

## DAFTAR PUSTAKA

- Adamek, T., Penkalski, K., & Valentine, G. (2005). The History of Trigonometry. *History of Mathematics*, 1–19.
- Akkoç, H., & Gül, N. (2010). Analysis of a teaching approach aiming at eliminating student difficulties with radian. *Egitim Bilimleri Fakültesi Dergisi*, 43(1), 97–129. Retrieved from <http://search.proquest.com/openview/7faacb29baf3a6450ca36fc34c2f09a7/1?pq-origsite=gscholar>
- Akkoç, H., & Gül, N. A. (2010). Analysis of a teaching approach aiming at eliminating student difficulties with radian. *Journal of Faculty of Educational Sciences*, 43(1), 97–129. Retrieved from <http://search.proquest.com/openview/7faacb29baf3a6450ca36fc34c2f09a7/1?pq-origsite=gscholar>
- Altman, R., & Kidron, I. (2016). Constructing knowledge about the trigonometric functions and their geometric meaning on the unit circle. *International Journal of Mathematical Education in Science and Technology*, 47(7), 1048–1060. <https://doi.org/10.1080/0020739X.2016.1189005>
- Amir, Z. (n.d.). Trigonometry Module Development Approach Using Aptitude Treatment and Interaction (Ati) for Education and Teacher Training Faculty Students At Mathematics. In *1st SEA-DR* (pp. 381–390).
- Artigue, M., Haspekian, M., & Corblin-Lenfant, A. (2014). Introduction to the Theory of Didactical Situations (TDS). In A. Bikner-Ahsbabs & S. Prediger (Eds.), *Networking of Theories as a Research Practice in Mathematics Education* (pp. 97–113). Switzerland: Springer. <https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-05389-9>
- Bai, H., Pan, W., Hirumi, A., & Kebritchi, M. (2012). Assessing the effectiveness of a 3-D instructional game on improving mathematics achievement and motivation of middle school students. *British Journal of Educational Technology*, 43(6), 993–1003.
- Barnes, A., Lawson, M., Geer, R., Sweeney, T., & White, B. (2011). *Using learning technologies to support learning and teaching*. Adelaide.
- Bayazit, I. (2005). *The Relationship Between Teaching and Learning Through the Context of Functions*. University of Warwick.
- Bressoud, D. M. (2010). Historical reflections on teaching trigonometry. *Mathematics Teacher*, 104(2), 106–112.
- Brousseau, G. (2002). *Theory of didactical situations in mathematics*. (N. Balacheff, M. Cooper, R. Sutherland, & V. Warfield, Eds.). New York: Kluwer Academic Publisher.
- Budrisari, F. (2017). *Desain Didaktis Konsep Dasar Trigonometri Dengan Pendekatan Sistem Koordinat Cartesius*. Universitas Pendidikan Indonesia.

- Cetin, O. F. (2015). Students perceptions and development of conceptual understanding regarding trigonometry and trigonometric function. *Educational Research and Reviews*, 10(3), 338–350. <https://doi.org/10.5897/ERR2014.2017>
- Challenger, M. (2009). *From triangles to a concept: a phenomenographic study of A-level students' development of the concept of trigonometry. unpublished.* university of warwick.
- Corlis, J. J., & Berglund, W. V. (1958). *Plane Trigonometry* (second). Boston: The Riverside Press Cambridge.
- Creswell, J. W. (2013). *Research design: pendekatan kualitatif, kuantitatif, dan mixed.* (Saifuddin Zuhri Qudsy, Ed.) (ketiga). Yogyakarta: Pustaka Pelajar.
- Curri, E. (2012). *Using Computer Technology in Teaching and Learning Mathematics in an Albanian Upper Secondary School.* University of Agder. Retrieved from [https://brage.bibsys.no/xmlui/bitstream/handle/11250/138114/Oppgave Elira Curri.pdf?sequence=1](https://brage.bibsys.no/xmlui/bitstream/handle/11250/138114/Oppgave%20Elira%20Curri.pdf?sequence=1)
- DeJarnette, A. F. (2014). *Students' conceptions of trigonometric functions and positioning practices during pair work with Etoys. ProQuest Dissertations and Theses.* University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign.
- Demir, Ö. (2012). *Students' concept development and understanding of sine and cosine functions A new theoretical approach.* Universiteit van Amsterdam.
- Denzim, N. K., & Lincoln, Y. S. (2009). *Handbook of qualitative research.* (S. Z. Qudsy, Ed.). Yogyakarta: Pustaka Pelajar.
- Desmita. (2012). *Psikologi Perkembangan Peserta Didik.* Bandung: Remaja Rosdakarya.
- Doerr, H. M. (1996). Integrating the Study of Trigonometry, Vectors, and Force Through Modeling. *School Science and Mathematics*, 96(8), 407–418.
- Effendi, S., Fakhrudin, R., Hanafiah, D., Kiryodono, R., Komaruddin, Natadiwiria, N., ... Thoib. (2001). *Matematika Pendahuluan.* (Koesmartono & Rawuh, Eds.). Bandung: ITB Press.
- Fleming, W., & Varberg, D. (1980). *Plane Trigonometry.* New Jersey: Prentice-Hall, Inc.
- Goktepe, S., & Ozdemir, A. S. (2013). An example of using history of mathematics in classes. *European Journal of Science and Mathematics Education*, 1(3), 125–136.
- Hansen, R. S. (2006). Benefits and Problems With Student Teams: Suggestions for Improving Team Projects. *Journal of Education for Business*, 82(1), 11–19. <https://doi.org/10.3200/JOEB.82.1.11-19>
- Hart, K. (1981). Hierarchies in mathematics education. *Educational Studies in Mathematics*, 12(2), 205–218. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00305622>

- Heath, T. L. (1921). *A History of Greek Mathematics: Volume 2 - From Aristarchus to Diophantus*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Heemskerk, I., Kuiper, E., & Meijer, J. (2014). Interactive whiteboard and virtual learning environment combined: effects on mathematics education. *Journal of Computer Assisted Learning*, 30(5), 465–478.
- Hendriana, H., Slamet, U. R., & Sumarmo, U. (2014). Mathematical connection ability and self-confidence (an experiment on Junior High School students through Contextual Teaching and learning with Mathematical Manipulative). *International Journal of Education*, 8(1), 1–11. Retrieved from <http://ejournal.upi.edu/index.php/ije>
- Hernandez, S. (2002). Team learning in a marketing principles course: Cooperative structures that facilitate active learning and higher level thinking. *Journal of Marketing Education*, (24), 73–83.
- Indrawan, R., & Yanawati, P. (2014). *Metodologi penelitian; kuantitatif, kualitatif, dan campuran untuk manajemen, pembangunan, dan pendidikan*. Bandung: PT. Refika Aditama.
- Kagenyi, D. G. (2016). *Pedagogical factors affecting the learning of trigonometry in secondary schools in gatundu north sub-county*. Kenyatta University.
- Kajander, A., & Lovric, M. (2009). Mathematics textbooks and their potential role in supporting misconceptions. *International Journal of Mathematical Education in Science and Technology*, 40(2), 173–181. <https://doi.org/10.1080/00207390701691558>
- Kamber, D., & Takaci, D. (2017). On problematic aspects in learning trigonometry. *International Journal of Mathematical Education in Science and Technology*, 5211(August), 1–15. <https://doi.org/10.1080/0020739X.2017.1357846>
- Kansanen, P. (2003). Studying the Realistic Bridge Between Instruction and Learning: An attempt to a conceptual whole of the teaching-Studying-learning process. *Educational Studies*, 29(2–3), 221–232. <https://doi.org/http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/03055690303279>
- Kansanen, P., & Meri, M. (1999). The Didactic relation in the teaching-studying-learning process. *Didaktik/Fachdidaktik as Science(-s) of the Teaching Profession?*, 2(1), 107–116. Retrieved from [https://www.researchgate.net/profile/Brian\\_Hudson5/publication/284365075\\_DidaktikFachdidaktik\\_as\\_the\\_Science-s\\_of\\_the\\_Teaching\\_Profession/links/56522cfb08ae1ef92975650d/Didaktik-Fachdidaktik-as-the-Science-s-of-the-Teaching-Profession.pdf](https://www.researchgate.net/profile/Brian_Hudson5/publication/284365075_DidaktikFachdidaktik_as_the_Science-s_of_the_Teaching_Profession/links/56522cfb08ae1ef92975650d/Didaktik-Fachdidaktik-as-the-Science-s-of-the-Teaching-Profession.pdf)
- Kashefi, H., Ismail, Z., & Yusof, Y. M. (2010). Obstacles in the learning of two-variable functions through mathematical thinking approach. *Procedia - Social and Behavioral Sciences*, 8(5), 173–180. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.sbspro.2010.12.024>
- Kemendikbud. (2017). *Model Silabus Mata Pelajaran Sekolah Menengah*

*Pertama/Madrasah Tsanawiyah (SMP/MTs.).* Jakarta.

- Kementerian Pendidikan dan Kebudayaan. (2016). *Silabus Mata Pelajaran Matematika Sekolah Menengah Atas/Madrasah Aliyah (SMA/MA). Mata Pelajaran Matematika (Peminatan).* Jakarta, Indonesia.
- Kendal, M., & Stacey, K. (1996). Trigonometry: Comparing ratio and unit circle methods. *Australian Mathematics Teacher*, (1973), 322–329.
- Kloosterman, P. (2002). Beliefs about mathematics and mathematics learning in the secondary school: Measurement and implications for motivation. In G. C. Leder, E. Pehkonen, & G. Törner (Eds.), *Beliefs: A hidden variable in mathematics education?* (pp. 247–269). Netherlands: Kluwer Academic Publisher. [https://doi.org/10.1007/0-306-47958-3\\_15](https://doi.org/10.1007/0-306-47958-3_15)
- Koyunkaya, M. Y. (2016). Mathematics education graduate students' understanding of trigonometric ratios. *International Journal of Mathematical Education in Science and Technology*, 5211(May), 1–21. <https://doi.org/10.1080/0020739X.2016.1155774>
- Maknun, C. L. (2018). Rethinking Technology Integration in Mathematics Education. *International Journal of Sciences: Basic and Applied Research*, 37(3), 279–289. Retrieved from <http://gssrr.org/index.php?journal=JournalOfBasicAndApplied&page=article&op=view&path%5B%5D=8864&path%5B%5D=3972>
- Maknun, C. L., Rosjanuardi, R., & Ikhwanudin, T. (2018). Students' Mathematical Argumentation in Trigonometry. In *5th International Conference on Education and Social Sciences* (pp. 689–696). Istanbul: Ocerint. Retrieved from [http://www.ocerint.org/intcess18\\_e-publication/papers/154.pdf](http://www.ocerint.org/intcess18_e-publication/papers/154.pdf)
- Marchi, D. J. (2012). *A Study of Student Understanding of the Sine Function through Representations and the Process and Object Perspectives.* Ohio State University.
- Martínez-Planell, R., & Cruz Delgado, A. (2016). The unit circle approach to the construction of the sine and cosine functions and their inverses: An application of APOS theory. *Journal of Mathematical Behavior*, 43, 111–133. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jmathb.2016.06.002>
- Mensah, F. S. (2017). Ghanaian Senior High School Students' Error in Learning of Trigonometry. *International Journal on Environmental & Science Education*, 12(8), 1709–1717.
- Mevarech, Z. R., & Kramarski, B. (2003). The effects of metacognitive training versus worked out examples on students' mathematical reasoning. *The British Journal of Educational Psychology*, 73(4), 449–471. <https://doi.org/10.1348/000709903322591181>
- Miyanto, Astuti, A. Y., & Suparno. (2015). *Matematika: Kelas X Semester 2.* Klaten: PT Intan Pariwara.

- Montone, A. (2013). A new learning trajectory for trigonometric functions. In E. Faggiano & A. Montono (Eds.), *ICTMT11* (pp. 119–124). Bari: University of Bari.
- Moore, K. C. (2012). Coherence, Quantitative Reasoning, and the Trigonometry of Students. In R. Mayes & L. L. Hatfield (Eds.), *Quantitative Reasoning and Mathematical Modeling: A Driver for STEM Integrated Education and Teaching in Context* (pp. 75–92). Laramie, WY: University of Wyoming.
- Orhun, N. (2001). Student 's Mistakes and Misconceptions on Teaching of Trigonometry. *Journal of Curriculum Studies*, (1), 208–211. Retrieved from <http://math.unipa.it/~grim/AOrhun.PDF>
- Page, D., & Donelan, J. P. (2003). Team-building tools for students. *Journal of Education for Business*, 78, 125–128.
- Piaget, J. (1970). *Generic epistemology*. New York: Columbia University Press. New York: Columbia University Press.
- Purcell, E. J., Varberg, D., & Rigdon, S. E. (2003). *Kalkulus: Jilid 1*. (H. W. Hardani, Ed.) (8th ed.). Jakarta: Erlangga.
- Rahardjo, N. (2017). *Studi kasus dalam penelitian kualitatif: konsep dan prosedurnya*. Malang: UIN Malang.
- Rahmah, S. (2017). *Desain Didaktis Konsep Fungsi Trigonometri Pada Pembelajaran Matematika SMA*. Universitas Pendidikan Indonesia.
- Roeroe, M. B. (2011). Didactical Design Research (DDR) dalam Pengembangan Pembelajaran Kependidikan. *Ed Vokasi, Jurnal Pendidikan Teknologi Dan Kejuruan*, 2(2), 139–144.
- Seung, H., Fincham, J. M., Betts, S., & Anderson, J. R. (2014). An fMRI investigation of instructional guidance in mathematical problem solving. *Trends in Neuroscience and Education*, 1–13. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tine.2014.01.001>
- Simon, M. a. (1995). Reconstructing mathematics pedagogy from a constructivist perspective. *Journal for Research in Mathematics Education*, 26(2), 114–145. <https://doi.org/10.2307/749205>
- Sinaga, B., Sinambela, P. N. J. ., Sitanggang, A. K., Hutapea, T. A., Manulang, Sudianto, ... Simanjourang. (2017). *Matematika: SMA/MA/SMK/MAK Kelas X*. (A. Lukito, Turmudi, Y. Satria, M. D. M., & Widowati, Eds.) (Keempat). Jakarta: Kementerian Pendidikan dan Kebudayaan.
- Skordoulis, C., Vitsas, T., Dafermos, V., & Koleza, E. (2009). The system of coordinates as an obstacle in understanding the concept of dimension. *International Journal of Science and Mathematics Education*, 7(2), 253–272. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10763-008-9130-2>
- Smith, J. P., DiSessa, A. A., & Roschelle, J. (1993). Misconceptions reconceived: A constructivist analysis of knowledge in transition. *Journal of the Learning Sciences*, 3(2), 205. <https://doi.org/10.1207/s15327809jls0302>



- Sriraman, B., & Umland, K. (2014a). Argumentation in Mathematics. In S. Lerman (Ed.), *Encyclopedia of Mathematics Education* (pp. 44–46). London: Springer Reference. <https://doi.org/10.1007/978-94-007-4978-8>
- Sriraman, B., & Umland, K. (2014b). Argumentation in Mathematics Education. In S. Lerman (Ed.), *Encyclopedia of Mathematics Education* (pp. 46–48). London: Springer Reference. <https://doi.org/10.1007/978-94-007-4978-8>
- Steer, J., de Vila, M. A., & Eaton, J. (2009). Trigonometry with year 8: part 3. *Mathematics Teaching, 2009*(215), 6–8.
- Sugiyono. (2016). *Metode penelitian pendidikan: pendekatan kuantitatif, kualitatif, dan R & D*. Bandung: Alfabeta.
- Suharsaputra. (2012). *Metode penelitian: kuantitatif, kualitatif dan tindakan*. Bandung: PT Refika Aditama.
- Sukino. (2013). *Matematika untuk SMA/MA Kelas X: Kelompok Wajib*. (Taryo, M. Darmanto, & B. Sutrisno, Eds.). Jakarta: Erlangga.
- Suratno, T. (2016). Didaktik dan Didactical Design Research. In D. Suryadi, E. Mulyana, T. Suratna, D. A. K. Dewi, & S. Y. Maudy (Eds.), *Monograf: Didactical Design Research (DDR)* (pp. 1–11). Bandung: Rizqi Press.
- Suryadi, D. (2010). Penelitian Pembelajaran Matematika Untuk Pembentukan Karakter Bangsa. *Prosiding Seminar Nasional Matematika Dan Pendidikan Matematika*, (November), 1–14. Retrieved from <http://eprints.uny.ac.id/10461/1/1Makalah%5CnUtama%5Cn-%5CnDidi%5CnSuryadi.pdf>
- Suryadi, D. (2018). *Landasan filosofis penelitian desain didaktis (DDR)* (No. Januari). Bandung.
- Sztajn, P., Confrey, J., Wilson, P. H., & Edgington, C. (2012). Learning Trajectory Based Instruction: Toward a Theory of Teaching. *Educational Researcher, 41*(5), 147–156. <https://doi.org/10.3102/0013189X12442801>
- Thompson, P. W. (2008). Conceptual analysis of mathematical ideas: Some spadework at the foundations of mathematics education. In O. Figueras, J. L. Cortina, S. Alatorr, T. Rojano, & A. Sépulveda (Eds.), *Annual Meeting of the International Group for the Psychology of Mathematics Education* (Vol. 1, pp. 31–49). Morelia, Mexico: PME. Retrieved from [http://pat-thompson.net/PDF versions/2008ConceptualAnalysis.pdf](http://pat-thompson.net/PDF%20versions/2008ConceptualAnalysis.pdf).
- Thompson, P. W., Carlson, M. P., & Silverman, J. (2007). The design of tasks in support of teachers' development of coherent mathematical meanings. *Journal of Mathematics Teacher Education, 10*(4–6), 415–432. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10857-007-9054-8>
- Trouche, L. (2005). Calculator in Mathematics Education: A Rapid Evolution of Tools, with Differential Effects. In D. Guin, K. Ruthven, & L. Trouche (Eds.), *The Didactical Challenge of Symbolic Calculators: Turning a Computational Device into a Mathematical Instrument* (pp. 9–39). Boston: Springer.

- Tsai, T., & Li, H. (2016). Towards a framework for developing students' fraction proficiency. *International Journal of Mathematical Education in Science and Technology*, 5211(October), 1–13. <https://doi.org/10.1080/0020739X.2016.1238520>
- Tuna, A. (2013). A Conceptual Analysis of the Knowledge of Prospective Mathematics Teachers about Degree and Radian. *World Journal of Education*, 3(4), 1–9. <https://doi.org/10.5430/wje.v3n4p1>
- Usman, M. H., & Hussaini, M. M. (2017). Analysis of Students' Error in Learning of Trigonometry Among Senior Secondary School Students in Zaria Metropolis, Nigeria. *IOSR Journal of Mathematics*, 13(2), 01–04. <https://doi.org/10.9790/5728-1302040104>
- Van Hoof, J., Lijnen, T., Verschaffel, L., & Van Dooren, W. (2013). Are secondary school students still hampered by the natural number bias? A reaction time study on fraction comparison tasks. *Research in Mathematics Education*, 15(2), 154–164. <https://doi.org/10.1080/14794802.2013.797747>
- Walsh, R., Fitzmaurice, O., & O Donoghue, J. (2017). What Subject Matter Knowledge do second-level teachers need to know to teach trigonometry? An exploration and case study. *Irish Educational Studies*, 3315(June), 1–34. <https://doi.org/10.1080/03323315.2017.1327361>
- Weber, K. (2005). Students' understanding of trigonometric functions. *Mathematics Education Research Journal*, 17(3), 91–112. <https://doi.org/10.1007/BF03217423>
- Weber, K. (2008). Teaching trigonometric functions: lessons learned from research. *Mathematics Teacher*, 102(2), 144–150. Retrieved from <https://pdfs.semanticscholar.org/11a0/70bdd2ee0752756fa737955442087f7cafa0.pdf>
- Yang, D. C., & Sianturi, I. A. (2017). An Analysis of Singaporean versus Indonesian textbooks based on trigonometry content. *Eurasia Journal of Mathematics, Science and Technology Education*, 13(7), 3829–3848. <https://doi.org/10.12973/eurasia.2017.00760a>
- Yeshurun, S. (1982). The angle: a logical gap in teaching geometry and trigonometry and its remedy. *International Journal of Mathematical Education in Science and Technology*, 13(2), 133–138. <https://doi.org/10.1080/0020739820130202>

