CHAPTER III

RESEARCH METHODOLOGY

This chapter covers the explanation of the research methodology used in the present

study, which includes research design, data source, data collection, and data

analysis.

3.1 Research Design

This study employed a descriptive comparative qualitative approach with a simple

quantification. Qualitative is a method used to analyzed data of a naturally

occurring phenomenon which focuses on words and specific situation (Flick, 2013;

Maxwell, 2012). It relies on human interpretation and evaluation of the meaning of

words, the development of concepts, and the interrelationship between them

(Walliman, 2011).

In addition, Flick (2013) argues that there are several objectives of the

qualitative method, which are: (1) to describe a phenomenon in some or greater

detail, be it focusing on a specific case or comparing several cases, (2) to identify

the differences found in comparative analysis, and (3) to develop a theory of the

phenomenon being studied. Therefore, this method was deemed suitable since the

objective of this study is to explore and make a comparison of the empirical facts

about the realization of the rhetorical moves of dissertation abstracts in highly

ranked universities in Indonesia and England.

3.2 Data Collection

A collection of abstracts written in English were randomly selected from

dissertations in the field of linguistics, literature, and law that were approved

between 2014 and 2019 in highly ranked universities in Indonesia and England. The

underlying reason for choosing only high-ranked universities is because the quality

and the impact of research published by any educational institution affect the

credibility of the institution itself. Thus, a high-ranked university means that the

writing quality conducted or published by the institutions itself is considered as

Yalma' Rifqiya Mauludini, 2020

MOVE ANALYSIS OF HUMANITIES DISSERTATION ABSTRACTS IN INDONESIAN AND ENGLISH

HIGHER INSTITUTIONS: A COMPARATIVE STUDY

acceptable within the academic world. The universities ranking published by *Menristekdikti* and QS World University in 2019 were used as the guideline in choosing the institutions. Therefore, four universities were chosen for this study: *Universitas Gadjah Mada, Universitas Indonesia*, University of Oxford, and the University of Cambridge. Aside from their high rank in each country, the existence of the majors to be studied was also taken into consideration in choosing the four universities.

The data were collected by accessing the repositories of the target universities. The abstracts were then copied and pasted into separate text documents for analysis. A total of 120 abstracts published between 2014 and 2019 were collected randomly from the repositories. This number is considered enough to represent the consistency of the general pattern of dissertation abstracts in the two cultural settings. Table 2 presented the distribution of the data source of this study.

Table 1 Description of data sources

Affiliation	Subject	Publication year	Number of
			abstracts
Universitas	Linguistics		10
Gadjah Mada	Literature		10
Gaajan Waaa	Law		10
Universitas	Linguistics		10
Indonesia	Literature	2	10
maonesia	Law	014	10
University of	Linguistics	2014 - 2019	10
Oxford	Literature	[9]	10
OATOI	Law		10
University of	Linguistics		10
Cambridge	Literature		10
Cumorrago	Law		10

3.3 Data Analysis

This study employed Hyland's (2000) model as the main guideline in analyzing the moves and steps of the collected dissertation abstracts. His schema suggests five moves and thirteen steps. The first move is *Introduction* (M1) which functions to establish the context of the paper as well as to motivate the discussion. The second move, which is *Purpose* (M2), indicates the objectives, thesis or hypothesis of the research, and outlines the intention behind the paper. *Method* (M3) as the third move provides information on the design, procedures, assumptions, and data of the study. The fourth move, *Product* (M4), states the main findings, arguments, and any other things that are accomplished through the study. The last move is *Conclusion* (M5) which where researchers interpret or extend the results beyond scope of paper, draw inferences, points to applications or wider implications (see Hyland, 2000, p. 67).

A software named AntMover was used as a tool for macro analysis in this study. The analysis began with converting all of the collected abstracts into plain text files or .txt format by using notepad since it is the only eligible format for the software. The software will automatically break down each text into sentences. After segmenting the text, AntMover labeled each sentence with a number that corresponds to the step number. However, taking into account how the accuracy level of this software is only around 70%, the results were then rechecked and corrected manually by giving the new label using a feature provided by the software. After the revision, if the result of moves and steps are already considered as correct, the data were saved and compiled into one Microsoft Office Excel file to be tabulated in order to see the appearance of the steps as well as to simplify the next analysis. After the moves and steps of the abstracts are revealed, the next analysis focused on a more detailed aspect which is the realization of linguistic features. In this step, the texts were presented in the form of a table with each sentence separated in different columns. This analysis was done by labeling the verb, voice, and modality used in each sentence manually.

3.4 Data Presentation

The examples of the analyzed data are presented in the table such as the following. Further elaboration of the data is presented in chapter IV.

Abstract No. IX								
Year of Publication: 2019								
Country: England (University of Oxford)								
No.	Sentence	Move	Step	Label	Linguistic Features			
					T	Vo	V	Modality
		2	1	Stating	Pr	A	A	
	This thesis investigates the			general				
	issue of prehistoric and			and/or				
1.	historical language contact			specific				
	between speakers of Greek			purpose of				
	and speakers of the			the research				
	Anatolian languages.			including the				
				hypothesis				
	It is the first systematic		4		Pr	A	Rv	
	attempt to understand to							
	what extent Greek and the							
	languages belonging to the							
	Anatolian branch of Indo -							
	European (Hittite and							
2.	Luwian in primis)			Identifying				
۷.	influenced each other			gap				
	between the second and the							
	first millennium before our							
	era, and in particular how							
	much of early Greek							
	language can be traced							
	back to Anatolian models.							

	This has been sourced at 4						1	
3.	This has been carried out through a case - to - case analysis of real or presumptive contact phenomena in the domains of phonology, morphosyntax, and lexicon (ch. 2-5).	3	3	Describing procedure and context	Pa	Р	Av	
4.	The introduction (1) offers an outline of the linguistic landscape of the Graeco - Anatolian area, together with some reflections on language phylogeny and contact.	4	1	Describing the main specific findings of the research	Pr	A	Rv	
5.	The final chapter (5) focuses on the dialect of Pamphylia, which is used as a control sample to check the ways in which a variety of Greek historically in contact with Anatolian dialects could be affected by language contact.	4	1	Describing the main specific findings of the research	Pr	A	Mv	could (mod)
6.	I have offered a stratigraphy of possible contacts between Greek and the Anatolian languages, by disentangling linguistic borrowings from	4	1	Describing the main specific findings of the research	Pa	A	Av	

	independent innovations,							
	areal features from Indo -							
	European archaisms.							
	I have argued that several							
	of the presumptive			Describing the main				
	similarities between Greek							
	and one or the other							
	Anatolian language are							
7.	either attributable to	4	1	specific	Pa	A	Mv	
/ .	reasons different from	_	1	findings of	1 a	<i>T</i>	IVIV	
	contact or need to be			the research				
	rejected because the			the research				
	alleged similarity was							
	based on wrong premises							
	or insufficient grounds.							
	Any scenario envisaging			Describing				
	diffused bilingualism is	4	1	the main			Rv	
8.	very difficult to uphold			specific	Pr	A		
	before the classical era.			findings of				
				the research				
	A certain degree of cultural			Describing				
	contact is however	4	1	the main	Pr	A	Rv	
9.	undeniable.			specific				
				findings of				
	Cinco our seider			the research				
10.	Since our evidence is very	5	4	Presenting			Av	
	often partial and since absence of evidence is not							
				recommen-	Pr	A		should
	evidence of absence, one should raise a firm caveat			dations or		A	AV	(mod)
	against any type of hyper -			implications				
	sceptical approach.							
	sceptical approach.							