

**LAW CASE DEFENDANT'S COMMUNICATIVE STRATEGY IN COURT TRIAL: A
MAXIM VIOLATION ANALYSIS**

A Research Paper

Submitted in Partial Fulfillment of the Requirements for *Sarjana Sastra* Degree



Raden Rizki Dwiputri Ramadhiani

1504268

**ENGLISH LANGUAGE AND LITERATURE STUDY PROGRAM
DEPARTMENT OF ENGLISH EDUCATION
FACULTY OF LANGUAGE AND LITERATURE EDUCATION
INDONESIA UNIVERSITY OF EDUCATION
2019**

PAGE OF APPROVAL

RADEN RIZKI DWIPUTRI RAMADHANI

1504268

**LAW CASE DEFENDANT'S COMMUNICATIVE STRATEGY IN COURT TRIAL: A
MAXIM VIOLATION ANALYSIS**

Approved by:

Supervisors

Supervisor 1



Dr. Ruswan Dallyono, S.Sos., M.Pd.

NIP. 197008032005011002

Supervisor 2



Ernie D. A. Imperiani, M.Ed.

NIP. 197809222010122001

Acknowledged by:

Head of English Language and Literature

study program

Eri Kurniawan, M.A., Ph.D.

NIP. 198111232005011002

PLAGIARISM DECLARATION

I hereby state that this paper entitled *Law Case Defendant's Communicative Strategy in Court Trial: A Maxim Violation Analysis* submitted for *Sarjana Sastra* degree is the result of my own work. I am aware that I have quoted statements and ideas from numerous sources directly and indirectly that are properly acknowledged and cited.

Bandung, December 2019

Raden Rizki Dwiputri Ramadhiani

PREFACE

This study, entitled *Law Case Defendant's Communicative Strategy in Court Trial: A Maxim Violation Analysis* is submitted to the English Education Department of Universitas Pendidikan Indonesia as a partial fulfillment for the requirements for *Sarjana Sastra* degree.

As the author of this paper, hopefully the present study could give contributions to related studies, especially in the field of Pragmatic or Discourse Analysis. In addition, the author also puts hope to this research paper can be beneficial for the readers and other researchers in the future. Hence, any advices and suggestions regarding the improvement of this paper are highly appreciated.

Bandung, December 2019

Raden Rizki Dwiputri Ramadhiani

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Bukan kita yang hebat, tapi Allah yang memudahkan. Bukan kita yang kuat, tapi Allah yang meringankan.

First of all, praise to Allah who has given his kindness and forgiveness in whenever, wherever. I never forget to say thank you for leading me this whole time. He the Merciful, who always forgives, protects, gives ease, and accompanies in every prayer and prostration that has ever been done as well as during the process of completing this research. I am never been more grateful than this present moment.

I also wanted to say infinite thanks to my beloved parents Nila Dwinayanti, S.E. and Edwin Sadikin, M.M. who give so much love, who patiently supports, guides, and gives what was best to their daughter to get through this struggle. Then also to my sister Rd Rizki Ekaputri Amalia, S.T. who is always there listening when her little sister needs her. Moreover, to all my families that always prays for me in the ease of doing this research. Thank you, thank you, and thank you.

I would also like to express my gratitude to both of my supervisors Mr. Ruswan Dallyono and Mrs. Ernie D. A. Imperiani in guiding, giving advices and feedbacks, supporting, providing limitless knowledge, and have patiently waiting and encouraging me during this study. Not to mention to the whole lecturer in English Education Department for their guidance and willingness to teach all their students to get the best. Thank you.

I specially also want to express my gratitude to Mr. Eri Kurniawan, Mr. Wawan Gunawan, and Mr. Riesky as the examiner of this research who has given advices, improvements, and guidance to complete the revision of my final research paper.

I never forget the closest ones who always supported me and pushed me to be the best version of myself. A bunch of thank you to my college sweethearts; Rhena (from day one in UPI), Meisa, and Icha. To my high school sweethearts; Vanny, Nabilla, Desti, Raisa, Fahmi, Oke, Indra, Theo, Ina, Ica, Cia, Atiw, Nabe, Tsabit, Yoga, Yogi, Reino. To my junior high sweethearts; Imel, Nadira, Salsa, Sindy, Roosylvia, and Salam.

I also never forget to the person who always there for me from the beginning of this struggle until it finished. He who always patiently listens, supports, and accompanies through my ups and downs. He who always kindly gives his positive vibes when I am somewhere on the opposite. Thank you for everything, Sandy Ardiansyah Putra, S.E.

I would also want to say thank you to my friends, especially Sudirman, S.S., whom with grace that sometimes I bother you all just to accompany and to assist in making this research. I owe you, Dito Prasetyo, S.S., and Bagas Zaki Z, S.STP.

I, of course, also never forget to my entire four years comrades. All the English students of Class B 2015, thank you for your helps and supports to each other.

Terbentur

Terbentur

Terbentur

Terbentuk

Bandung, December 23rd, 2019

Raden Rizki Dwiputri Ramadhiani

ABSTRACT

Law Case Defendant's Communicative Strategy in Court Trial: A Maxim Violation Analysis

Raden Rizki Dwiputri Ramadhiani

1504268

Supervisor:

Dr. Ruswan Dallyono, S.Sos., M.Pd.

Ernie D. A. Imperiani, S.S., M.Ed.

NIP. 197008032005011002

NIP. 197809222010122001

This study on maxims violation analyzes the interviews of the defendant, Miryam Haryani, in a video of a proceeding entitled, "JPU Cekar Kesaksian Miryam Dalam Sidang Kasus E-KTP". The research employs a descriptive qualitative method in which the data of the study were in the form of transcripts of the defendant's answers in answering several questions during the trial. By employing Grice's (1975) theory of Cooperative Principle, the study uses the four principles which are quantity, quality, relation, and manner. The study reveals that of the three maxim rules violated by Miryam, the maxim of relation was committed the most by the defendant. By violating the maxim of relation, it implied that she tried to hold out the truth in her statements. The findings of this study indicate that Miryam used a strategy in covering their statements to achieve a goal.

Keywords: Gricean Maxims, Cooperative Principle, Maxims Violation, Pragmatics.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

PAGE OF APPROVAL.....	ii
STATEMENT OF AUTHORIZATION.....	iii
PREFACE.....	iv
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS.....	v
ABSTRACT.....	vii
TABLE OF CONTENTS.....	viii
LIST OF TABLES.....	xi
CHAPTER I INTRODUCTION.....	1
1.1 Background of the study.....	1
1.2 Statements of problems.....	3
1.3 Purposes of the study.....	4
1.4 Scope and limitation of the study.....	4
1.5 Significance of the study.....	4
1.6 Clarification of key terms.....	5
1.7 Organization of the paper.....	5
CHAPTER II LITERATURE REVIEW.....	7
2.1 Cooperative Principle.....	7
2.2 Conversational Maxim.....	7
2.2.1 Types of Maxim.....	8
2.2.1.1 Quantity.....	8

2.2.1.2	Quality.....	8
2.2.1.3	Relation.....	9
2.2.1.4	Manner.....	10
2.3	Non-observance Maxim.....	10
2.4	Violation of Maxim.....	10
2.5	Implicature.....	11
2.5.1	Types of Implicature.....	11
2.5.1.1	Conventional Implicature.....	11
2.5.1.2	Conversational Implicature.....	12
2.5.1.2.1	Generalized Conversational Implicature.....	12
2.5.1.2.2	Particularized Conversational Implicature.....	13
2.6	Previous Studies.....	14
CHAPTER III RESEARCH METHODOLOGY.....		17
3.1	Research Design.....	17
3.2	Data Collection.....	17
3.3	Data Analysis.....	18
3.4	Data Example.....	19
CHAPTER IV FINDINGS AND DISCUSSION.....		21
4.1	Types of Maxim Violation Used by the Defendants.....	21
4.1.1	The Violation of Maxims on Relation.....	22
4.1.2	The Violation of Maxims on Quantity.....	25

4.1.3	The Violation of Maxims on Manner.....	27
4.2	The Implication of Miryam’s Statements.....	29
4.2.1	The Implication of Violating the Maxims of Relation.....	30
4.2.2	The Implication of Violating the Maxims of Quantity.....	33
4.2.3	The Implication of Violating the Maxims of Manner.....	41
4.3	Overall Remarks.....	41
CHAPTER V CONCLUSIONS.....		42
5.1	Conclusions.....	42
5.2	Suggestions.....	43

LIST OF TABLES

Table 3.1 Transcribed Conversation of Miryam's Investigatory with Prosecutors

Table 3.2 Implicature Analysis on Miryam's Statements

Table 4.1 Type of Maxims Violation Committed by Miryam

References

- Afidah, L., & Wahyudi, R. (2014). How it starts and ends: A study of Indonesian stand-up comedy. *Jurnal Pendidikan Bahasa dan Sastra*, 14(2), 170-186
- Alwasilah, A. C. (2005). Developing theories of teaching academic Indonesian to non-language majors: ways of collecting and analyzing data. *Indonesian JELT*, 1(2), 125-136.
- Amalia, Y. (2019, October 9). *Dicecar Jaksa soal Bertemu Markus Nari, Miryam Berdalih Lupa dan Tak Bahas e-KTP*. Retrieved January 5, 2020, from Merdeka.com: <https://www.merdeka.com/peristiwa/dicecar-jaksa-soal-bertemu-markus-nari-miryam-berdalih-lupa-dan-tak-bahas-e-ktp.html>
- Attardo, S. (1990). The violation of Grice's maxims in jokes. *Proceedings of the sixteenth annual meeting of the Berkeley Linguistics Society 1990*, (pp. 355-362). USA: Berkeley Linguistics Society.
- Attardo, S. (1993). Violation of conversational maxims and cooperation: The case of jokes. *Journal of Pragmatics*, 19(6), 537-558.
- Ceballos, C. T., & Sosas, R. V. (2018). On court proceedings: a forensic linguistic analysis on maxim violation. *Journal of Nusantara Studies (JONUS)*, 3(2), 17-31.
- Carston, R. (1995). Quantity maxims and generalised implicature. *Lingua*, 96(4), 213-244

- Crocker, R. A. (2009). An introduction to qualitative research. In *Qualitative research in applied linguistics* (pp. 3-24). Palgrave Macmillan, London.
- Cutting, J. (2002). *Pragmatics and discourse*. London: Routledge.
- Baskoro, B. S. (2014). *Pragmatik dan Wacana Korupsi*. Gadjah Mada University.
- Blome-Tillmann, M. (2008). Conversational implicature and the cancellability test. *Analysis*, 68(2), 156-160.
- Davies, B. (2000). Grice's cooperative principle: Getting the meaning across. *Leeds Working Papers in Linguistics and Phonetics*, 8, 1-26.
- Dynel, M. (2008). There is method in the humorous speaker's madness: Humor and Grice's model. *Lodz Papers in Pragmatics*, 159-185.
- Emma, D. (2006). Breaking maxims in conversation: A comparative study of how scriptwriters break maxims in *Desperate Housewives* and *That 70's Show*. *Independent thesis Basic level (degree of Bachelor)*, 1-37. Retrieved from <http://www.diva-portal.org/smash/record.jsf?pid=diva2%3A5829&dswid=7062>
- Filani, I. (2015). Discourse types in stand-up comedy performances: an example of Nigerian stand-up comedy. *European Journal of Humour Research*. 3(1), 41–60.
- Grice, H. P. (1975). Logic and conversation. 1975, 41-58.

- Hidayat, R. (2017, March 9). *Tujuh hal yang perlu Anda ketahui terkait 'megakorupsi' e-KTP*. Retrieved January 5, 2020, from BBC News Indonesia: <https://www.bbc.com/indonesia/trensosial-39218275>
- Holmes, J. (2013). *An introduction to sociolinguistics*. New York: Routledge.
- Juliana, N. (2015). *Grice's conversational implicature in written short humor dialogues (Thesis)*. Indonesia University of Education.
- Khoyi, A., M. & Behnam, B. (2014). Discourse of law: Analysis of cooperative principles and speech acts in Iranian law courts. *Asian Journal of Education and e-Learning*. 2(4), 312-322.
- Kondowe, W., Ngwira, F. F., & Madula, P. (2014). Linguistic analysis of Malawi political newspaper cartoons on President Joyce Banda: Towards Grice's conversational implicature. *International journal of humanities and social science*, 4(7), 401-51.
- Marmaridou, (2000). *Pragmatic meaning and cognition*. Amsterdam: John Benjamin Publishing Co.
- McCarthy, M. (2008). Discourse analysis. *ELT journal*, 62(2), 211-213.
- Palupi, S. R. (2006). *An analysis of humor types and grice's maxim in the situation comedy Friends episode of "the one with that could have been"* (Thesis). SebelasMaret University.
- Pavličková, E. (2011). Legal writing in light of Grice's cooperative principle. *English Matters II*, 13-20.
- Potts, C. (2007). Into the conventional-implicature dimension. *Philosophy compass*, 2(4), 665-679.

- Pragmatic maxim. (2018). In *Merriam-Webster online dictionary*. Retrieved from <https://www.merriam-webster.com/dictionary/pragmatic%20maxim>.
- Riestania, M. (2017). *Violation of the cooperative principle in court context (Thesis)*. Indonesia University of Education.
- Rini, S. (2010). Violating the maxims of quality and quantity on the ‘Demo Sabun’ sketch of extravaganza Situation Comedy TV. *Register Journal*, 3(2), 145-162.
- Sadehvandi, P. K. N., & Khosravizadeh, P. (2011). Some instances of violation and flouting of the maxim of quantity by the main characters (Barry & Tim) in Dinner for Schmucks. In *International Conference on Languages, Literature and Linguistics. P* (pp. 122-127).
- Saul, J. M. (2001). Wayne A. Davis, Implicature: Intention, convention, and principle in the failure of Gricean theory. *Noûs*, 35(4), 631-641.
- Schwarz, N., Strack, F., Hilton, D., & Naderer, G. (1991). Base rates, representativeness, and the logic of conversation: The contextual relevance of “irrelevant” information. *Social Cognition*, 9(1), 67-84.
- Tajabadi, A., Dowlatabadi, H., & Mehri, E. (2014). Grice’s cooperative maxims in oral arguments: The case of Dispute Settlement Council in Iran. *Procedia - Social and Behavioral Sciences*, 98, 1859-1865.
- Thomas, J. (1995). *Meaning in interaction: An introduction to pragmatics*. New York: Longman.
- Thomas, J. A. (2014). *Meaning in interaction: An introduction to pragmatics*. New York: Routledge.

- Trotter II, R. T. (2012). Qualitative research sample design and sample size: Resolving and unresolved issues and inferential imperatives. *Preventive medicine*, 55(5), 398-400
- Tupan, A. H., & Natalia, H. (2008). The multiple violations of conversational maxims in lying done by the characters in some episodes of desperate housewives. *K@ta*, 10(1), 63.
- Yule, G. (1996). *Pragmatics*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Yuvike, Y., & Winiharti, M. (2009). The non-observance of the conversational maxims: An analysis of the dialogues in Arthur Miller's the Crucible. *Lingua Cultura*, 3(2), 116-127.