

DAFTAR PUSTAKA

- Abraham, M. R., & Renner, J.W. (1986). Research on the learning cycle. *Journal of Research on Science Teaching*, 23, 121-143.
- Abraham, M.R., & Renner, J.W. (1986). The sequence of learning cycle activities in a high school chemistry. *Journal of Research on Science Teaching*, 23(2), 121-142.
- Anderson, L.W., & Krathwohl, D.R. (2001). *A taxonomy for learning, teaching, and assessing: A revision of bloom's taxonomy of educational objectives*. New York: Longman.
- Bailey, C.P., Minderhout, V., & Loertscher, J. (2012). Learning transferable skills in large lecture halls: Implementing a POGIL approach in biochemistry. *Journal of Chemical Education*, 40(1), 1-7.
- Bandura, A. (1994). *Self efficacy: In v.s ramachaudran (ed); Encyclopedia of human behavior*. New York: Freenam.
- Barke, H.D., Hazari, A., & Yitbarek, S. (2009). *Misconceptions in chemistry; Addressing perceptions in chemical education*. Berlin:Springer-Verlag Berlin Heidelberg.
- Barlian, I. (2013). Begitu pentingkah strategi belajar mengajar guru? *Jurnal Forum Sosial*, VI(1).
- Barthlow, M.J. & Watson, S.B. (2014). The effectiveness of process-oriented guided inquiry learning to reduce alternative conceptions in secondary chemistry. *School Science and Mathematics*, 114(5), 246-255.
- Becker, N., Stanford, C., Town, M., & Cole, R. (2015). Translating across macroscopic, submicroscopic, and symbolic levels: The role of instructor facilitation in an inquiry-oriented physical chemistry class. *Chemistry Education Research and Practice*. doi: 10.1039/c5rp00064e.
- Bilgin, I. (2009). The effect of guided inquiry instructions incorporating a cooperative learning approach on university students' achievement of acid and bases concept and attitude toward guided inquiry instruction. *Scientific Research and Essay*, 4(10), 1038-1046.
- Bindis, M. P. (2013). *Students' misconception about intermolecular forces as investigated through paper chromatography experiments and the molecular attractions concept inventory*. (Disertasi). Miami University: Ohio.

- Bodner, G. M. (1992). The fipse lectures: Refocusing the general chemistry curriculum why changing curriculum may not be enough . *Journal of Chemical Education*, 69, 186–190.
- Boz, Y., Damar, S.Y., Aydemir, N., & Aydemir, M. (2016). Investigating the relationships among students' self-efficacy beliefs, their perceptions of classroom learning environment, gender, and chemistry achievement through structural equation modeling. *Research Science and Technological Education*. 1-18.
- Bridegeman, A.J.(2006). Using very short waiting task to promote understanding in chemistry, *ACSME*, 110-117.
- Brown, L. S, & Holm, T. A. (2011) *Chemistry for Engineering Student Second Edition* (USA: Mari Finch)
- Brown, J.P.J. (2010). Brown, P.J.P. (2010). Process-oriented guided-inquiry learning in an introductory anatomy and physiology course with a diverse student population. *Adv Phisiol Edu*, 34, 150-155
- Brown, S.D. (2010). A Process-Oriented Guided Inquiry Approach to Teaching Medicinal Chemistry. *American Journal of Pharmaceutical Education*, 74(7), 121.
- Chang, R.,& Overby, J. (2011). *General chemistry the essential concepts sixth edition*. United States: Mc Graw Hills Companies.
- Chase, A., Phakira, D., & Stains, M. (2013). Implementing process-oriented, guided-inquiry learning for the first time: Adaptations and short-term impacts on students' attitude and performance. *Journal of Chemical Education*, 90, 409-416.
- Cokelez, A., Dumon, A., & Taber, K. S. (2008). Upper secondary French students, chemical transformations, and the 'register of models': A cross-sectional study. *International Journal of Science Education*, 30(6), 807-836.
- Cole, R. S., Becker, N., Towns, M., Sweeney, G., Wawro, M. & Rasmussen, C. (2011). Adapting a methodology from mathematics education research to chemistry education research: Documenting collective activity. *International Journal of Science and Mathematics Education*, 10(1), 193–211.
- Coll, R.K., Dalgety, J., & Salter, D. (2002). The development of the chemistry attitudes and experiences questionnaire (CAEQ). *Chemistry Education Research and Practice in Europe*. 3(1), 19-32.

- Coll, R.K., Dalgety, J., Jones, A., Selter, D. (2001). Developing a survey instrument to evaluate tertiary chemistry students' attitudes and learning experiences. *Chemistry in New Zealand*, 42-47.
- Conway, C. J. (2014). Effect of guided inquiry versus lecture instruction on final grade distribution in one-semester organic and biochemistry course. *Journal of Chemical Education*, 91, 480-483.
- Cooper, M.M., Williams, C.L & Underwood, S.M. (2016). Student understanding of intermolecular forces: A multimodal study. *Journal of Chemical Education*. doi: 10.1021/acs.jchemed.5b00169.
- Corradi, D.M., Elen, J., Schraepen, B., & Clarebout, G. (2013). Understanding possibilities and limitations of abstract chemical representations for achieving conceptual understanding. *International Journal of Science Education*, 36(5), 715-734.
- (2012). Understanding and enhancing the use of multiple external representations in chemistry education, *International Journal of Science Education*, doi: 10.1007/s10956-012-9366-z
- Dalgety, J., Coll, R.K., & Jones, A. (2003). Development of chemistry attitudes and experiences questionnaire (CAEQ). *Journal of Research and Science Teaching*, 40(7), 649-668.
- Dalgety, J., & Coll, R.K. (2006). Exploring first-year science students' chemistry self-efficacy. *International of Science and Mathematics education*, 4, 97-116.
- Dalgety, J., Coll, R.K., & Salter, D. (2002). The development of the chemistry attitudes and experience questionnaire. *Chemistry Education Research and Practice in Europe*. 3(1), 19-32.
- Douglas, E.P. (2014). Process oriented guided inquiry learning in the engineering classroom, *American Society for Engineering Education*, 13(13), 24-32.
- Ebbing, D.D., & Gammon, S.T. (2007). *General chemistry; Ninth edition*. USA: Houghton Mifflin Company.
- Firman, H. 2013. *Evaluasi pembelajaran kimia*. Bandung: UPI.

- Flynn, A.B.(2012). Development of an Online, Postclass Question Method and Its Integration with Teaching Strategies. *Journal of Chemical Education*, 89, 456-464.
- Gabel, D. L., Samuel, K. V., & Hunn, D. (1987). Understanding the particulate nature of matter. *Journal of Chemical Education*, 64(8), 695–697.
- Gale, S.D., & Boisselle, L.N. (2015). The effect of POGIL on academic performance and academic confidence. *Science Education International*, 26(1), 56-79.
- Gall, M.D., Gall, J.P., & Borg, W.R. (2003). *Educational research an introduction; Seventh edition*. Amerika: Printed in the United States of America.
- Gkitzia, V., K. Salta, & Tzougraki, C. (2010). Development and application of suitable criteria for the evaluation of chemical representations in School. *Chemistry Education Research and Practice*, 12, 5-14.
- Glazier, S.,& Marano, N. (2010). A closer look at trends in boiling points of hydrides: Using an inquiry based approach to teach intermolecular force of attraction. *Journal of Chemical education*, 87(12), 1336-1341.
- Gudyanga, E. & Madambi, T. (2014). Students' misconception about bonding and chemical structure in chemistry. *The Dyke*, 8(1), 24-40.
- Hake, R. R. (1999). Interactive–engagement vs traditional methods: A six-thousand students survey of mechanics test data for introductory physics courses. *American Journal of Physics*, 66(1), 64-74.
- Hanson, D. M. (2013). *Instructor's guide process oriented guided inquiry learning*. New York: Pasific Crest.
- Hein, S. M. (2012). Positive impact using POGIL in organic chemistry. *Journal of Chemical Education*, 89, 860-864.
- Henderleiter, J., Smart, R., Anderson, J., & Elian, O. (2001). How do organic chemistry students understand and apply hydrogen bonding? *Journal of Chemical Education*, 78, 1126-1130.
- Jeffery, L.L.(2013). Guided and Team-Based Learning for Chemical Information Literacy, *The Journal of Academic Librarianship*, 39(3), 252-259.
- Johnstone, A. H. (1991). Why is science difficult to learn? Things are seldom what they seem. *Journal of Computer Assisted Learning*, 7, 75–83.

- (1993). The development of chemistry teaching: A changing response to changing demand. *Journal of Chemical Education*, 70(9), 701–705.
- (2000). Teaching of chemistry: Logical or psychological? *Chemical Education: Research and Education in Europe*, 64(8), 695–697.
- Karplus, R. & Butts, D. P. (1977). Science teaching and the development of reasoning. *Journal of Research in Science Teaching*, 14(2), 169–175.
- Kotwal, M.& Jain, H. (2015). Implementing Process-Oriented Guided Inquiry Learning in an Undergraduate Physical Chemistry Class: A Survey of Student Perception and Attitudes. *Journal of Aplicable Chemistry*, 4(6), 1584-1591.
- Kulatunga, U., Moog, R.S.,& Lewis, J.E.(2014). Use of Toulmin's Argumentation Scheme for Student Discourse to Gain Insight About Guided Inquiry Activities in College Chemistry. *Journal of College Science Teaching*, 43(5), 78-86.
- Kurniawan, H. (2014). *Pengembangan representasi kimia sekolah berbasis intertekstual pada submateri ikatan kovalen dalam bentuk media pembelajaran*. (Skripsi). Universitas Pendidikan Indonesia, Bandung.
- Lawson, A.E., Abraham, M.R. & Renner, J.W. (1989). *A theory of instruction: Using the learning cycle to teach science concepts and thinking skills*, Monograph No. 1. Kansas State University, Manhattan: National Association for Research in Science Teaching.
- Luxford, C.J., Crowder, M.W., & Bretz, S.L. (2012). A symmetry pogil activity for inorganic chemistry. *Journal of Chemical Education*, 89, 211-214.
- Milenkovic, D.D., Hrin, T.N., Segedinac, M.D., & Horvat, S. (2016). Identification of misconceptions through multiple choice tasks at municipal chemistry competition test. *Journal of Subject Didactics*, 1(1), 3-12.
- Monika & Adman. (2017). Peran efikasi diri dan motivasi belajar dalam meningkatkan hasil belajar siswa sekolah menengah kejuruan. *Jurnal Pendidikan Manajemen Perkantoran*, 1(1), 110-117.
- Moog, R. S.; Spencer, J. N. (2008). Pogil: An overview in process oriented guided inquiry learning. *American Chemical Society*, 994, 1–13.
- Nikmah, K & Suyono. (2015). Penerapan strategi pembelajaran conceptual change untuk meremediiasi miskonsepsi pada konsep asam-basa siswa

- kelas XII IPA SMAN 1 Waru Sidoarjo. *UNESA Journal of Chemical Education*. 4(3), 541-550.
- Ningsih, Y.C., Purwoko, A.B., Hadisaputra, S. (2018). Development Of Learning Based POGIL (Process Oriented Guided Inquiry Learning)Concept Control In Improving Student Senior High School 8 Mataram Acid-Based Material, *Journal of Research & Method in Education*, 8(3), 4-6.
- Oktarina, H. (2014). Peningkatan penguasaan konsep dan efikasi diri siswa sma melalui *process oriented guided inquiry learning* (POGIL) pada konsep pembuatan koloid. (tesis). Universitas Pendidikan Indonesia, Bandung.
- Ozmen, H. (2004). Some student misconceptions in chemistry: A literature review of chemical bonding. *Journal of Science Education and Technology*, 13 (2).
- Ozmen, H., Demircioglu, H., & Demircioglu, G. (2009). The effects of conceptual change texts accompanied with animations on overcoming 11th grade students' alternative conceptions of chemical bonding. *Computers & Education*, 52(3), 681-695.
- Pajares, F. (1997). Current directions in self-efficacy research. In M. L. Maehr & P.R. Pintrich (Eds.). *Advances in motivation and achievement*, 10, 1–49.
- (2002). Overview of social cognitive theory and of self-efficacy. *Encyclopedia of Human Behavior*, 4, 71-84.
- Peraturan Menteri Pendidikan dan Kebudayaan Nomor 20 tahun 2016 tentang standar kompetensi lulusan pendidikan dasar dan menengah.
- Peraturan Menteri Pendidikan dan Kebudayaan Nomor 22 tahun 2016 tentang standar proses pendidikan dasar dan menengah.
- Peraturan Menteri Pendidikan dan Kebudayaan Nomor 24 tahun 2016 tentang kompetensi inti dan kompetensi dasar pelajaran pada kurikulum 2013 pada pendidikan dasar dan pendidikan menengah.
- Peraturan Pemerintah Nomor 13 tahun 2015 tentang standar nasional pendidikan.
- Perez, J.R.B, Perez, M.E.B., Calatayud, M.L., Lopera, R.G., Montesinos, J.V.S., & Gil, E.T. (2017). Student's misconceptions on chemical bonding: A comparative study between high school and first year university students. *Asian Journal of Education and e-Learning*, 5(1).

- Peterson, R., & Treagust, D. F. (1989). Grade-12 students' misconceptions of covalent bonding and structure. *Journal of Chemical Education*, 66, 459-460.
- Qureishi, S., Vishnumolakaka, V. R., Southman, D. C., & Treagust, D. F. (2016). Inquiry-based chemistry education in a high-context Culture: A qatari case study. *International Journal of Science and Mathematic Education*. doi: 10.1007/s10763-016-9735-9.
- Rau, M.A. (2015). Enhancing undergraduate chemistry learning by helping students make connections among multiple graphical representations. *Chemistry Education Research and Practice*. doi: 10.1039/c5rp00065c.
- Riduwan. (2003). *Dasar-dasar statistika*. Bandung: CV Alfabeta.
- Rustaman, N., Dirdjosoemarto, S., Yudianto, A.S., Achmad, Y., Subekti, R., Rochintaniawati, D., & Nurjhani, M. K. (2005). *Strategi belajar mengajar biologi*. Malang: Universitas Negeri Malang.
- Sanjaya, W. 2009. *Strategi pembelajaran berorientasi standar proses pendidikan*. Prenada: Jakarta.
- Sen, S., Yilmaz, A., & Geban, O. (2015). The effects of process oriented guided inquiry learning environment on students' self-regulated learning skills. *Problem of Educationin the 21st Century*, 66, 54-65.
- Silberberg, M.S. (2010). *Principles of general chemistry second edition*. USA: Mc Graw Hills Companies.
- Simonson, S. R., & Shadle, S. E. (2013). Implementing process oriented guided inquiry learning (POGIL) in undergraduate biomechanics: Lessons learned by a novice. *Journal of STEM Education*. 14(1): 56-63.
- Spencer, J.N. (1999). New directions in teaching chemistry: A philosophical and pedagogical basis. *Journal of Chemical Education*, 76(4), 566–569.
- , (2005). New approach of chemical teaching. *Journal of Chemical Education*, 83(4), 528-533.
- Standford, C., Moon, A., Towns M., & Cole, R. (2016). Analysis of Instructor Facilitation Strategies and Their Influences on Student Argumentation: A Case Study of a Process Oriented Guided Inquiry Learning Physical Chemistry Classroom. *Journal of Chemical Education*. doi: 10.1021/acs.jchemed.5b00993.

- Sunhaji. (2008). Strategi pembelajaran: Konsep dan aplikasinya. *Jurnal Pemikiran Alternatif Pendidikan*, 13(3), 474-479.
- Suriansyah, A. (2014). *Strategi pembelajaran edisi 1*. Jakarta: Rajawali Pers.
- Talanquer, V. (2011). Macro, submicro, and symbolic: The many faces of the chemistry “triplet”. *International Journal of Science Education*, 33(2), 179-195.
- Tarhan, L., Kayali, H.A., Urek, R.O., & Acar, B. (2008). Problem based learning in 9th grade chemistry class: Intermolecular force. *Research Science Education*, 38, 285-300.
- Uyulgan, M.A., Akkuzu, N., & Alpat, S. (2014). Assessing the students' understanding related to molecular geometry using a two-tier diagnostic test. *Journal of Baltic Science Education*, 13(6), 839-855.
- Uzuntriyaki, E dan Aydin, Y.C. (2008). Development and Validation of Chemistry Self-Efficacy Scale for College Students. *Journal of Research Science and Education*. 39, 539–551.
- Walker, L. & Warfa, A.R.M. (2017). Process oriented guided inquiry learning (POGIL) marginally effects student achievement measures but substantially increases the odds of passing a course, *Journal of Biology Teaching and Learning*, 1-17.
- Whitten, K.W., Davis, R.E., Pack, M.L., & Stanley, G.G. (2014). *Chemistry 10th edition*. USA: Grafic World Inc.
- Wiersma, W. & Jurs, S.G. (2009). *Research methods in education an introduction*. New York: Pearson.
- Williamson, N. M., Metha, G. F., Willison, J., & Pyke, S. M. (2013). Development on pogil-style classroom activities for introductory chemistry course. *International Journal of Innovation in Science and Mathematics Education*, 2(5), 27-41.
- Wu, H.K. (2003). Linking the microscopic view of chemistry to real-life experiences: Intertextuality in a high-school science classroom. *Wiley Inter Science*. doi: 10.1002/sce.10090.
- Wu, H.K., Krajcik, J.S., & Soloway, E. (2001). Promoting understanding of chemical representations: Students' use of a visualization tool in the classroom. *Journal of Research in Science Teaching*, 38(7), 821-842.

- Yang, E. (2013). A hybrid approach to teaching materials science using POGIL and active learning activities, *American Society for Engineering Student*, 23-34.
- Zori, S., Roller, M.C.,& Lyons, E. (2018). Implementing the process oriented guided-inquiry learning (POGIL) pedagogy of group scenario exercises in Fundamentals and Medical Surgical II nursing courses, *Journal of Nursing Education and Practice*, 8(12), 1-8.
- Zumdhal, S.S., Zumdhal, S.H. (2007). *Chemistry 7th edition*. USA: Houghton Mifflin Company.