

**PRESERVICE ENGLISH TEACHERS' BELIEF IN EMPOWERMENT  
WITH FIVE DIMENSIONS IN THE TEACHING LANGUAGE  
EDUCATION PROGRAM**

Submitted to fulfill one of the requirements of the Doctoral Degree of English  
Education Program at Sekolah Pascasarjana



**By**  
**MAISA**  
**1707663**

**ENGLISH LANGUAGE EDUCATION PROGRAM**  
**FACULTY OF LANGUAGE AND LITERATURE EDUCATION**  
**UNIVERSITAS PENDIDIKAN BAHASA INDONESIA**  
**2022**

## **AUTHOR'S DECLARATION**

This dissertation comprises only my original work toward the Doctoral of Education Degree. Due acknowledgment has been made in the text to all other material used. The dissertation does not exceed the word length for this degree. No part of this work has been used for the award of another degree.

**APPROVAL SHEET DISSERTATION****PRESERVICE TEACHERS' BELIEF IN THE EMPOWERMENT WITH FIVE  
DIMENSIONS AT THE ENGLISH LANGUAGE TEACHING PROGRAM**

A case study of English Department Students and Teachers in Higher Education

Level

Approved by:

Supervisor

Prof. Dr. H. Didi Suherdi., M.Ed

Co-Supervisor

Prof. Bachrudin Musthafa, Ph.D

Examiner I

Prof. Dr. Nenden Srilengkanawati, M.Pd

Examiner II

Dr. Sri Setyarini, M.A.Ling

Board Examiner

Prof. Dr. Rudi Hartono, S.S., M.Pd

## ABSTRACT

Recently, empowerment has been a critical component of transformative value, as higher education is an ongoing process of student transformation. Nevertheless, the conservative teaching practices often disempower students, affecting preservice teachers' learning-unlearn-relearn situation. This study investigates the preservice teachers' belief in the empowerment process and how the preservice teachers perceive the belief in English language teaching. It employed a qualitative case study design. Purposive sampling was used to gain the participants' belief in the holistic empowerment process at an English education program in Indonesia. The results indicate that preservice English teachers believe they could be more confident in knowledge dimension than other dimension, especially in technology knowledge. First, most preservice teachers perceive that their teacher knowledge is good, meanwhile the experiential knowledge is moderate. Second, some participants perceive that they have a good learning interest and habit, but they perceive a moderate level of motivation and commitment to learning. Third, most participants perceive that they have good competence, especially communication, critical thinking, collaboration, and creativity during the program. Fourth, most participants perceive that they have a life-changing experience associated with personal growth, such as self-awareness, self-efficacy, and self-direction. Fifth, most participants share similar concerns about technology limitations but attempt to connect to the learning community through online group discussion. Thus, most participants consider that the empowerment process is dynamic and multifaceted and cannot be limited to a single dimension and time, for it requires a lengthy iterative process across multiple elements.

**Keywords:** student empowerment, teacher knowledge, personal growth, learning community, learning commitment.

## TABLE OF CONTENT

|  |     |
|--|-----|
| TITLE PAGE .....   | i   |
| AUTHOR’S DECLARATION .....                                     | i   |
| APPROVAL SHEET DISSERTATION .....                              | ii  |
| ABSTRACT.....  | iii |
| TABLE OF CONTENT .....   | iv  |
| LIST OF TABLES .....   | ix  |
| LIST OF FIGURES .....  | x   |
| CHAPTER 1 INTRODUCTION .....                                   | 1   |
| 1.1 Background of the Study .....                              | 1   |
| 1.2 Research Questions .....                                   | 3   |
| 1.3 Research Objectives .....                                  | 4   |
| 1.4 Scope of the Study .....                                   | 4   |
| 1.5 Significance of the Study .....                            | 4   |
| 1.6 Cclarification of the key terms .....                      | 5   |
| 1.7 Organization of the dissertation .....                     | 5   |
| CHAPTER II LITERATURE REVIEW .....                             | 7   |
| 2.1 The Belief System .....                                    | 7   |
| 2.1.1 Definition of Belief System .....                        | 7   |
| 2.1.2 The Nature of Language Teachers; Belief .....            | 8   |
| 2.1.3 The Bases of Teachers’ Belief .....                      | 9   |
| 2.2 English as a Foreign Language Teacher Education .....      | 11  |
| 2.2.1 Development of EFL Teacher Education.....                | 11  |
| 2.2.2 Approaches of EFL Teacher Education .....                | 13  |
| 2.2.3 The Principles of Preservice EFL Teacher Education ..... | 14  |
| 2.3 Empowerment: Definition and Development .....              | 15  |
| 2.3.1 Definition of Empowerment .....                          | 15  |
| 2.3.2 Development of Empowerment Concept.....                  | 18  |
| 2.3.3 Empowerment in The EFL Teacher Education Context.....    | 23  |

|   |    |
|---|----|
| 2.4 Conceptualizing Preservice English Teacher empowerment with Five Dimensions (PETE-FD) ..... | 26 |
| 2.4.1 Knowledge Dimension of Preservice English Teacher Empowerment (KD-PETE) .....             | 28 |
| 2.4.1.1 Teacher Knowledge.....  | 29 |
| 2.4.1.2 Experiential Knowledge.....   | 32 |
| 2.4.2 Learning Dimension of Preservice English Teacher Empowerment (LD-PETE).....               | 34 |
| 2.4.2.1 Interest in Learning English .....  | 35 |
| 2.4.2.2 Motivation in Learning English .....  | 35 |
| 2.4.2.3 Habit in Learning English .....   | 36 |
| 2.4.2.4 Commitment in Learning English.....   | 36 |
| 2.4.3 Competence Dimension of Preservice English Teacher Empowerment (CD-PETE).....             | 38 |
| 2.4.3.1 Communication .....   | 39 |
| 2.4.3.2 Critical thinking .....   | 40 |
| 2.4.3.3 Collaboration.....  | 40 |
| 2.4.3.4 Creativity and Innovation.....  | 41 |
| 2.4.4 Personal Growth Dimension of Preservice English Teacher Empowerment (PGD-PETE).....       | 42 |
| 2.4.4.1 Self-Awareness.....   | 42 |
| 2.4.4.2 Self-Efficacy.....  | 44 |
| 2.4.4.3 Flexibility and Adaptability .....  | 45 |
| 2.4.4.4 Initiative and Self-Direction.....  | 46 |
| 2.4.4.5 Productivity and Accountability .....   | 47 |
| 2.4.4.6 Leadership and Responsibility .....   | 47 |
| 2.4.5 Communal Classroom Dimension of Preservice Teacher Empowerment (CCD-PETE) .....           | 49 |
| 2.4.5.1 Definition of communal classroom.....   | 49 |
| 2.4.5.2 Characteristics of the communal classroom in preservice teacher empowerment .....       | 50 |

|   |     |
|---|-----|
| 2.4.5.3 Connectedness .....   | 52  |
| 2.4.5.4 Cohesion.....   | 52  |
| 2.4.5.5 Trust .....   | 53  |
| 2.5 Conceptual Framework of Preservice English Teacher Empowerment with Five Dimension (PETE-FD)..... | 54  |
| 2.6 Summary of Chapter two .....  | 56  |
| CHAPTER III RESEARCH METHODOLOGY .....  | 57  |
| 3.1 The Research Design.....  | 57  |
| 3.2 Setting and Participants of the research .....  | 57  |
| 3.2.1 The setting of the Research .....   | 57  |
| 3.2.2 Participants of the Research .....  | 58  |
| 3.3 Data Collection Method .....  | 59  |
| 3.3.1 The phase of Data Collection Methods .....  | 60  |
| 3.3.2 Instrumentation and Triangulation .....   | 60  |
| 3.3.2.1 Questionnaire .....   | 61  |
| 3.3.2.2 Interviews and Focus Group Discussion .....   | 72  |
| 3.3.2.3 Document Evidence .....   | 74  |
| 3.3.2.4 Observation .....   | 74  |
| 3.4 The Method of Data Analysis .....   | 76  |
| 3.5 Summary Chapter Three .....   | 86  |
| CHAPTER IV DATA PRESENTATION AND DISCUSSION .....   | 87  |
| 4.1 The Belief of Preservice English Teacher Empowerment .....  | 87  |
| 4.2 Dimension of Preservice English Teacher Empowerment.....  | 96  |
| 4.2.1 Knowledge Dimension of PETE.....  | 96  |
| 4.2.2 Learning Dimension of PETE.....   | 104 |
| 4.2.3 Competence Dimension of PETE .....  | 109 |
| 4.2.4 Personal Growth Dimension of PETE .....   | 116 |
| 4.2.5 Communal Classroom of PETE.....   | 125 |
| 4.3 The Nature of Preservice English Teacher Empowerment .....  | 130 |
| 4.3.1 The nature of KD-PETE.....  | 137 |
| 4.3.1.1 Teacher Knowledge and Experiential Knowledge.....   | 130 |

|   |     |
|---|-----|
| 4.3.2 The Nature of LD-PETE .....             | 132 |
| 4.3.2.1 Learning Interest .....               | 132 |
| 4.3.2.2 Learning Habit .....                  | 132 |
| 4.3.2.3 Learning Motivation.....              | 133 |
| 4.3.2.4 Learning Commitment .....             | 134 |
| 4.3.3 The Nature of CD-PETE.....              | 135 |
| 4.3.3.1 Critical Thinking .....               | 135 |
| 4.3.3.2 Communication .....                   | 137 |
| 4.3.3.3 Collaboration.....                    | 138 |
| 4.3.3.4 Creativity .....                      | 139 |
| 4.3.4 The Nature of PGD-PETE .....            | 140 |
| 4.3.4.1 Self-awareness.....                   | 140 |
| 4.3.4.2 Self-efficacy .....                   | 141 |
| 4.3.4.3 Flexibility and Adaptability .....    | 142 |
| 4.3.4.4 Initiative and Self-Direction.....    | 143 |
| 4.3.4.5 Productivity and Accountability ..... | 144 |
| 4.3.4.6 Responsibility and leadership .....   | 145 |
| 4.3.5 The Nature of CD-PETE.....              | 146 |
| 4.3.5.1 Connectedness .....                   | 146 |
| 4.3.5.2 Cohesion.....                         | 147 |
| 4.3.5.3 Trust .....                           | 148 |
| 4.4 Summary of Chapter Four.....              | 149 |
| CHAPTER V CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATION.....  | 150 |
| 5.1 Conclusion .....                          | 150 |
| 5.2 Implication and Recommendation .....      | 152 |
| BIBLIOGRAPHY .....                            | xi  |



## LIST OF TABLES

|  |     |
|--|-----|
| Table 1. Teacher Knowledge .....                                       | 30  |
| Table 2. Research Questions and Data Collection Methods .....          | 59  |
| Table 3. Learner Empowerment Scale .....                               | 61  |
| Table 4. Knowledge Dimension of Preservice English Teacher.....        | 64  |
| Table 5. Learning dimension of student empowerment.....                | 66  |
| Table 7. Competence Dimension of PETE .....                            | 67  |
| Table 8. Personal Growth Dimension of PETE .....                       | 69  |
| Table 9. Communal Classroom Dimension of PETE .....                    | 71  |
| Table 10. Schedule of Focus Group Discussion .....                     | 73  |
| Table 11. The organization of thematic Analysis .....                  | 76  |
| Table 12. Data Coding .....  | 76  |
| Table 13. Data analysis of Preservice English Teacher Empowerment..... | 77  |
| Table 14. Data Analysis of Knowledge Dimension of PETE.....            | 79  |
| Table 15. Data analysis of Learning Dimension of PETE.....             | 80  |
| Table 16. The Data analysis of Competence Dimension of PETE .....      | 82  |
| Table 17. Data Analysis of Personal Growth Dimension of PETE .....     | 83  |
| Table 18. Data Analysis of Communal Classroom of PETE.....             | 86  |
| Table 19. Item Distribution of the Dimensions of PETE.....             | 88  |
| Table 20. The average of PETE scale .....                              | 91  |
| Table 21. Item Distribution of KD-PETE .....                           | 97  |
| Table 22. The average of KD-PETE .....                                 | 100 |
| Table 23. Item Distribution of LD-PETE .....                           | 104 |
| Table 24. The average of LD-PETE .....                                 | 107 |
| Table 25. Item Distribution of CD-PETE .....                           | 110 |
| Table 26. The average of CD-PETE .....                                 | 113 |
| Table 27. Item Distribution of PGD-PETE.....                           | 117 |
| Table 28. The average of PGD-PETE.....                                 | 122 |
| Table 29. Item Distribution of CCD-PETE.....                           | 125 |
| Table 30. The average of CCD-PETE.....                                 | 127 |

**MAISA, 2022**

***PRESERVICE ENGLISH TEACHERS' BELIEF IN EMPOWERMENT WITH FIVE DIMENSIONS IN THE TEACHING LANGUAGE EDUCATION PROGRAM***

Universitas Pendidikan Indonesia | repository.upi.edu | perpustakaan.upi.edu

## LIST OF FIGURES

|  |     |
|--|-----|
| Figure 1. The broadening scope of EFLTE.....                                   | 11  |
| Figure 2. The development of Empowerment.....                                  | 19  |
| Figure 3. The concept of PETE with Five Dimension (PETE-EFD .....              | 28  |
| Figure 4. A Different form of experiential knowledge (taken from Fazey,2006).. | 33  |
| Figure 5. The Concept KD-PETE .....  | 34  |
| Figure 6. The Concept of LD-PETE .....   | 38  |
| Figure 7. The Concept of CD-PETE .....   | 41  |
| Figure 8. The Concept of PGD-PETE.....   | 48  |
| Figure 9. The Concept of CCD-PETE .....  | 54  |
| Figure 10. Conceptual Framework of PETE-EFD.....                               | 55  |
| Figure 11. PETE scale.....   | 92  |
| Figure 12. GPA of Preservice English Teachers.....                             | 94  |
| Figure 13. The PETs' worksheets of videos of English teaching performance ...  | 95  |
| Figure 14. The Average Level of KD-PETE .....                                  | 100 |
| Figure 15. The Average Level of LD-PETE.....                                   | 108 |
| Figure 16. The Average Level of CD-PETE.....                                   | 114 |
| Figure 17. the Screenshoot of PETs' teaching performance.....                  | 116 |
| Figure 18. The Average Level of PDG-PETE .....                                 | 122 |
| Figure 19. The Average Level of CCD-PETE.....                                  | 128 |
| Figure 20. The Preservice English Teacher Empowerment Model .....              | 151 |

## LIST OF APPENDICES

|   |     |
|---|-----|
| Appendix 1 The Development of Student Empowerment Concept.....                | 165 |
| Appendix 2 Questionnaire Preservice English Teacher Empowerment Scale.....    | 172 |
| Appendix 3 The average score of Empowerment scale and its dimensions .....    | 189 |
| Appendix 4 Transcription of FGD .....   | 190 |
| Appendix 5 Preservice English Teachers' Portopholio (English Materials) ..... | 228 |

## BIBLIOGRAPHY

- Aboelkhair, K. G., & Under, S. (2018). Towards teacher's empowerment through teacher professional development programs provided by an ngo development project: an exploratory study. *Journal of Physical Therapy Science*, 1–144. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.neuropsychologia.2015.07.010><http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.visres.2014.07.001><https://doi.org/10.1016/j.humov.2018.08.006><http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/24582474><https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gaitpost.2018.12.007>
- Ahmad, M., Badusah, J., Mansor, A. Z., Karim, A. A., Khalid, F., Daud, M. Y., Din, R., & Zulkefle, D. F. (2016). The application of 21st century ict literacy model among teacher trainees. *Turkish Online Journal of Educational Technology*, 15(3).
- Alikhani, M., & Bagheridoust, E. (2017). The Effect of Group Dynamics-Oriented Instruction on Developing Iranian EFL Learners' Speaking Ability and Willingness to Communicate. *English Language Teaching*, 10(11). <https://doi.org/10.5539/elt.v10n11p44>
- Alwasilah, A. . (2010). *Pokoknya Studi Kasus. Pendekatan Kualitatif*. Kiblat.
- Arrington, J. D. (2014). Creating 21st Century Classrooms: What district level instructional leaders know about leading 21st century learning. *Dissertation White Paper*.
- Ashcroft. (1987). *Defusing-empowering-: The What and The Why*.
- Bahadoran, H. R., & Nazari, M. (2018). Investigating the effect of empowerment aspects on the competence level and success of primary school principals. *Management Science Letters*, 8(5), 445–454. <https://doi.org/10.5267/j.msl.2018.4.001>
- Bandura, A. (1989). This Week's Citation Classic - Bandura A. Self-efficacy: toward a unifying theory of behavioral change. *Current Contents*, 20.
- Bandura, A. (2002). Social Cognitive Theory in Cultural Context. *Applied Psychology*, 51(2), 269–290.
- Bandura, A. (2019). *Albert Bandura's concept of Self-efficacy*. 1–7.
- Barak, M. (2017). Science Teacher Education in the Twenty-First Century: a Pedagogical Framework for Technology-Integrated Social Constructivism. *Research in Science Education*, 47(2). <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11165-015-9501-y>

- Barnard-Brak, L., & Shiu, W. (2010). Classroom community scale in the blended learning environment: A psychometric review. *International Journal on E-Learning*, 9(3), 303–311.
- Bedir, H. (2019). Pre-service ELT teachers' beliefs and perceptions on 21st century learning and innovation skills (4Cs). *Journal of Language and Linguistic Studies*, 15(1). <https://doi.org/10.17263/jlls.547718>
- Braun, V., & Clarke, V. (2019). Thematic analysis revised. *Journal of Chemical Information and Modeling*, 53(9), 1689–1699.
- Broom, C. (2015). Empowering students: Pedagogy that benefits educators and learners. *Citizenship, Social and Economics Education*, 14(2), 79–86. <https://doi.org/10.1177/2047173415597142>
- Brunton, M., & Jeffrey, L. (2014). Identifying factors that influence the learner empowerment of international students. *International Journal of Intercultural Relations*, 43(PB), 321–334. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijintrel.2014.10.003>
- Brusic, S. A., & Shearer, K. L. (2014). The ABCs of 21st century skills. *Children's Technology and Engineering*.
- Burke, A. (2011). Group Work :How to use group Effectively. *The Journal of Effective Teaching*, 11(2), 87–95.
- Burns, A., & Richard, J. C. (2011). *Pedagogy and Practice n Second Language Teaching* (p. 157).
- Cattaneo, L. B., & Chapman, A. R. (2010). The Process of Empowerment: A Model for Use in Research and Practice. *American Psychologist*, 65(7), 646–659. <https://doi.org/10.1037/a0018854>
- Chai, C. S., Hwee Ling Koh, J., & Teo, Y. H. (2019). Enhancing and Modeling Teachers' Design Beliefs and Efficacy of Technological Pedagogical Content Knowledge for 21st Century Quality Learning. *Journal of Educational Computing Research*, 57(2). <https://doi.org/10.1177/0735633117752453>
- Cheng, M., & Cheng, M. (2016). Student Empowerment and Transformative Quality. *Quality in Higher Education*, 11–23. [https://doi.org/10.1007/978-94-6300-666-8\\_2](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-94-6300-666-8_2)
- Chu, S. K. W., Reynolds, R. B., Tavares, N. J., Notari, M., & Lee, C. W. Y. (2016). 21st century skills development through inquiry-based learning: From theory to practice. In *21st Century Skills Development Through Inquiry-Based Learning: From Theory to Practice*. <https://doi.org/10.1007/978-981-10-2481-8>
- Cole, Field, & H. (2010). Student learning motivation and psychological hardiness: interactive effect on students' reaction to a management class. *Academy of Management Learning and Education*, 2.

- Cope, B., Kalantzis, M., Heron-Hruby, A., Wood, K. D., Mraz, M. E., Keefe, E. B., & Copeland, S. R. (2013). “Multiliteracies”: New literacies, new learning. *Research and Practice for Persons with Severe Disabilities*, 24(3–4), 259–263. <https://doi.org/10.2511/027494811800824507>
- Cresswell, John W.; Poth, C. N. (2016). *Qualitative Inquiry & Research Design: Choosing Among 5 Approaches*. Sage Publication, 778.
- Creswell, J. (2014). *Research design : qualitative, quantitative, and mixed methods approaches / John W. Creswell. — 4th ed.* SAGE Publications.
- Dağgöl, G. D. (2020). Perceived academic motivation and learner empowerment levels of efl students in Turkish context. *Participatory Educational Research*, 7(3), 21–37. <https://doi.org/10.17275/per.20.33.7.3>
- Darling-Hammond, L. (2006). Constructing SRst century teacher education. *Journal of Teacher Education*, 57(2).
- Dewaele, J.-M., & Dewaele, L. (2017). The dynamic interactions in foreign language classroom anxiety and foreign language enjoyment of pupils aged 12 to 18. A pseudo-longitudinal investigation. *Journal of the European Second Language Association*, 1(1), 12–22. <https://doi.org/10.22599/JESLA.6>
- Dewey, J. (2001). *Democracy and Education*.
- Djamahar, R., Ristanto, R. H., Sartono, N., Ichsan, I. Z., Darmawan, E., & Muhlisin, A. (2019). Empowering Student’s Metacognitive Skill through Cirsa Learning. *Journal of Physics: Conference Series*, 1227(1). <https://doi.org/10.1088/1742-6596/1227/1/012001>
- Dörnyei, Z. (2003). *Questionnaires in second language research: Construction, administration, and processing*. Lawrence Earlbaum Associates.
- Dörnyei, Z. (2009). The 2010s Communicative language teaching in the 21st century: The “principled communicative approach.” *Perspectives*.
- Dörnyei, Z., & Murphey, T. (2003). *Group Dynamics in the Language Classroom*. Cambridge University Press. <https://doi.org/10.1017/CBO9780511667138>
- Duhon-Haynes, G. M. (1996). Student Empowerment: Definition, Implication and Strategies for Implementation. *Third World Symposium*, 8.
- Elahi Shirvan, M., Rahmani, S., & Sorayyaee, L. (2016). On the exploration of the ecology of English language teachers’ personal styles in Iran. *Asian-Pacific Journal of Second and Foreign Language Education*, 1(1), 1–17. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s40862-016-0016-5>
- Emilia, E. (2008). *Menulis Tesis dan Disertasi*. Alfabeta.
- Erkmen, B. (2012). Ways to Uncover Teachers’ Beliefs. *Procedia - Social and Behavioral Sciences*, 47(1996), 141–146.

<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.sbspro.2012.06.628>

- Eurich, T. (2019). What Self-Awareness Really Is (and How to Cultivate It). *Self-Awareness*, 11–35.
- Fazey, I., Fazey, J. A., Salisbury, J. G., Lindenmayer, D. B., & Dovers, S. (2006). The nature and role of experiential knowledge for environmental conservation. *Environmental Conservation*, 33(1), 1–10.  
<https://doi.org/10.1017/S037689290600275X>
- Fishbach, A., & Dhar, R. (2005). Goals as excuses or guides: The liberating effect of perceived goal progress on choice. *Journal of Consumer Research*, 32(3), 370–377. <https://doi.org/10.1086/497548>
- Floris, F. D. (2014). Using Information and Communication Technology (ICT) to Enhance Language Teaching & learning. *TEFLIN Journal*, 25(2), 139–146. <https://doi.org/10.15639/TEFLINJOURNAL.V25I2/139-164>
- Fortier, A. M. (2017). On (Not) Speaking English: Colonial Legacies in Language Requirements for British Citizenship. *Sociology*.  
<https://doi.org/10.1177/0038038517742854>
- Foster-Fishman, P. G., Salem, D. A., Chibnall, S., Legler, R., & Yapchai, C. (1998). Empirical support for the critical assumptions of empowerment theory. *American Journal of Community Psychology*, 26(4), 507–536.  
<https://doi.org/10.1023/A:1022188805083>
- Freeman, D. L., & Anderson, M. (2015). *Techniques-Principles-Language-Teaching*.
- Freire. (2017). *Pedagogy of the oppressed*. Penguin Books Ltd.
- Frymier, A. B., Shulman, G. M., & Houser, M. (1996). The development of a learner empowerment measure. *Communication Education*, 45(3).  
<https://doi.org/10.1080/03634529609379048>
- Galajda, D. (2012). TEACHER'S ACTION ZONE IN FACILITATING GROUP DYNAMICS. *Lingvarvm Arena-Vol.3*, 3, 89–101.  
<https://ler.letras.up.pt/uploads/ficheiros/10951.pdf>
- Gilakjani, A. P., & Sabouri, N. B. (2017). Teachers' Beliefs in English Language Teaching and Learning: A Review of the Literature. *English Language Teaching*, 10(4), 78. <https://doi.org/10.5539/elt.v10n4p78>
- Guntern, S., Korpershoek, H., & van der Werf, G. (2017). Benefits of personality characteristics and self-efficacy in the perceived academic achievement of medical students. *Educational Psychology*, 37(6).  
<https://doi.org/10.1080/01443410.2016.1223277>
- Guo, L. (2014). Preparing Teachers to Educate for 21st Century Global Citizenship: Envisioning and Enacting. *Journal of Global Citizenship &*

MAISA, 2022

**PRESERVICE ENGLISH TEACHERS' BELIEF IN EMPOWERMENT WITH FIVE DIMENSIONS IN THE TEACHING LANGUAGE EDUCATION PROGRAM**

Universitas Pendidikan Indonesia | repository.upi.edu | perpustakaan.upi.edu

- Equity Education*, 4(1), 1–23.
- Gut, D. M. (2011). Integrating 21st Century Skills into the Curriculum. In *Bringing Schools into the 21st Century*. [https://doi.org/10.1007/978-94-007-0268-4\\_7](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-94-007-0268-4_7)
- Hamied, F. A. (2017). *Research Methods: A Guide for First-Time Researchers* (D. Sukyadi (ed.); 2017th ed.). UPI Press.
- Harvey, L., & Green, D. (1993). *Defining Quality. Assessment & Evaluation in Higher Education*, 18(1), 9-34.e. 18(1), 9–34.
- Haryati, S. (2013). *PENDIDIKAN KARAKTER DALAM KURIKULUM 2013 Oleh: Sri Haryati (FKIP-UTM)*.
- Hayati, N. (2010). Empowering Non-Native English Speaking Teachers. *TEFLIN Journal, Volume 21, Number 1, February 2010, 21(1)*, 78–89.
- Heron-Hruby, A., Wood, K. D., & Mraz, M. E. (2008). Introduction: Possibilities for using a multiliteracies approach with struggling readers. *Reading and Writing Quarterly*, 24(3), 259–263. <https://doi.org/10.1080/10573560802004084>
- Hoang, N. T. (2015). EFL teachers' perceptions and experiences of blended learning in a Vietnamese university. *Office of Education Research; Faculty of Education*.
- Hooks, bell. (2003). *[bell\_hooks]\_Teaching\_Community\_A\_Pedagogy\_of\_Hop(b-ok.cc)*. [http://93.174.95.29/\\_ads/312CDC44D4FA37EA675974BBFAEE1816](http://93.174.95.29/_ads/312CDC44D4FA37EA675974BBFAEE1816)
- Insa, L., Gonzalez, J., & Inesta, A. (2016). Discussing employability: Current perspectives and key elements from a bioecological model. *Employee Relations*, 38(6), 961–974. <https://doi.org/10.1108/CDI-08-2016-0135>
- Johnson, D. C., & Ricento, T. (2013). Conceptual and theoretical perspectives in language planning and policy: Situating the ethnography of language policy. *International Journal of the Sociology of Language*. <https://doi.org/10.1515/ijsl-2013-0002>
- Johnson, D. W., & Johnson, R. T. (2011). "COOPERATIVE LEARNING"; *The Encyclopedia of Peace Psychology*, 11.
- Johnson, P. (2009). The 21st Century Skills Movement. *Educational Leadership*. <https://doi.org/10.2307/2275617>
- Joram, E., & Gabriele, A. J. (1998). Preservice teachers' prior beliefs: Transforming obstacles into opportunities. *Teaching and Teacher Education*, 14(2), 175–191. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0742-051X\(97\)00035-8](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0742-051X(97)00035-8)
- Kaman, K. Z., & Othman, Z. (2016). Validity , Reliability and Triangulation in Case Study Method : An Experience. *Proceedings of the 2nd UUM*

MAISA, 2022

**PRESERVICE ENGLISH TEACHERS' BELIEF IN EMPOWERMENT WITH FIVE DIMENSIONS IN THE TEACHING LANGUAGE EDUCATION PROGRAM**

Universitas Pendidikan Indonesia | repository.upi.edu | perpustakaan.upi.edu



*International Qualitative Research Conference (QRC) 2016 24-26 May 2016, Penang, Malaysia, May*, 350–356.

- Kao, Y. S. (2015). Teachers' Belief and Practices in Teacher-Centered Empowerment Reform in Taiwan. *International Journal for Innovation Education and Research*, 3(2), 1–16.  
<https://doi.org/10.31686/ijer.vol3.iss2.308>
- Kervin, L., Comber, B., & Woods, A. (2017a). *Toward a Sociomaterial Understanding of Writing Experiences Incorporating Digital Technology in an Early Childhood Classroom*. 66, 183–197.  
<https://doi.org/10.1177/2381336917718522>
- Kervin, L., Comber, B., & Woods, A. (2017b). Toward a Sociomaterial Understanding of Writing Experiences Incorporating Digital Technology in an Early Childhood Classroom. *Literacy Research: Theory, Method, and Practice*. <https://doi.org/10.1177/2381336917718522>
- Khalid, F. (2019). Students' identities and its relationships with their engagement in an online learning community. *International Journal of Emerging Technologies in Learning*, 14(5), 4–19.  
<https://doi.org/10.3991/ijet.v14i05.8196>
- Kivunja, C. (2014a). Do You Want Your Students to Be Job-Ready with 21st Century Skills? Change Pedagogies: A Pedagogical Paradigm Shift from Vygotskyian Social Constructivism to Critical Thinking, Problem Solving and Siemens' Digital Connectivism. *International Journal of Higher Education*. <https://doi.org/10.5430/ijhe.v3n3p81>
- Kivunja, C. (2014b). Innovative Pedagogies in Higher Education to Become Effective Teachers of 21st Century Skills: Unpacking the Learning and Innovations Skills Domain of the New Learning Paradigm. *International Journal of Higher Education*, 3(4), 37–48.  
<https://doi.org/10.5430/ijhe.v3n4p37>
- Kivunja, C. (2014c). Teaching Students to Learn and to Work Well with 21st Century Skills: Unpacking the Career and Life Skills Domain of the New Learning Paradigm. *International Journal of Higher Education*, 4(1).  
<https://doi.org/10.5430/ijhe.v4n1p1>
- Koehler, M.J., Mishra, Punya., and Cain, W. (2013). What Is Technological Pedagogical Content Knowledge (TPACK). *The Journal of Education*, 193(3), 13–19. <https://www.jstor.org/stable/24636917>
- Kusumoto, Y. (2018). Enhancing critical thinking through active learning. In *Language Learning in Higher Education* (Vol. 8, Issue 1).  
<https://doi.org/10.1515/cercles-2018-0003>
- Langford, P. E. (2005). *Vygotsky 's Developmental and*.
- Lawrence, J. E., & Tar, U. A. (2018). *Educational Media International Factors MAISA, 2022*  
**PRESERVICE ENGLISH TEACHERS' BELIEF IN EMPOWERMENT WITH FIVE DIMENSIONS IN THE TEACHING LANGUAGE EDUCATION PROGRAM**  
 Universitas Pendidikan Indonesia | [repository.upi.edu](https://repository.upi.edu) | [perpustakaan.upi.edu](https://perpustakaan.upi.edu)

*that influence teachers' adoption and integration of ICT in teaching/learning process* Factors that influence teachers' adoption and integration of ICT in teaching/learning process. <https://doi.org/10.1080/09523987.2018.1439712>

- Lord, J., & Hutchison, P. (1993). The process of empowerment: Implications for theory and practice. *Canadian Journal of Community Mental Health, 12*(1), 5–22. <https://doi.org/10.7870/cjcmh-1993-0001>
- Maisa, Musthafa, B., & Suherdi, D. (2020). Preservice-Teachers' Empowerment through Multiliteracy Pedagogy Approach in the EFL Context during the Covid-19 Pandemic and the New Normal Era. *The Asian ESP Journal, 17*(7.1), 95–116.
- Malm, B. (2009). Towards a new professionalism: Enhancing personal and professional development in teacher education. *Journal of Education for Teaching, 35*(1), 77–91. <https://doi.org/10.1080/02607470802587160>
- Martin, P. (2010). “They have lost their identity but not gained a British one”: Non-traditional multilingual students in higher education in the United Kingdom. *Language and Education*. <https://doi.org/10.1080/09500780903194028>
- Martinez; Soleibe K.J.;Mora R. (n.d.). *No Title*. <https://ml2secondlanguageliteracies.wordpress.com/2016/09/29/literacies-a-call-for-teaching-and-learning-in-the-21st-century/>
- Martinsuo, M., & Turkulainen, V. (2011). Personal commitment, support and progress in doctoral studies. *Studies in Higher Education, 36*(1), 103–120. <https://doi.org/10.1080/03075070903469598>
- McMillan, D. W., & Chavis, D. M. (1986). Sense of community: A definition and theory. *Journal of Community Psychology, 14*(1), 6–23. [https://doi.org/10.1002/1520-6629\(198601\)14:1<6::AID-JCOP2290140103>3.0.CO;2-I](https://doi.org/10.1002/1520-6629(198601)14:1<6::AID-JCOP2290140103>3.0.CO;2-I)
- Misdi, Hartini, N., Aisyah, S., & Tambunan, A. R. (2019). Mobile-assisted English learning in Indonesian high schools in the covid-19 outbreak: Do students feel empowered? *Proceeding AISELT Annual International Seminar on English Language Teaching, 114–117*.
- Murray. (2019a). Empowerment in SHE (sustainability in higher education). In *Sustaiability in Higher Education*.
- Murray, J. (2019b). Student Empowerment and Sustainability. *Encyclopedia of Sustainability in Higher Education, 1–8*. [https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-63951-2\\_365-1](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-63951-2_365-1)
- Musthafa, B. (2001). *Judging-Qualitative-Educational-Research-Some-Essential-Criteria-Jurnal-Ilmu-Pendidikan-Agustus-2001.pdf*.
- Nagel, D. (2011). Common Core Toolkit Aligns Standards with 21st Century

**MAISA, 2022**

**PRESERVICE ENGLISH TEACHERS' BELIEF IN EMPOWERMENT WITH FIVE DIMENSIONS IN THE TEACHING LANGUAGE EDUCATION PROGRAM**

Universitas Pendidikan Indonesia | repository.upi.edu | perpustakaan.upi.edu

Skills Framework. *THE Journal*.

- Nescolarde-Selva, J., & Usó-Doménech, J. L. (2013). Topological structures of complex belief systems. *Complexity*, *19*. <https://doi.org/10.1002/cplx.21476>
- Nichols, J. D. (2006). Empowerment and relationships: A classroom model to enhance student motivation. *Learning Environments Research*, *9*(2), 149–161. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10984-006-9006-8>
- Nichols, J. D., & Zhang, G. (2011). Classroom environments and student empowerment: An analysis of elementary and secondary teacher beliefs. *Learning Environments Research*, *14*(3), 229–239. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10984-011-9091-1>
- Nimkulrat, N. (2016). Introduction: Experiential Knowledge. *DRS2016: Future-Focused Thinking*, *8*, 4–7. <https://doi.org/10.21606/drs.2016.607>
- Ortiz, M. C., & Serrano, L. A. S. (2021). English as a key element in the empowerment of undergraduate ELT female students. *Mextesol Journal*, *45*(1), 1–11.
- Page, A. G., & Chahboun, S. (2019). Emerging empowerment of international students: how international student literature has shifted to include the students' voices. *Higher Education*, *78*(5), 871–885. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10734-019-00375-7>
- Pajares, F. (1993). Preservice Teachers' Beliefs: A Focus for Teacher Education. *Action in Teacher Education*, *15*(2), 45–54. <https://doi.org/10.1080/01626620.1993.10734409>
- Partnership for 21st Century. (2008). 21st Century Skills, Education & Competitiveness. *A Resource and Policy Guide*.
- Partnership for 21st Century Learning (P21). (2019). *21ST CENTURY LEARNING FOR EARLY CHILDHOOD - Guide*. 1–12. <https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-642-33263-0>
- Partnership for 21st Century Learning Skills. (2011). Framework for 21st Century Learning. *P21 Framework Definitions*. [https://doi.org/http://www.21stcenturyskills.org/documents/framework\\_flyer\\_updated\\_jan\\_09\\_final-1.pdf](https://doi.org/http://www.21stcenturyskills.org/documents/framework_flyer_updated_jan_09_final-1.pdf)
- Partnership for 21st Century Skills. (2011). P21 Common Core toolkit: A guide to aligning the Common Core State Standards with the Framework for 21st Century Skills. *Framework*. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cam.2012.02.038>
- Partnership For 21st Century Skills. (2009). P21 Framework Definitions. In *Partnership For 21st Century Skills*. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0006-291X\(02\)00578-8](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0006-291X(02)00578-8)
- Patton, M. Q. (2014a). *Qualitative Research & Evaluation Methods*. SAGE

MAISA, 2022

**PRESERVICE ENGLISH TEACHERS' BELIEF IN EMPOWERMENT WITH FIVE DIMENSIONS IN THE TEACHING LANGUAGE EDUCATION PROGRAM**

Universitas Pendidikan Indonesia | repository.upi.edu | perpustakaan.upi.edu

## Publications.

- Patton, M. Q. (2014b). *Qualitative Research and Evaluation Method*. SAGE Publications.
- Phu Quy, P. H. (2017). Group Dynamics: Building a Sense of Belonging in the EFL Classroom. *English Teaching Forum*, 55(1), 14–21.  
<http://ezproxy.lib.uconn.edu/login?url=https://search.ebscohost.com/login.aspx?direct=true&db=eric&AN=EJ1137785&site=ehost-live>
- R.Forsyth, D. (2012). Group Dyanmics Fifth Edition. In *Counselling for Grief and Bereavement Counselling for grief and bereavement*.
- Rappaport, J. (1981). In praise of paradox: A social policy of empowerment over prevention. *American Journal of Community Psychology*, 9(1), 1–25.  
<https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00896357>
- Rappaport, J. (1987). Terms of empowerment/exemplars of prevention: Toward a theory for community psychology. *American Journal of Community Psychology*, 15(2), 121–148. <https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00919275>
- Rappaport, J. (1995). Empowerment meets narrative: Listening to stories and creating settings. *American Journal of Community Psychology*, 23(5), 795–807. <https://doi.org/10.1007/BF02506992>
- Richards, J. C. (2006). Communicative Language Teaching Paradigm. In *Cambridge University Press* (Vol. 1, Issue 1).  
<https://www.professorjackrichards.com/wp-content/uploads/Richards-Communicative-Language.pdf>
- Richards, J., Gallo, P., & Renandya, W. (2001). Exploring teachers' beliefs and the processes of change. *The PAC Journal*, 1986, 47–48.  
<http://aaboori.mshdiau.ac.ir/FavouriteSubjects/exploring-teacher-change.pdf>
- Rivera, G. (2017). EFL Teacher Empowerment in and out of the classroom. In *academia.com* (Vol. 6).  
[https://www.academia.edu/33990326/Academia\\_EFL\\_Teacher\\_Empowerment\\_In\\_and\\_Out\\_of\\_the\\_Classroom\\_doc](https://www.academia.edu/33990326/Academia_EFL_Teacher_Empowerment_In_and_Out_of_the_Classroom_doc)
- Rose, P. (2011). Digital (A)literacy. *E-Learning and Digital Media*.  
<https://doi.org/10.2304/elea.2011.8.3.258>
- Rovai, A. P. (2002). Development of an instrument to measure classroom community. *Internet and Higher Education*, 5(3), 197–211.  
[https://doi.org/10.1016/S1096-7516\(02\)00102-1](https://doi.org/10.1016/S1096-7516(02)00102-1)
- Rust, F. O. (1994). THE FIRST YEAR OF TEACHING: IT'S NOT WHAT THEY EXPECTED. *Teaching & Teacher Education*, 10(2), 205–217.
- Sakhiyya, Z., Agustien, H. I. R., & Pratama, H. (2018). The reconceptualisation of knowledge base in the pre-service teacher education curriculum: Towards

- ELF pedagogy. *Indonesian Journal of Applied Linguistics*, 8(1), 49–56.  
<https://doi.org/10.17509/ijal.v8i1.11464>
- Sarica, G. N., & Cavus, N. (2009). New trends in 21st Century English learning. *Procedia - Social and Behavioral Sciences*, 1(1), 439–445.  
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.sbspro.2009.01.079>
- Shulman, L. S. (1986). Those who understand: Knowledge Growth in Teaching. *Educational Researcher*, 15(2), 4–14. <http://www.jstor.org/stable/1175860>
- Silva, E. (2014). Measuring Skills for 21st-Century Learning. *Phi Delta Kappan*.  
<https://doi.org/10.1177/0031721709090000905>
- Skills, T. P. F. 21St C. (2015). P21 Framework For 21st Century Learning. *Framework*.
- Spratt, M., Alan, D., & Melani, W. (2005). *Teaching Knowledge Test (TKT) Handbook For Teachers*.
- Stone, S. J. (1995). Teaching Strategies: Empowering Teachers, Empowering Children. *Childhood Education*, 71(5), 294–295.  
<https://doi.org/10.1080/00094056.1995.10522625>
- Suherdi, D. (2019). Teaching English in the industry 4.0 and disruption era: Early lessons from the implementation of SMELT I 4.0 DE in a senior high lab school class. *Indonesian Journal of Applied Linguistics*, 9(1), 67–75.  
<https://doi.org/10.17509/ijal.v9i1.16418>
- Sulistyo, G. H., Rachmajanti, S., Suharyadi, M., & Muniroh, S. (2018). *Empowering Teachers' Professionalism for Students' Better Learning through Reflective Training: A Theoretical Framework for Sustainable EFL Teacher Professional Development. January 2018*.  
<https://doi.org/10.2991/icli-17.2018.24>
- Sullivan, A. M. (1996). The Nature of Student Empowerment. *Self-Concept Research: Driving International Research Agendas The, February*, 1–11.
- Suwarsih, M. (2007). Empowering EFL teachers to achieve Professional Autonomy. *Proceeding JETA 4th JETA Conference*, 67(6), 14–21.  
<https://www.rfc-editor.org/rfc/rfc8309.txt>
<http://publicacoes.cardiol.br/portal/ijcs/portugues/2018/v3103/pdf/3103009.pdf>
[http://www.scielo.org.co/scielo.php?script=sci\\_arttext&pid=S0121-75772018000200067&lng=en&tlng=en&SID=5BQIj3a2MLaWUV4OizE%0Ahttp:](http://www.scielo.org.co/scielo.php?script=sci_arttext&pid=S0121-75772018000200067&lng=en&tlng=en&SID=5BQIj3a2MLaWUV4OizE%0Ahttp:)
- Tan, J. P. L., Choo, S. S., Kang, T., & Liem, G. A. D. (2017). Educating for twenty-first century competencies and future-ready learners: research perspectives from Singapore. *Asia Pacific Journal of Education*, 37(4).  
<https://doi.org/10.1080/02188791.2017.1405475>

- Tican, C., & Deniz, S. (2019). Pre-service teachers' opinions about the use of 21st century learner and 21st century teacher skills. *European Journal of Educational Research*, 8(1). <https://doi.org/10.12973/eu-jer.8.1.181>
- Trilling, B., & Fadel, C. (2009). 21st Century Learning Skills. In *Jossey-Bass*. <https://doi.org/10.1145/1719292.1730970>
- Tsai, C. L., Chaichanasakul, A., Zhao, R., Flores, L. Y., & Lopez, S. J. (2014). Development and Validation of the Strengths Self-Efficacy Scale (SSES). *Journal of Career Assessment*, 22(2), 221–232. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1069072713493761>
- Van Roekel, D. (2014). Preparing 21st Century Students for a Global Society: An Educator ' s Guide to the “ Four Cs .” In *National Education Association*.
- Walker, C. (2019). Classroom Dynamics – Kindle Edition. *RELC Journal*, 50(1), 210–211. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0033688217730146>
- Ward, E. R. (2009). Contributing factors to the learning of altruism and instruction in the classroom: The grounded theory of fourth and fifth grade educators. *Dissertation Abstracts International Section A: Humanities and Social Sciences*, 70(6-A), 1927. <http://www.redi-bw.de/db/ebsco.php/search.ebscohost.com/login.aspx%3Fdirect%3Dtrue%26db%3Dpsyh%26AN%3D2009-99231-264%26site%3Dehost-live>
- What, T., Author, W., Source, L. A., Council, N., Url, E. S., & Linked, U. T. C. R. (2016). *Language Arts*, 64(2), 142–156.
- Wulandari, D., Arifin, S., Cahyono, E., Kusumawardani, S. S., Hertono, G. F., & Wastutiningsih, S. P. (2021). *Program Bantuan Program Studi Menjadi Model Centre of Excellence Merdeka Belajar-Kampus Merdeka*. 17.
- Yin, R. K. (2018). *Case study research and applications: Design and methods* (Sixth). SAGE Publications. <https://doi.org/10.1177/109634809702100108>
- Zimmerman. (2010). *Empowerment is the enhancement of well-being through support of the natural inclination to strive for positive change*.
- Zimmerman, B. J., Bandura, A., & Martinez-Pons, M. (1992). Self-Motivation for Academic Attainment: The Role of Self-Efficacy Beliefs and Personal Goal Setting. *American Educational Research Journal*, 29(3), 663–676. <https://doi.org/10.3102/00028312029003663>
- Zimmerman, W. A., Knight, S. L., Favre, D. E., & Ikhlef, A. (2017). Effect of professional development on teaching behaviors and efficacy in Qatari educational reforms. *Teacher Development*, 21(2), 324–345. <https://doi.org/10.1080/13664530.2016.1243571>