

DAFTAR PUSTAKA

- Anderson, L. W. & Krathwohl, D. R. (2001). *A Taxonomy for Learning, Teaching, and Assesing*. New York: Addison Wesley Longman.
- Ausubel, D. P. (1961). In defense of verbal learning. *Educational Theory*, 11 (1), 15-25.
- Ausubel, D. P. (1968). *Educational psychology. A cognitive view*. New York: Holt, Rinehart and Winston, Inc.
- Baker, F. B. (2001). *The Basics of Item Response Theory*. USA: ERIC Clearinghouse on Assessment and Evaluation.
- Bruner, J. S. (1959). Learning and thinking. *Harvard Educational Review*, 29 (3), 184-192.
- Campbell, N. A. & Reece, J. B. (2008). Biologi Edisi ke 8 jilid 1. (diterjemahkan dari Biology Eight edition, penerjemah: D. T. Wulandari). Jakarta: Erlangga.
- Campbell, N. A., Reece, J.B. (2012). Biologi Edisi 8 jilid 2. (diterjemahkan dari Biology Eight edition, penerjemah: D. T. Wulandari). Jakarta: Erlangga.
- Chen, C. M. Lee, H. M. and Chen, Y. H. (2005). Personalized e-learning system using Item Response Theory. *Elsevier – Computer & Education*, 44 (3), 237-255.
- Creswell, J. W. (2009). *Research Design Qualitative, Quantitative, and Mixed Methods Approaches*. USA: SAGE Publications.
- Creswell, J. W. (2014). *Research Design: Qualitative, Quantitative and Mixed Methods Approaches* (4th ed.). Thousand Oaks, CA: SAGE Publications.
- DeMars, C. (2010). *Item response theory*. Series in understanding statistics. Oxford University Press, inc. Madison Avenue, New York.
- Demircioglu, G. & Cagatay, G. (2013). The effect of laboratory activities based on 5e model of constructivist approach on 9th grade students' understanding of solution chemistry. *Procedia - Social and Behavioral Sciences*, 116, 3120 – 3124.
- Duschl, A. R. (2007). *Reconsidering the charater and role of inquiry in school science: Framing and Debates*. Rutgers University.

- Fischer, H. R (2001) Abductive reasoning as a way of worldmaking *The official journal of the Association for Foundations of Science, Language and Cognition*, 6 (4), 361-383.
- Funk, H. J. (1985). *Learning Science Process Skills second edition*. Dubuque: Kendal/Hunt Publishing Company.
- Gagne, R. M. (1992). *Principle of instructional design*. New York: Holt Rinehart and Winston.
- Harlen, W 1999 Purposes and Procedures for Assessing Science Process Skills *Journal Assessment in Education: Principle, Policy & Practice*, 6, 129-144.
- Harlen, W and Elstgeest, J. 1994. *UNESCO Sourcebook for Science in the Primary School*. France: UNESCO Publishing.
- Harlen, W. (2010). Purposes and Procedures for Assessing Science Process Skills. *Assessment in Education Principles Policy and Practice*, 6(1): 129-144.
- Johson, R.B, Onwuegbuzie, J and Turner, L.A. (2007). Toward a definition of mixed methods research. *Journal of Mixed Methods Research*, 1(2).
- Kemdikbud. (2017). *Ilmu Pengetahuan Alam SMP/MTs SMP Kelas VIII Semester 2*. Jakarta: Pusat Kurikulum dan Perbukuan, Balitbang, Kemdikbud.
- Kim, H.Y. Kang, B & Yoo, P.K. (2016). The effects of science classes using abductive strategies applied to elementary school students on scientific concept understanding and metacognition. *The Korea Society for Fisheries and Marine Sciences Education*, 28 (4), 1133-1142.
- Klausmeier, H. J., Wardrop, J. L., Quilling, M. R., Romberg, T. A., and Schutz, R.E. (1968). *Research and development strategies in theory refinement and educational improvement*. Washington, D. C: Department of Health Education & Welfare Office of Education.
- Kohli, N. Koran J and Henn, L. (2014). Relationships among classical test theory and item response theory framework via factor analytic models. *Educational and Psychological Measurement*, (75) 3 doi: 10.1177/0013164414559071.
- Lati, W. Supasorn, S & Promarak, V. (2012). Enhancement of learning achievement and integrated science process skills using science inquiry

- learning activities of chemical reaction rates. *Procedia-Social and Behavioral Sciences*, 46, 4471 – 4475.
- Lawson, A.E. (2010). Basic inferences of scientific reasoning, argumentation, and discovery. *Science Education*, 94 (2), 336-364.
- Lee, O., Hart, J., Cuevas, P. and Enders, C. (2004). Professional development in inquiry-based science for elementary teachers of diverse student groups. *Journal of Research in Science Teaching*, 41 (10), 1021-1043.
- Liu, Y. & Olivares, A. M. (2012). Local dependence diagnostics in IRT modeling of binary data. *Journal of Educational and Psychological Measurement*, 73 (2), 254-247.
- Magnani, L. (2005). An abductive theory of scientific reasoning. *Journal of the International Association for Semiotic Studies*, 153, 261-286.
- Magnani, L. (2001). *Abduction, Reason, and Science Processes of Discovery and Explanation*. New York: Kluwer Academic/Plenum Publishers
- Martela, F. (2012). *Abductive mode of inquiry a pragmatic alternative for conducting organizational research*. Helsinki: Aalto University.
- Niiniluoto, I. 1999. *Critical Scientific Realism*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Oh, J.Y. (2013). Understanding natural science based on abductive inference: Continental Drift. *The official Journal of the Association for Foundations of Science, Language and Cognition*. 10.1007
- Oh, P.S. (2008). *Adopting Abductive Inquiry Model (AIM) into undergraduate earth science laboratories*. New York: Nova Science Publishers.
- Oh, P. S. (2010). How can teachers help students formulate scientific hypotheses? Some strategies found in abductive inquiry activities of earth science. *International Journal of Science Education*, 32(4), 541-560.
- Oh, P. S. & Sung-Jin, O. (2011). A study on the processes of elaborating hypotheses in abductive inquiry of preservice elementary school teachers. *Journal of the Korean Association for Science education*, 31, 128-142.
- Peirce, C. S. (1878). Deduction, Induction, and Hypothesis, in Houser, N. and Kloesel, C. (eds. 1992). *The Essential Peirce, Volume 1*.
- Raholm, M. B. (2010). Abductive reasoning and the formation of scientific knowledge within nursing research. *Nursing Philosophy*, 11 (4), 260-270.

- Ramalis, T. R and Rusdiana, D. (2015). Karakteristik pengembangan tes keterampilan berpikir kritis bumi dan antariksa untuk calon guru. *Jurnal Penelitian & Pengembangan Pendidikan Fisika*, 1(2), 51-58.
- Ramalis, T. R. (2016). Pengembangan Pembelajaran Model Inkuiri Abduktif Berbasis Sistem Akuisisi Data untuk Meningkatkan Literasi dan Keterampilan Berpikir Kritis Bumi dan Antariksa Calon Guru Fisika. *Disertasi*. Universitas Pendidikan Indonesia, Bandung.
- Rustaman, N. (2005). Perkembangan Penelitian Pembelajaran Berbasis Inkuiri dalam Pendidikan Sains. Makalah pada Seminar Nasional II Himpunan Ikatan Sarjana dan Pemerhati Pendidikan IPA Indonesia, Bandung.
- Rustaman, N. (2005). *Strategi Belajar Mengajar Biologi*. Malang: Penerbit Univeritas Negeri Malang.
- Safaah, E. S, Muslim, M and Liliawati, W. (2017). Teaching Science Process Skills by Using the 5-Stage Learning Cycle in Junior High School. *Journal of Physics: Conference Series*, 895 012106 doi: 10.1088/1742-6596/895/1/012106.
- Schurz, G. Pattern of abduction. *An International Journal for Epistemology, Methodology and Philosophy of Science*, 164 (2), 201-234.
- Sohaib, A & David P. (2013). Abductive science inquiry using mobile devices in the classroom. *Journal of Computers and Education*, 63(2), 62-67.
- Trilling, B. & Fadel, C. (2009). *21st Century Skills: Learning for life in Our Times*. San Francisco: Jossey-Bass A Wiley Imprint
- Vertue, F. M and Haig, B. D. (2008). An abductive perspective on clinical reasoning and case formulation. *Journal of Clinical Psychology*, 64 (9), 1046-1068.
- Wilke, R. R. & Straits, W. J. (2006). Developing students process skills in today's science classroom. *The Texas science teacher*, 11-16.