

- Anwar, C. (2012). Meningkatkan Kemampuan Pemahaman Konsep Pecahan Sederhana Melalui Media Kepingan CD (Compact Disk) Bagi Anak Kesulitan Belajar. *E-JUPEKhu*, 1(September), 311–318. Retrieved from <http://ejournal.unp.ac.id/index.php/jupekhu>
- Ayres, F. J., & Schmidt, P. A. (1976). *Schaum's Outline of Theory and Problems of College Mathematics* (Third Edit). New York: McGraw-Hill Companies Inc. <https://doi.org/10.1036/0071425888>
- Bikner-Ahsbahs, A., Knipping, C., & Presmeg, N. (2015). *Approaches to Qualitative Research in Mathematics Education. Advances in Mathenmatics Education*. Dordrecht: Springer Science+Business Media. <https://doi.org/10.1007/978-94-017-9181-6>
- Bowen, G. A. (2009). Supporting a grounded theory with an audit trail: an illustration. *International Journal of Social Research Methodology*, 12(4), 305–316. <https://doi.org/10.1080/13645570802156196>
- Burris, S., & Sankappanavar, H. P. (2012). *A Course in Universal Algebra* (The Millen).
- Cajori, F. (1993). *A History of Mathematical Notations*. New York: Dover.
- Cheong, Y. K. (2002). The Model Method in Singapore. *The Mathematics Educator*, 6(2), 47–64.
- Clements, D. H. (2000). 'Concrete' Manipulatives, Concrete Ideas. *Contemporary Issues in Early Childhood*, 1(1), 45–60. <https://doi.org/10.2304/ciec.2000.1.1.7>
- Cockcroft, W. . (1982). *Mathematics Counts. Report of the Committee of Inquiry into the Teaching of Mathematics in Schools in England and Wales*. London. <https://doi.org/10.1093/teamat/8.4.150>
- Corbin, J. M., & Strauss, A. (2008). Basics of qualitative research: techniques and procedures for developing grounded theory. *Sage Publications*, 379.
- Cordova, J. R., Sinatra, G. M., Jones, S. H., Taasobshirazi, G., & Lombardi, D. (2014). Confidence in prior knowledge, self-efficacy, interest and prior knowledge: Influences on conceptual change. *Paper Presented at the Annual Meeting of the American Educational Research Association*, 39, 164–174.
- Creswell, J. W. (2012). *Educational research: Planning, conducting, and evaluating quantitative and qualitative research. Educational Research* (Vol. 4). <https://doi.org/10.1017/CBO9781107415324.004>
- Csaszar, F. A., & Levinthal, D. A. (2016). Mental Representation and the

- Discovery of New Strategies. *Strategic Management Journal*, 37(10), 2031–2049. <https://doi.org/10.1002/smj.2440>
- Dewey, J. (1910). *How we think*. <https://doi.org/10.1037/10903-000>
- Díaz-Rojas, D., & Soto-Andrade, J. (2015). Enactive metaphoric approaches to randomness. In *CERME 9 - Ninth Congress of the European Society for Research in Mathematics Education* (pp. 629–635).
- Duval, R. (2017). *Understanding the Mathematical Way of Thinking – The Registers of Semiotic Representations*. <https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-56910-9>
- Empson, S. B. (1999). Equal Sharing and Shared Meaning: The Development of Fraction Concepts in a First-Grade Classroom. *Cognitive and Instruction*, 17(3), 283–342. <https://doi.org/10.1207/S1532690XCI1703>
- Englard, L. (2010). Raise The Bar on Problem Solving. *Teaching Children Mathematics*, 17(13), 156–163. Retrieved from [https://commons.wvc.edu/agardner/math171/downloads/Problem solving Singapore method.pdf](https://commons.wvc.edu/agardner/math171/downloads/Problem_solving_Singapore_method.pdf)
- Fang, Y., Lee, C. K. E., & Yang, Y. (2011). Developing curriculum and pedagogical resources for teacher learning. *International Journal for Lesson and Learning Studies*, 1(1), 65–84. <https://doi.org/10.1108/20468251211179713>
- Flick, U. (2010). *An Introduction To Qualitative Research*. SAGE Publications (Fourth Edi). Thousand Oaks: SAGE Publications Inc. <https://doi.org/978-1-84787-323-1>
- Flores, M. M. (2010). Using the Concrete – Representational – Abstract Sequence to Teach Subtraction With Regrouping to Students at Risk for Failure. *Remedial and Special Education*, 31(3), 195–208. <https://doi.org/0741932508327467>
- Fong, N. S. (2004). Developing Algebraic Thinking in Early Grades: Case Study of the Singapore Primary Mathematics Curriculum. *The Mathematics Educator*, 8(1), 39–59.
- Foy, P. (2017). *User Guide for the International Database Timss 2015*. Philadelphia: TIMSS & PIRLS International Study Center. Retrieved from https://timssandpirls.bc.edu/timss2015/advanced-international-database/downloads/TA15_UserGuide.pdf
- Fuchs, L. S., Fuchs, D., & Hollenbeck, K. N. (2007). Extending Responsiveness to Intervention to Mathematics at First and Third Grades. *Exceptional Children*, 22(1), 13–24. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1540-5826.2007.00227.x>

- Geary, D. C., Berch, D. B., Ochsendorf, R., & Koepke, K. M. (2017). *Acquisition of Complex Arithmetic Skills and Higher-Order Mathematics Concepts*. (D. C. Geary, D. B. Berch, R. Ochsendorf, & K. M. Koepke, Eds.) (Volume 3). London: Elsevier Inc. <https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-12-805086-6/00014-X>
- Gerrig, R. J. (2013). *Psychology and Life. Psychological Review* (20th Editi, Vol. 43). Pearson. <https://doi.org/10.1037/h0062100>
- Ginsburg, A., & Leinwand, S. (2005). Singapore Math : Can It Help CLose the US Mathematics Learning Gap ? In *CSMC's First International Conference on Mathematics Curriculum* (pp. 11–13). Retrieved from http://home.sandiego.edu/~pmyers/singapore/Ginsburg_Leinwand.pdf
- Glaser, B., & Strauss, L. A. (2006). *Strategies for Qualitative Research*. <https://doi.org/10.1093/sf/46.4.555>
- Hardy, G. H. (Godfrey H., & Snow, C. P. (2012). *A Mathematician's Apology*. Cambridge University Press.
- Herstein, I. N. (1996). *Abstract Algebra* (Third Edit). New Jersey: Prentice-Hall Inc.
- Hiebert, J., & Lefevre, P. (2009). Conceptual and Procedural Knowledge In Mathematics: An Introductory Analysis. In *Conceptual and Procedural Knowledge: The Case of Mathematics*.
- Hofer, C. (2015). The Introduction of the Singapore Bar Model in Year 1 Problem Solving: A Personal Reflection. *The STeP Journal*, 2(2), 107–117. Retrieved from <https://ojs.cumbria.ac.uk/index.php/step/article/view/243/368>
- Holstein, J. A., & Gubrium, J. F. (2004). The Active Interview. In *Qualitative Research: Theory, Method and Practice* (Second, pp. 140–161). London: SAGE Publications Inc.
- Hoong, L. Y., Kin, H. W., & Pien, C. L. (2015). Concrete-Pictorial-Abstract : Surveying its origins and charting its future. *The Mathematics Educator*, 16(1), 1–19.
- Hoven, J., & Garelick, B. (2007). Singapore Math: Simple or complex? *Educational Leadership*, 65(3), 28–31.
- Ischebeck, A., Schocke, M., & Delazer, M. (2009). The processing and representation of fractions within the brain. An fMRI investigation. *NeuroImage*, 47(1), 403–413. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuroimage.2009.03.041>
- J. Lamon, S. (2012). *Teaching Fractions and Ratios for Understanding: Essential Content Knowledge and Instructional Strategies for Teachers. Ratio and Proportion* (3rd ed.). New York: Routledge.

<https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0703993104>

- Jonsen, K., & Jehn, K. A. (2009). *Using triangulation to validate themes in qualitative studies. Qualitative Research in Organizations and Management: An International Journal* (Vol. 4).
<https://doi.org/10.1108/17465640910978391>
- Jordan, N. C., Hansen, N., Fuchs, L. S., Siegler, R. S., Gersten, R., & Micklos, D. (2013). Developmental predictors of fraction concepts and procedures. *Journal of Experimental Child Psychology*, 116(1), 45–58.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jecp.2013.02.001>
- Kaur, B. (2015a). The model method: A tool for representing and visualising relationships. In *ICMI Study 23: Primary Mathematics Study on Whole Numbers, Macau, China, 3-7 June 2015* (pp. 448–455). Retrieved from <https://repository.nie.edu.sg/handle/10497/17100>
- Kaur, B. (2015b). The Model Method. In *Primary Mathematics Study on Whole Numbers*.
- Kilpatrick, J., Swafford, J., & Findell, B. (2001). *Adding It Up: Helping Children Learn Mathematics*. Washington DC: National Academy Press.
- Kim, S. A., Wang, P., & Michaels, C. A. (2015). Using Explicit C-R-A Instruction to Teach Fraction Word Problem Solving to Low-Performing Asian English Learners. *Reading and Writing Quarterly*, 31(3), 253–278.
<https://doi.org/10.1080/10573569.2015.1030999>
- Kohler, R. (2014). *Jean Piaget*. London: Bloomsbury Academic.
- Koleza, E. (2015). The bar model as a visual aid for developing complementary/variation problems. In K. Krainer & N. Vondrová (Eds.), *Proceedings of the Ninth Congress of the European Society for Research in Mathematics Education (CERME9, 4-8 February 2015)* (pp. 1940–1946). Charles University in Prague, Faculty of Education and ERME.
- Lapan, S. D., Quartaroli, M. T., & Riemer, F. J. (2012). *Qualitative Research : An Introduction to Methods and Designs* (1st Editio). San Fransisco: John Wiley & Sons, Inc.
- LaRossa, R. (2005). Grounded Theory Methods and Qualitative Family Research. *Journal of Marriage and Family*, 67(November), 837–857.
<https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1741-3737.2005.00179.x>
- Lee, K., Khng, K. H., Ng, S. F., Ng, J., & Kong, L. (2013). Longer bars for bigger numbers? Children's usage and understanding of graphical representations of algebraic problems, 1, 81–96.
- Lockwood, E., & Weber, E. (2015). Ways of Thinking and Mathematical

Joko Soebagyo, 2019

EKSPLORASI PROSES BERPIKIR SISWA TENTANG KONSEP PECAHAN DAN OPERASINYA SEBAGAI HASIL PEMBELAJARAN DENGAN MODEL PETAK

Universitas Pendidikan Indonesia | repository.upi.edu | perpustakaan.upi.edu

- Practices. *The Mathematics Teacher*, 108(6), 461–465.
- Looi, C.-K., Ng, F.-K., & Kho, T.-H. (2007). Technology-Enabled Pedagogy to Bridge Bar Diagrams to Letter-Symbolic Algebra. In *Supporting Learning Flow Through Integrative Technologies* (pp. 29–36). Amsterdam: IOS Press.
- Mahoney, K. (2012). *Effects of singapore's model method on elementary student problem-solving performance: single case research*. Northeastern University.
- Martin, M. O., Mullis, I. V. S., & Hooper, M. (2017). *Methods and Procedures in TIMSS 2015*. (M. O. Martin, I. V. S. Mullis, & M. Hooper, Eds.), *International Association for the Evaluation of Educational Achievement (IEA)*. Chestnut Hill: TIMSS & PIRLS International Study Center.
- McGhee, G., Marland, G. R., & Atkinson, J. M. (2007). Grounded theory research: literature reviewing and reflexivity. *Journal of Advanced Nursing*, 60(3), 334–342. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2648.2007.04436.x>
- Menteri Pendidikan dan Kebudayaan. Permendikbud No 24 Tahun 2016 Lampiran 14, Pub. L. No. 24 Tahun 2016 (2016). Indonesia: Menteri Pendidikan dan Kebudayaan.
- Merzbach, U. C., & Boyer, C. B. (2011). *A History of Mathematics* (Third Edit). Canada: John Wiley & Sons, Inc.
- Misfeldt, M., & Yang, W.-C. (2015). Mathematics Education in Singapore. *The Proceedings of the 12th International Congress on Mathematical Education: Intellectual and Attitudinal Challenges*, (April 2016), 475–478. <https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-12688-3>
- Moone, G., & Groot, C. De. (2007). Fraction Action. *Teaching Children Mathematics*, 13(5), 266–271.
- Morse, J. M. (2015). Critical Analysis of Strategies for Determining Rigor in Qualitative Inquiry. *Qualitative Health Research*, 25(9), 1212–1222. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1049732315588501>
- Morse, J. M., Barrett, M., Mayan, M., Olson, K., & Spiers, J. (2002). Verification Strategies for Establishing Reliability and Validity in Qualitative Research. *International Journal of Qualitative Methods*, 1(2), 13–22. <https://doi.org/10.1177/160940690200100202>
- Mufliva, R., & Herman, T. (2016). Penggunaan Bar Model untuk Meningkatkan Kemampuan Membuat Model Matematis dan Keterampilan Prosedural Serta Ketekunan Belajar Siswa Sekolah Dasar. *Jurnal Penelitian Pendidikan*, 16(2), 147–159. Retrieved from <http://ejournal.upi.edu/index.php/JER/article/viewFile/4236/3054>
- Mullis, I. V. S., & Hooper, M. (2017). *TIMSS 2015 Assessment Framework*.

TIMSS & PIRLS.

- Mullis, I. V. S., Martin, M. O., Foy, P., & Hooper, M. (2017). *TIMSS 2015 International Results in Mathematics Draft Exhibits* (Vol. 1). TIMSS&PIRLS.
- Ng, S. F., & Lee, K. (2009). Model method: A visual tool to support algebra word problem solving at the primary level. *Mathematics Education: The Singapore Journey*, 169–203.
- Ni, Y., & Zhou, Y. (2005). Teaching and Learning Fraction and Rational Numbers: The Origins and Implications of Whole Number Bias. *Educational Psychologist*, 40(1), 27–52. <https://doi.org/10.1207/s15326985ep4001>
- Ormrod, J. E. (2017). *How We Think and Learn*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press. <https://doi.org/10.1017/9781316691458>
- Overholt, J. L., White-Holtz, J., & Dickson, S. S. (1998). *Big Math Activities for Young Children for Preschool, Kindergarten, and Primary Children*. Delmar Career and Education Publishing.
- Piaget, J. (1964). Part I: Cognitive development in children: Piaget development and learning. *Journal of Research in Science Teaching*, 2(3), 176–186. <https://doi.org/10.1002/tea.3660020306>
- Pollatsek, A., Lima, S., & Well, A. D. (1981). Concept or computation: Students' understanding of the mean. *Educational Studies in Mathematics*, 12(2), 191–204. <https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00305621>
- Polya, G. (1981). *Mathematical Discovery On Understanding, Learning and Teaching Problem Solving* (Combined). Canada: John Wiley & Sons.
- Polya, G. (2004). *How to Solve It. A New Aspect of Mathematical Method*. New Jersey: Princeton University Press.
- Presmeg, N. (2016). Review of A. Sáenz-Ludlow & G. Kadunz (Eds.). Semiotics as a Tool for Learning Mathematics: How to Describe the Construction, Visualisation, and Communication of Mathematical Concepts. *Mathematical Thinking and Learning*, 18(3), 233–238. <https://doi.org/10.1080/10986065.2016.1184953>
- Resnick, I., Jordan, N. C., Hansen, N., Rajan, V., Rodrigues, J., Siegler, R. S., & Fuchs, L. S. (2016). Developmental growth trajectories in understanding of fraction magnitude from fourth through sixth grade. *Developmental Psychology*, 52(5), 746–757. <https://doi.org/10.1037/dev0000102>
- Rittle-Johnson, B., Schneider, M., & Star, J. R. (2015). Not a One-Way Street: Bidirectional Relations Between Procedural and Conceptual Knowledge of Mathematics. *Educational Psychology Review*, 27(4), 587–597.

Joko Soebagyo, 2019

EKSPLORASI PROSES BERPIKIR SISWA TENTANG KONSEP PECAHAN DAN OPERASINYA SEBAGAI HASIL PEMBELAJARAN DENGAN MODEL PETAK

Universitas Pendidikan Indonesia | repository.upi.edu | perpustakaan.upi.edu

<https://doi.org/10.1007/s10648-015-9302-x>

- Rittle-Johnson, B., Siegler, R. S., & Alibali, M. W. (2001). Developing conceptual understanding and procedural skill in mathematics: An iterative process. *Journal of Educational Psychology*, 93(2), 346–362. <https://doi.org/10.1037/0022-0663.93.2.346>
- Saens-Ludlow, A., & Kadunz, G. (2016). *Semiotics as a Tool for Learning Mathematics*. (A. Saénz-Ludlow & L. Radford, Eds.) (Vol. 3). Boston: Sense Publishers.
- Schneider, M., Rittle-Johnson, B., & Star, J. R. (2011). Relations among conceptual knowledge, procedural knowledge, and procedural flexibility in two samples differing in prior knowledge. *Developmental Psychology*, 47(6), 1525–1538. <https://doi.org/10.1037/a0024997>
- Schneider, M., & Siegler, R. S. (2010). Representations of the magnitudes of fractions. *Journal of Experimental Psychology: Human Perception and Performance*, 36(5), 1227–1238. <https://doi.org/10.1037/a0018170>
- Siegal, M. (2005). Knowing children : experiments in conversation and cognition. *Essays in Developmental Psychology*, 0959-3977. Retrieved from <http://discovery.lib.harvard.edu/?itemid=%7Clibrary/m/aleph%7C007516778>
- Skemp, R. R. (1978). Relational Understanding and Instrumental Understanding. *The Arithmetic Teacher*, 26(3), 9–15. <https://doi.org/10.1017/CBO9781107415324.004>
- Soebagyo, J. (2017). Profil Pembelajaran dalam Mengakomodasi Mathematical Proficiency. *Euclid*, 3(2), 474–490.
- Soebagyo, J., Wahyudin, & Mulyaning, E. C. (2018). Table-sized matrix model in fractional learning. *Journal of Physics: Conference Series*, 1013(1). <https://doi.org/10.1088/1742-6596/1013/1/012110>
- Spencer, R., & Fielding, H. (2015). Using the Singapore Bar Model to support the interpretation and understanding of word problems in Key Stage 2. *Proceedings of the British Society for Research into Learning Mathematics*, 35(3), 114–119. Retrieved from <http://www.bsrlm.org.uk/wp-content/uploads/2016/02/BSRLM-IP-35-3-20.pdf>
- Star, J. R. (2013). On the relationship between knowing and doing in procedural learning. *Fourth International Conference of the Learning Sciences*, 80–86.
- Steggles, G. (2015). *Conceptualizing our Interpersonal Impressions: Mental Representation and Internal Object* (1st Editio). Newcastle: Cambridge Scholars Publishing.

Joko Soebagyo, 2019

EKSPLORASI PROSES BERPIKIR SISWA TENTANG KONSEP PECAHAN DAN OPERASINYA SEBAGAI HASIL PEMBELAJARAN DENGAN MODEL PETAK

Universitas Pendidikan Indonesia | repository.upi.edu | perpustakaan.upi.edu

- Strauss, A. L. (1987). Qualitative analysis for social scientists. *World, 1*, 319. <https://doi.org/10.1017/CBO9780511557842>
- Suryana, Y., Pranata, O. H., & Apriani, I. F. Desain Didaktis Pengenalan Konsep Pecahan Sederhana Pada Pembelajaran Matematika Untuk Siswa Kelas Iii Sekolah Dasar, Seminar Nasional Matematika dan Pendidikan Matematika FMIPA UNY Yogyakarta, 10 november 2012 § (2012).
- Taylor, S. J., Bogdan, R., & DeVault, M. L. (2016). *Introduction to Qualitative Research Methods: A Guide Book and Resource* (Fourth Edi). Canada: John Wiley & Sons, Inc. <https://doi.org/10.1017/CBO9781107415324.004>
- Thirunavukkarasu, M., & Senthilnathan, S. (2014). Effectiveness of Bar Model in Enhancing The Learning of Mathematics at Primary Level. *International Journal of Teacher Educational Research (IJTER)*, 3(1), 15–22.
- Thompson, B. (2008). How College Freshmen Communicate Student Academic Support: A Grounded Theory Study. *Communication Education*, 57(1), 123–144. <https://doi.org/10.1080/03634520701576147>
- Thorne, S. (2000). Data analysis in qualitative research. *Evidence-Based Nursing*, 3(3), 68–70. <https://doi.org/10.1136/ebn.3.3.68>
- Van De Walle, J. A., Karp, K. S., & Bay-Williams, J. M. (2016). *Elementary and Middle School Mathematics: Teaching Developmentally* (Ninth Edit). Essex: Pearson Education.
- Van den Heuvel-Panhuizen, M. (2003). The didactical use of models in realistic mathematics education: An example from a longitudinal trajectory on percentage. *Educational Studies in Mathematics*, 54(1), 9–35. <https://doi.org/10.1023/B:EDUC.0000005212.03219.dc>
- Varberg, D., Purcell, E., & Rigdon, S. (2007). *Calculus* (Ninth Edit). Mexico: Pearson Education.
- Witzel, B. S. (2005). Using CRA to Teach Algebra to Students with Math Difficulties in Inclusive Settings. *Learning Disabilities: A Contemporary Journal*, 3(2), 12.