

TABLE OF CONTENTS

TITLE SHEET	i
APPROVAL SHEET	ii
ABSTRACT	iii
DECLARATION	iv
ACKNOWLEDGMENTS	v
TABLE OF CONTENTS	vi
LIST OF TABLES	ix
LIST OF CHARTS	x
LIST OF APPENDICES	xi
CHAPTER I: INTRODUCTION	
1.1 Background of the Study.....	1
1.2 Purpose of the Study	3
1.3 Research Question	3
1.4 Definition of the Terms	3
1.5 Significance of the Study	4
1.6 Structure of the Thesis	5
CHAPTER II: REVIEW OF THE LITERATURE	
2.1 Reading Comprehension	6
2.1.1 Definitions of Reading Comprehension	6
2.1.2 Reading Comprehension Factors	8
2.1.3 Interactive Model or Approach of Reading Process	8
2.1.4 Reading Comprehension Levels	10
2.1.5 Reading Comprehension Skills	12
2.1.6 Teaching Reading Comprehension	15
2.1.7 Assessing Reading	18
2.2 Student Team Achievement Division (STAD) Cooperative Learning	19
2.2.1 Overview of Cooperative Learning	19
2.2.2 Principles of STAD CL	22
2.2.3 Procedures of STAD CL	23
2.2.4 Weaknesses of STAD CL	26
2.3. Direct Instruction	27

2.2.1 Definition of Direct Instruction	27
2.2.2 Principles of Direct Instruction	29
2.2.3 Procedures of Direct Instruction	30
2.2.4 Weaknesses of Direct Instruction.....	32
2.4 Previous Study	33
2.5 Conclusion of the Chapter	34

CHAPTER III: RESEARCH METHODOLOGY

3.1 Research Setting and Participants	35
3.2 Research Design	36
3.3 Data Collection Methods	37
3.4.1 Pretest and Post Test of Reading Comprehension	37
3.4.2 Class Observation	39
3.4.3 Questionnaire	39
3.4.4 Interview	41
3.4 Data Analysis.....	42
3.4.1 Pretest and Post Test	42
3.4.2 Class Observation	43
3.4.3 Questionnaire	43
3.4.4 Interview	44
3.6 Conclusion of the Chapter	44

CHAPTER IV TEACHING PROGRAMS

4.1 Phases of Study.....	45
4.2 Preliminary Phase	46
4.2.1 Searching for Teaching Materials	46
4.2.2 Trying Out the Pretest and Posttest Items	50
4.2.3 Administering Pretest.....	51
4.2.4 Inducting the Teaching Programs	53
4.3 Teaching Programs	54
4.3.1 Preparation (Before Instruction)	55
4.3.2 Pre-Reading	55
4.3.3 While Reading	57
4.3.3 Post Reading	63

Asep Suarman, 2013

The Effects Of Student Team-Achievement Division Cooperative Learning And Direct Instruction On Students' Reading Comprehension Levels

Universitas Pendidikan Indonesia | repository.upi.edu | perpustakaan.upi.edu

4.4 Post Teaching Phase	66
4.5 Conclusion of the Chapter	66

CHAPTER V: FINDINGS AND DISCUSSION OF DATA FROM TESTS, QUESTIONNAIRE AND INTERVIEW

5.1 Data from Tests.....	69
<u>5.1.1 The Effect of the Teaching Programs on Reading Comprehension..</u>	69
<u>5.1.2 The Effect of the Teaching Programs on Literal Comprehension Levels.....</u>	72
<u>5.1.3 The Effect of the Teaching Programs on Inferential Comprehension Levels.....</u>	75
5.1.4 The Effect of the Teaching Programs on Evaluative Comprehension Levels.....	79
5.1.5 Summary of Discussion of Data from Tests	82
5.2. Data from Questionnaire	85
<u>5.2.1 The Effect of STAD CL or DI on the Students' Literal Comprehension Levels.....</u>	85
<u>5.2.2 The Effect of STAD CL or DI on the Students' Inferential Comprehension Level</u>	90
<u>5.2.3 The Effect of STAD CL or DI on the Students' Evaluative Comprehension Level.....</u>	93
5.2.4 Summary of Discussion of Data from Questionnaire	97
5.3 Data from Interview.....	99
5.3.1 The Students' Responses toward STAD CL and DI.....	99
5.3.2 The Effect of STAD CL and DI on Literal Comprehension Level...	103
5.3.3 The Effect of STAD CL and DI on Inferential Comprehension	105
<u>5.3.4 The Effect of STAD CL and DI on Evaluative Comprehension</u>	108
5.3.5 Summary of Discussion of Data from Interview	112
5.4 Conclusion of the Chapter	112

CHAPTER VI: THE CONCLUSION, LIMITATIONS AND IDEAS OF FUTURE RESEARCH

6.1 Conclusion	113
6.2 Limitation of the Study.....	116
6.3 Ideas for Future Research	118

BIBLIOGRAPHY	119
---------------------------	------------

APPENDICES	141
-------------------------	------------

Asep Suarman, 2013

The Effects Of Student Team-Achievement Division Cooperative Learning And Direct Instruction On Students' Reading Comprehension Levels


Universitas Pendidikan Indonesia | repository.upi.edu | perpustakaan.upi.edu

LIST OF TABLES

Table 2.1: Comprehension Levels and Skills	14
Table 3.2: Research Design	37
Table 4.3: The Phases of the Teaching Programs	45
Table 4.4: Texts in the Teaching Programs	47
Table 4.5: The Investigated Comprehension Levels and Skills	49
Table 4.6: The Result of ANATESV.4 Analysis on the Try-Out Version of Both Pretest and Post Items	50
Table 4.7: Descriptive Statistics of the Result of Pre-Test	51
Table 4.8: Independent Samples Test of Pretest Scores of Class A, B and D	52
Table 4.9: The Mean Score of Quizzes	64
Table 4.10: The Comparison of the Teaching programs	66
Table 5.11: Descriptive Statistics of Reading Comprehension Post Test Scores of both STAD CL and DI Groups	69
Table 5.12: Independent Samples t-Test of the Reading Comprehension Scores of STAD CL and DI Groups	71
Table 5.13: Descriptive Statistics of the Pretest and Posttest Scores on Literal Comprehension Level of STAD CL and DI Groups	72
Table 5.14: Independent Samples t-Test of the Pretest and Post Test Scores on Literal Comprehension Level between STAD CL and DI Groups.....	74
Table 5.15: Descriptive Statistics of the Pretest and Posttest Scores on Inferential Comprehension Level of STAD CL and DI Groups	75
Table 5.16: Independent Samples t-Test of the Pretest Scores on Inferential Comprehension Level between STAD CL and DI Groups	77
Table 5.17: Descriptive Statistics of the Pretest and Posttest Scores on Evaluative Comprehension Level of STAD CL and DI Groups	79
Table 5.18: Independent Samples t-Test of the Pretest and the Post Test Scores on Evaluative Comprehension Level between STAD CL and DI Groups	81
Table 5.19: The Comparison of Questionnaire Response between STAD CL and DI Groups on Literal Comprehension	86
Table 5.20: The Comparison of Questionnaire Responses between STAD CL and DI Groups on Inferential Comprehension	91
Table 5.21: The Comparison of Questionnaire Responses between STAD CL and DI Groups on Evaluative Comprehension.	94

List of Charts

Chart 4.1: The Comparison of the Mean Scores and the Standard Deviation between STAD CL and DI groups	65
Chart 5.2 The Tendency of Mean Score of All Comprehension Levels	82
Chart 5.3: The Recapitulation of the Mean Scores of Questionnaire Responses on Literal, Inferential and Evaluative Levels	97



List of Appendices

1. a. The Items of Pre-Test (Try-Out Version)
b. The Items of Pre-Test (Pre-test Version)
2. a. The Items of Post-Test (Try-Out Version)
b. The Items of Post-Test (Pre-test Version)
3. a. An Example of Quiz Items
b. ANATES V.4 Analysis on Quiz #3
4. a. Observation Guidelines in STAD CL Group
b. Observation Guidelines of DI Group
5. a. Condensed Observation Field note in the STAD CL Group
b. Condensed Observation Field note in the DI Group
6. a. Questionnaire for STAD CL Group (not random)
b. Questionnaire for DI Group (not random)
c. Questionnaire In Bahasa Indonesia for STAD CL Group (Random)
d. Questionnaire In Bahasa Indonesia for DI Group (Random)
7. The Microsoft Excel Analysis on the Result of Questionnaire Try Out.
8. a. STAD CL group Interview Guidelines
b. DI group Interview Guidelines
9. a. The Result of Questionnaire in STAD CL Group
b. The Result of Questionnaire in DI Group
10. Reading texts for the Teaching Programs
11. a. An Example of Lesson Plans for STAD CL Group
b. An Example of Lesson Plans for DI Group
12. a. ANATES V.4 Analysis on Pretest Items (Try-Out Version).
b. ANATES V.4 Analysis on Post Test Items (Try-Out Version).
13. a. The Mark list of DI group
b. The Quiz Score Sheet of STAD CL group
c. The Team Summary Sheet of STAD CL group
14. a. The Example of Certificate of Super Team
b. The Example of Certificate of The Best Student
15. a. The Comparison of Pretest and Post Test Scores on Literal Comprehension
b. The Comparison of Pretest and Post Test Scores on Inferential Comprehension
c. The Comparison of Pretest and Post Test Scores on Evaluative Comprehension
16. a. Condensed Version of Data from STAD CL group Interview
b. Condensed Version of Data from DI group Interview