://www.vitae.ac.uk/researchers-professional-development/about-the-vitae-researcher-development-framework/developing-the-vitae-researcher-development-framework%5Cnwww.intranet.birmingham.ac.uk/asc>
- Voogt, J., & Roblin, N. P. (2012). A comparative analysis of international frameworks for 21 st century competences: Implications for national curriculum policies. *Journal of Curriculum Studies*, 44(3), 299–321. <https://doi.org/10.1080/00220272.2012.668938>
- Wals, A. E. J., Brody, M., Dillon, J., & Stevenson, R. B. (2014). Convergence

- between science and environmental education. *Science*, 344 (6184), 583–584. <https://doi.org/doi:10.1126/science.1250515>
- Webb, F., Smith, C., & Worsfold, K. (2011). *Research Skills Toolkit. C*, 1–15. <http://www.griffith.edu.au/gihe/resources-support/graduate-attributes>
- West, S., Dyke, A., & Pateman, R. (2021). Variations in the motivations of environmental citizen scientists. *Citizen Science: Theory and Practice*, 6(1), 1–18. <https://doi.org/10.5334/CSTP.370>
- Wiggins, A., & Crowston, K. (2011). From conservation to crowdsourcing: A typology of citizen science. *Proceedings of the Annual Hawaii International Conference on System Sciences*, 1–10. <https://doi.org/10.1109/HICSS.2011.207>
- Wijana, N. (2015). *Biologi Dasar*. Innosain.
- Williams, R. L., Porter, S. K., Hart, A. G., & Goodenough, A. E. (2012). The Accuracy of Behavioural Data Collected by Visitors in a Zoo Environment: Can Visitors Collect Meaningful Data? *International Journal of Zoology*, 2012, 1–13. <https://doi.org/10.1155/2012/724835>
- Willison, J., & Buisman-Pijlman, F. (2016). PhD prepared: research skill development across the undergraduate years. *International Journal for Researcher Development*, 7(1), 63–83. <https://doi.org/10.1108/ijrd-07-2015-0018>
- Willison, J., & O'Regan, K. (2007). Commonly known, commonly not known, totally unknown: a framework for students becoming researchers. *Higher Education Research and Development*, 26(4), 393–409. <https://doi.org/10.1080/07294360701658609>
- Willison, J., Regan, K. O., & Kuhn, S. K. (2018). *RSD7: Researcher Skill Development Framework (US English Edition) Table 1 : Researcher Skill Development Framework*.
- Willison, J. W. (2012). When academics integrate research skill development in the curriculum. *Higher Education Research and Development*, 31(6), 905–919. <https://doi.org/10.1080/07294360.2012.658760>
- Willison, J. W. (2018). Research skill development spanning higher education: Critiques, curricula and connections. *Journal of University Teaching and Learning Practice*, 15(4).
- Wisanti, & Astriani, D. (2018). Bryological exploration: Field-trip based learning to develop competencies of science teacher candidate. *Journal of Physics: Conference Series*, 1006(1). <https://doi.org/10.1088/1742-6596/1006/1/012006>
- Wittmann, J., Girman, D., & Crocker, D. (2019). Using iNaturalist in a Coverboard Protocol to Measure Data Quality: Suggestions for Project Design. *Citizen Science: Theory and Practice*, 4(1), 1–12. <https://doi.org/10.5334/cstp.131>
- Wiyatmoko. (2018). Ancaman Kepunahan Spesies Tumbuhan di Indonesia. *Koran Tempo*.
- World Wildlife Fund. (1996a). *The Development of a Biodiversity Literacy Assessment Instrument. Report to the National Environmental Education Training Foundation on the Biodiversity Literacy*.
- Würschum, T., Leiser, W. L., Jähne, F., Bachteler, K., Miersch, M., & Hahn, V. (2019). The soybean experiment ‘1000 Gardens’: a case study of citizen science for research, education, and beyond. *Theoretical and Applied*

- Genetics*, 132(3), 617–626. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00122-018-3134-2>
- Yalcin, M. N. A. (2015). Science teachers research skills through the use of scientific method: The case of Turkey. *Educational Research and Reviews*, 10(17), 2439–2446. <https://doi.org/10.5897/err2015.2308>
- Yang, D., Wan, H. Y., Huang, T. K., & Liu, J. (2019). The role of citizen science in conservation under the telecoupling framework. *Sustainability (Switzerland)*, 11(4), 1–17. <https://doi.org/10.3390/su11041108>
- Yli-Panula, E., Jeronen, E., Lemmetty, P., & Pauna, A. (2018). Teaching methods in biology promoting biodiversity education. *Sustainability (Switzerland)*, 10(10), 1–18. <https://doi.org/10.3390/su10103812>
- Yuda, I. P. (2017). Pendekatan Citizen Science untuk Meningkatkan Penelitian dan Konservasi Burung di Indonesia. *Konferensi Pemerhati Dan Peneliti Burung Indonesia III, Universitas Udayana Denpasar, July*, 1–12.
- Zizka, G. (2017). Citizen Science. In *Biologie in Unserer Zeit* (2nd ed., Vol. 47, Issue 1). Elsevier Inc. <https://doi.org/10.1002/biuz.201710611>