

**STUDENT'S ARGUMENTATION SKILL AND ATTITUDE TOWARD
SCIENCE THROUGH SOCIO-SCIENTIFIC ISSUE-BASED LEARNING
SUPPORTED BY LUCIDCHART IN ENERGY RESOURCE TOPICS**

RESEARCH PAPER

Submitted as a requirement to obtain of Sarjana in International Program on Science
Education (IPSE) Study Program



Arranged by:

Nur Shinta Ratna Wulan (1700112)

**INTERNATIONAL PROGRAM ON SCIENCE EDUCATION DEPARTMENT
FACULTY OF MATHEMATICS AND SCIENCE EDUCATION
UNIVERSITAS PENDIDIKAN INDONESIA**

2021

Nur Shinta Ratna Wulan, 2021

*STUDENT'S ARGUMENTATION SKILL AND ATTITUDE TOWARD SCIENCE THROUGH SOCIO-
SCIENTIFIC ISSUE-BASED LEARNING SUPPORTED BY LUCIDCHART IN ENERGY RESOURCE TOPICS*

Universitas Pendidikan Indonesia | repository.upi.edu | perpustakaan.upi.edu

**STUDENT'S ARGUMENTATION SKILL AND ATTITUDE TOWARD
SCIENCE THROUGH SOCIO-SCIENTIFIC ISSUE-BASED LEARNING
SUPPORTED BY LUCIDCHART IN ENERGY RESOURCE TOPICS**

Skripsi ini diajukan untuk memenuhi salah satu syarat
memperoleh gelar Sarjana Pendidikan
pada Program Studi International Program on Science Education (IPSE)
Fakultas Pendidikan Matematika dan Ilmu Pengetahuan Alam

©Nur Shinta Ratna Wulan
Universitas Pendidikan Indonesia
Agustus 2021

Hak cipta dilindungi Undang-Undang
Skripsi ini tidak boleh diperbanyak seluruhnya atau sebagian,
dengan cetak ulang, difotokopi, atau cara lainnya tanpa izin dari penulis

APPROVAL FORM OF RESEARCH PAPER

**STUDENT'S ARGUMENTATION SKILL AND ATTITUDE TOWARD
SCIENCE THROUGH SOCIO-SCIENTIFIC ISSUE-BASED LEARNING
SUPPORTED BY LUCIDCHART IN ENERGY RESOURCE TOPICS**

by:

**Nur Shinta Ratna Wulan
1700112**

Approved and authorized by:

Supervisor I



**Prof. Dr. Anna Permanasari, M.Si.
NIP. 195807121983032002**

Supervisor II



**Dr. Nanang Winarno, M.Pd.
NIP. 198403212014041001**

Perceived by,

Head of International Program on Science Education Study Program



**Dr. Eka Cahya
Prima
2021.08.17
13:15:07 +07'00'**

**Dr. Eka Cahya Prima, S.Pd., M.T.
NIP. 1990062622024041001**

DECLARATION SHEET

I do hereby declare that the research paper entitled “Students’ Argumentation Skill and Attitude towards Science through Socio-scientific Issue-Based Learning Supported by Lucidchart in Energy Resource Topic” is a genuinely pure result on my work and not copied or plagiarized from other papers. The opinion or finding of others that written in this research has been quoted or referenced with the ethics used in academic society. Thus, I am willing to be responsible and accept academic sanctions correspond to applicable rules if later it is found that there is scientific ethics violation or claims from others towards the authenticity of this research paper.

Bandung, August 2021

Declarant,



Nur Shinta Ratna Wulan

NIM. 1700112

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The completion of this research paper could not be accomplished without the help of one and only Merciful Allah SWT and various supports as well as cooperation from different parties. The author would like to express her immense gratitude and dedicate this appreciation towards the direct and indirect help, especially to the following parties:

1. Prof. Dr. Anna Permanasari, M.Si as the first supervisor who always gives sincere, advice, knowledge, and time to give constructive suggestions for improving the quality of my research. Thank you for the supervision and guidance you gave to me. May Allah SWT return all of your supports and generosity. May Allah SWT grants her health and happiness, always.
2. Dr. Nanang Winarno, M.Pd. as the second supervisor who always helps and gives me delicate suggestions of finishing the research paper. With his experience and full support have helped me through confusing and hard times. Thank you for all the advice, support, motivation, and inspiration so I can finish my research. May Allah SWT return all of your supports and generosity.
3. Dr. Eka Cahya Prima, S.Pd., M.T. as the Head of International Program on Science Education study program who always motivate and inspired me to be a better learner and develop myself as an individual.
4. All IPSE lecturers and staffs, thank you for always being there to give me the best learning experiences both in education and life for the past four years. There is nothing I hope other than all the good and kind things in the world for the beloved lecturers, may Allah SWT return everything better.
5. SMP Al-Azhar Syifa Budi Parahyangan teachers and staffs, thank you for the opportunities to be an intern teacher there. Personally, there so much unforgettable internship experience that would me improve my skills. Also, for all the students, especially for VII and IX grades of SMP Al-Azhar Syifa Budi Parahyangan for the warm welcome and experiences you give. It such an honor that I can have an experience of teaching you all.

6. My beloved family, Bapak and Mamah, Aden Maksum and Lia Susi Mulyawati Also my siblings Ambar, Ilmi, and Arba who always give me unlimited supports, trust and lit me up that I can accomplish my study. Thank you for all the pray, love, support, and motivation that you give to me. I am really grateful for having you in my life. Hopeful Allah's Merci always be with our family.
7. My grandparents, aunts, uncles, and all of my big family. Thank you for the support and motivation during my study. Hopefully Allah always give His blessings for all of you.
8. My high school best friends, Intan Indira, Sukma Dwi Anggraeni, Meirsya Shalsabila, Virra Rahmi Nabila, and Shania Rebecca, thank you for all the stories, inspiration, and motivation so I can finish my study. Hopefully Allah granting all the best wishes that we have.
9. My one call away friend, Bintang aka epey aka xavierina who always supports and give so much best experiences during my past four year. Thank you for always listening, supports, love, and be there for me. May Allah always protect and give you the happiness that you want.
10. Arsanti, Shafa Aisy, Annisa Fadhila, and Naufal Rabah, thank you for all the stories, laugh, tears, and everything in. It would never feel this good without you guys for accomplishing this research. May Allah give all the best for you.
11. For My senior in IPSE, especially Eksa Sunarya, Intan Monika, Liandha Arieska, Firdha Sarah Kartini, and Lina Christina. Thank you for the helps, pray, supports, and give valuable advices during my difficult times and cheer me up with their unique ways.
12. For My fellow IPSE 2017 mates. For Arsanti Satriani, Annisa Fadhila, Diana Ayu, Dhika Andraresti, Miftah Ummi, Nadira Renata, Naufal Rabah, Yusril Aldiana, Raisha Kanina, Novi Nursafitri, Putri Sekar, Rossi Andini, Sulistinayah, Mia Wulansani, Syahida Ainaya, Kamila Putri, Tashya Alfiah Yasin, Salma Khoirotunnisa, and Erika Oktaviani. Thank you for all the support and prayer until this time

13. All of the people who cannot mentioned who already help, support and pray for the author.

May Allah SWT bless all of those who helped the researcher and contributed on the completion of this research paper

STUDENT'S ARGUMENTATION SKILL AND ATTITUDE TOWARD SCIENCE THROUGH SOCIO-SCIENTIFIC ISSUE-BASED LEARNING SUPPORTED BY LUCIDCHART IN ENERGY RESOURCE TOPICS

Nur Shinta Ratna Wulan

International Program of Science Education
Universitas Pendidikan Indonesia
nurshintarwn@student.upi.edu

Abstract

Students' argumentation skill as a part of critical thinking needs to be improved since it leads to develop 21st century skills. Unfortunately, the students faced on difficulty in developing argument; learning process at mostly schools in Indonesia has not fully trained the argumentation skill as shown by the low PISA score. However, the implementation of socio-scientific issue-based learning (SSI-BL) by using Lucidchart is expected to improve students' argumentation skill and attitudes toward science. This study was aimed to investigate the effect of SSI-BL by using Lucidchart on students' argumentation skill and attitudes toward science. The pre-experiment method with one group pre-test and post-test design in the form of written essay test and questionnaire were used as the data collection tools. The participants consist of 32 students of a seventh-grade that chosen by convenience sampling technique from one of junior high schools in Bandung, Indonesia. The result found that there is significant difference on students' argumentation skill with a low category. The claim aspect as the highest improvement, while data aspect was in the lowest improvement. Meanwhile, the students' attitude toward science revealed the negative results. However, the control belief was better than other attitudes' sub-scale, while the intention was in the lowest. Even though, the average score of pre-test and post-test categorized as in good category. Based on this research, SSI-BL by using Lucidchart can be one of the alternatives learning approach to improve students' argumentation skill and attitude toward science with some recommendation for further study.

Keywords: SSI-BL, Lucidchart, Argumentation Skill, Attitude toward Science, Energy Resource Topic, Science learning

**KETERAMPILAN ARGUMENTASI DAN SIKAP ILMIAH SISWA DENGAN
SOCIO-SCIENTIFIC ISSUE BASED-LEARNING YANG DIDUKUNG OLEH
LUCIDCHART PADA TOPIK SUMBER DAYA ENERGI**

Nur Shinta Ratna Wulan

International Program of Science Education
Universitas Pendidikan Indonesia
nurshintarwn@student.upi.edu

Abstrak

Keterampilan argumentasi siswa sebagai bagian dari pemikiran kritis perlu ditingkatkan karena mengarah pada pengembangan keterampilan abad ke-21. Sayangnya, para siswa menghadapi kesulitan dalam mengembangkan argumen; proses belajar di sebagian besar sekolah di Indonesia belum sepenuhnya melatih keterampilan argumentasi seperti yang ditunjukkan oleh skor PISA yang rendah. Namun, pelaksanaan *Socio-scientific Issue Based-Learning* (SSI-BL) dengan menggunakan Lucidchart diharapkan dapat meningkatkan keterampilan argumentasi siswa dan sikap ilmiah siswa. Penelitian ini bertujuan untuk menginvestigasi pengaruh pembelajaran berbasis sosio-saintifik isu terhadap keterampilan argumentasi dan sikap ilmiah siswa. Metode penelitian yang digunakan ialah pre-experimental dengan one-group pre-posttest design berupa soal uraian dan kuesioner digunakan sebagai alat pengumpulan data. Adapun partisipan penelitian ini terdiri dari 32 siswa kelas tujuh dari salah satu SMP di Bandung yang dipilih dengan teknik *convenience sampling*. Hasil penelitian menemukan bahwa ada perbedaan signifikan pada keterampilan argumentasi siswa dengan kategori rendah. Aspek klaim mengalami peningkatan tertinggi, sementara data mengalami peningkatan terendah. Sementara itu, ditemukan juga hasil negatif dari sikap ilmiah terhadap siswa. Namun, keyakinan kontrol lebih baik daripada sub-skala sikap ilmiah lainnya, sementara niat adalah yang terendah. Walaupun demikian, skor rata-rata *pre-test* dan *post-test* dikategorikan seperti dalam kategori yang baik. Berdasarkan penelitian ini, SSI-BL dengan menggunakan Lucidchart dapat menjadi salah satu alternatif pendekatan pembelajaran untuk meningkatkan keterampilan argumentasi dan sikap ilmiah siswa dengan mengikuti rekomendasi yang diberikan untuk penelitian lebih lanjut.

Kata kunci: SSI-BL, Lucidchart, keterampilan argumentasi, sikap ilmiah siswa, sumber energi, pembelajaran IPA

LIST OF CONTENT

| | |
|---|------|
| APPROVAL FORM OF RESEARCH PAPER..... | i |
| DECLARATION SHEET..... | ii |
| ACKNOWLEDGEMENT | iii |
| Abstract..... | vi |
| LIST OF CONTENT | viii |
| LIST OF TABLES | x |
| LIST OF FIGURE..... | xii |
| LIST OF APPENDIXES..... | xiii |
| CHAPTER 1 INTRODUCTION | 1 |
| 1.1. Background | 1 |
| 1.2. Research Question..... | 4 |
| 1.3. Research Objective..... | 5 |
| 1.4. Research Benefit..... | 5 |
| 1.5. The Organization of Research Paper..... | 6 |
| 1.6. Limitation of Problem | 6 |
| CHAPTER II LITERATURE REVIEW | 8 |
| 2.1. Socio-scientific Issue Based-Learning (SSI-BL) | 8 |
| 2.2. Lucidchart..... | 13 |
| 2.3. Argumentation Skill | 15 |
| 2.4. Attitude toward science | 20 |
| 2.5. Energy Resource | 21 |
| 2.6. Relevant Research | 26 |
| CHAPTER III RESEARCH METHODOLOGY | 29 |
| 3.1 Research Design..... | 29 |
| 3.2 Population and Sample..... | 30 |
| 3.3 Research Instrument..... | 30 |
| 3.3.1 Argumentation Skill..... | 30 |
| 3.3.2 Attitude toward Science | 34 |
| 3.4 Research Procedure | 36 |

| | |
|--|----|
| 3.4.1. Preparation Stage | 36 |
| 3.4.2. Implementation Stage | 37 |
| 3.4.3. Completion Stage..... | 37 |
| 3.5 Data Analysis | 40 |
| 3.6 Assumption..... | 43 |
| 3.7 Hypothesis | 44 |
| 3.8 Operational Definition..... | 45 |
| CHAPTER IV RESULT AND DISCUSSION..... | 47 |
| 4.1. The Implementation of Socio-scientific Issue-Based learning (SSI-BL) by using Lucidchart..... | 47 |
| 4.2. Students' Argumentation Skill | 55 |
| 4.3. Students' Attitude toward Science | 71 |
| CHAPTER V CONCLUSION, IMPLICATION, AND RECOMMENDATION..... | 83 |
| 5.1. Conclusion..... | 83 |
| 5.2. Implication | 84 |
| 5.3. Recommendation..... | 85 |
| REFERENCES | 86 |
| APPENDIX..... | 94 |

LIST OF TABLES

| | |
|--|----|
| Table 2.1 The Competence on Energy Resource Topic | 22 |
| Table 3.1 The table of representation of research design | 29 |
| Table 3.2 Data of the sample | 30 |
| Table 3.3 Blueprint of Argumentation Written Test..... | 31 |
| Table 3.4 The Interpretation of Correlation Coefficient..... | 31 |
| Table 3.5 The interpretation of the r-value of reliability | 32 |
| Table 3.6 The interpretation of the difficulty index..... | 32 |
| Table 3.7 The interpretation of the discriminating power | 33 |
| Table 3.8 The Recapitulation of Written Essay Test Analysis | 33 |
| Table 3.9 The Blueprint of ATSQ Sub-scale..... | 34 |
| Table 3.10 The Statements of Attitude toward Science Questionnaire | 35 |
| Table 3.11 Interpretation of Normalized Gain Value Interpretation | 41 |
| Table 3.12 Interpretation of Percentage Formulation | 43 |
| Table 3.13 Interpretation of Normalized Gain Value Interpretation | 43 |
| Table 4.1 The learning Activity in The First Meeting | 47 |
| Table 4.2 The learning Activity in The Second Meeting..... | 53 |
| Table 4.3 The Summary of Students' Argumentation Skill..... | 56 |
| Table 4.4 The Summary of Claim Component Analysis | 61 |
| Table 4.5 Students' Answer in Claim Component | 62 |
| Table 4.6 The Summary of Data Component Analysis | 63 |
| Table 4.7. Students' Answers in Data Component..... | 64 |
| Table 4.8 The Summary of Warrant Component Analysis..... | 65 |
| Table 4.9 Students' Answer in Warrant Component | 65 |
| Table 4.10 The Summary of Backing Component Analysis | 66 |
| Table 4.11 Students' Answer in Backing Component..... | 67 |
| Table 4.12 The Summary of Qualifier Component Analysis | 68 |
| Table 4.13 Students' Answer in Qualifier Component..... | 69 |
| Table 4.14 The Summary of Rebuttal Component Analysis | 69 |
| Table 4.15 Students' Answer in Rebuttal Component..... | 70 |
| Table 4.16 The Summary of Student's Attitude toward Science..... | 72 |

| | |
|---|----|
| Table 4.17 The Summary of Student Improvement of N-Gain Score | 75 |
| Table 4.18 The Summary of Students' Attitude toward Science on Each Sub-scale . | 76 |
| Table 4.19 The Summary of Students' Attitude..... | 77 |
| Table 4.20 The Summary of Students' Unfavorable Outlook..... | 78 |
| Table 4.21 The Summary of Students' Control Belief | 79 |
| Table 4.22 The Summary of Students' Behavioral Belief..... | 80 |
| Table 4.23 The Summary of Students' Intention | 82 |

LIST OF FIGURES

| | |
|---|----|
| Figure 2.1 Graphical Representation of SSI-based framework | 9 |
| Figure 2.2 Template Provided in Lucidchart | 13 |
| Figure 2.3 Decision Tree Template in Lucidchart | 14 |
| Figure 2.4. Toulmin Argument structure | 17 |
| Figure 2.5 The Example of Argument Map | 18 |
| Figure 2.6. The Sample of Argument Map | 19 |
| Figure 2.7 Primary Energy Supply in 2019 | 23 |
| Figure 3.1 The Flow Chart of The Research Procedure | 39 |
| Figure 4.1 The screenshot of video presentation in Zoom Meeting | 49 |
| Figure 4.2 The Screenshot of Discussing News Article in Zoom Meeting | 50 |
| Figure 4.3 The Screenshot of Delivering Material in Zoom Meeting | 50 |
| Figure 4.4 The Screenshot of Lucidchart..... | 52 |
| Figure 4.5 The example of Students' Argumentation Map | 54 |
| Figure 4.6 The Average Score of Pre-test and Post-test for Students' Argumentation Skill..... | 56 |
| Figure 4.7 The Presentation of The Argumentation Map..... | 58 |
| Figure 4.8 The Comparison of Average Score of Each Argumentations' Component | 60 |
| Figure 4.9 The Comparison of N-Gain Score of Each Argumentations' Component | 61 |
| Figure 4.10 The Average Score of Pre-test and Post-test for Students' Attitude toward Science | 72 |

LIST OF APPENDIXES

| | |
|--|-----|
| APPENDIX A INSTRUCTIONAL TOOLS | 95 |
| A.1 Permission Letter | 96 |
| A.2 Guideline Making Argumentation Map..... | 105 |
| A.3 Presentation Slide..... | 109 |
| APPENDIX B RESEARCH INSTRUMENT | 113 |
| B.1 Instrument of Argumentation Written Essay Test..... | 114 |
| B.2. Recapitulation of Validation Result | 125 |
| B.3 The Scoring Rubric of Argumentation Written Test..... | 126 |
| B.4 Instrument of Student’s Attitude toward Science | 136 |
| B.5 Judgment Form..... | 138 |
| APPENDIX C Data Results..... | 140 |
| C.1 Recapitulation of Argumentation Skill Result | 141 |
| C.2 Recapitulation of Argumentation Skill on Each Sub-scale | 143 |
| C.3 Recapitulation of Attitude toward Science Result | 146 |
| C.4 The Recapitulation of Attitude toward Science on Each Sub-scales | 148 |
| APPENDIX D ADMINISTRATION | 151 |
| D.1 Documentation | 152 |
| D.2 Permission Letter | 154 |

REFERENCES

- Abd-El-Khalick, F., Summers, R., Said, Z., Wang, S., & Culbertson, M. (2015). Development and Large-Scale Validation of an Instrument to Assess Arabic-Speaking Students' Attitudes Toward Science. *International Journal of Science Education*, 37(16), 2637–2663. <https://doi.org/10.1080/09500693.2015.1098789>
- Adnan, M., & Anwar, K. (2020). Online Learning amid the COVID-19 Pandemic: Students' Perspectives. *Journal of Pedagogical Sociology and Psychology*, 2(1), 45–51.
- Al-Amin, Md., Zubayer, A. al, Deb, B., & Hasan, M. (2021). Status of tertiary level online class in Bangladesh: students' response on preparedness, participation and classroom activities. *Heliyon*, 7(1), 1–7. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.heliyon.2021.e05943>
- Alwahabi, S. M. H., Lashari, S. A., Benlahcene, A., Saoula, O., Lashari, T. A., & Lubana, A. (2019). Determining Students' Intention: The Role of Students' Attitude and Science Curriculum. *Journal of Turkish Science Education*, 16(3), 314–324.
- Anwar, Y., Susanti, R., & Ermayanti. (2019). Analyzing scientific argumentation skills of biology education students in general biology courses. *Journal of Physics: Conference Series*, 1166(1), 1–5. Institute of Physics Publishing. <https://doi.org/10.1088/1742-6596/1166/1/012001>
- Arinaldo, D., & Adiatma, J. C. (2019). *Indonesia's Coal Dynamics: Toward A just Energy Transition*. Jakarta.
- Astalini, Kurniawan, D. A., Kurniawan, N., & Anggraini, L. (2019). Evaluation of Student's Attitude Toward Science in Indonesia. *Open Journal for Educational Research*, 3(1).
- Astira, S., Sajidan, & Dwiastuti, S. (2019). Analysis of Argumentation Skills in Biological Learning in Senior High School Students. *Journal of Physics: Conference Series*, 1338, 012032. IOP Publishing. <https://doi.org/10.1088/1742-6596/1338/1/012032>
- Atabey, N., Topcu, M. S., & Topçu, M. S. (2017). The Effects of Socioscientific Issues Based Instruction on Middle School Students' Argumentation Quality. *Journal of Education and Practice*, 8(36), 61–71. Retrieved from <https://www.researchgate.net/publication/322299525>
- Baber, H. (2021). Social interaction and effectiveness of the online learning – A moderating role of maintaining social distance during the pandemic COVID-19.

- Asian Education and Development Studies*, ahead-of-print(ahead-of-print).
<https://doi.org/10.1108/AEDS-09-2020-0209>
- Boopathiraj, C., & Chellamani, D. (2013). Analysis of Test Items on Difficulty Level and Discrimination Index in The Test for Research in Education. *International Journal of Social Science and Interdisciplinary Research*, 2(2), 189–193.
- Capkinoglu, E., Yilmaz, S., & Leblebicioglu, G. (2020). Quality of argumentation by seventh-graders in local socioscientific issues. *Journal of Research in Science Teaching*, 57(6), 872–855. <https://doi.org/10.1002/tea.21609>
- Cardak, O., & Dikmenli, M. (2016). Student Science Teachers' Ideas about the Degradation of Ecosystems. *International Education Studies*, 9(3), 95–103. <https://doi.org/10.5539/ies.v9n3p95>
- Chen, H.-T., Wang, H.-H., Lu, Y.-Y., Lin, H., & Hong, Z.-R. (2016). Using a modified argument-driven inquiry to promote elementary school students' engagement in learning science and argumentation. *International Journal of Science Education*, 38(2), 170–191. <https://doi.org/10.1080/09500693.2015.1134849>
- Chowdhury, M. A., & Chowdhury, M. A. (2016). The Integration of Science-Technology-Society/Science-Technology-Society-Environment and Socio-Scientific-Issues for Effective Science Education and Science Teaching. *Electronic Journal of Science Education*, 20(5), 19–38. Retrieved from <http://ejse.southwestern.edu>
- Cohen, L., Manion, L., & Morrison, K. (2018). *Research Methods Education 8th Edition*. New York: Routledge:Taylor and Francis Group.
- Creswell, J. W. (2012). *Educational Research: Planning, Conducting, and Evaluating Quantitative and Qualitative Research 4th Edition* (4th Edition). New York: Pearson Education.
- Davies, M. (2011). Concept mapping, mind mapping and argument mapping: what are the differences and do they matter? *Higher Education*, 62(3), 279–301. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10734-010-9387-6>
- Dawson, V., & Carson, K. (2017). Using climate change scenarios to assess high school students' argumentation skills. *Research in Science & Technological Education*, 35(1), 1–16. <https://doi.org/10.1080/02635143.2016.1174932>
- Dawson, V., & Carson, K. (2020). Introducing Argumentation About Climate Change Socioscientific Issues in a Disadvantaged School. *Research in Science Education*, 50(3), 863-883. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11165-018-9715-x>

- Dawson, V., & Venville, G. (2013). Introducing High School Biology Students to Argumentation About Socioscientific Issues. *Canadian Journal of Science, Mathematics and Technology Education*, 13(4), 356–372. <https://doi.org/10.1080/14926156.2013.845322>
- Demircioğlu, T., & Uçar, S. (2012). The Effect of Argument-Driven Inquiry on Pre-Service Science Teachers' Attitudes and Argumentation Skills. *Procedia - Social and Behavioral Sciences*, 46, 5035–5039. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.sbspro.2012.06.382>
- Duncan, T., & Kenneth, H. (2018). *Cambridge IGCSE Physics* (Third edition). London: Hodder Education.
- Dwyer, C., Hogan, M. J., & Stewart, I. (2011). The promotion of critical thinking skills through argument mapping. In C. P. Horvarth & J. M. Forte (Eds.), *Critical Thinking Edition: I*. Nova Science Publishers, Inc. .
- Dwyer, C. P., Hogan, M. J., & Stewart, I. (2013). An examination of the effects of argument mapping on students' memory and comprehension performance. *Thinking Skills and Creativity*, 8, 11–24. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tsc.2012.12.002>
- Eastwood, J. L., Sadler, T. D., Zeidler, D. L., Lewis, A., Amiri, L., & Applebaum, S. (2012). Contextualizing Nature of Science Instruction in Socioscientific Issues. *International Journal of Science Education*, 34(15), 2289–2315. <https://doi.org/10.1080/09500693.2012.667582>
- Erika, F., & Prahani, B. K. (2017). Innovative Chemistry Learning Model to Improve Argumentation Skills and Self-Efficacy. *OSR Journal of Research & Method in Education (IOSRJRME)*, 7(1), 62–68.
- Evren-Yapıcıoğlu, A. (2018). ADVANTAGES AND DISADVANTAGES OF SOCIO-SCIENTIFIC ISSUE BASED INSTRUCTION IN SCIENCE CLASSROOMS. *International Online Journal of Education and Teaching (IOJET)*, 2018(2), 361–374.
- Faize, F. A., Husain, W., & Nisar, F. (2017). A Critical Review of Scientific Argumentation in Science Education. *EURASIA Journal of Mathematics, Science and Technology Education*, 14(1), 475–483. <https://doi.org/10.12973/ejmste/80353>
- Fraenkel, J. R., Wallen, N. E., & Hyun, H. H. (2012). *How to Design and Evaluate Research in Education 8th Edition*. Ne York: McGraw-Hill,. Inc.
- Fulmer, G. W., Ma, H., & Liang, L. L. (2019). Middle school student attitudes toward science, and their relationships with instructional practices: a survey of Chinese

- students' preferred versus actual instruction. *Asia-Pacific Science Education*, 5(1). <https://doi.org/10.1186/s41029-019-0037-8>
- Garcia-Mila, M., Gilabert, S., Erduran, S., & Felton, M. (2013). The Effect of Argumentative Task Goal on the Quality of Argumentative Discourse. *Science Education*, 97(4), 497–523. <https://doi.org/10.1002/sce.21057>
- Gasparotto, J., & da Boit Martinello, K. (2021). Coal as an energy source and its impacts on human health. *Energy Geoscience*, 2(2). <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.engeos.2020.07.003>
- Giri, V., & Paily, M. U. (2020). Effect of Scientific Argumentation on the Development of Critical Thinking. *Science & Education*, 29(3), 673–690. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11191-020-00120-y>
- Hake, R. R. (1998). Interactive-engagement versus traditional methods: A six-thousand-student survey of mechanics test data for introductory physics course. *American Journal of Physics*, 66(1), 64–74.
- Hasan, M. H., Mahlia, T. M. I., & Nur, H. (2012). A review on energy scenario and sustainable energy in Indonesia. *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews*, 16(4), 2316–2328. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rser.2011.12.007>
- Havieminoglu, Esme. (2016). Elementary School Students' Attitude toward Science and Related Variables. *International Journal of Environmental and Science Education*, 11(2), 35–52.
- Heng, L. L., Surif, J., & Seng, C. H. (2014). Individual Versus Group Argumentation: Student's Performance in a Malaysian Context. *International Education Studies*, 7(7). <https://doi.org/10.5539/ies.v7n7p109>
- Hong, Z. R., Lin, H. shyang, Wang, H. H., Chen, H. T., & Yang, K. K. (2013). Promoting and Scaffolding Elementary School Students' Attitudes Toward Science and Argumentation Through a Science and Society Intervention. *International Journal of Science Education*, 35(10), 1625–1648. <https://doi.org/10.1080/09500693.2012.734935>
- Hsu, P. S., Lee, E. M., Ginting, S., Smith, T. J., & Kraft, C. (2019). A Case Study Exploring Non-dominant Youths' Attitudes Toward Science Through Making and Scientific Argumentation. *International Journal of Science and Mathematics Education*, 17(1), 185–207. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10763-019-09997-w>
- Hsu, P.-S., van Dyke, M., Smith, T. J., & Looi, C.-K. (2018). Argue like a scientist with technology: the effect of within-gender versus cross-gender team argumentation on science knowledge and argumentation skills among middle-

- level students. *Educational Technology Research and Development*, 66(3), 733–766. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11423-018-9574-1>
- İskenderoğlu, Ö., & Akdağ, S. (2019). Comparison of nuclear energy and renewable energy consumption in terms of energy efficiency: An analysis on the EU members and candidates. *International Journal of Energy Economics and Policy*, 9(6), 193–198. <https://doi.org/10.32479/ijeep.7866>
- Jho, H., Yoon, H.-G., & Kim, M. (2014). The Relationship of Science Knowledge, Attitude and Decision Making on Socio-scientific Issues: The Case Study of Students' Debates on a Nuclear Power Plant in Korea. *Science & Education*, 23(5). <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11191-013-9652-z>
- Kemendikbud. (2017). *Mata Pelajaran Ilmu Pengetahuan Alam Kelas 7 Semester 1*. Jakarta: Kementerian Pendidikan dan Kebudayaan .
- Kitt, F., Yates, K., & Asia. (2021). *Indonesia Energy Sector Assessment, Strategy and Road Map Update*. Manila, Philippines. <https://doi.org/10.22617/TCS200429>
- Lin, S.-S., & Mintzes, J. J. (2010). LEARNING ARGUMENTATION SKILLS THROUGH INSTRUCTION IN SOCIO-SCIENTIFIC ISSUES: THE EFFECT OF ABILITY LEVEL. *International Journal of Science and Mathematics Education*, 8(6), 993–1017. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10763-010-9215-6>
- Maniatakou, A., Papassideri, I., & Georgiou, M. (2020). Role-play Activities as a Framework for Developing Argumentation Skills on Biological Issues in Secondary Education. *American Journal of Educational Research*, 8(1), 7–15.
- Maulidia, M., Dargusch, P., Ashworth, P., & Ardiansyah, F. (2019). Rethinking renewable energy targets and electricity sector reform in Indonesia: A private sector perspective. *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews*, 101. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rser.2018.11.005>
- Movahedzdeh, F. (2011). Improving Students' Attitude Toward Science Through Blended Learning. *Science Education and Civic Engagement*, 3(2), 13–18.
- Muhammad, B. (2019). Energy consumption, CO2 emissions and economic growth in developed, emerging and Middle East and North Africa countries. *Energy*, 179, 232–245. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.energy.2019.03.126>
- Nicholas, P. K., & Breakey, S. (2017). Climate Change, Climate Justice, and Environmental Health: Implications for the Nursing Profession. *Journal of Nursing Scholarship*, 49(6). <https://doi.org/10.1111/jnu.12326>
- Ochoa, G. V., Alvarez, J. N., & Acevedo, C. (2019). Research evolution on renewable energies resources from 2007 to 2017: A comparative study on solar, geothermal,

- wind and biomass energy. *International Journal of Energy Economics and Policy*, 9(6), 242–253. <https://doi.org/10.32479/ijeep.8051>
- Odom, A. L., & Bell, V. (2015). Associations of Middle School Student Science Achievement and Attitudes about Science with Student-Reported Frequency of Teacher Lecture Demonstrations and Student-Centered Learning. *International Journal of Environmental & Science Education*, 10(1), 87–97.
- OECD. (2020). *PISA 2018 Results (Volume VI): Are Students Ready to Thrive in an Interconnected World?* Paris: OECD Publishing. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1787/d5f68679-en>.
- Olasehinde, K. J., & Olatoye, R. A. (2014). Scientific Attitude, Attitude to Science and Science Achievement of Senior Secondary School Students in Katsina State, Nigeria. *Journal of Educational and Social Research*, 4(1), 445–452. <https://doi.org/10.5901/jesr.2014.v4n1p445>
- Peel, A., Zangori, L., Friedrichsen, P., Hayes, E., & Sadler, T. (2019). Students' model-based explanations about natural selection and antibiotic resistance through socio-scientific issues-based learning. *International Journal of Science Education*, 41(4), 510–532. <https://doi.org/10.1080/09500693.2018.1564084>
- Pelch, M. A., & McConnell, D. A. (2017). How Does Adding an Emphasis on Socioscientific Issues Influence Student Attitudes About Science, Its Relevance, and Their Interpretations of Sustainability? *Journal of Geoscience Education*, 65(2). <https://doi.org/10.5408/16-173.1>
- Permanasari, A., Sariningrum, A., Rubini, B., & Ardianto, D. (2021). Improving Students' Scientific Literacy Through Science Learning with Socio Scientific Issues (SSI). *5th Asian Education Symposium 2020 (AES 2020)*, 323–327. Atlantis Press.
- Presley, Morgan. L. , Sickel, Aaron. J. , Muslu, Nilay. , Merle-Johnson, Dominike. , Witzig, Stephen. B. , Izci, Kemal. , & Sadler, Troy. D. (2013). A framework for socio-scientific issues based education. . *Science Educator*, 22(1), 26–32.
- Purwati, R., Suranto, Sajidan, & Prasetyanti, N. M. (2019). Problem-Based Learning Modules with Socio-Scientific Issues Topics to Closing the Gap in Argumentation Skills. *TOJET: The Turkish Online Journal of Educational Technology*, 18(4), 35–45.
- Rahayu, D. P., & Widodo, A. (2019). The Profile of Scientific Argumentation Skill Student's Using "Toulmin Argumentation Pattern" Analysis in the Solving Energy Problem on The Students of Class VII. *Proceedings of the 2019 8th*

- International Conference on Educational and Information Technology*, 161–165. New York, NY, USA: ACM. <https://doi.org/10.1145/3318396.3318421>
- Rapanta, C., & Walton, D. (2016). The Use of Argument Maps as an Assessment Tool in Higher Education. *International Journal of Educational Research*, 79, 211–221. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijer.2016.03.002>
- Rockyane, I. S., & Sukartiningsih, W. (2018). Pengembangan Media Pembelajaran Interaktif Menggunakan Adobe Flash Dalam Pembelajaran Menulis Cerita Siswa Kelas IV SD. *Jurnal Penelitian Pendidikan Guru Sekolah Dasar*, 6(5).
- Sadler, T. D., Romine, W. L., & Topçu, M. S. (2016). Learning science content through socio-scientific issues-based instruction: a multi-level assessment study. *International Journal of Science Education*, 38(10), 1622–1635. <https://doi.org/10.1080/09500693.2016.1204481>
- Said, Z., Summers, R., Abd-El-Khalick, F., & Wang, S. (2016). Attitudes toward science among grades 3 through 12 Arab students in Qatar: findings from a cross-sectional national study. *International Journal of Science Education*, 38(4). <https://doi.org/10.1080/09500693.2016.1156184>
- Simon, S. (2008). Using Toulmin's Argument Pattern in the evaluation of argumentation in school science. *International Journal of Research & Method in Education*, 31(3), 277–289. <https://doi.org/10.1080/17437270802417176>
- Tal, T., Kali, Y., Magid, S., & Madhok, J. J. (2011a). Enhancing the Authenticity of a Web-Based Module for Teaching Simple Inheritance. In *Socio-scientific Issues in the classroom* (pp. 11–38). Dordrecht: Springer. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-94-007-1159-4_2
- Tal, T., Kali, Y., Magid, S., & Madhok, J. J. (2011b). *Enhancing the Authenticity of a Web-Based Module for Teaching Simple Inheritance*. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-94-007-1159-4_2
- Tidemand, S., & Nielsen, J. A. (2017). The role of socioscientific issues in biology teaching: from the perspective of teachers. *International Journal of Science Education*, 39(1), 44–61. <https://doi.org/10.1080/09500693.2016.1264644>
- Toma, R. B., Greca, I. M., & Orozco Gómez, M. L. (2019). Attitudes towards science and views of nature of science among elementary school students in terms of gender, cultural background and grade level variables. *Research in Science and Technological Education*, 37(4), 492–515. <https://doi.org/10.1080/02635143.2018.1561433>
- Topçu, M. S., Foulk, J. A., Sadler, T. D., Pitiporntapin, S., & Atabey, N. (2018). The classroom observation protocol for socioscientific issue-based instruction:

development and implementation of a new research tool. *Research in Science & Technological Education*, 36(3), 302–323. <https://doi.org/10.1080/02635143.2017.1399353>

Toulmin, S. E. (2003). *The Uses of Argument*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.

Tsai, J.-C., Cheng, P.-H., Liu, S.-Y., & Chang, C.-Y. (2019). Using board games to teach socioscientific issues on biological conservation and economic development in Taiwan. *Journal of Baltic Science Education*, 18(4), 634. <https://doi.org/10.33225/jbse/19.18.634>

Yapıcıoğlu, A. E., & Kaptan, F. (2017). A Mixed Method Research Study on the Effectiveness of Socioscientific Issue-Based Instruction. *Education and Science*, 42(192), 113. <https://doi.org/10.15390/EB.2017.6600>

Zeidan, A. H., & Jayosi, M. R. (2014). Science Process Skills and Attitudes toward Science among Palestinian Secondary School Students. *World Journal of Education*, 5(1), 13–24. <https://doi.org/10.5430/wje.v5n1p13>