

REFERENCES

- Aikenhead, G. S. (2006). *Science education for everyday life: Evidence-based practice*. Teachers College Press.
- Anderson, L. W., & Krathwohl, D. R. (2001). *A Taxonomy for Learning Teaching and Assessing: A Revision of Bloom's Taxonomy of Educational Objectives*. New York: Addison Wesley Longman Inc.
- Arikunto, S. (2010). *Prosedur Penelitian*. Jakarta: PT Rineka Cipta.
- Ayoubi, Z. (2018). The Effect of Using Virtual Laboratory on Grade 10 Students' Conceptual Understanding and their Attitudes towards Physics. *Journal of Education in Science, Environment and Health*, 4(1), 54-68.
- Backhoff, E., Larrazo, N., & Rosas, M. (2000). The Level of Difficulty and Discrimination Power of Basic Knowledge and Skills Examination. *Revista Electronica de Investigacion Educativa*, 2. pp 4-15.
- Carpenter, Y. Y., Moore, E., Parson, R., & Perkins, K. (2015, March). PhET interactive simulations: Using research-based simulations to transform undergraduate chemistry education. In *ABSTRACTS OF PAPERS OF THE AMERICAN CHEMICAL SOCIETY* (Vol. 249). 1155 16TH ST, NW, WASHINGTON, DC 20036 USA: AMER CHEMICAL SOC.
- Chandra K. Singh & Sudha Chhikara (2005). Implementation of Cognitive Domain in Schools of Deferent Settings, *The Anthropologist*, 7:1, 41-44.
- Clark, T. M., & Chamberlain, J. M. (2014). Use of a PhET interactive simulation in general chemistry laboratory: Models of the hydrogen atom. *Journal of Chemical Education*, 91(8), 1198-1202.
- Correia, A. P., Koehler, N., Thompson, A., & Phye, G. (2018). The application of PhET simulation to teach gas behavior on the submicroscopic level: secondary school students' perceptions. *Research in Science & Technological Education*, 1-25.
- Creswell, J. (2012). *Educational research: Planning, conducting, and evaluating quantitative and qualitative research plus my education lab with Pearson e-text—Access Card Package*.
- Crocker, L., & Algina, J. (1986). *Introduction to classical and modern test theory*. Holt, Rinehart and Winston, 6277 Sea Harbor Drive, Orlando, FL 32887.
- Cronbach, L. J. (1951). Coefficient Alpha and the Internal Structure of Tests *Psychometrika*, 16, pp 297-334.

- Fraenkel, J. R., Wallen, N. E., & Hyun, H. H. (1993). *How to Design and Evaluate Research in Education* (Vol. 7). New York: McGraw-Hill.
- Ganasen, S., & Shamuganathan, S. (2017). The Effectiveness of Physics Education Technology (PhET) Interactive Simulations in Enhancing Matriculation Students' Understanding of Chemical Equilibrium and Remediating Their Misconceptions. In *Overcoming Students' Misconceptions in Science* (pp. 157-178). Springer, Singapore.
- Glynn, S. M., & Koballa, T. R. (2006). Motivation to learn college science. *Handbook of college science teaching*, 25, V32.
- Griffiths, A. K., & Preston, K. R. (1992). Grade-12 students' misconceptions relating to fundamental characteristics of atoms and molecules. *Journal of research in Science Teaching*, 29(6), 611-628.
- Hake, R. R. (1998). Interactive Engagement versus Traditional Methods: A Six Thousand Students Survey of Mechanics Test Data for Introductory Physics Courses. *American Journal of Physics*, pp 66-64.
- Hanafin, J. (2014). Multiple Intelligence Theory, Action, Research and Teacher Professional Development: The Irish MI Project. *Australian Journal of Teacher Education*, 39 (4), pp 126-139.
- Irma, Z. U., & Kustijono, R. (2017). Development of Android Mobile Learning Using App Inventor to Train High School Student's Science Process Skill. *Inovasi Pendidikan Fisika*, 6(3).
- Jacobs, L., & Chase, C. (1992). *Developing and using Tests Effectively: A Guide for Faculty*. San Fransisco: Jossey Bass.
- Kaplan, R. M., & Saccuzzo, D. P. (2012). *Psychological Testing, Principles, Applications and Issues, Seventh Edition*. Australia: Wadsworth Cengage Learning.
- Kirschner, P. A., Sweller, J., & Clark, R. E. (2006). Why minimal guidance during instruction does not work: An analysis of the failure of constructivist, discovery, problem-based, experiential, and inquiry-based teaching. *Educational psychologist*, 41(2), 75-86.
- Kotoka, J., & Kriek, J. (2014). The impact of computer simulations as interactive demonstration tools on the performance of Grade 11 learners in electromagnetism. *African Journal of Research in Mathematics, Science and Technology Education*, 18(1), 100-110.
- Krajcik, J. S., Czerniak, C. M., Czerniak, C. L., & Berger, C. F. (2003). *Teaching Science in Elementary and Fair School Classrooms: A Project-based Approach*. McGraw-Hill Humanities Social.

Theresia Enggraeni Siburian, 2018

LEARNING STATES OF MATTER USING PHET MOBILE APPLICATION TO IMPROVE STUDENTS' UNDERSTANDING AND INTEREST

Universitas Pendidikan Indonesia | repository.upi.edu | perpustakaan.upi.edu

- Kurikulum, P. (2006). Model Pengembangan Silabus Mata Pelajaran dan Rencana Pelaksanaan Pembelajaran IPA Terpadu Sekolah Menengah Pertama/Madrasah Tsanawiyah (SMP/MTs). *Jakarta: Balitbang Depdiknas.*
- Mertler, C., & Charles, C. M. (2008). Analyzing research data and presenting findings. *Introduction to Educational Research, 147, 167.*
- Minium, E. W., King, B. M., & Bear, G. (1993). *Statistical Reasoning in Psychological and Education.* New York: John Willey & Sons Inc.
- OECD, D. S. E., Unemployed, N. E. E. T., & Inactive, N. E. E. T. (2016). Society at a Glance 2016.
- Özmen, H. (2004). Some student misconceptions in chemistry: A literature review of chemical bonding. *Journal of Science Education and Technology, 13(2), 147-159.*
- Panis, I. C. (2017). The Utilizing of Phet Simulation as A Computer- Based Learning Media to Improve The Understanding of College Student's Physics Concepts, *66(Yicemap), 55–58.*
- Perkins, K., Adams, W., Dubson, M., Finkelstein, N., Reid, S., Wieman, C., & LeMaster, R. (2006). PhET: Interactive simulations for teaching and learning physics. *The Physics Teacher, 44(1), 18-23.*
- Perkins, K., Moore, E., Podolefsky, N., Lancaster, K., & Denison, C. (2012, February). Towards research-based strategies for using PhET simulations in middle school physical science classes. In *AIP Conference Proceedings*(Vol. 1413, No. 1, pp. 295-298). AIP.
- Prima, E. C., Putri, A. R., & Rustaman, N. (2018). Learning Solar System Using PhET Simulation to Improve Students' Understanding and Motivation. *Journal of Science Learning, 1(2), 60-70*
- Renninger, K. A., & Hidi, S. (2002). Student interest and achievement: Developmental issues raised by a case study. *Development of achievement motivation, 173-195.*
- Sarwono, J. (2009). *Statistik itu Mudah: Panduan Lengkap untuk Belajar Komputasi Statistik Menggunakan SPSS 16.* Yogyakarta: Universitas Atma Jaya.
- Sirhan, G. (2007). Learning Difficulties in Chemistry: an overview. *Journal of Turkish Science Education.*
- Sugiyono. (2011). *Metode Penelitian Kuantitatif dan R&D.* Bandung: Alfabeta.
- Suryatna, Asep., Takari, R., Enjah. (2009). *IPA Fisika, Kimia, Biologi untuk SMP dan MTs Kelas VIII.* CV Epsilon Grup: Jakarta.

- Swarat, S., Ortony, A., & Revelle, W. (2012). Activity matters: Understanding Student Interest in School Science. *Journal of research in science teaching*, 49(4), 515-537.
- Tuckman, B. W. (1975). *Measuring Educational Outcomes: Fundamentals of Testing*. Houghton Mifflin Harcourt P.
- Turiman, P., Omar, J., Daud, A. M., & Osman, K. (2012). Fostering the 21st century skills through scientific literacy and science process skills. *Procedia-Social and Behavioral Sciences*, 59, 110-116.
- Wieman, C. E., Adams, W. K., Loeblein, P., & Perkins, K. K. (2010). Teaching physics using PhET simulations. *The Physics Teacher*, 48(4), 225-227.m