

**SISTEMATIK LITERATUR REVIEW EFEKTIVITAS PENGGUNAAN
DYNAMIC GEOMETRY SOFTWARE DALAM PEMBELAJARAN
MATEMATIKA SMP**

TESIS

diajukan untuk memenuhi sebagian syarat
memperoleh gelar Magister Pendidikan Matematika



Oleh :

MAULANA MAXRIBBI GUNTARA
1605352

PROGRAM STUDI PENDIDIKAN MATEMATIKA
FAKULTAS PENDIDIKAN MATEMATIKA DAN ILMU PENGETAHUAN ALAM
UNIVERSITAS PENDIDIKAN INDONESIA
2021

**SISTEMATIK LITERATUR REVIU EFEKTIVITAS PENGGUNAAN
DYNAMIC GEOMETRY SOFTWARE DALAM PEMBELAJARAN
MATEMATIKA SMP**

Oleh
Maulana Maxribbi Guntara

S.Pd. Universitas Pendidikan Indonesia, 2015

Sebuah Tesis yang diajukan untuk memenuhi salah satu syarat memperoleh
gelar Magister Pendidikan (M.Pd) pada Fakultas Pendidikan Matematika
dan Ilmu Pengetahuan Alam

© Maulana Maxribbi Guntara 2021
Universitas Pendidikan Indonesia
Januari 2021

Hak Cipta dilindungi undang-undang.
Tesis ini tidak boleh diperbanyak seluruhnya atau sebagian,
dengan dicetak ulang, difoto kopi, atau cara lainnya tanpa ijin dari penulis.

MAULANA MAXRIBBI GUNTARA

SISTEMATIK LITERATUR REVIU EFEKTIVITAS PENGGUNAAN
DYNAMIC GEOMETRY SOFTWARE DALAM PEMBELAJARAN
MATEMATIKA SMP

disetujui dan disahkan oleh pembimbing:

Pembimbing I



Dr. H. Dadang Juandi, M.Si
NIP. 19640117 199202 1 001

Pembimbing II



Al Jupri, S.Pd., M.Sc., Ph.D.
NIP. 19820510 200501 1 002

Mengetahui,
Ketua Program Studi Pendidikan Matematika



Dr. H. Dadang Juandi, M.Si
NIP. 19640117 199202 1 001

ABSTRAK

Maulana Maxribbi Guntara. (2020). Sistematik Literatur Reviu Efektivitas Penggunaan *Dynamic Geometry Software* dalam Pembelajaran Matematika SMP.

Tujuan dari penelitian ini adalah untuk menganalisis studi yang dilakukan dalam rangka memberikan gambaran yang komprehensif tentang penggunaan *Dynamic Geometry Software* (DGS) dalam pembelajaran matematika di sekolah menengah pertama, khususnya dalam topik geometri. Metode yang digunakan adalah sistematik literatur reviu. Penulis melakukan pencarian literatur artikel penelitian yang diterbitkan dalam rentang tahun 2011 dan 2020 dari tiga database elektronik yang disesuaikan dengan strategi pencarian dan kriteria seleksi yang telah ditentukan untuk mendapatkan distribusi artikel berdasarkan status publikasi dan variasi metodologi penelitian yang digunakan, materi pembelajaran yang diajarkan dengan memanfaatkan DGS dalam penelitian, macam-macam kompetensi matematika sebagai hasil yang diharapkan, perangkat lunak yang digunakan dalam DGS, dan faktor-faktor yang mendukung keberhasilan dari pembelajaran matematika yang menggunakan DGS. Hasil dari sistematik literatur reviu menunjukkan minat penelitian dalam topik ini selalu ada dan terbit pada jurnal-jurnal bereputasi. Eksperimen semu menjadi desain penelitian yang paling banyak digunakan dengan jumlah sampel di atas 30, adapun penelitian studi kasus melibatkan banyak partisipan yang bervariasi, mulai dari satu sampai 27 partisipan. Adapun durasi penelitian yang dilakukan dengan singkat dalam satu pertemuan sampai yang paling lama dalam satu semester, dengan berbagai hasil yang ingin dicapai dalam pembelajaran. GeoGebra adalah DGS yang paling banyak digunakan. Studi ini memberikan kontribusi pada literatur dengan memberikan deskripsi dari penelitian 10 tahun terakhir.

Kata kunci: *systematic review; dynamic geometry software; junior high school mathematics*.

ABSTRACT

Maulana Maxribbi Guntara. (2020). Systematic Literature Review of the Effectiveness of Using Dynamic Geometry Software in Junior High School Mathematics Learning

The aim of this research is to analyze studies conducted in order to provide a comprehensive overview of the use of Dynamic Geometry Software (DGS) in mathematics learning in junior high schools, especially in the topic of geometry. The method used is a systematic review literature. The researcher conducted a literature search for research articles published in 2011 and 2020 from three electronic databases that were tailored to the search strategies and selection criteria that had been determined to get the distribution of articles based on publication status and variations in the research methodology used, learning materials taught using DGS in research, the kinds of mathematical competencies as outcome, the software used in DGS, and the factors that support the success of learning mathematics using DGS. The results of the systematic review literature show that research interest in this topic is always present and published in reputable journals. Quasi-experimental is the most widely used research design with a sample size of over 30, while case study studies involve many participants, ranging from one to 27 participants. The duration of research is carried out briefly in one meeting up to the longest in one semester, with various results to be achieved in learning, GeoGebra is the most widely used DGS. This study contributes to the literature by providing descriptions of the past 10 years of research.

Keywords: systematic review; dynamic geometry software; junior high school mathematics.

DAFTAR ISI

JUDUL.....	i
LEMBAR PENGESAHAN	ii
SURAT PERNYATAAN	iii
KATA PENGANTAR.....	iv
UCAPAN TERIMA KASIH	v
ABSTRAK	vi
ABSTRACT	vii
DAFTAR ISI.....	viii
DAFTAR TABEL	x
DAFTAR GAMBAR.....	xi
DAFTAR LAMPIRAN	xii
BAB I PENDAHULUAN.....	1
1.1 Latar Belakang Masalah	1
1.2 Rumusan Masalah	8
1.3 Pembatasan Masalah	9
1.4 Tujuan Penelitian.....	9
1.5 Manfaat Penelitian.....	10
BAB II KAJIAN PUSTAKA	11
2.1 Pembelajaran Matematika di Sekolah Menengah Pertama	11
2.2 <i>Dynamic Geometry Software</i>	13
2.2.1 The Geometer's Sketchpad	14
2.2.2 Cabri	16
2.2.3 GeoGebra	17
2.3 Pembelajaran Matematika berbasis DGS	19
2.4 Penelitian yang relevan	20
BAB III METODOLOGI PENELITIAN	21
3.1 Protokol Penelitian	21
3.2 Kriteria Kelayakan	22
3.3 Sumber Informasi	24

3.4	Proses Seleksi Penelitian	24
3.5	Prosedur Penelitian Sistematik Literatur Reviu	26
3.6	Item Data	27
3.7	Metode Analisis.....	28
BAB IV HASIL DAN PEMBAHASAN		28
4.1	Hasil Penelitian.....	28
4.1.1	Data Hasil Pengkodean	28
4.1.2	Distribusi Artikel Berdasarkan Status Publikasi	30
4.1.3	Distribusi Artikel Berdasarkan Variasi Metodologi Penelitian	32
4.1.4	Materi Pembelajaran Berbasis DGS dalam Penelitian.....	34
4.1.5	Kompetensi Matematika sebagai Hasil Penelitian	35
4.1.6	Perangkat Lunak DGS yang Digunakan dalam Pembelajaran.....	36
4.1.7	Faktor Pendukung Keberhasilan Pembelajaran Berbasis DGS.....	36
4.1.8	Faktor Penghambat Keberhasilan Pembelajaran Berbasis DGS	38
4.2	Pembahasan	39
4.2.1	Distribusi Artikel Berdasarkan Status Publikasi	39
4.2.2	Distribusi Artikel Berdasarkan Metode Penelitian	40
4.2.3	Materi Pembelajaran Berbasis DGS dalam Penelitian.....	43
4.2.4	Kompetensi Matematika sebagai Hasil Penelitian	44
4.2.5	Perangkat Lunak DGS yang Digunakan dalam Pembelajaran.....	45
4.2.6	Faktor Pendukung Keberhasilan Pembelajaran Berbasis DGS.....	45
4.2.7	Faktor Penghambat Keberhasilan Pembelajaran Berbasis DGS	47
BAB V KESIMPULAN DAN REKOMENDASI		48
5.1	Kesimpulan.....	48
5.2	Rekomendasi	50
DAFTAR PUSTAKA		52
DAFTAR LAMPIRAN		59

DAFTAR TABEL

Tabel 3.1 Format PICOC Framework	23
Tabel 3.2 Hasil Pencarian pada Database	24
Tabel 4.1 Ringkasan Hasil Data Pengkodean	28
Tabel 4.2 Jurnal yang Memuat Artikel Terpilih.....	32
Tabel 4.3 Distribusi Materi Pembelajaran Berbasis DGS.....	34
Tabel 4.4 Distribusi Kompetensi Matematika	35
Tabel 4.5 Faktor Pendukung Keberhasilan Pembelajaran Berbasis DGS	36
Tabel 4.6 Faktor Penghambat Keberhasilan Pembelajaran Berbasis DGS	38

DAFTAR GAMBAR

Gambar 2.1 Tampilan Awal The Geometer Sketchpad	15
Gambar 2.2 Tampilan The Geometer Sketchpad fitur Graph	16
Gambar 2.3 Tampilan Awal Cabri II	16
Gambar 2.4 Tampilan Awal Cabri 3D	17
Gambar 2.5 Tampilan Awal Geogebra 3D Calculator.....	18
Gambar 2.6 Tampilan Awal Geogebra Graphing Calculator	18
Gambar 3.1 <i>PRISMA 2009 Flow Diagram</i>	26
Gambar 4.1 Distribusi Artikel Berdasarkan Tahun Publikasi.....	30
Gambar 4.2 Distribusi Artikel pada Publikasi Jurnal.....	31
Gambar 4.3 Distribusi Artikel Berdasarkan Desain Penelitian.....	32
Gambar 4.4 Distribusi Artikel Kuasi Eksperimen Berdasarkan Jumlah Sampel..	33
Gambar 4.5 Distribusi Artikel Berdasarkan Durasi Penelitian	34
Gambar 4.6 Perangkat Lunak DGS yang Digunakan	36

DAFTAR LAMPIRAN

A. 1 Hasil Pencarian pada Database.....	60
A. 2 Daftar Artikel yang diunduh <i>full text</i>	61
A. 3 Daftar Artikel yang disertakan dalam penelitian.....	76
A. 4 Ekstraksi Data dari Artikel yang disertakan dalam penelitian.....	79

DAFTAR PUSTAKA

- Akgül, M. B. (2014). *The Effect of Using Dynamic Geometry Software on Eighth Grade Students' Achievement in Transformation Geometry, Geometric Thinking and Attitudes Toward Mathematics and Technology*. (Tesis). The Department of Elementary Science and Mathematics Education, Middle East Technical University, Ankara.
- Abdulrahaman, M. D., Faruk, N., Oloyede, A. A., Surajudeen-Bakinde, N. T., Olawoyin, L. A., Mejabi, O. V., Imam-Fulani, Y. O., Fahm, A. O., & Azeez, A. L. (2020). Multimedia tools in the teaching and learning processes: A systematic review. *Heliyon*, 6(11), e05312. doi: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.heliyon.2020.e05312>
- Adelabu, F. M., Makgato, M., & Ramaligela, M. S. (2019). The Importance of Dynamic Geometry Computer Software on Learners' Performance in Geometry. *Electronic Journal of e-Learning*, 17(1), 52–63
- Adulyasas, L., & Rahman, S. A. (2014). Lesson Study Incorporating Phase-based Instruction Using Geometer's Sketchpad and Its Effects on Thai Students' Geometric Thinking. *International Journal for Lesson and Learning Studies*, 3(3), 252–271. doi: <https://dx.doi.org/10.1108/IJLLS-01-2013-0010>
- Bhagat, K. K., & Chang, C.-Y. (2014). Incorporating GeoGebra into Geometry Learning-A Lesson from India. *EURASIA Journal of Mathematics, Science & Technology Education*, 11(1), 77-86. doi: <https://doi.org/10.12973/eurasia.2015.1307a>
- Chambers, P. & Timlin, R. (2013). *Teaching Mathematics in The Secondary School*. London: SAGE Publications Ltd.
- Chan, K. K., & Leung, S. W. (2014). Dynamic Geometry Software Improves Mathematical Achievement: Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis. *Journal of Educational Computing Research*, 51(3), 311-325. doi: <https://doi.org/10.2190/EC.51.3.c>
- Christou, C., Mousoulides, N., Pittalis, M., & Pitta-pantazi, D. (2004). Proofs Through Exploration In Dynamic Geometry Environments. *International Journal of Science and Mathematics Education*, 2, 339–352.
- Christou, C., Mousoulides, N., Pittalis, M., & Pitta-pantazi, D. (2005). Problem Solving and Problem Posing in a Dynamic Geometry Environment. *Journal The Montana Mathematics Enthusiast*, 2(2), 125–143.
- Clements, M. A. & Ellerton, N. F. (1996). *Mathematics Education Research: Past, Present and Future*. Bangkok: UNESCO.
- Drijvers, P., Ball, L., Barzel, B. Heid, M. K., Cao, Y., & Maschietto, M. (2016).

- Uses of Technology in Lower Secondary Mathematics Education.* Cham: Springer International Publishing.
- Erbas, A. K., & Yenmez, A. A. (2011). The Effect of Inquiry-based Explorations in a Dynamic Geometry Environment on Sixth Grade Students' Achievements in Polygons. *Computers & Education*, 57(4), 2462–2475. doi: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compedu.2011.07.002>
- Efron, S.E. & Ravid, R. (2019). *Writing The Literature Review: The Practical Guide*. New York: The Guilford Press.
- Fahlberg-Stojanovska, L. & Trifunov, Z. (2010). Constructing and Exploring Triangles with GeoGebra. *Annals. Computer Science Series*, 8(1), 45-54.
- Ganesan, N., & Eu, L. K. (2020). The Effect of Dynamic Geometry Software Geometer's Sketchpad on Students' Achievement in Topic Circle among Form Two Students. *Malaysian Online Journal of Educational Technology*, 8(2), 58-68.
- Gillis, J. M. (2005). *An Investigation of Student Conjectures in Static and Dynamic Geometry Environments*. (Disertasi). The Graduate Faculty of Auburn University, Auburn.
- Goldenberg, E. P., & Cuoco, A. A. (1998). What is Dynamic Geometry? Dalam R. Lehrer & D. Chazan (Penyunting), *Designing Learning Environments for Developing Understanding of Geometry and Space*, (hlm. 351-368). Mahwah: Lawrence Erlbaum Associates.
- Guven, B. (2012). Using Dynamic Geometry Software to Improve Eight Grade Students' Understanding of Transformation Geometry. *Australasian Journal of Educational Technology*, 28(2), 364–382. doi: <https://doi.org/10.14742/ajet.878>
- Herbts, P., Fujita, T., Halverscheid, S., & Weiss, M. (2017). *The Learning and Teaching of Geometry in Secondary Schools : A Modeling Perspective*. New York: Routledge
- Hillmayr, D., Ziernwald, L., Reinhold, F., Hofer, S. I., & Reiss, K. M. (2020). The Potential of Digital Tools to Enhance Mathematics and Science Learning in Secondary Schools: A Context-specific Meta-analysis. *Computers & Education*, 153, 103897. doi: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compedu.2020.103897>
- Hohenwarter, M., & Fuchs, K. (2005). Combination of Dynamic Geometry, Algebra and Calculus in The Software System GeoGebra. *ZDM*, 128-133.
- Hoyle, C., & Noss, R. (2003). What Can Digital Technologies Take from and Bring to Research in Mathematics Education? Dalam A.J. Bishop, M.A. Clements, C. Keitel, J. Kilpatrick, F.K.S. Leung (Penyunting) *Second International Handbook of Mathematics Education*, vol 10, (hlm. 323–349). Dordrecht: Springer. doi: https://doi.org/10.1007/978-94-010-0273-8_11

- Jelatu, S., Sariyasa, & Ardana, I. M. (2018). Effect of GeoGebra-Aided REACT Strategy on Understanding of Geometry Concepts. *International Journal of Instruction*, 11(4), 325–336.
- Jesson, J. K., Matheson, L., & Lacey, F. M. (2011). *Doing Your Literature Review: Traditional and Systematic Techniques*. London: SAGE Publications Ltd.
- Juandi, D. (2021). Heterogeneity of Problem-Based Learning Outcomes for Improving Mathematical Competence: A Systematic Literature Review. *Journal of Physics: Conference Series*, 1722(2021), 011002. doi: <https://doi.org/10.1088/1742-6596/1722/1/011002>
- Jurdak, M., & Nakhal, R. (2008). The Impact of a Cabri Learning Environment on Students' Level of Reasoning. *The Mathematics Educator*, 11(1/2), 67–78.
- Jiang Z., White, A., Mcbroom, E., Sorto, M., Dickey, E. & Rosenwasser, A. (2015). A Dynamic Geometry-Centered Teacher Professional Development Program and Its Impact. Dalam T.G. Bartell, K. N. Bieda, R. T. Putnam, K. Bradfield, & H. Dominguez (Penyunting), *Proceedings of the 37th annual meeting of the North American Chapter of the International Group for the Psychology of Mathematics Education*. East Lansing: Michigan State University
- Jiang, Z., Manouchehri, A., & Enderson, M. (2002). *Learning Mathematics with Technology*. North Chelmsford: Erudition/Courier.
- Jones, K. (2000). Providing A Foundation for Deductive Reasoning: Students' Interpretations When Using Dynamic Geometry Software and Their Evolving Mathematical Explanations. *Educational Studies in Mathematics*, 44(2000), 55–85. doi: <https://doi.org/10.1023/A:1012789201736>
- Koklu, O., & Topcu, A. (2012). Effect of Cabri-assisted Instruction on Secondary School Students' Misconceptions about Graphs of Quadratic Functions. *International Journal of Mathematical Education in Science and Technology*, 43(8), 999–1011. doi: <https://doi.org/10.1080/0020739X.2012.678892>
- Kokol-Voljc, V. (2007). " Use of Mathematical Software in Pre-Service Teacher Training: The Case of DGS". Dalam D. Küchemann (Penyunting), *Proceedings of the British Society for Research into Learning Mathematics*. (hlm.55-60). Northhampton: University of Northampton.
- Kortenkamp, U. (1999). *Foundations of Dynamic Geometry*. (Disertasi). Technical Sciences, Swiss Federal Institute of Technology, Zurich.
- Laborde, C. (2002). Integration of Technology in The Design of Geometry Tasks with Cabri-Geometry. *International Journal of Computers for Mathematical Learning*, 6(3), 283–317. doi: <https://doi.org/10.1023/A:1013309728825>
- Laborde, C. (2007). The Role and Uses of Technologies in Mathematics Classrooms: Between Challenge and Modus Vivendi. *Canadian Journal of Science, Mathematics and Technology Education*, 7(1), 68–92. doi:
- Maulana Maxribbi Guntara, 2021**
SISTEMATIK LITERATUR REVU EFEKTIVITAS PENGGUNAAN DYNAMIC GEOMETRY SOFTWARE DALAM PEMBELAJARAN MATEMATIKA SMP
Universitas Pendidikan Indonesia | repository.upi.edu | perpustakaan.upi.edu

<https://doi.org/10.1080/14926150709556721>

- Lachmy, R., & Koichu, B. (2014). The Interplay of Empirical and Deductive Reasoning in Proving “if” and “only if” Statements in A Dynamic Geometry Environment. *Journal of Mathematical Behavior*, 36 (2014), 150–165. doi: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jmathb.2014.07.002>
- Lagrange, J.-B., Artigue, M., Laborde, C., & Trouche, L. (2003). Technology and Mathematics Education: A Multidimensional Study of the Evolution of Research and Innovation. Dalam A.J. Bishop, M.A. Clements, C. Keitel, J. Kilpatrick, F.K.S. Leung (Penyunting) *Second International Handbook of Mathematics Education*, vol 10, (hlm. 237–269). Dordrecht: Springer. doi: https://doi.org/10.1007/978-94-010-0273-8_9
- Leung, A., & Lee, A. M. S. (2013). Students’ Geometrical Perception on A Task-Based Dynamic Geometry Platform. *Educational Studies in Mathematics*, 82(2013), 361–377. doi: <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10649-012-9433-7>
- Mariotti, M. A. (2000). Introduction to Proof: The Mediation of A Dynamic Software Environment. *Educational Studies in Mathematics*, 44(2000), 25–53. doi: <https://doi.org/10.1023/a:1012733122556>
- Molnár, P. & Lukáč, S. (2015). Dynamic Geometry Systems in Mathematics Education: Attitudes of Teachers. *International Journal of Information and Communication Technologies in Education*, 4(4). 19-33. doi: <https://doi.org/10.1515/ijicte-2015-0017>
- Mthethwa, M., Bayaga, A., Bossé, M. J., & Williams, D. (2020). Geogebra for Learning and Teaching: A Parallel Investigation. *South African Journal of Education*, 40(2). 1-12. doi: <https://doi.org/10.15700/saje.v40n2a1669>
- Mudaly, V., & Fletcher, T. (2019). The Effectiveness of Geogebra When Teaching Linear Functions Using The Ipad. *Problems of Education in the 21st Century*, 77(1), 55–81. doi: <https://doi.org/http://dx.doi.org/10.33225/pec/19.77.55>
- National Council of Teachers of Mathematics. (2000). *Principles and Standards for School Mathematics*. Reston, VA: NCTM.
- National Council of Teachers of Mathematics. (2015). *Strategic Use of Technology in Teaching and Learning Mathematics*. NCTM.
- Okumus, S., & Hollebrands, K. (2019). Middle School Students’ Employments of Gestures for Forming Three-Dimensional Objects Using An Extrusion or Spinning Method. *The Journal of Mathematical Behavior*, 56, 100737. doi: <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jmathb.2019.100737>
- Olivero, F., & Robutti, O. (2007). Measuring in Dynamic Geometry Environments as A Tool for Conjecturing and Proving. *International Journal of Computers for Mathematical Learning*, 12(2007), 135–156. doi: <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10758-007-9115-1>

- Oner, D. (2016). Tracing The Change in Discourse in A Collaborative Dynamic Geometry Environment: From Visual To More Mathematical. *International Journal of Computer-Supported Collaborative Learning*, 11(2016), 59–88. doi: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s11412-016-9227-5>
- Özçakır, B., Aytekin, C., Altunkaya, B., & Doruk, B. K. (2015). Effects of Using Dynamic Geometry Activities on Eighth Grade Students' Achievement Levels and Estimation Performances in Triangles. *Participatory Educational Research*, 2(3), 43–54. doi: <https://doi.org/10.17275/per.15.22.2.3>
- Özgün-Koca, S. A., & Edwards, T. G. (2011). Virtual Data Collection for Real Understanding. *Mathematics Teaching in the Middle School*, 16(6). 362–367.
- Palomares-Ruiz, A., Cebrián, A., López-Parra, E., & García-Toledano, E. (2020). Influence of ICTs on Math Teaching–Learning Processes and Their Connection to the Digital Gender Gap. *Sustainability*, 12, 6692. doi: <http://dx.doi.org/10.3390/su12166692>
- Pandiscio, E. A. (2002). Exploring the Link Between Preservice Teachers' Conception of Proof and the Use of Dynamic Geometry Software. *School Science and Mathematics*, 102(5), 216–221. doi: <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1949-8594.2002.tb18144.x>
- Peraturan Menteri Pendidikan dan Kebudayaan Nomor 21 Tahun 2016 tentang Standar Isi Pendidikan Dasar dan Menengah
- Petticrew, M., & Roberts, H. (2005). *Systematic Reviews in Social Sciences: A Practical Guide*. Malden, MA: Blackwell.
- Pierce, R., Stacey, K., Wander, R., & Ball, L. (2011). The Design of Lessons Using Mathematics Analysis Software to Support Multiple Representations in Secondary School Mathematics. *Technology, Pedagogy and Education*, 20(1), 95–112. doi: <https://doi.org/10.1080/1475939X.2010.534869>
- Rahmah, N. (2013). Belajar Bermakna Ausubel. *Al-Khwarizmi : Jurnal Pendidikan Matematika dan Ilmu Pengetahuan Alam*, 1(1), 43-48. doi: <https://doi.org/10.24256/jpmipa.v1i1.54>
- Ramli, I. S. M., Maat, S. M., & Khalid, F. (2019). Learning Analytics in Mathematics : A Systematic Review Learning Analytics in Mathematics : A Systematic Review. *International Journal of Academic Research in Progressive Education and Development*, 8(4), 436–449. doi: <https://doi.org/10.6007/IJARPED/v8-i4/6563>
- Sagala, S. (2012). *Konsep dan Makna Pembelajaran*. Bandung: Alfabeta.
- Schelly, C., Anzalone, G., Wijnen, B., & Pearce, J. M. (2015). Open-source 3-D printing Technologies for Education: Bringing Additive Manufacturing to The Classroom. *Journal of Visual Languages & Computing*, 28, 226–237. doi: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jvlc.2015.01.004>

- Silberman, M. L. (2006). *Active Learning : 101 Cara Belajar Siswa Aktif*. Bandung: Nusamedia.
- Sit, M. (2012). *Perkembangan Peserta Didik*. Medan: Perdana Publishing.
- Sovacool, B. K., Axsen, J., & Sorrell, S. (2018). Promoting Novelty, Rigor, and Style in Energy Social Science: Towards Codes of Practice for Appropriate Methods and Research Design. *Energy Research and Social Science*, 45(2017), 12–42. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.erss.2018.07.007>
- Suherman, E. dkk. (2001). *Strategi Pembelajaran Matematika Kontemporer*. Bandung: JICA - UPI.
- Sumantri, M. S. (2015). *Strategi Pembelajaran: Teori dan Praktik di Tingkat Pendidikan Dasar*. Jakarta: Raja Grafindo Persada.
- Suter, W. N. (2012). *Introduction to Educational Research: A Critical Thinking Approach*. Thousand Oaks, CA : SAGE Publications, Inc.
- Suyono & Hariyanto. (2011). *Belajar Dan Pembelajaran: Teori dan Konsep Dasar*. Bandung: PT Remaja Rosdakarya.
- Turk, H. S., & Akyuz, D. (2016). The Effects of Using Dynamic Geometry on Eighth Grade Students' Achievement and Attitude Towards Triangles. *International Journal for Technology in Mathematics Education*, 23(3), 95–102.
- Undang-Undang Republik Indonesia Nomor 20 Tahun 2003 tentang Sistem Pendidikan Nasional. Lembaran Negara Republik Indonesia Tahun 2003 Nomor 4301. Jakarta.
- Viberg, O. (2019). A Systematic Review of Tablet Technology in Mathematics Education. *International Journal of Interactive Mobile Technologies*, 13(8), 139–158. doi: <https://doi.org/10.3991/ijim.v13i08.10795>
- Wahyudin. (2008). *Pembelajaran dan Model-Model Pembelajaran*. Bandung: UPI.
- Yao, X., & Manouchehri, A. (2019). Middle School Students' Generalizations about Properties of Geometric Transformations in a Dynamic Geometry Environment. *The Journal of Mathematical Behavior*, 55, 100703. doi: <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jmathb.2019.04.002>
- Young, J., Gorumek, F., & Hamilton, C. (2018). Technology Effectiveness in The Mathematics Classroom : A Systematic Review of Meta-Analytic Research. *Journal of Computers in Education*, 5, 133-148 doi: <https://doi.org/10.1007/s40692-018-0104-2>
- Zulnaidi, H., & Zamri, S. N. A. S. (2017). The Effectiveness of The GeoGebra Software: The Intermediary Role of Procedural Knowledge on Students' Conceptual Knowledge and Their Achievement in Mathematics. *EURASIA*

Journal of Mathematics, Science & Technology Education, 13(6). 2155–2180.
doi: <https://doi.org/10.12973/eurasia.2017.01219a>