DAFTAR PUSTAKA

Abdurahman. (2010). *The role of quantum physics multiple representations to enhance concept matter of generic science skills, and critical thinking disposition for pre-service physics teacher students*. Disertasi Doktor Pada FPS Universitas Pendidikan Indonesia: Tidak diterbitkan.

American Association for the Advance of Science. (2011). AAAS *Project 2061 Science Assessment*. tersedia di http://assessment.aaas.org/

Adadan, E. (2009).Impact of multi-representational instruction on high school students' conceptual understandings of the particulate nature of matter. *Journal of Research in Science Teaching. 31(13) 1743-1775.*

Anderson, T.R., & Rogan, J.M. (2010). Bridging the educational research-teaching practice gap: Tools for evaluating the quality of assessment instrumental. *Biochemistry and Molecular Biology Education, 38(1), 51-57.*

Anderson. & Schonborn. (2013). Identifying and developing students` ability to reason with concepts and representations in biology. In D.F. Treagust and Tsui, (Eds.), *Multiple Representations in Biological Education, Models and Modelling in Science Education* 7, DOI 10.1007/978-94-007-4192-8_2 @ Springer Science + Business media B.V.

Ainsworth, S. (1999).The Function of multi representations *Computer & Education. 33(2/3)*. 131-152.

Azevedo., Johnson., Chauncey., & Burkett. (2010). Self-regulated learning with Meta tutor: Advancing the sciences of learning with metacognitive tools. In M.S. Khine and Saleh (Eds), *New sciences of learning*: *Cognition, Computers and collaboration in education* (pp. 225-247), New York: Springer.

Beichner. (1994). Testing student interpretation of kinematics graphs. *American Journal of Physics*, 62 (8), 750-762.

Buckley. & Boulter. (2000). Investigating the role of representations and expressed models in building mental model. In J.K. Gilbert &Boulter (Eds), *Developing Models in Science Education* (pp. 105-122). Dodrecht, the Netherlands: Kluwer.

Campbell, N., and Reece, J. (2008). *Biology*. (8th eds). San Francisco: Pearson.

Capra. (1996). *The Web of life: A new scientific understanding of living systems*. New York: Anchor Books.

Chi, M.T.H., Siler, S.A., Jeong.H., Yamauchi,T., & Hausmann,R.G.(2001). Learning from human tutoring. *Cognitive Science, 25, 471-533.*

223

Dewi Lengkana, 2018
Pengembangan Program Pembelajaran Anatomi dan Fisiologi Tubuh Manusia Berbasis Multi Representasi untuk Meningkatkan Kemampuan Representasi dan Interelasinya dengan Keterampilan Generik Sains
Calon Guru Biologi
Universitas Pendidikan Indonesia | repository.upi.edu | perpustakaan.upi.edu

Chiu., & Wu., & Duit. (2009). Globalization: Science from an international perspective. *Journal of Research in Science Teaching, 48(6), 553-566.*

Clark. and Paivio. (1996). Dual coding theory and education. *Educational Pschology Review, Vol 3, No 3. pp. 149-210.*

Cuoco. (2001). *The roles of representation in school mathematics.* 2001 year book of the national council of teachers in mathematics). Reston, VA: National Council of Teachers in Mathematics.

De Jong. & van der Meij. (1998). The effect of directive self-explanation prompts to support active processing of multiple representations in a simulation-based learning Environment. *Journal of Computer Assisted learning, 411-423.*

diSessa. (2004). Meta representation: native competence and targets for instruction. *Cognition and Instruction, 22(3), 293-331.* DOI: 10.127/s1532690xci2203_2.

Dochy., Rijdt., & Dyck. (2002). Cognitive prerequisites and learning: how far have we progresses since bloom? Implications for educational practice and teaching. *Active Learning in Higher Education. Vol 3(3): 265-284. ISSN: 1469-7874. on line ISSN: 1741-2625*.

Eilam, B. & Yael, Poyas. (2010). "External representations in biochemistry". *International Journal of Science Education. 31, (2)*.

Facione dan Facione. (1994). Critical thinking disposition as a measure of competent clinical judgement: The development of the CCTDI. *Journal of Nursing Education, 33, 345-350.*

Feltovich., Spiro., & Coulson. (1989). Multiple analogies for complex concepts: Antidotes for analogy-induced misconception in advanced knowledge acquisition. In S. Vosniadou & A. Ortony (Eds), *Similarity and analogical reasoning*. Cambridge, UK: Cambridge University Press.

Galit, B. & Miriam, R. (2005).*Visualization in science education*. Dodrecht, The Nederland: Springer.

Gibb, J. (Eds) & Curtin, P. (2004). *Overview in generic skills in vocational and training.* Adelaide: National Centre for Education Research.

Gillbert. (2005). Visualization: A Metacognitif skill in science and science education. In J.K. Gilbert (Eds). *Visualization in Science Education. (*pp. 9-27*).* Dodrecht, The Nederland: Springer.

Gilbert, J. & Treagust, D. (2009). Towards a coherent model for macro, submicro and symbolic representations in chemical education. In J. K. Gilbert & Treagust

(Eds.), *Models ad Modelling in Science Education: Multiple Reprsentations in Chemical Education*. Dordrecht.The Netherlands: Springer.

Gobert, J.D. (2005). A model of molecular visualization. In J.K. Gilbert (Ed.), *Visualization in science education*. Dordrecht, The Netherlands: Springer.

Griffard. (2010). Decoding of visual narratives used in university biology. Paper presented at the *National Assosiation for Researchs in Science Teaching (NARST) Annual Conference*, Philadelphia, PA.

Griffard. (2013). Deconstructing and decoding complex process diagrams in university Biology. In Treagust and Tsui (Eds). *Multiple Representations in Biological Education.* Vol.7. Dodrecht. The Netherlands:Springer.

Guyton. & Hall. (2014). Textbook of medical physiology 12th Edition. *e-book*. Elsevier.

Halverston, K.L., Pires, J.C., &Abell, S.K. (2011). Exploring the complexity of tree thinking expertise in undergraduate plant systematic course. *Science Education, 95, 794-823.*

Hegarty. (2004). Diagram in the mind and in the world: Relations between internal and external visualization. *Diagrammatic representation and inferences: Lecture notes in artificial intelligence. Vol. 2980, pp. 88-102*. Berlin, Germany: Springer.

Hegarty. (2010). Effect of knowledge and display design on comprehension of complex grapics. *Learning and Instruction, 20, (pp. 155-166).*

Hill, W.F. (2011). *Theories of Learning. Learning: A Survey of Pscychological Interpretations.* Diterjemahkan oleh M. Khozim. Bandung: Nusa Media.

Hmelo-Silver. & Pfefer. (2004). Comparing expert and novice understanding of a complex system from the perspective of structures, behaviour, and functions. *Cognitive Science, 28, 127-138.*

Hoffler. & Leutner. (2010). The influence of visual cognitive style when learning from instructional animations and static pictures. *Learning and individual Differences, 20, 479-483.* Journal homepage: www.elsvier.com/locate/lindif.

Hopper. (2011). Comparison of student engagement and in a variety of physiology courses. *How we Teach: Classroom and laboratory Research Projects.* Tersedia on line: 12 Februari 2016// https://: doi.org/10.1152/advan.00129.2015.

Hubber,P., Tytler, R., & Haslam, F. (2010). Teaching and learning about force with a representational focus: pedagogy and teacher change. *Research in Science Education, 40(1), pp.5-28.*

Hull, K. Wilson, S. Hopp, R., Schaefer, A., Jackson, J.A. (2016). Determinant of student` success in anatomy and physiology: Do Prerequisite Courses Matter? *Journal of the Human Anatomy and Physiology Society*, *20(2), pp. 38-45.*

Kalyuga. (2011). Cognitive load theory: how many types of load does it really need? *Educational Psychology Review, 23, 1-19.* doi:10.1007/s10648-010-9150-7. Google Scholar, Crossref, ISI.

Kamsah, M.Z. (2004). Developing generic skills in classroom environment: engineering student's perspective.*Journal of Research in Science Teaching 20, (2).*

Kozma, R.B.& Russell, J.(1997). Multimedia and understanding: expert and novice responses to different representations of chemical phenomena. *Journal of Research in Science Teaching. 34 (9).*

Kozma, R. & Russell, J. (2005). Students becoming chemists: Developing representational competence, In J. K. Gilbert (Ed), *Visualization in science education (pp. 121-146)*. Dodrecht, the Netherlands: Springer.

Krajicik. (1991). Representational competence in chemistry: A comparison between students with different levels of understanding of basic chemical concepts and chemical representations. In J. Hiong Sim & Sarojin Daniel. (Eds.). *Cogent Education,* 1 (99). Tersedia di http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/2331186x.2014.991180.

Large. (1996). Computer animation in an instructional environment. *Library and Information Science Research, 18(1), 3-23.*

Lengkana. (2015). Peran kemampuan representasi dalam meningkatkan keterampilan generik sains. Makalah disajikan pada *Seminar Nasional Pendidikan MIPA* Universitas Lampung, Lampung 2015.

Lengkana. (2017). The preference of multiple representation on biological concept : Identification and quality constructed representation**.** Paper presented in *SHIELD 2nd seminar,* Lampung University, Lampung, Indonesia.

Liliasari. (2007). Scientific concept and generic science skill relationship in the 21th century science education. Makalah Kunci pada *Seminar Internasional Pendidikan IPA ke-1 SPs UPI*, Bandung 27 Oktober 2007.

Lohse, G., Walker, N., Biolsi, K., & Rureter, H.(1991). Classifying graphical information. *Behaviour & Information Technology.* 10(5), helm. 419-436.

Maheady, L., Michielli-Pendl., Harper, G. F., & Mallete, B. (2006). The effects of numbered heads together with and without an incentive package on the science test performance of a diverse group of sixth graders. *Journal of Behavioural Education. 15: 24.* Di akses dari: *https://link.springer.com/article/.*

Marzano, R.J. & Kendall, J.S. (2008). *Designing & assessing educational objectives.* Thousand Oaks California: Corwin Press.

Mayer, R.E. & Galtini, J.K. (1990). When is an ilustration worth ten thousand words? *Journal of Educational Psychology, 82*, 715-726.

Mayer, R.E. (2003). The promise of multimedia learning: Using the same instructional design methods across different media. *Journal of Learning and instruction*, *13 (2)*.

Mayer, R.E. & Massa, J.L.(2003). Three facets of visual and verbal learners: Cognitive ability, cognitive style and learning preference. *Journal of Educational Psychology*, *95(4), hal. 833-846*.

Michael, J. & Modell, H.I. (2003). Active learning in the interactive classroom: A working model of helping to learn. *Advance Physiology Education. 17(5)*.

Michael, J. (2006). Where's the evidence that active learning works? *Advance Physiology Education. 30, 159-167*.

Nitz., Sandra., & Ainsworth. (2014). Do student perceptions teaching predict the development of representational competence and biological knowledge? *Learning and Instruction,* 31. (pp.13-22). ISSN 0959-4752.

NRC, (1996).*A new biology for the 21st century*. Washington, DC: National Academic Press.

Paley,W. (2008). Sophisticated visual techniques for ease and clarity. In G. Stapleton, J. Howse, & J. Lee (Eds.) *Diagrammatic representations and interference* (Vol. 5223, pp. (2-3). Berlin, Germany: Springer.

Perrin. (1969). Handbook of research for educational communications and technology: A theory of multiple-image communications. In Jonassen, D. and Driscoll, M. (Eds.) *AV Communication Review*. 17(4), 368-382.

Pozzer. & Roth. (2003). Prevalence, structure, and functions of photographs in high school biology texbooks. *Journal of Research in Science Teaching*, *40(10)*. 1089-1114.

Pozzer-Ardenghi, L. & Roth.(2005). Photograph in lectures: Gestures as meaning-making resources. *.Linguistics and Education*, 15, 275-293.

Prain,V., & Waldrip.(2006). An exploratory study of teachers' and students' use of multi-modal representations of concepts in primary science. *International Journal of Science Education.* 28 (15).

Rahman, T. (2008). Program pembelajaran praktikum yang meningkatkan keterampilan generik dan pemahaman konsep Fisiologi Tumbuhan. *Disertasi.* FPS UPI Bandung: tidak diterbitkan.

Rapp. & Kurby. (2008). The "ins" and "outs" of learning: Internal representations and internal visualizations. In J. K. Gilbert, M. Reiner, & Nakhlekh (Eds.). *Visualization: Theory and Practice in Science Education* (pp. 29-52). Dordrecht, The Netherlands: Springer.

Roth. & Ardenghi. (2003). Prevalence, function, and structure of photographs in high school biology textbooks. *Journal of Research in Science Teaching, 40(2), pp. 39-53*.

Roth. & Ardenghi. (2005). Photographs in lectures: Gestures as meaning-making resources. *Linguistics and Education 15, pp. 275-293. doi: 10.1016/j.linged.2005.01.001*

Rybarczyk. (2011). Visual literacy in biology: A comparison of visual representations in textbooks and journal articles. *Journal of College Science Teaching*, *41 (1), 106-114*.

Rutherford, F. J. & Alghren A. (1990). *Science for all Americans*. Oxford: Oxford University Press, Inc.

Sadoski., Paivio., & Goetz. (1991). A dual coding view of vocabulary learning. *Reading and Writing Quarterly 21(3), 221-238*.

Salomon. & Perkins. (1989). Rocky roads to transfer: Rethinking mechanism of a neglegted phenomenon. *Educational Psychologist, 24(2), 113-142*.

Schonborn. & Anderson. (2008). Identifying and developing students ability to reason with concepts and representation in biology. In Tregust, D.F; Tsui, C.Y , (Eds.) *Multiple Representations in Biological Education*. 2013. 8, 390 p.

Schornborn, K.J. & Anderson, T.R. (2009). A Model of factors determining students' ability to interpret external representations in Biochemistry. *International Journal of Science Education.31, (2), 193-232*.

Schornborn, K.J. & Anderson. (2010). Bridging the educational research teaching practice gap: Foundations for assessing and developing biochemistry students` visual liteacy. *Biochemistry and Molecular Biology Education, 38(5*), 347-354.

Schornborn, K.J. & Bogeholtz, S. (2009). Knowledge transfer in biology and translation across external representations: Expert`s view and challenges for learning. *International Journal of Science and Mathematics Education, 7,931-955*.

Scheiter., Wiefe., & Holsanova.(2008). Theoritical and instructional aspects of learning with visualizations. In R Zheng (Eds.). *Cognitive Effects of Multimedia Learning* (pp.67-88). Hershey, PA: IGI Global.

Seufert,T. (2003). Supporting coherence formation in learning from multiple representation *Learning and Instruction*, 13(2), 227-237.

Sherwood. (2016).Human physiology: From cells to systems. 9th (Ed). ISBN-13: 978-1285866932, ISBN-10:1285866932.

Solso, R.L., Otto, H., Maclin., & Kimberly, Maclin. (2008). *Psikologi Kognitif.* Jakarta: Penerbit Erlangga.

Stieff, M. , Hegarty, M., & Deslongchamps, G. (2011). Identifying representational competence with multi-representational displays. *Cognition andInstruction, 29*(1), 123-145.

Sudarmin. (2007). Pengembangan model pembelajaran kimia organik dan keterampilan generik (MPKOG)) bagi calon guru kimia. *Disertasi* Doktor pada FPS UPI Bandung: tidak diterbitkan.

Sugiyono. (2007). Metode penelitian pendidikan kuantitatif, kualitatif dan R&D. Bandung: Alfabeta.

Sweller. (1988). Cognitive load during problem solving: Effects on learning. *Cognitive Science, 12*, 257-285.

Tortora. (2016). *Principles of Anatomy and Physiology, 14th Edition*. Free Download-Foxe-Book. tersedia di http://sco.it/7fYJTF.

Treagust, D.F. (2000). A typology of school science models. *International Journal of Science Education*, 22(9), 1011-1026.

Treagust, D.F. (2008). The role of multiple representations in learning science: Enhancing students' conceptual understanding and motivation. *Lee, J. & A.L Tan (Eds)*, *Science Education at the Nexus of Theory and Practices*.

Treagust, D.F. & Chitleborough. (2007).The modelling ability of non-major chemistry students and their understanding of the submicroscopic level. *Chemistry Education Research and Practice.* 8.

Tsui, C.Y. & Treagust, D.F. (2003). Genetics reasoning with multiple external representations. *Reasearch in science Education. 21*.

229

Dewi Lengkana, 2018
Pengembangan Program Pembelajaran Anatomi dan Fisiologi Tubuh Manusia Berbasis Multi Representasi untuk Meningkatkan Kemampuan Representasi dan Interelasinya dengan Keterampilan Generik Sains
Calon Guru Biologi
Universitas Pendidikan Indonesia | repository.upi.edu | perpustakaan.upi.edu

Tsui, C.Y. & Treagust, D.F. (2013). A theoretical model for interpreting learning with MERs in Biology. J.K Gilbert (Eds) *Multiple Representations in Biological Education*. Dodrecht:Springer.

Tufte. (1997). *Visual Explanations*. Chesire, CT: Graphic Press.

Tversky. (2002). Animation: Can it facilitate? *International Journal of Human Computer Studies*, 57, 247-262.

Tversky., Zacks, Lee., & Heisser. (2000). Lines, blobs, crosses and arrows: diagrammatic communication with schematic figures. *Theory and Application of Diagrams Proceedings*, 1889, 221-230.

Van Heuvelen. & Zou. (2000). The effect of multiple internal representations on context-rich instruction. *American Journal of Physics*. Di akses dari http://doi.org/10.1119/1.1286662 69(2), 184-194.

Waldrip, B.G. & Prain. (2006). Learning junior secondary science through multi-modal representations". *Electronic Journal of Science Education.52, (1).*

Waldrip., Prain., dan Carolan. (2010). Using multi-modal representations to improve learning in junior secondary science. *Research in Science Education, 40(1), 65-80.*

Waldrip, B., Hubber, P., Prain, V., Tytler, R. (Eds.) (2013). *Constructing representations to learn in Science*. Netherland : Sense Publishers.

Wahono, W. (2006). Tinjauan tentang keterampilan generik.[online]. Di akses dari :www.scribd.com/doc/74648874/Tinjauan-Tentang-Keterampilan_Generik-Sains

Weiss. & Parsley. (2004). What is high-quality instruction? *Educational Leadership, 61(5), 24-28.*

Wileman. (1993). Visual literacy in teaching and learning: A literature perspective. in stokes S. (Eds.) *Electronic Journal for the Integration of Technology in Education, 1(1), pp. 10-19.*

Winston. (2010). Prediction and prevention of failure: An early intervention to assist at-risk medical students. *Medical Teacher. 36, pp. 25-31.ISSN 0142-159X prints /ISSN 1466-187X online/14/10025-7. DOI: 10.3109/0142159X2013.835270.*

Woolgar, (1990). Scientific representation and science learning. In Corrado Matta, (Eds.) *Open Review of Educational Research, 1:1, 211-231. DOI: 10.1080/23265507.2014.989900.*

Yarden. & Yarden. (2011). Learning using dynamic and static visualizations: Students` comprehension, prior knowledge and conceptual status of a biotechnological method. *Research in Science Education*. 40, 375-402.

Yohanes Surya (2007). Sederhana dan Kompleks. Di akses dari: www.yohanessurya.com›AsyikFisika_07.