

## DAFTAR PUSTAKA

- Aikenhead, G. S. (2006). *Science Education for Everyday Life: Evidence-based practice*. Columbia, NY: Teachers' College Press.
- Ainsworth, S. (1999). The functions of multiple representations. *Computers & Education*, 33(2-3), 131–152
- Anderson, R. C., Chinn, C., Chang, J., Waggoner, M., & Yi, H. (1997). On The Logical Integrity of Children's Arguments. *Cognition and Instruction*, 15, 135–167.
- Anwar, S. (2014). *Pengolahan Bahan Ajar [Hand out Perkuliahan]*. Unpublish manuscript. Universitas Pendidikan Indonesia, Bandung, Indonesia.
- Arifin & Anwar, S. (2016). The Development of Air-Theme Integrated Science Teaching Material Using Four Steps Teaching Material Development. *Jurnal Pendidikan Fisika Indonesia*, 12(1), 8-18.
- Arikunto, S. (2013). *Prosedur Penelitian: Suatu Pendekatan Praktik*. Jakarta: Rineka Cipta
- Arlitasari, O. (2013). Pengembangan Bahan Ajar IPA Terpadu Berbasis Salingtemas dengan Tema Biomassa Sumber Energi Alternatif Terbarukan. *Jurnal Pendidikan Fisika Indonesia*. Fakultas Keguruan dan Ilmu Pendidikan Universitas Sebelas Maret
- Badan Standar Nasional Pendidikan. Instrumen Penilaian Buku Teks Pelajaran Pendidikan Dasar dan Menengah. Jakarta: BSNP.
- Chang Rundgren, S. N., & Rundgren, C. J. (2010). SEE-SEP: from a separate to a holistic view of socioscientific issues. *Asia-Pacific Forum on Science Learning and Teaching*, 11(1), Article 2.
- Crowell, A., & Kuhn, D. (2014). Development Dialogic Argumentation Skills: A 3-Year Intervention Study. *Journal of Cognition and Development*, 15 (2), 363-381.
- Dahar & Ratna Wilis. (1996). *Teori-teori Belajar*. Jakarta: Erlangga

- Dawson, M.V & Venville,G. (2010). Teaching Strategies for Developing Students' Argumentation Skills About Socioscientific Issues in High School Genetics. *Research in Science Education*, 40 (2), 133-148.
- Depdiknas. (2008). *Panduan Pengembangan Bahan Ajar*. Jakarta: Depdiknas.
- Dick, W., Carey, L., & Carey, J.O. (2005). *The Systematic Design of Instruction (6<sup>th</sup> Edition)*. Boston: Pearson
- Eemeren, F. H. van. & Grootendorst, R. (2004). *A Systematic Theory of Argumentation: The Pragma-dialectical approach*. New York: Cambridge University Press
- Erduran, S., Simon, S., & Osborne, J. (2004). TAPping into Argumentation: Developments in The Application of Toulmin's Argument Pattern for Studying Science Discourse. *Science Education*, 88, 915-933
- Fleming, R. (1986). Adolscent Reasoning in Socio-Scientific Issues. Part II: Nonsocial Cognition. *Journal of Research in Science Teaching*, 23, 689-698
- Fogarty, R. (1991). *How to Integrated the Curricula*. Illinois: Skylight Publishing
- Gayford, C. (2002). Controversial Environmental Issues:A Case Study for Professional Development of Science Teachers. *International Journal of Science Education*, 24 (11), 1191-1200.
- Goldin, G. A. (2002). *Representation in mathematical learning and problem solving. Dalam English (Ed)*. Handbook of International research in Mathematics Education (IRME). New Jersey: Lawrence Erlbaum Associates.
- Hall, D. (2011). Debate: Innovative Teaching to Enhance Critical Thinking and Communication Skills in Healthcare Professionals. *The Internet Journal of Allied Health Science and Practice* **9(3)** Article 7.
- Hasnunidah, N., Susilo, H., Irawati, M.H., & Sutomo., H. (2015). Argument-Driven Inquiry with Scaffolding as Development Strategies of Argumentation and Critical Thinking Skill of Students in Lampung, Indonesia. *American Journal of Educational Research*, 3 (9), 1185 – 1192.

- Hogan, K. (2002). Small groups' Ecological Reasoning While Making an Environmental Management Decision. *Journal of Research in Science Teaching*, 39, 341-368.
- Hogan, K., & Maglienti, M. (2001). Comparing The Epistemological Underpinnings of students' and scientists' reasoning About Conclusion. *Journal of Research in Science Teaching*, 38(6), 663-687.
- Inch, E. S. (2006). *Critical Thinking and Communication: The use of Reason in Argument*. USA: Pearson.
- Jimenez-Aleixandre, M. P., Rodrigues, A. B., & Duschl, R. A. (2000). "Doing the lesson" or "Doing science": Argument in High School Genetics. *Science Education*, 84(6), 757-792.
- Kelly, G. J., & Takao, A. (2002) Epistemic Levels in Argument: An Analysis of University Oceanography Students' Use of Evidence in Writing. *Science Education*, 86(3), 314-342.
- Kelly, G. J., Druker, S., & Chen, C. (1998). Students' reasoning about Electricity: Combining Performance Assessment with Argumentation Analysis. *International Journal of Science Education*, 20(7), 849-871.
- Klosterman, M. L., & Sadler, T. D. (2010). Multi-level assessment of scientific content knowledge gains associated with socioscientific issue-based instruction. *International Journal of Science Education*, 32(8), 1017–1043.
- Kolsto, S. D. (2001). Scientific Literacy for Citizenship: Tools for Dealing with The Science Dimension of Controversial Socioscientific Issues. *Science Education*, 85, 291-310.
- Kuhn, D. (1991). *The skills of argument*. Cambridge, England: Cambridge University Press.
- Kumala, Dewi. (2013). Pengembangan Perangkat Pembelajaran Ipa Terpadu Dengan *Setting* Inkuiri Terbimbing Untuk Meningkatkan Pemahaman Konsep Dan Kinerja Ilmiah Siswa. *e-Journal Program Pascasarjana Universitas Pendidikan Ganesha*. Program Studi Pendidikan IPA, Program Pascasarjana: Universitas Pendidikan Ganesha Singaraja.

- Kusdianingsih, E. Z., Abdurrahman, & Jalmo, T. (2016). Penerapan LKPD Berbasis Kemampuan Berargumentasi-SWH untuk Meningkatkan Kemampuan Argumentasi Tertulis dan Literasi Sains Siswa. *Jurnal Pendidikan Progresif*, 6(2), 101-110.
- Lang, M. & Olson, J. 2000. Integrated Science Teaching as a Challenge for Teachers to Develop New Conceptual Structures. *Research in Science Education*, 30 (2), 213-224.
- Lawson, A. (2003). The Nature and Development of Hypothetico-Deductive Argumentation with Implication for Science Learning. *International Journal of Science Education*, 25(11), 1378-1408.
- Levinson, R. (2006). Towards a theoretical framework for teaching controversial socioscientific issues. *International Journal of Science Education*, 28, 1201–1224.
- Lin, S. & Mintzes, J. J. (2010). Learning Argumentation Skills Through Instruction in Socioscientific Issues: The Effect of Ability Level. *International Journal of Science and Mathematics Education*, 8, 993-1017
- Makhene, A. (2017), Argumentation: A Methodology to Facilitate Critical Thinking *International Journal of Nursing Education Scholarship*
- Marhamah. O.S., Nurlaelah, I., & Setiawan, I. (2017). Penerapan Model Argument Driven Inquiry (ADI) Dalam Meningkatkan Kemampuan Berargumentasi Siswa Pada Konsep Pencemaran Lingkungan di Kelas X SMA Negeri 1 Ciawigebang. *Jurnal Pendidikan dan Biologi Universitas Kuningan*, 9(2), 47-54.
- Mastina, L., Darsono, & Haenilah, E. Y. (2017). Pengembangan Modul Pembelajaran Geografi Berbasis Inkuiri Untuk Meningkatkan Kemampuan Argumentasi Siswa. *Jurnal Studi Sosial Universitas Lampung*.
- Means, M., & Voss, J. (1996). Who reasons well? Two studies of informed reasoning among children of different grade, ability, and knowledge levels. *Cognition and Instruction*, 14(2), 139–178.

- Novak, J. D. & Gowin, D. B. (1984). *Learning How to Learn*. New York: Cambridge University Press.
- Nurrahman, A., Kadaritna, N., & Tania, L. (2018). Efektivitas Model Pembelajaran ADI dalam Meningkatkan Keterampilan Argumentasi Siswa Berdasarkan Kemampuan Akademik. *Jurnal Pendidikan dan Pembelajaran Kimia Universitas Lampung*, 7(2).
- Osborne, J., Erduran, S., dan Simon, S. (2004). Enhancing The Quality of Argumentation in School Science. *Journal of Research in Science Teaching*. 41 (10), 994-1020.
- Partnership for 21st Century Learning. (2015). *P21 Framework Definition*. Los Angeles: The Partnership for 21st Century Learning
- Peraturan Pemerintah Nomor 19 Tahun 2005 tentang Standar Nasional Pendidikan*. 2005. Jakarta
- Peraturan Menteri Pendidikan Nasional Nomor 22 Tahun 2006 tentang Standar Isi Kurikulum 2006 untuk Satuan Pendidikan Dasar dan Menengah*. 2006. Jakarta.
- Peraturan Menteri Pendidikan dan Kebudayaan Nomor 21 Tahun 2016 tentang Standar Isi Kurikulum 2013 Revisi untuk Satuan Pendidikan Dasar dan Menengah*. 2016. Jakarta.
- Peraturan Menteri Pendidikan dan Kebudayaan Nomor 22 Tahun 2016 tentang Standar Proses Kurikulum 2013 Revisi untuk Satuan Pendidikan Dasar dan Menengah*. 2016. Jakarta.
- Prain, V., & Waldrip, B.G. (2007). An exploratory study of teachers' perspectives about using multi-modal representations of concepts to enhance science learning. *Canadian Journal of Science, Mathematics and Technology Education*.
- Prastowo, A. (2011). *Panduan Kreatif Membuat Bahan Ajar Inovatif*. Yogyakarta: DIVA Press
- Rasyid, H dan Mansur. (2007). *Penilaian Hasil Belajar*. Bandung: CV. Wacana Prima.

- Sadler, T. D. (2004). Informal Reasoning Regarding Socioscientific Issues: A Critical Review of Research. *Journal of Research in Science and Teaching*, 41 (5), 513-536
- Sadler, T. D. & Donnelly, L. A. (2006). Socioscientific Argumentation. The Effects of Content Knowledge and Morality. *International journal of Science Education*, 28 (12), 1463-1488
- Sadler, T. D. & Zeidler, D. L. (2004). The Morality of Socioscientific Issues: Construction and Resolution of Genetic Engineering Dilemmas. *Science Education*, 88, 4-27
- Sadler, T.D., Chambers, F. W., & Zeidler, D. L. (2004). Student Conceptualisation of The Nature of Science in Response to a Socioscientific Issues. *International Journal of Science Education*. 26 (4), 387-410.
- Sampson, V. & Clark, D. (2006). Assessment of Argument in Science Education: A Critical Review of the Literature. *In Proceedings of international Conference of the Learning Sciences 2006*, Bloomington, IN. (pp. 655-661)
- Sampson, V., Enderle, P., Grooms, J., & Witte, S. (2013). Writing to Learn by Learning to Write During the School Science Laboratory: Helping Middle and High School Students Develop Argumentative Writing Skills as They Learn Core Ideas. *Science Education*, 97 (5), 643-670.
- Sanders, J.A., Wiseman, R.L., & Gass, R.H. (1994). Does teaching argumentation facilitate critical thinking? *Communication Reports* 7:1 p 27-35.
- Sasmita, J. (2014). Efektifitas model Pembelajaran Pembangkit Argumen Menggunakan Metode Investigasi Sains untuk Meningkatkan Kemampuan Argumentasi Siswa SMP Pada Materi Cahaya. *Skripsi*. Program Studi Pendidikan Fisika, Universitas Pendidikan Indonesia.
- Setiadi. (2014). Penerapan analisis wacana dalam pengembangan bahan ajar. Materi Pokok pada Kegiatan Workshop Penulisan Bahan Ajar di Jurusan Pendidikan Kimia FPMIPA. Universitas Pendidikan Indonesia.

- Sihotang, K., Febiana, R.K., Molan, B., Ujan, A.A., & Ristyantoro, R. (2012). *Critical Thinking: Membangun Pemikiran Logis*. Jakarta: Pustaka Sinar Harapan.
- Sugiyono. (2009). *Metode Penelitian Kuantitatif Kualitatif dan R&D*. Bandung: Penerbit Alfabeta.
- Sulastri, I. (2010). Keterbacaan Wacana Buku Bina Bahasa Indonesia Karya Tim Bina Karya Guru dan Keterpahaman nya oleh Siswa SDN Karangpawulang 4 Kota Bandung Tahun Pelajaran 2009-2010. *Tesis*. Program Studi Pendidikan Bahasa Indonesia, Universitas Pendidikan Indonesia.
- Syaifudin, A. & Pratama, H. (2013). Pengembangan Buku Teks Menulis Argumentasi Berdasarkan Pola Penalaran Argumentatif. *Jurnal Penelitian Pendidikan*, 30(1).
- Takao, A., & Kelly, G. (2003). Assessment of Evidence in University Students' scientific Writing. *Science & Education*, 12(4), 341-363.
- Tytler, R., Duggan, S., & Gott, R. (2001). Dimensions of Evidence, The Public Understanding of Science and Science Education. *International Journal of Science Education*, 23, 815-832.
- Venville, G., & Dawson, M.V. (2010). The Impact of a Classroom Intervention on Grade 10 Students' Argumentation Skills, Informal reasoning, and Conceptual Understanding of Science. *Journal of Research in Science Teaching*, 47 (8), 952-977.
- Yenni R, Hernani, & Widodo, A. (2017). The implementation of integrated science teaching materials based socio-scientific issues to improve students scientific literacy for environmental pollution theme *AIP Conference Proceedings 1848*, 060002 DOI: 10.1063/1.4983970
- Yuliati. (2013). Efektivitas Bahan Ajar IPA Terpadu Terhadap Kemampuan Berpikir Tingkat Tinggi Siswa SMP. *Jurnal Pendidikan Fisika Indonesia*. Jurusan Fisika, Fakultas Matematika dan Ilmu Pengetahuan Alam, Universitas Negeri Malang.

- Zeidler, D. L., & Nichols, B. H. (2009). Socioscientific issues: theory and practice. *Journal of Elementary Science Education*, 21(2), 49–58.
- Zeidler, D. L., & Schafer, L. E. (1984). Identifying Mediating Factors of Moral Reasoning in Science Education. *Journal of Research in Science Teaching*, 21, 1-15.
- Zeidler, D. L., Walker, K., Ackett, W., & Simmons, M. (2002). Tangled up in Views: Beliefs in The Nature of Science and Responses to Socioscientific Dilemmas. *Science Education*, 27, 771-783.
- Zeidler, D. L., Sadler, T. D., Simmons, M.L., & Howes, E.V. (2005). Beyond STS: a research-based framework for socioscientific issues education. *Science Education*, 89(3), 357–377.
- Zohar A., & Nemet, F. (2002). Fostering Students' Knowledge and Argumentation Skills Trough Dillemas in Human genetics. *Journal of Research in Science Teaching*, 39(1), 35-62.