TEACHER'S AND STUDENTS' BEHAVIORS IN BEHAVIOR-SPECIFIC PRAISE (BSP) TOWARDS STUDENTS' SPEAKING PERFORMANCE

(A Thesis)

Submitted in partial fulfillment of the requirements for the Master's Degree in English Education

Melvy Nancilia Putri (1906489)

Advisors: 1. Prof. Dr. Hj. Nenden Sri Lengkanawati, M.Pd 2. YantyWirza, M.Pd., M.A., Ph.D.



ENGLISH EDUCATION STUDY PROGRAM FACULTY OF LANGUAGE AND LITERATURE EDUCATION INDONESIA UNIVERSITY OF EDUCATION 2022

PAGE OF APPROVAL

TEACHER'S AND STUDENTS' BEHAVIOR IN BEHAVIOR-SPECIFIC PRAISE (BSP) TOWARDS STUDENTS' SPEAKING PERFORMANCE

by Melvy Nancilia Putri 1906489

Approved by:

Supervisor I

Prof. Dr. Nenden Sri Lengkanawati, M.Pd. NIP. 195111241985032001

Supervisor II

Yanty Wirza, M.Pd., M.A., Ph.D. NIP. 197701152005012003

Head of English Education Study Program

Prof. Dr. H. Didi Suherdi, M. Ed. NIP. 196211011987121001

STATEMENT OF AUTHORIZATION

I certify that the thesis entitled "Teacher's and Students' Behaviors in Behavior-Specific Praise (BSP) towards Students' Speaking Performance" is the result of my own work with the guidance of the academic supervisors. In this thesis, there are some works or opinions that had been written or published by others as a reference in the text with the name of the author mentioned and included in the references.

Bandung, December 2021

Author

Melvy Nancilia Putri Std. ID. 1906489

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Praise is only to Allah SWT, The Almighty God, for blessing the writer with health and determination to finish this thesis. This thesis, entitled "Teacher's and Students' Behavior in Behavior-Specific Praise (BSP) towards Students' Speaking Performance", is presented to English Education Department of School of Postgraduates Studies of Indonesia University of Eduation as a partial fulfillment of the requirements for obtaining master degree.

Among many individuals who gave generous suggestion for improving this thesis, first of all, the writer would like to express her sincere gratitude and respect to:

- 1. Prof. Dr. Nenden Sri Lengkanawati, M.Pd., as my first supervisor, for her patience, encouragement, and who has been willing to send her time to assist me in accomplishing this thesis.
- 2. Yanty Wirza, M.Pd., M.A., Ph.D., as my second supervisor, who has contributed and given her evaluations, comments, suggestion during the completion of this thesis.
- 3. The teacher who had given me the opportunity to conduct the research in her class and became the participant of my thesis. I also thank the students for their cooperation and participation during the observation.
- 4. My beloved parents, Herba Pinara and Suwarda, and also my sister, Maya Shella Andhiny and her husband. I'd like to thank you so much for your love, supports, prayers, spirit and everything that you give to me till this time.
- 5. Wini Rustiyani and Dhia Hasanah, as my highly valued colleagues, thank you for your pray, trust, help, and lovely support in drawing this greatest path.
- 6. Lolita Falina and Teika Ameiratrini, as my roommates, thank you for your greatest motivation, helps, and kindness.

ABSTRACT

Supervisor I: Prof. Dr. Nenden Sri Lengkanawati, M.Pd. Supervisor II: Yanty Wirza, M.Pd., M.A., Ph.D.

Teachers' behavior has significant impacts on students' learning. One of the most prevalent teacher behaviors in the classroom is praise, particularly Behavior-Specific Praise (BSP), which was pioneered by experts to recognize and to praise students' specific behaviors. However, the use of BSP remains controversial. According to some researchers, praise can have beneficial effects on students. Others, on the other hand, argued that praise has detrimental effects. Within this space of argumentation, this study sought to ascertain both the positive and negative effects of the teacher's BSP on students' speaking performance, as well as the teacher's and students' responses to BSP during speaking class. The research design used was qualitative which included three data collection techniques: observation, questionnaires, and interview. This study was conducted at one of senior high schools in Bandar Lampung. The participants included one teacher and 30 eleventh graders. After analyzing the data, it was found that BSP mostly brought positive impacts such as positive body language, consistent focus, verbal participation, confidence and excitement to students' learning activity when the teacher gave it moderately, however, when the teacher gave it excessively, it would bring superfluous confidence, ineffectiveness, and dependency on praise which falls under the category of bad behavior. These categories emerged due to the teacher's excessive use of BSP remarks, her perceived negative body language, and the students' varying reactions to praise. The excessive BSP statements may also lead to lack of students' motivation, according to the questionnaire and interview data analysis. In addition to the moderate BSP statements use, the students would desire improvement in their academic performance.

Keywords: Behavior-Specific Praise (BSP), speaking performance, students'

behavior, teacher's behavior, teacher's praise,

TABLE OF CONTENTS

STATI	EMENT OF AUTHORIZATION	i
ACKN	OWLEDGEMENTSi	i
ABSTI	ii	i
TABL	E OF CONTENTSi	v
LIST (DF TABLESvi	i
LIST (DF CHARTSvii	i
LIST (DF APPENDICESit	X
CHAP	TER I	1
1.1	Background of the Study	1
1.2	Research Questions	7
1.3	Objectives of the Research	7
1.4	Significance of the Study	8
1.5	Scope of the Study	9
1.6	Clarification of Key Terms	9
1.7 T	hesis Organization10	0
1.8 C	Concluding Remarks	1
CHAP	TER II12	2
2.1	Concept of Teacher's Behavior	2
2.2	Concept of Praise	3
2.3	Behavior-Specific Praise (BSP)1	7
2.3	3.1 Types of BSP	8
2.3	B.2 Benefits of BSP	9
2.3 \$	Student's Behaviors to BSP19	9
SPEAKING PERF	D STUDENTS' BEHAVIORS IN BEHAVIOR-SPECIFIC PRAISE (BSP) TOWARDS STUDENTS'	

2.5 C	Concept of Students' Speaking Performance	
2.5.	5.1 Speaking in L2	23
2.5.	5.2 Aspects of Speaking Performance	24
2.6	Concept of BSP through Students' Speaking Performance	
2.7 H	Previous Related Studies	
2.8 0	Concluding Remarks	
CHAPT	TER III	
3.1	Research Design	
3.2	Research Site	
3.3	Research Participants	
3.4	Data Collection Technique	
3.4.1	Observation	
3.4.	4.2 Stimulated Recalls	
3.4.	4.3 Questionnaires	
3.4.	4.5 Interview	
3.4.	4.6 Framework Checklists	
3.5	Data Analysis	40
3.6 Co	Concluding Remarks	
CHAPT	TER IV	
4.1	1 Frequency of Behavior-Specific Praise (BSP)	44
	2 The Interpretation of Good and Bad Impacts of Behavior-Specif	
(BS	SP)	50
	a. Positive outcomes	
	4.2.1 Positive Body Language	
4	4.2.2 Consistent Focus	
4	4.2.3 Positive Verbal Participation	
4	4.2.4 Student's Confidence	
4	4.2.5 Fun and Excitement	90

	b. Neg	gative Outcomes	
	4.2.6	Superfluity (Superfluous Confidence)	
	4.2.7	Ineffectiveness	
	4.2.8	Unhealthy Competition	
	4.2.9	Depending on Praise	
	4.2.10	Feeling Enough	
4.3	Teach 122	ner's and Students' Perspectives of BSP during The Learning I	Process
4.4	Discuss	sion	
4.5	Conclue	ding Remarks	
CHAI	PTER V		
5.1	Concl	lusion	141
5.2	Implie	cations of The Study	
5.3	Limit	ations of the Study	145
5.4	Recor	mmendations	145
REFF	RENCE	ES	147
APPE	NDICE	S	

LIST OF TABLES

Table 3.1. Observation Table	31
Table 3.2. Open-Ended Questionnaires to the Students	32
Table 3.3. Open-Ended Questionnaires to the Teacher	33
Table 4.1. Teacher's Behavior-Specific Praise (BSP) Distribution	41
Table 4.2. Categories of Students' Behavior Appearing	51

LIST OF CHARTS

Chart 4.1 The Percentage of Teacher's BSP Statements	42
Chart 4.2 Chart of Positive Body Language in All Meetings	52
Chart 4.3 Chart of Consistent Focus in All Meetings	65
Chart 4.4 Chart of Positive Verbal Participation in All Meetings	72
Chart 4.5 Chart of Student's Confidence in All Meetings	81
Chart 4.6 Chart of Fun and Excitement in All Meetings	87
Chart 4.7 Chart of Superfluity in All Meetings	98
Chart 4.8 Chart of Ineffectiveness in All Meetings	103
Chart 4.9 Chart of Unhealthy Competition in All Meetings	105
Chart 4.10 Chart of Dependency on Praise in All Meetings	110
Chart 4.11 Chart of Feeling Enough in All Meetings	113

LIST OF APPENDICES

APPENDIX 1 – OBSERVATION OF 1ST MEETING

APPENDIX 2 – OBSERVATION OF 2ND MEETING

APPENDIX 3 – OBSERVATION OF 3RD MEETING

APPENDIX 4 – OBSERVATION OF 4TH MEETING

APPENDIX 5 -- OPEN-ENDED QUESTIONNAIRES TO THE STUDENTS

APPENDIX 6 -- OPEN-ENDED QUESTIONNAIRES TO THE TEACHER

APPENDIX 7 -- STUDENTS' RESPONSES OF THE QUESTIONNAIRES

APPENDIX 8 -- TRANSCRIPTION OF INTERVIEW WITH THE TEACHER

REFERENCES

- Al-Ghamdi, A. (2017). Building a positive environment in classrooms through feedback and praise. *English Language Teaching*, 10(6). doi.org/10.5539/elt.v10n6p37.
- Armstrong, P. (2002, July). *You're having a laugh! Learning as fun* [Paper Presentation]. SCUTREA, 32nd Annual Conference. University of Stirling, UK.
- Barker, R.G., & Gump, P. V. (1964). *Big school, small school: High school size and student behavior*. Stanford University Press.
- Barmaki, R. (2014). *Nonverbal communication and teaching performance* [Paper presentation]. Proceedings of the 7th International Conference on Educational Data Mining.
- Barrett, T. (2005). 'Lecturers' experience as problem based learners: Learning as hard fun', In Barrett, T., Mac Labhrainn, I. and Fallon, H. (Eds.), Handbook of Enquiry & Problem Based Learning. CELT, Galway.
- Birch, L. L., Marlin, D. W., & Rotter, J. (1984). Eating as the "means" activity in a contingency: Effects on young children's food preference. *Child Development*, 55, 431–439.
- Bowles, M. (2010). *The think-aloud controversy in second language research*. Routledge.
- Bowman, K. & Kearns, P. (2007). *E learning for the mature age worker: Case studies Australian Flexible Learning Framework*. DEST, Canberra.
- Brophy, J. (1981). Teacher praise: A functional analysis. *Review of Educational Research*, 51(1), 5–32.
- Brown, H. D. (2001). Language assessment principles and classroom practices. Longman.
- Brummelman. E., Nelemans. S. A., Thomaes. S., Orobio. de C. B., (2017). When parents' praise inflates, children's self-esteem deflates. *Child Dev*, 88(6):1799-1809.

- Burnett, P. C. (2001). Elementary students' preferences for teacher praise. *Journal of Classroom Interaction*, 36(1), 16-23.
- Burns, A., & Joyce, H. (1997). *Focus on speaking*. National Center for English Language Teaching.
- Caldarella, P., Christensen, L., Young, K. R., &Densley, C. (2011). Decreasing tardiness in elementary school students using teacher-written praise notes. *Intervention in School and Clinic*, 47, 104–112. doi:10.1177/1053451211414186
- Cavanaugh, B. (2013). Performance feedback and teachers' use of praise and opportunities to respond: A review of the literature. *Education and Treatment of Children*, 36, 111–136.
- Chalk, K., &Bizo, L. A. (2004). Specific praise improves on-task behaviour and numeracy enjoyment: A study of year four pupils engaged in the numeracy hour. *Educational Psychology in Practice*, 20, 335–351. doi:10.1080/0266736042000314277
- Cherne, J. (2008). *Effects of Praise on Student Behavior in the Classroom* [Unpublished doctoral dissertation]. University of Minnesota.
- Chomsky, N. (1965) Aspects of the theory of sintax. MA:MIT press.
- Connelly, F.M & Clandinin, D.J. (1990). Stories of experience and narrative inquiry. *Educational Researcher*, 19(5), 2-14.
- Conroy, M. A., Sutherland, K. S., Snyder, A. & AlHendawi, M. (2009). Creating a positive classroom atmosphere: Teachers' use of effective praise and feedback. *Beyond Behavior*, 18(2), 18-26.
- Cooper, J. O., Heron, T. E., & Heward, W. L. (2007). *Applied behavior analysis (2nd ed.)*. Pearson.
- Corpus. J., Ogle. C., & Love-Geiger. K. (2006). The effects of social-comparison versus mastery praise on children's intrinsic motivation. *Motivation and Emotion*, 30(4): 333-343
- Cossairt, A., Hall, V., & Hopkins, B. L. (1973). The effects of experimenter's instructions, feedback, and praise on teacher praise and student attending behavior. *Journal of Applied Behavior Analysis*, 6, 89–100.
- Council for Exceptional Children. (2014). Council for Exceptional Children standards for evidence-based practices in special education. Author.

- Creswell, J. (2009). *Research design: qualitative, quantitative, and mixed methods approaches* (3rd ed.). Sage Publications.
- Creswell, J. W. (2014). *Research design: Qualitataive, quantiative and mixed methods approaches* (4th ed.). Sage Publications.
- Crowley, E. P. (1993). A qualitative analysis of mainstreamed behaviorally disordered aggressive adolescents' perceptions of helpful and unhelpful teacher attitudes and behaviors. *Exceptionality*, 4(3), 131-135.
- Damon, W. (1995). *Greater expectations: Overcoming the culture of indulgence in America's homes and schools.* Free Press.
- Deci, E. L., & Ryan, R. M. (1980). *The empirical exploration of intrinsic motivational* processes. In L. Berkowitz (Ed.), Advances in experimental social psychology (Vol. 13, pp. 39–80). Academic Press.
- Deci, E. L., Koestner, R., & Ryan, R. M. (1999). A meta-analytic review of experiments examining the effects of extrinsic rewards on intrinsic motivation. *Psychological Bulletin*, 125, 627-668. https://doi.org/10.1037/0033-2909.125.6.627
- Delin, C. R., & Baumeister, R. F. (1994). Praise: More than just social reinforcement. *Journal for the Theory of Social Behaviour*, 24, 219–241.
- Dowd, T. & Tierney, J. (2005). *Teaching social skills to youth: A step-by-step guide to* 182 basic to complex skills plus helpful teaching techniques (2nd ed.). Boys Town Press.
- Dunkin, M., & Biddle, B. (1974). The study of teaching. Holt, Rinehart, & Winston.
- Eiseinstein, M. & Bodman, J. W. (1986). "I very appreciate": Expressions of gratitude by native and nonnative speakers of American English. *Applied Linguistics*, 7, 167-185. doi.org/10.1093/applin/7.2.167
- Ekman, P. (2005). What the face reveals (2nd edition): Basic and applied studies of spontaneous expression using the Facial Action Coding System (FACS), 1, 388 392.
- Elwell, W. C., &Tiberio, J. (1994). Teacher praise: What students want. *Journal of Instructional Psychology*, 21, 322–328.

- Ennis, R. P., Royer, D. J., Lane, K. L., Menzies, H. M., Oakes, W. P., &Schellman, L. E. (2018). Behavior-specific praise: An effective, efficient, low-intensity strategy to support student success. *Beyond Behavior*, 27(3), 134–139.
- Feldman, S. (2003). The place for praise. *Teaching PreK-8*, 5(6).
- Ferguson, E., & Houghton, S. (1992). The effects of contingent teacher praise, as specified by Canter's assertive discipline program, on children's on task behaviour. *Educational Studies*, 18, 83-93.
- Flanders, N. (1970). Analyzing teaching behavior. Addison-Wesley.
- Frenzel, A. C., Goetz, T., Lüdtke, O. Pekrun, R., & Sutton, R. E. (2009). Emotional transmission in the classroom: Exploring the relationship between teacher and student enjoyment. *Journal of Educational Psychology*, 101, 705-716. https://doi.org/10.1037/a0014695
- Frunză, V. (2014). Implications of teaching styles on learning efficiency. *Procedia Social and Behavioral Sciences*, 127. DOI342-346. 10.1016/j.sbspro.2014.03.268.
- Gable, Robert & Hester, Peggy & Rock, Marcia & Hughes, Kimberly. (2009). Back to basics. Intervention in school and clinic. *Intervention School Clinic*, 44, 195-205. 10.1177/1053451208328831.
- Gafoor, K., & Babu, H. (2012). Teaching style: A conceptual overview. APH.
- Gary, A. F. (1999). Discipline and class management. *Education technology and development*, 4.
- Gellman, E. S., & Berkowitz, M. (1992). Factors perceived as important in teacher evaluation. *Alberta Journal of Educational Research*, 38(2), 219-234.
- Ginott, H. G. (1972). Teacher and child. Macmillan.
- Glazer, S. M. (2007). Spontaneous praise. *Teaching PreK-8*, 37(7), 62-65.
- Gordon, T. (1989). Teaching children self-discipline. Times Books.
- Graham, S. (1990). On communicating low ability in the classroom: Bad things good teachers sometimes do. In S. Graham & V. Folkes (Eds.), Attribution theory: Applications to achievement, mental health, and interpersonal conflict. Erlbaum.

Halliday, M. A. (1985). An introduction to functional grammar. Edward Arnold Press.

- Harmer, J. (2001). *How to teach English: An introduction to the practice of English language teaching.* Longman.
- Harmer, J. (2007). The practice of English language teaching. Longman.
- Hattie, J., & Timperley, H. (2007). The power of feedback. *Review of Educational Research*, 77, 81–112. doi:10.3102/003465430298487
- Haydon, T., & Musti-Rao, S. (2011). Effective use of behavior-specific praise: A middle school case study. *Beyond Behavior*, 20(2), 31-39
- Hein, Vello. (2012). The effect of teacher behaviour on students motivation and learning outcomes: a review. *Acta Kinesiologiae Universitatis Tartuensis*, 18(9), 10.12697/akut.2012.18.02.
- Hensley, M., Powell, W., Lamke, S. & Hartman, M. (2011). *Well-managed schools: Strategies to create a productive and cooperative social climate in your learning community*. New Boys Press.
- Hollingshead, A., Kroeger, S. D., Altus, J., &Trytten, J. B. (2016). A case study of positive behavior supports-based interventions in a seventh-grade urban classroom. *Preventing School Failure: Alternative Education for Children and Youth*, 60, 278–285. doi:10.1080/1045988X.2015.1124832
- Holt, J. (1982). How children fail (Rev. ed.). Dell.
- James, J. (2007). The body language rules: A savvy guide to understanding who's flirting, who's faking, and who's really interested. Sourcebooks, Inc.
- Jones, R. D. (2009). *Student engagement—teacher handbook*. International Center for Leadership in Education.
- Kanza, D. (2016). *The importance of self-confidence in enhancing students' speaking skill*. Case study: first year LMD students at Mohammad Kheider University of Biskra.
- Kennedy, C., &Jolivette, K. (2008). The effects of positive verbal reinforcement on the time spent outside the classroom for students with emotional and behavioral disorders in a residential setting. *Behavioral Disorders*, 33, 211–221.
- Kohn, A. (1999). Punished by rewards. The trouble with gold stars, incentive plans, *a's, and other bribes*. Houghton Mifflin Company.

- Kyoshaba, M. (2009). Factors affecting academic performance of undergraduate students at Uganda Christian University. Available at: http://mak.ac.ug/documents/Makfiles/theses/Kyoshaba%2520Martha.pdf (Retrieved: April 5th, 2020)
- Lado, R. (1961). *Linguistic across cultures: Applied linguistic for language teachers*. University Michigan Press.
- Lane, K. L., & Walker, H. M. (2015). The connection between assessment and intervention: How does screening lead to better interventions? In B. Bateman, J. W. Lloyd & M. Tankersley (Eds.), Enduring issues in special education: Personal perspectives. Routledge.
- Lane, K. L., Menzies, H. M., Bruhn, A. L., &Crnobori, M. E. (2011). *Managing challenging behaviors in schools: Research-based strategies that work*. Guilford Press.
- Lane, K. L., Menzies, H. M., Ennis, R. P., & Oakes, W. P. (2015). Supporting behavior for school success: A step-by-step guide to key strategies. Guilford Press.
- Lepper, M. R., & Woolverton, M. (2002). *The wisdom of practice: Lessons learned from the study of highly effective tutors. In J. Aronson (Ed.), Improving academic achievement: Contributions of social psychology.* Academic Press.
- Lightfoot, K. & Brady, E. (2005). 'Transformations through teaching and learning: The Story of Maine's Osher Lifelong Learning Institute'. *Journal of Transformative Education*, 3(3), 221-235
- Lipnevich, A. A., & Smith, J. K. (2008). Response to assessment feedback: The effects of grades, praise, and source of information. *ETS Research Report Series*, 2008(1), i-57. https://doi.org/10.1002/j.2333-8504.2008.tb02116.x
- Liu, M. (2019). The Research on Body Language's Role in English Teaching. International Journal of English, Literature and Social Science, 4(4), doi.org/10.22161/ijels.443.

Luoma. S. (2004). Assessing speaking. Cambridge University Press.

Lyons, John. (1989). Body language. The MIT Press.

Maclellan, E. (2005). Academic achievement: The role of praise in motivating students. *Active Learning in Higher Education*, 6(3), 194–206.

- Madsen, C. H., Becker, W. C., & Thomas, D. R. (1968). Rules, praise, and ignoring: Elements of elementary classroom control. *Journal of Applied Behavior Analysis*, 1, 139–150. doi:10.1901/jaba.1968.1-139
- Marzano, R. (2000). *Transforming classroom grading*. Association for supervision and Curriculum and Development.
- Matheson, A. S., & Shriver, M. D. (2005). Training teachers to give effective commands: Effects on student compliance and academic behaviors. *School Psychology*, 34(2), 202-219.
- Meier, A. M., & Vogt, F. (2015). The potential of stimulated recall for investigating self-regulation processes in inquiry learning with primary school students. *Perspectives in Science*, *5*, 45–53. doi:10.1016/j.pisc.2015.08.001
- Mercer, N. (2012). What has the study of classroom talk told us that can improve the quality of education. http://www.slideshare.net/margarubiosoto/exploratory-talk-inprofessor-neil-mercer. (Retrieved: August 30th, 2021)
- Meyer, W.-U., Bachmann, M., Biermann, U., Hempelmann, M., Ploger, F.-O., & Spiller, H. (1979). The informational value of evaluative behavior: Influences of praise and blame on perceptions of ability. *Journal of Educational Psychology*, 71, 259–268.
- Meyer, W.-U., Mittag, W., & Engler, U. (1986). Some effects of praise and blame on perceived ability and affect. *Social Cognition*, 4, 293–308.
- Minghe, G., & Yuan, W. (2013). Affective Factors in oral English Teaching and Learning. *Higher Education of Social Science*, 5(3), 57-61, DOI: http://dx.doi.org/10.3968/j.hess.1927024020130503.2956
- Montessori, M. (1964). The Montessori method. Schocken.
- Moore, O., & Anderson, A. (1969). Some principles for design of clarifying educational environments. In D. Goslin (Ed.). Handbook of socialization theory and research. University of Chicago Press.
- Norman, M., & Hyland, T. (2003). The role of confidence in lifelong learning. *Educational studies*, 29(2-3), 261-272.
- Nunan, D. (1999). Second language teaching & learning. Heinle&Heinle Publishers.

Nunan, D. (2004). Task-based language teaching. Cambridge University Press.

- Nunan, D. (2015). *Teaching English to speakers of other languages: An introduction*. Routledge.
- O'Leary, K. D., & O'Leary, S. G. (1977). *Classroom management: The successful use of behavior modification* (2nd ed.). Pergamon Press.
- Patton, M. & Cochran M. (2002). A guide to using qualitative research methodology. Medecines Sans Frontieres.
- Piaget, J. (1952). *The origins of intelligence in children*. International Universities Press.
- Putri, M. N., Muslim, A. B., Wirza, Y. (2020). Teacher's behavior: Behavior-specific praise (BSP) towards students' speaking performance [Paper presentation]. Proceedings of 2nd International Conference of Progressive Education. doi.org/10.4108/eai.16-10-2020.2305234.
- Rathel, J. M., Drasgow, E., Brown, W. H., & Marshall, K. J. (2014). Increasing induction-level teachers' positive-tonegative communication ratio and use of behavior-specific praise through e-mailed performance feedback and its effect on students' task engagement. *Journal of Positive Behavior Interventions*, 16, 219– 233. doi:10.1177/1098300713492856
- Reinke, W. M., Herman, K. C., & Stormont, M. (2013). Classroom-level positive behavior supports in schools implementing SW-PBIS: Identifying areas for enhancement. *Journal of Positive Behavior Interventions*, 15, 39–50. doi:10.1177/1098300712459079
- Reinke, W. M., Lewis-Palmer, T., & Martin, E. (2007). The effects of visual performance feedback on teacher use of behaviour specific praise. *Behaviour Modification*, 31, 247-263.
- Richards, J. C. (2008). *Teaching listening and speking: From theory to practice*. Cambridge University Press.
- Rosenshine, B., & Furst, N. (1973). *The use of direct observation to study teaching. In R. Travers (Ed.). Second handbook of research on teaching.* Rand McNally.
- Royer, D. J., Lane, K. L., Dunlap, K. D., & Ennis, R. P. (2019). A systematic review of teacher-delivered behavior-specific praise on k–12 student performance. *Remedial and Special Education*, 40(2), 112–128.

- Ryan, J., &Gass, S. (2012) Stimulated recall. In R. Barnard, & A. Burns (Eds). *Teacher* cognition and practice: International case studies to illuminate methodological issues. Multilingual Matters.
- Saeverot, H. (2011). Praising otherwise. *Journal of Philosophy of Education*, 45(3), 456-473. doi: 10.1111/j.1467-9752.2011.00811.x
- Schwartz, R.L. (2005). *Taking a Closer Look at Struggling ESOL Learners* [Retrieved from http://www.ncsall.net/?id=994 March 3, 2020]
- Simonsen, B., Fairbanks, S., Briesch, A., Myers, D., &Sugai, G. (2008). Evidencebased practices in classroom management: Considerations for research to practice. *Education and Treatment of Children*, 31, 351–380. doi:10.1353/ etc.0.0007
- Siregar, B. U. (2011). *Seluk beluk fungsi bahasa*. PKBB Universitas Katolik Atmajaya Jakarta
- Stang, J. (2021, May). The impact of behavior specific praise on students with emotional or behavior disorders [Master's Thesis, St. Cloud State University]. file:///C:/Users/ASUS/Downloads/The%20Impact%20of%20Behavior%20Specif ic%20Praise%20on%20Students%20with%20Emotional.pdf
- Stock, A. (1970). Formally organised learning situations: the individual and the group' SCUTREA [Paper presentation]. *Inaugural Conference*, Hull, UK.
- Stormont, M., & Reinke, W. (2009). The importance of precorrective statements and behavior-specific praise and strategies to increase their use. *Beyond Behavior*, 18(3), 26–32.
- Suhirman. L. (2019). Body language and its universal meaning: The interpretation of body language in the Little Black Book film. *Lingua*, 16(2), 175-194.
- Suhrobovna, N. M. (2020). Body language and teachers' attitude in teaching process. *International Journal of Human Computing Studies*, 6(2), doi.org/10.31149/ijhcs.v2i6.957
- Sutherland, K. S., Wehby, J. H., & Copeland, S. R. (2000). Effects of varying rates of behavior-specific praise on the on-task behavior of students with emotional and behavioral disorders. *Journal of Emotional and Behavioral Disorders*, 8, 2-8. https://doi.org/10.1177/106342660000800101.
- Sweigart, C., Landrum, T., & Pennington, R. (2015). The effect of real-time visual performance feedback on teacher feedback: A preliminary investigation.

Education & Treatment of Children, 38(4), 429-450, https://doi.org/10.1353/etc.2015.0024

- Thomas, J., Presland, I., Grant, M, & Glynn, T. (1978). Natural rates of teacher approval and disapproval in grade-7 classrooms. *Journal of Applied Behavior Analysis*, 91-94.
- Thompson, M. T., Marchant, M., Anderson, D., Prater, M. A., & Gibb, G. (2012). Effects of tiered training on general educators' use of specific praise. *Education and Treatment of Children*, 35, 521–546, doi:10.1353/etc.2012.0032
- Thornbury, S. (2005) How to teach speaking. Longman.
- Tripathy, M., & Srivastava, S. K. (2012). To study the effect of academic achievement on the level of self–confidence. *International Journal of Yoga and Allied Sciences*, 1(1), 33-45.
- Tuan, N., H & Mai T., N. (2015). Factors affecting students' speaking performance at Le Thanh Hien high school. *Asian Journal of Educational Research*, 3(2).
- Wallace, G. R. (1994). Discipline that motivates. *Journal of Instructional Psychology*, 21(4), 371-374.
- Wang. X., Han. J., Li. F., & Cao. B. (2018). Both rewards and moral praise can increase the prosocial decisions: Revealed in a modified ultimatum game task. *Front Psychol*, 9, 18-65.
- Weaver, A. D., & Watson, T. S. (2004). An idiographic investigation of the effects of ability-and effort-based praise on math performance and persistence. *The Behavior Analyst Today*, 5, 381–390. doi:10.1037/h0100045
- Weiner, B. (1985). An attributional theory of achievement motivation and emotion. *Psychological Review*, 92, 548–573.
- Weiner, B. (1992). Human motivation: Metaphors, theories, and research. Sage.
- Weiner, B. (1994). Integrating social and personal theories of achievement striving. *Review of Educational Research*, 64, 557–573.
- White, M. A. (1975). Natural rates of teacher approval and disapproval in the classroom. *Journal of Applied Behavior Analysis*, 8, 367–372, doi:10.1901/jaba.1975.8-367

Witt, P., & Wheeless, L. (2001). An experimental study of teachers' verbal and nonverbal immediacy and students' affective and cognitive learning. *Communication Education - COMMUN EDUC*, 50. 327-342. 10.1080/03634520109379259.