DAFTAR PUSTAKA

- Adbo, K. & Taber, K.S. (2009). Learners' mental models of the particle nature of matter: A study of 16-year-old Swedish science students. *International Journal of Science Education*, 31(6), pp. 757-786.
- Akbas, A. & Kan, A. (2007). Affective factors that influence chemistry achievement (motivation and anxiety) and the power of these factors to predict chemistry achievement. *Journal of Turkish Science Education*, 4(1), pp. 10-19.
- Akkaya, E.G. (2007). *Identification of learning styles of learnesrs attending preparatory classes at universities and suggested activities*. (Dissertation). Middle East Technical University, Ankara.
- Altman, D.G. (2009). Statistics notes: Parametric v non-parametric methods for data analysis. *BMJ Journals*, 339(7714), pp. 170
- Atay, P.D. (2006). Relative influence of cognitive and motivational variables on genetic concepts in traditional and learning cycle classrooms. (Dissertation). Middle East Technical University, Ankara.
- Atkins, P. & de Paula, J. (2010). *Physical Chemistry*. New York: W. H. Freeman and Company.
- Banet, E. & Ayuso, G.E. (2003). Teaching of biological inheritance and evolution of living beings in secondary school. *International Journal of Science Education*, 25(3), pp. 373–407.
- Barker, V. & Millar, R. (2000). Students' reasoning about basic chemical thermodynamics and chemical bonding: What changes occur during a context-based post-16 chemistry course? *International Journal of Science Education*, 22(11), pp. 1171–1200.
- Baser, M. (2007). The contribution of learning motivation, reasoning ability and learning orientation to ninth grade international baccalaurate and national program students' understanding of meiosis and meitosis. (Dissertation). Middle East Technical University, Ankara.
- Baviskar, S.N., Hartle, R.T., & Whitney, T. (2009). Essential criteria to characterize constructivist teaching: Derived from a review of the literature and applied to five constructivist-teaching method articles. *International Journal of Science Education*, 31(4), pp. 541–550.

- Biehler, R.F. & Snowman, J. (1997). *Psychology applied to teaching*. Boston: Houghton Mifflin Company.
- Bodner, G.M. (1986). Constructivism: A theory of knowledge. *Journal of Chemical Education*, 63(10), pp. 873-878.
- Bodner, G.M. & Domin D. S. (2000). Mental models: The role of representations in problem solving in chemistry. *University Chemistry Education*, *4*(1), pp. 24-30.
- Bostrom, L. & Krocksmark, T. (2005). Learning and strategies. *Journal of Research in Teacher Education*, 4, pp. 39-49.
- Boujaoude, S., Salloum, S. & Khalick, F.A. (2004). Relationships between selective cognitive variables and students' ability to solve chemistry problems. *International Journal of Science Education*, 26(1), pp. 63 84.
- Brady, J.E., Senese, F.A., & Jespersen, N.D. (2009). *Chemistry*. New York: John Wiley & Sons (Asia) Pte. Ltd.
- Buckley, B.C. & Boulter, C.J. (2000). Investigating the role of representations and expressed model in building mental models. Dalam Gilbert, J.K. & Boulter C.J. (Penyunting), *Developing models in science education* (pp. 119-135). Dordrecht: Kluwer Academic Publishers.
- Burrowes, P.A. (2003). A student-centered approach to teaching general biology that really works: Lord's constructivist model put to a test. *The American Biology Teacher*, 65(7), pp. 491–501.
- Çalık, M., Ayas, A. & Coll, R.K. (2007). Enhancing pre-service primary teachers' conceptual understanding of solution chemistry with conceptual change text. *International Journal of Science Mathematics Education*, 5(1), pp. 1-28.
- Cavas, P. (2011). Factors affecting the motivation of Turkish primary students for science learning. *Science Education International*, 22(1), pp. 31-42.
- Cañas, J. J., Antolí, A., & Quesada, J. F. (2001). The role of working memory on measuring mental models of physical systems. *Psicologica*, 22(1), pp. 25-42.
- Chandran, S., Treagust, D., & Tobin, K. (1987). The role of cognitive factors in chemistry achievement. *Journal of Research in Science Teaching*, 24(2), pp. 145-160.
- Chittleborough, G. & Treagust, D. (2007). The modelling ability of non-major chemistry students and their understanding of the sub-microscopic level. *Journal Royal Society of Chemistry*, 8(3), pp. 274-292.

- Chittleborough, G. (2004). The Role of Teaching Model and Chemical Representation in Developing Students Mental Models in Chemical Phenomena. (Thesis). Curtin University of Technology, Perth.
- Claxton, C.S. & Murrell, P.H. (1987). *Learning styles: implications for improving educational practices*. Santa Barbara: ASHE-ERIC Higher Education Reports.
- Clement, J. (2000). Model based learning as a key research area for science education. *International Journal of Science Education*, 22(9), pp. 1041-1053.
- Else, M.J., Clement, J. & Ramirez, M.A.R. (2008). Using analogies in science teaching and curriculum design: Some guidelines. Dalam Clement, J. & Ramirez, M.A.R. (Penyunting), *Models and Modeling in Science Education*, Amherst: Springer.
- Coll, R.K. (2008). Chemistry learners' preferred mental models for chemical bonding. *Journal of Turkish Science Education*, *5*(1), pp. 22-47.
- Coll, R.K. & Treagust, D.F. (2003). Investigation of secondary school, undergraduate, and graduate learners' mental models of ionic bonding. *Journal of Research in Science Teaching*, 40(5), pp. 464-486.
- Craik, K.J.W. (1943). *The nature of explanation*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Creswell, J.W., Vicki, L., Clark, P. (2007). *Designing and Conducting Mixed Methods Research*. California: Sage Publications, Inc.
- Dunn, R. (1984). Learning style: State of the sciene. *Theory Into Practice*, 23(1), pp. 10-19.
- Dunn, R. (2000). Learning styles: Theory, research and practice. *National Forum of Applied Educational Research Journal*, 13(1), pp. 3-22.
- Efe, R., Gonen, S., Maskan, A.K., & Heveandli, M. (2011). Science student teachers' preferences for ways of learning: Differences and similarities. *Educational Research and Reviews*, 6(2), pp. 201-207.
- Ellis, S. & Maidan-Gilad, N. (1997). 'Organizational performance and shared mental models during planned change'. [Online]. Tersedia di: http://recanati.tau.ac.il/faculty/pdf/ellis_shmuel. Diakses 20 Maret 2011.
- Fah, L.Y. (2009). Logical thinking abilities among form 4 students in the interior division of Sabah, Malaysia. *Journal of Science and Mathematics Education in Southeast Asia*, 32(2), pp. 161-187.

- Felder, R. & Brent, R. (2005). Understanding student differences. *Journal of Engineering Education*, 94(1), pp. 57-72.
- Franco, C., & Colinvaux, D. (2000). Grasping mental models. Dalam Gilbert, J.K. & Boulter, C.J. (Penyunting), *Developing models in science education* (pp. 93-118). Dordrecht: Kluwer Academic Publishers.
- Garnett, P.J. (1992). Conceptual difficulties experienced by senior high school students of electrochemistry. Electric circuits and oxidation reduction equations. *Journal of Research in Science Teaching*, 29(2), pp. 121-142.
- Geake, J. (2008). Neuromythologies in education. *Educational Research*, 50(2), pp. 123-133.
- Gentner, D., & Stevens, A.L. (1983). *Mental models*. Hillsdale, NJ: Erlbaum.
- Gilbert, J. K., Boulter, C., & Rutherford, M. (1998). Models in explanations, part 1: Horses for courses? *International Journal of Science Education*, 20(1), pp. 83 97.
- Gobert, J.D. & Buckley, B.C. (2000). Introduction to model-based teaching dan learning in science education. *International Journal of Science Education*, 22(9), pp. 891-894.
- Greca, M.I. & Moreira, A.M. (2000). Mental models, conceptual models, dan modelling. *International Journal of Science Education*, 22(1), pp. 1-11.
- Haidar, H.A. & Abraham, R.M. (1991). A comparison of applied and theoretical knowledge of concept based on the particulate nature of matter. *Journal of Research in Science Teaching*, 28(10), pp. 919-938.
- Hake, R.R. (1998). Interactive-engagement versus traditional methods: A sixthousand-student survey of mechanics test data for introductory physics courses. *American Journal of Physics*, 66(1), pp. 64–74.
- Harrison, A.G. & Treagust, D.F. (1996). Secondary students' mental models of atoms and molecules: Implications for teaching chemistry. *Science Education*, 80(5), pp. 509–534.
- Henderson, L. & Tallman, J. (2006). *Mental models, stimulated recall dan teaching computer information literacy*. Lanham WD: Scarecrow Press.
- Hesse, J.J. & Anderson, C.W. (1992). Students' conceptions of chemical change. *Journal of Research in Science Teaching*, 29(3), pp. 277-299.
- Hilton, A. (2008). 'Scaffolding chemistry learning within the context of emerging scientific research themes through laboratory' [Online] AARE Conference. 4 Desember. Tersedia di: http://www.aare.edu.au/publications-

- database.php/5673/scaffolding-chemistry-students-learning-within-the-context-of-emerging-scientific-research-themes-th
- Holbrook, J. (2005). Making Chemistry Teaching Relevant. *Chemical Education International*, 6 (1), pp. 1-12.
- Housecroft, C.E. & Sharpe, A.G. (2005). *Inorganic Chemistry*. Gosport: Ashford Colour Press Ltd.
- Jabot, M. & Henry, D. (2007). Mental models of elementary dan middle school students in analyzing simple battery dan bulb circuits. *School Science dan Mathematics*, 107(1), pp. 371-381.
- Jansoon, N., Coll, R.K. & Somsook, E. (2009). Understanding mental models of dilution in Thai students. *International Journal of Environmental & Science Education*, 4(2), pp. 147-168.
- Johnson-Laird, P.N. (1983). Mental models. Cambridge, MA: Harvard University.
- Johnson-Laird, P.N. & Byrne, R. (2000). Mental models and pragmatics. *Behavioural dan Brain Sciences*, 23(1), pp. 284-286.
- Johnstone, A.H. (1982). Macro- and micro-chemistry. *School Science Review*, 64(277), pp. 377-379.
- Johnstone, A.H. (2000). Teaching of chemistry-logical or psychological? *Chemistry Education: Research dan Practice in Europe*, *1*(1), pp. 9-15.
- Kermen, I. dan Méheut, M. (2009). Different models used to interpret chemical changes: Analysis of a curriculum dan its impact on French students' reasoning. *Chemistry Education Research dan Practice*, 10(1), pp. 24-34.
- Khan, Z.N. (2009). Differences between learning styles in professional courses at university level. *Journal Social Science*, *5*(3), pp. 236-238.
- Kolomuc, A. & Tekin, S. (2011). Chemistry teachers' misconceptions concerning concept of chemical reaction rate. *Eurasian Journal Physics Chemistry Education*, 3(2), pp. 84-101.
- Jurusan Pendidikan Kimia, FPMIPA, UPI (2013). *Kurikulum Pendidikan Kimia 2013*. Bandung: Prodi Pendidikan Kimia.
- Lawson, A.E. (1982). Formal reasoning, achievement, dan intelligence: An issue of importance. *Science Education*, 66(1), pp. 77-83.
- Lawson, A.E. & Thompson L.D. (1988). Formal reasoning ability and misconceptions concerning genetics dan natural selection. *Journal of Research in Science Teaching*, 25(9), pp. 733-746.

- Lawson, A.E., Banks, D.L., & Logvin, M. (2007). Self eficacy, reasoning ability, and achievement in college biology. *Journal of Research in Science Teaching*, 44(5), pp. 706-724.
- Lederman, N.G., Gess-Newsome, J., & Latz, M.S. (1994). The nature and development of preservice science teachers' conceptions of subject matter dan pedagogy. *Journal of Research in Science Teaching*, 31(2), pp. 129-146.
- Lee, O. & Brophy, J. (1996). Motivational patterns observed in sixth-grade science classrooms. *Journal of Research in Science Teaching*, 33(3), pp. 585–610.
- Lehninger, A.L., Nelson, D.L., & Cox, M.M. (2008). *Principles of Biochemistry*. New York: W. H. Freeman and Company.
- Lin, J.W & Chiu, M.H. (2007). Exploring the characteristics dan diverse source of students' mental models of acids dan bases. *International Journal of Science Education*, 29(6), pp. 771-803.
- Lin, J.W. & Chiu, M.H. (2010). The mismatch between students' mental models of acids/bases dan their sources dan their teacher's anticipations thereof. *International Journal of Science Education*, 32(12), pp. 1617-1646.
- Marais, P. & Jordaan, F. (2000). Are we taking symbolic language for granted? *Journal of Chemical Education*, 77(10), pp. 1355-1357.
- Markman, A.B. & Gentner, D. (2001). Thinking. *Annual Review of Psychology*, 52(1), pp. 223–247.
- Napier, J.D. & Riley, J.P. (1985). Relationship between affective determinants dan achievement in science for seventeen-year-olds. *Journal of Research in Science Teaching*, 22(4), pp. 365–383.
- National Research Council (1996). *National Science Education Standards*. Washington, DC: National Academy Press.
- Norman, D. A. (1983). Some observations on mental models. Dalam Gentner, D. & Stevens, A. (Penyunting), *Mental models* (pp. 6-14). Hillsdale, N.J.: Lawrence Erlbaum Associates.
- National Science Teachers Assiociation (NSTA) in Collaboration with the Association for the Education of Teachers in Science. (1998). *Standards for Science Teacher Preparation*. Washington, DC: National Academy Press.

- Oliva, J.M. (2003). The structural coherence of students' conceptions in mechanics dan conceptual change. *International Journal of Science Education*, 25(5), pp. 539-561.
- Özmen, H. & Ayas, A. (2003). Students' difficulties in understanding of the conservation of matter in open and closed system chemical reactions. *Chemistry Education: Research and Practice*, 4(3), pp. 279–290.
- Palmer, D. (2005). A motivational view of constructivist-informed teaching. *International Journal of Science Education*. 27(15), pp. 1853-1881.
- Park, E.J. & Light, G. (2009). Identifying atomic structure as a threshold concept: Student mental models dan troublesomeness. *International Journal of Science Education*, 31(2), pp. 233-258.
- Park, E.J. (2006). Student Perception Dan Conceptual Development As Represented By Student Mental Models Of Atomic Structure. (Dissertation). The Ohio State University, Columbus.
- Peker, M. & Mirasyedioglu, S. (2008). Pre-service elementary school teachers' learning styles and attitudes towards mathematics. *Eurasia Journal Mathematics, Science, and Technology Education*, 4(1), pp. 21-26.
- Piaget, J. (1969). *The origins of intelligence in children*. New York: International University Press.
- Pinarbasi, T. & Canpolat, N. (2003). Students' understanding of solution chemistry concepts. *Journal of Chemical Education*, 80(11), pp. 1328-1332.
- Pintrich, P.R., Marx, R.W., & Boyle, R.A. (1993). Beyond cold conceptual change: The role of motivational beliefs dan classroom contextual factors in the process of conceptual change. *Review of Educational Research*, *63*(2), pp. 167–199.
- Porter, B.D. & Hernacki, M. (1999). *Quantum Learning: Unleashing the Genius in You*. New York: Dell Publishing.
- Rahmawati, Y. (2008). *The Role of Constructivism in Teaching and Learning Chemistry*. [Online]. Tersedia di: http://pendidikansains.wordpress.com/category/kuliah/teaching-and-learning-in-science/. Diakses 30 April 2012.
- Reese, D.D. (2008). Engineering instructional metaphors within virtual environments to enhance visualization. Dalam Gilbert J.K., Reiner, M. & Nakhleh, M. (Penyunting), *Visualization: Theory dan Practice in Science Education*, (pp. 133–153). Dordrecht: Springer
- Reid, J. (1987). The learning style preferences of ESL students. *TESOL Quarterly*, 24(2), pp. 323-338.

- Roadrangka, V. (1995). Formal operational reasoning ability, cognitive style dan achievement in Biology, Physics, dan Chemistry concepts of Form 4 students in Penang, Malaysia. SEAMEO Regional Centre for Education in Science dan Mathematics, Penang.
- Sanger, M.J. & Greenbowe, T.J. (1997). Common student misconceptions in electrochemistry: Galvanic, electrolytic, and concentration cells. *Journal of Research in Science Teaching*, 34(4), pp. 377–398.
- Savant, M. (1997). The power of logical thinking. New York: St. Martin's Press.
- Schunk, D.H., Pintrich, P.R., & Meece, J.L. (2010). *Motivation in education: Theory, research dan applications*. New Jersey: R.R. Donnelley& Son Company.
- Sevinç, B., Özmen, H., & Yiğit, N. (2011). Investigation of primary students' motivation levels towards science learning. *Science Education International*, 22(3), pp. 218-232.
- Sirhan, G. (2007). Learning difficulties in chemistry: An overview. *Journal of Turkish science education*, 4(2), pp. 2-20.
- Skoog, D.A., West, D.M., Holler, F.J., & Crouch, S.R. (2004). *Fundamental of Analytical Chemistry*. New York: Saunders College Publishing.
- Solomons, T.W.G. & Fryhle, C.B. (2011). *Organic Chemistry*. New York: John Wiley Sons, Inc.
- Stocklmayer, S. & Gilbert, J.K. (2002). Informal chemical education. Dalam Gilbert, J.K., de-Jong, O., Justi, R., Treagust, D.F. & van-Driel, J.H. (Penyunting), *Chemical education: Towards research-based practice* (pp. 143-164). Dordrecht: Kluwer Academic Publishers.
- Staver, J.R. & Lumpe, A.T. (1995). Two investigations of students' understanding of the mole concept and its use in problem solving. *Journal of Research in Science Teaching*, 32(2), pp. 177-193.
- Strickland, A.M., Kraft, A., & Bhattacharyya, G. (2010). What happens when representations fail to represent? Graduate students' mental models of organic chemistry diagrams. *Chemistry Education Research and Practice*, 11(4), 293-301
- Taber, K.S. (2001). The mismatch between assumed prior knowledge dan the learner's conceptions: A typology of learning impediments. *Educational Studies*, 27(2), pp. 159–169.

- Tobin, K.G. & Capie, W. (1982). Relationships between formal reasoning ability, locus of control, academic engagement dan integrated process skill achievement. *Journal of Research in Science Teaching*, 19(2), 113-121.
- Tuan, H.L., Chin, C.C. & Tsai, C.C. (2005). The development of a questionnaire to measure students' motivation towards science learning. *International Journal of Science Education*, 27(6), pp. 634-659.
- Tuna, A., Biber, A.C., Incikapi, L. (2013). An analysis of mathematics teacher candidates' logical thinking levels: Case of Turkey. *Journal of Educational and Instructional Studies in the World*, 3(1), pp. 83-91.
- Van-Driel, J.H., Verloop, N., & de Vos, W. (1998). Developing science teachers' pedagogical content knowledge. *Journal of Research in Science Teaching*, 35(6), pp. 673–695.
- Voska, K.W. & Heikkinen, H.W. (2000). Identification and analysis of student conceptions used to solve chemical equilibrium problems. *Journal of Research in Science Teaching*, 37(2), pp. 1-60.
- Vosniadou, S. & Ioannides, C. (1998). From conceptual development to science education: A psychological point of view. *International Journal of Science Education*, 20(10), pp. 1213–1230.
- Wang, C.Y. (2007). The Role Of Mental-Modeling Ability, Content Knowledge, and Mental Models In General Chemistry Students' Understdaning About Molecular Polarity. (Disertasi). University of Missouri, Missouri.
- Wang, C.Y. & Barrow, L.H. (2010). Characteristics and levels of sophistication: An analysis of chemistry students' ability to think with mental models. *Research in Science Education*, 41(1), pp. 561-586.
- Windschitl, M. (2002). Framing constructivism in practice as the negotiations of dilemmas: An analysis of the conceptual, pedagogical, cultural and political challenges facing teachers. *Review of Educational Research*, 72(2), pp. 131-175.
- Yayla, R.G. & Eyceyurt, B. (2011). Mental models of pre-service science teachers about basic concepts in chemistry. *Western Anatolia Journal of Educational Sciences*, Special Issue: Selected papers presented at WCNTSE, pp. 285-294.
- Yenilmez, A., Sungur, S. & Tekkaya C. (2006). Students' achievement in relation to reasoning ability, prior knowledge and gender. *Research in Science & Technological Education*, 24(1), pp. 129-138.