REFERENCES

- Adams, W.K., *et al.* (2009). A study of educational simulations part II-interface design. *Journal of Interactive Learning and Research*, 19. [Online].
 Available: http://phet.colorado.edu/webpages/publications/PhET interview Paper Part II.pdf. [2nd of December 2009]
- Ainsworth, S. (1999). The functions of multiple representations. *Computers & Education*, 33, 131-152.
- Ainsworth, S. (2006). DeFT: A conceptual framework for considering learning with multiple representations. *Learning and Instruction*, 16, (3), 183-198.
- Asikainen, M. et al. (2005). A novel quantum physics course for physics teachers: theoretical background. Presented paper on the report of cooperation project between Universities of Joensuu and Helsinki, Helsinki.
- Ballet, K., Kelchtermans, G., & Loughran, J. (2006). Beyond intensification towards a scholarship of practice: analysing changes in teachers' work lives. *Teachers and Teaching*, 12, (2), 209-229.
- Bao, L. and Redish, E. F. (2002). Understanding probabilistic interpretation of physical systems: A prerequisite to learning quantum physics. *American Journal of Physics*, 70, (3), 210-217.
- Bounessah, M. (2002). The boxplot: A robust exploratory data analysis tool for the definition of the threshold for outlier data. *Asian Journal of Chemistry*, 14, (3).
- Boyce, C., and Neale, P. (2006). Conducting In-depth Interviews : A Guide for Designing and Conducting In-Depth Interviews for Evaluation Input : Pathfinder International Tool Series. [Online]. Available: http://www.pathfind.org. [18th July 2009]
- Brotosiswojo, B. S. (2001). *Hakikat Pembelajaran MIPA di Perguruan Tinggi: Fisika*. Jakarta: Pusat Antar Universitas untuk Peningkatan dan Pengembangan Aktivitas Instruksional (PAU-PPAI) Dirjen Dikti Depdiknas.
- Caliskan, S., Selcuk, G.S., & Erol, M. (2009). Student Understanding of Some Quantum Physical Concepts. *Lat. Am. J. Phys. Educ*, 3, (2).
- Carolan, J., Prain, V., & Waldrip, B. (2008). Using representations for teaching and learning in science. *Teaching Science*, 54, (1), 18-23.
- Chin, Christine (2007). Multimodality in Teaching and Learning and Science. *Proceeding the 1st International Seminar on Science Education*. Bandung: Graduate School Indonesia University of Education.
- Costa, A.L. (1985). *Developing Mind, A resource book for teaching thinking*. Virginia: Association for supervision and curriculum development.

- Creagh, C. (2008). Diagrams: Useful tools for investigating a student's understanding of buoyancy. *Teaching Science*, 54, (4), 48 50.
- Creswell, J.W. (2008). Educational Research :planning, conducting, and evaluating Quantitative and qualitative research. New Jersey: Pearson education inc.
- Creswell, J.W & Clark, V.P (2007). *Designing and Conducting Mixed Methods Research*. Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage
- Dancy, M., & Beicher, R. (2006). Impact of animation on assessment of conceptual understanding in physics. *Physical Review Special Topics-Physics Education Research*, 2, (1), 101-104.
- diSessa, A., & Sherin, B. (2000). Meta-representation: An introduction. Journal of Mathematical Behavior, 19, (4), 385-398.
- diSessa, A. (2004). Metarepresentation: Native competence and targets for instruction. *Cognition and Instruction*, 22, (3), 293-331.
- Duda, G. & Garret, K. (2007). Blogging in The Physics Classroom: A Research-based Approach to Shaping Students' Attitudes towards Physics. *Journal Physics Education arXiv:0708.1759.* 1, 1-17.
- Ehrlen, K. (2009). Drawings as representations of children's conceptions. International Journal of Science Education, 31, (1), 41-58.
- Ennis, R.H. (1996). Critical Thinking. New Jersey: Prentice-Hall, Inc.
- Etkina, E. (2005). Physics teacher preparation: Dreams and reality. *Journal of Physics Teacher Education Online*, 3, (2).
- Euler, M. (2004). The role of experiment in the teaching and learning of physics. *Proceedings of the international school of physics "Enrico Fermi"*. Italia : IOS Press.
- Facione, P.A. (1995). The disposition toward critical thinking. *Journal of General Education*, 44, 1-25.
- Facione, P.A, Facione, N.C, & Giancarlo, C.A. (2000). The Disposition Toward Critical Thinking: Its Character, Measurement, and Relationship to Critical Thinking Skill. *Informal Logic*, 20, (1), 61-84.
- Feynman, R. (1965). The development of the space-time view of quantum electrodynamics; Nobel lecture. [Online]. Available: http://nobelprize.org/physics/laureates/1965/feynman-lecture.html. [21st of March 2009].
- Finklestein, N. *et al.* (2005). When learning about the real world is better done virtually: A study of substituting computer simulations for laboratory

equipment" *Physical Review Special Topics, Physics-Education Research*, 1, 010103.

- Fischler, H., and Lichtfeldt M. (1992). Modern physics and students' conceptions. International Journal of Science Education, 14, 181-190.
- Ford, M., & Forman, E. (2006). Redefining literacy learning in classroom contexts. *Review of Research in Education*, 30, 1-32.
- Gall, M.D., Gall, J.P., & Borg, W.R. (2003). *Education Research an Introduction*. (7th Edition). Pearson Education. Inc.
- Giancarlo, C.A, & Facione, P.A. (2001). A Look across Four Years at the Disposition toward Critical Thinking Among Undergraduate Students. *The Journal of General Education*, 50, (1), 29-55.
- Gibb, J., 2002. *The Collection of Research Reading on Generic Skill in VET*. [Online]. Available: http://www.ncvr. edu.au.html. [7th of July 2009]
- Givry, D. & Roth, W.M. (2006). Toward a new conception of conceptions: Interplay of talk, gestures, and structures in the setting". *J. Res. Sci. Teach*, 43, 1086.
- Gunel, M., Hand, B., & Gunduz, S. (2006). Comparing Student Understanding of Quantum Physics When Embedding Multimodal Representations into Two Different Writing Formats: Presentation Format Versus Summary Report Format. [Online]. Available: http://www.interscience.wiley.com. [21st of October 2007]
- Gruden, S, Nancy, Baverlyn Lundy Allen and Kathlene Larson. (2002). Focus Group Fundamentals.[Online].Available: http://www.extension.iastate.edu/Publication/PM1968B.pdf. [27th of December 2008]
- Greeno, J.G., & Hall, R.P. (1997). Practicing representation: Learning with and about representational forms. *Phi Delta Kappa*, 78, (5), 361-36.
- Hake, R.R. (1988). Interactive-engagement versus traditional methods: a sixthousandstudent survey of mechanics test data for introductory physics courses. *American Journal of Physics*, 66, 6.
- Herman, M. F. (2002). *Relationship of college students' visual preference to use of representations: Conceptual understanding of functions in algebra*. PhD dissertation, Ohio State University, Columbus: Unpublished.
- Hobson, A. (2007). *Physics: Concept and Connections*. (4th edition). New Jersey: Pearson Prentice Hall, Pearson Education Inc.
- Hobson, A. (1996). Teaching Quantum Theory in the Introductory Course. *The Physics Teacher*. April 1996, 202-210.
- Jewitt, C., & Kress, G. (Eds.). (2003). Multi-modal literacy. New York: Peter Lang.

- Johnson R.B & Onwuegbuzie A.J (2004). Mixed Method Research: A Research Paradigm Whose Time Has Come. *Educational Researcher*, 33, (7), 14-26.
- Jones, S.M., & Barmuta, L. (2003). Challenging students to think critically: a science unit focussing on generic skills. *Presented paper on HERDSA 2003 conference proceedings*, Australia.
- Kohl, P.B., Rosengrant, D., & Finkelstein, N.D. (2007). Strongly and weakly directed approaches to teaching multiple representation use in physics. *Physical Review Special Topics-Physics Education Research*, 3, 010108.
- Kohl, P.B., & Finkelstein, N.D. (2006). Effect of instructional environment on physics students' representational skills. *Physical Review Special Topics-Physics Education Research*, 2, 010102.
- Kohl, P.B., & Finkelstein, N.D. (2005). Student representational competence and selfassessment when solving physics problems". *Physical Review Special Topics-Physics Education Research*, 1, 010104.
- Kohl, P.B., & Finkelstein, N.D. (2004). Representational Format, Student Choice, and Problem Solving in Physics. *PERC Proceedings 2004*. AIP Publishing MD
- Kozma, R.B. (2003). The material features of multiple representations and their cognitive and social affordances for science understanding". *Learning and Instruction*, 13, 205-226.
- Kozma, R.B., & Russell, J. (1997). Multimedia and understanding: Expert and novice responses to different representations of chemical phenomena. *Journal of Research in Science Teaching*, 34, 949.
- Kozma, R.B., & Russell, J. (2007). Students becoming chemists: Developing representational competence. In J. Gilbert (Eds.). Visualization in science education. Netherlands: Springer.
- Krause, S. *et al.* (2009). Using Students' Previous Experience and Prior Knowledge to Facilitate Conceptual Change in an Introductory Materials Course. *Presented in 39th ASEE/IEEE Frontiers in Education Conference*, San Antonio, Texas.
- Kress, G., Jewitt, C., Ogborn, J., & Tsatsarelis, C. (2001). *Multimodal teaching and learning: The rhetorics of the science classroom*. London, UK: Continuum.
- Lang, K.S. (2006). *Effects of a Cognitive-Infusion Intervention on Critical Thinking Skills and Dispositions of Pre-service Teachers*. Paper presented on AARE Conference, Adelaide, Australia.
- Lasry N. & M.W. Aulls. (2007). The Effect of Multiple Internal Representations on Context Rich Instruction. *American Journal Physics*, 75, (11), 1030-1037.

- Liliasari (2007). Scientific Concepts and Generic Science Skill Relationship in The 21st Century Science Education. *Proceeding The 1st International Seminar on Science Education*. Bandung : Graduate Scholl Indonesia University of Education.
- Loughran, J. J., *et al.* (2001). Science cases in action: documenting science teachers' pedagogical content knowledge through PaP-eRs, *Research in Science Education*, 31, (1), 267–289.
- Loughran, J.J., Berry, A., & Mulhall, P. (2006). Understanding and Developing Science Teachers Pedagogical Content Knowledge. Rotterdam: Sense Publishers.
- Martinez, J. G. R. (2001). Thinking and writing mathematically: `Achilles and the Tortoise` as an algebraic word problem. *Mathematics Teacher*, 94,(4), 248-256.
- Mayer, R. E. (1997). Multimedia learning: Are we asking the right questions?. *Educational Psychologist*, 32, 1-19.
- Mayer, R.E., (2003). The promise of multimedia learning: using the same instructional design methods across different media. *Learning and Instruction*, 13, 125.
- McDermott, L.C. (1993). How we teach and how students learn-A mismatch?. *American Journal of Physics*, 61, 295.
- McDermott, L. C. & Redish, E. F. (1999). Resource letter: PER-1: Physics education research. *American Journal of Physics*, 67, 755.
- McKagan, S.B., Perkins, K.K., & Wieman, C.E. (2008). Why we should teach the Bohr model and how to teach it effectively. *Physical Review Special Topics-Physics Education Research*, 4, 010101.
- McKagan, S.B. et al. (2008). Developing and researching PhET simulations for teaching quantum mechanics. American Journal of Physics, 76, 406.
- McKagan, S. B. et al (2009). A research-based curriculum for teaching the photoelectric effect. American Journal of Physics, 77, (1), 87-94.
- Meltzer, E.D. (2002). The relationship between mathematics preparation and conceptual learning gains in physics : A possible :hidden variable in diagnostic pretest score. *American Journal of Physics*, 70, (2), 1259-1268.
- Meltzer D. E. (2005). Relation between students' problem-solving performance and representational format. *American Journal of Physics*, 73, (5), 463.
- Minium, King, & Bear. (1993). *Statistical Reasoning in Psychology and Education*. New York: John Wiley & Sons, Inc.

- Müller, R., & Wiesner, H. Students' Conceptions of Quantum Physics. Presented at NARST 99. [Online]. Available: http://www.phys.ksu.edu/perg/papers/narst/QM-papers.pdf. [25th of February 2009]
- NRC. (2003). National Science Education Standards : Standards for Science Teacher Preparation. Washington DC: National Academy Press.
- Physics Education Technology Project. [Online]. Available: http://phet.colorado.edu. [19th August 2009]
- Podolefsky, N.S. & Finkelstein, N.D. (2006). Use of analogy in learning physics: The role of representations. *Physical Review Special Topics Physics- Education Research*, 2, 020101.
- Podolefsky, N.S & Finkelstein, N.D. (2007). Analogical scaffolding and the learning of abstract ideas in physics: An example from electromagnetic waves. *Physical Review Special Topics-Physics Education Research*, 3, 010109.
- Rimiene, Viava. (2002). Assessing and developing students' critical thinking. *Psychology Learning and Teaching*, 2, (1), 17-22.
- Rebello, N.S. & Zollman, D.A. (1999). Conceptual understanding of quantum mechanics after using hands-on and visualization instructional materials. Presented at NARST 1999. [Online]. Available: http://www.phys.ksu.edu/perg/papers/narst/QM_papers.pdf. [27th of August 2008]
- Rebello, N.S. & Zollman, D.A. (2005). Trends in Phyiscs Educational Research-A personal perspective. Manhattan: Department of Physics, Kansas State University, KS 66506-2601.
- Robblee, K. M., Garik, P. and Abegg, G. (1999). Using computer visualization to teach quantum science on pedagogical content knowledge. *Presented at NARST 1999*. [Online]. Available: http://www.phys.ksu.edu/perg/papers/narst/QM_papers.pdf. [27th of August 2008]
- Roberts, D. (1996). Epistemic authority for teacher knowledge: The potential role of teacher communities: A response to Robert Orton. *Curriculum Inquiry*, 26, 417-431.
- Rosengrant, D., Etkina, E., & Van Heuvelen, A. (2006). An overview of recent research in multiple representations. *Presented paper on Proceedings of the* 2006 PERC, AIP Conference Proceedings.
- Rutherford, J.I. & Ahlgren, A. (1990). *Science for All Americans*. Oxford: Oxford University Press, Inc.
- Saul, E.W. (Ed.) (2004). Crossing borders in literacy and science instruction: Perspectives on theory and practice. Newark DE: International Reading Association and National Science Teachers Association.

- Scherrer, R. (2006). *Quantum Mechanics An Accessible Introduction*. San Fransisco : Pearson Education Addison Wesley.
- Scherr, R.E. (2008). Gesture analysis for physics education researchers. *Physical Review Special Topics-Physics Education Research*, 4, 010101.
- Schnotz, W., & Lowe, R. (2003). External and internal representations in multimedia learning. *Learning and Instruction*, 13, 117-123.
- Steinberg, R., Wittmann, M.C., Bao, L., and Redish, E.F. (1999). The influence of student understanding of classical physics when learning quantum mechanics. *Presented paper at the annual meeting National Association for Research in Science Teaching*.
- Sudarmin, (2007). Pengembangan Model Pembelajaran Kimia Organik dan Keterampilan Generik Sains (MPKOKG) bagi Calon Guru Kimia. Disertasi Program Doktor Sekolah Pasca Sarjana Universitas Pendidikan Indonesia: Tidak dipublikasikan.
- Teddlie, C., & Tashakkori, A. (2009). Foundations of mixed method research: Integrating quantitative and qualitative approaches in the social and behavioral sciences. Thousand Oaks: SAGE Publication, Inc.
- Thisman, Shari & Andrade, Albert. (2007). *Thinking Disposition: A review of current theories, practices, and issues.* [Online]. Available: http://learnweb.harvard.edu/alps/thinking/docs/Disposition.htm. [9th of September 2008]
- Tytler, R., Peterson, S., & Prain. V. (2006). Picturing evaporation: Learning science literacy through a particle representation. *Teaching Science*. 52, (1), 12-17.
- Unsworth, L. (2001). *Describing visual literacies: In teaching multiliteracies across the curriculum.* Philadelphia: Open University Press.
- Van Heuvelen, A. (1991). Learning to think like a physicist: A review of researchbased instructional strategies. *American Journal of Physics*, 59, 891-897.
- Van Heuvelen, A. (2001). Millikan Lecture 1999: The Workplace, Student Minds, and Physics Learning Systems. *American Journal of Physics*, 69, 1139-1146.
- Van Heuvelen, A. & Zou, X., (2000). Multiple representations of work-energy processes. *American Journal of Physics*, 69, 184-194.
- Waldrip, B & Prain, V. (2004). Enhancing learning through using multi-modal representations of concepts. *Paper presented at the annual meeting of the American Education Research Association (AERA)*.
- Waldrip, B, Prain, V & Carolan, J. (2006). Learning Junior Secondary Science through Multi-Modal Representations. *Electronic Journal of Science Education*. 11, (1).

- Waldrip, B. (2008). Improving learning through use of representations in science. *Proceeding The 2nd International Seminar on Science Education*. Science Education Program. Bandung :Graduate School Indonesia University of Education.
- Waldrip, B. & Prain, V. (2010). The effects of an explicit representational focus on student summative assessment in science. *Presented paper at the annual* conference of the National Association for Research in Science Teaching, Philadelphia.
- Waldrip, B., Prain, V., & Carolan, J. (2010). Using multi-modal representations to improve learning in Junior Secondary Science. *Res. Science Education*, 40, 65-80.
- Wan Sulaeman, W.S, Abdul Rahman, W.R, & Dzulkifli, M.D.(2007). Relationship between Critical Thinking Dispositions, Perceptions towards Teachers, Learning Approaches and Critical Thinking Skills among University Students. *The 4th International Postgraduate Research Colloquium IPRC Proceedings*, 209-220.
- Wittmann, M.C. (2006). Using resource graphs to represent conceptual change. *Physical Review Special Topics-Physics Education Research*, 2, 020105.
- Zhang, J. and Norman, D. A. (1994). Representations in distributed cognitive tasks. Cog. Sci., 18, 87-122.
- Zollman D. (1999) Hands-On Quantum Mechanics. *Proceedings of Hands-On Experiments in Physics Education*. GIREP. Lotharstrasse: University of Duisburg.
- Zollman, D. A., Rebello, N. S. and Hogg, K. (2002). Quantum mechanics for everyone: Hands-on activities integrated with technology. *Am. J. Phys*, 70, (3), 252-259.
- Zou, X. (2001). The role of work-energy bar charts as a physical representation in problem solving. *Proceedings of the 2001 Physics Education Research Conference.* Rochester, NY, 17-21