

DAFTAR PUSTAKA

- Acar, O. & Patton.(2012). Argumentation and formal reasoning skills in an argumentation-based guided inquiry course. *Procedia - Social and Behavioral Sciences* V (46): 4756 – 4760.
- Adadan, E.2013.Using Multiple Representations to Promote Grade 11 Students' Scientific Understanding of the Particle Theory of Matter. *Springer Science+Business Media*. 43:1079–1105
- Anderson W.L & Krathwohl R. D. (2001). *A Taxonomy for learning, teaching and assessing. A revision of bloom's taxonomy of educational objective. USA; Addison Wesley Longman*
- Aina, Jacob,K. 2013. Instructional Materials and Improvisation in Physics Class: Implications for Teaching and Learning .k@ta : *IOSR Journal of Research & Method in Educatio. Volume 2, Issue 5 (Jul. –Aug. 2013),*
- Ainsworth,S.(1999). The foundation of Multiple Representation".*Computers and Education Journal*. 33,131-152
- Ainsworth, S.E.(1999) *A functional taxonomy of multiple representations*. Computers and Education, 33(2/3), 131-152. ISSN 0360-1315
- Ainsworth, S.E.(1999) *Designing Effective Multi-representational Learning Environments*, ESRC Centre for Research in Development, Instruction and Training, University of Nottingham, technical report number 58.
- Ainsworth, S.E.(2006) *DeFT: A conceptual framework for learning with multiple representations*. Learning and Instruction, 16(3), 183-198.
- Ainsworth, S. (2008). *The educational value of multiple representations when learning complex scientific concepts*. In J. K. Gilbert & M. Reiner & M. Nakhlel (Eds.), *Visualization: Theory and Practice in Science Education* (pp. 191-208)New York: Springer.

- Akarsu, B., Bayram, K. Slisko, J. & Cruz, A. C. (2013). *Understanding Elementary Students' Argumentation Skills through Discrepant Event "Marbles in the Jar"*. *International Journal of Scientific Research in Education*, 6(3), 221-232.
- Alvermann, D. (2004). Multiliteracies and self questioning in the service of science learning. In E. W. Saul (Ed.), *Crossing borders in literacy and science instruction* (pp. 226- 238). Newark: International Reading Association
- Arikunto, S.(2006).*Prosedur Penelitian suatu Pendekatan Praktek*.Edisi V. Jakarta: Rineka Cipta.
- Atila,M,E. Gunel,M. Buyukkasap,E. 2010. The Effect of Using Multimodal Representation Within Writing to Learn Activities on Learning force and motion Unit at the Midle School Setting". *Journal of Turkish Science Education*. Vol.7. Issue.4
- Awolaju, A, B.2015. Instructional Materials as Correlates of Students' Academic Performance in Biology in Senior Secondary Schools in Osun State.k@ta: *International Journal of Information and Education Technology*. Nigeria :Vol. 6, No. 9,
- Burke, K. A., Greenbowe, T. J., & Hand, B. M. (2005). *Excerpts from the process of using inquiry and the science writing heuristic* (Doctoral dissertation). Prepared for the Middle Atlantic Discovery Chemistry Program, Moravian College, Bethlehem.
- Borg, W., & Gall, M. (1983). *Educational Research: An Introduction*. London: Longman.
- Cavagnetto, A. R. (2010). Argument to foster scientific literacy: a review of argument interventions in K-12 science contexts. *Review of Educational Research*, 80(3), 336- 371.

- Chingos, M.W., Whitehurst, G.J. (2012). *Choosing Blindly: instructional materials teacher effectiveness and the common core*. Washington: Brown Center on Education Policy at Brookings.
- Cohen, J.(1969). *Statistical Power Analysis for Behavioral Sciences*. NY: Academic Press
- Coe, R. (2000). *What is an Effect Size?.A Guide for User*. Draft version.
- Cresswell, John dan Vayssettes, Sophie. (2006). *Assesing Scientific, Reading and Mathematical Literacy A Framework for PISA 2006*. Organisation for Economic Cooperation and Development (OECD)
- Creswell, J.W. (2008). *Educational Research, Planning, Conducting, and Evaluating Quantitative and Qualitative Research*. New Jersey : Pearson Education Inc
- Dani, D (2009). Scientific Literacy and Purpose for Teaching and Science: A Case Study Of Labanese Privat School Teachers. *International Journal of Enviornment &Science Education*, 4 (3), 289-299
- Erduran, S., & Maria, Pj., (2008). *Argumentation in Science Education*. London: Spinger Science.
- European Commission. (2007). *Science education now: A renewed pedagogy for the future of Europe*.
- Fauzi, A. Jamzuri, R. 2009. *Pengembangan Bahan Ajar Fisika Dasar Berbasis Spreadsheet Dengan Pendekatan Analitik dan Numerik*. Laporan penelitian. Universitas Sebelas Maret Surakarta
- Ford, M. (2008). Disciplinary authority and accountability in scientific practice and learning. *Science Education Journal*, 92, 404-423.
- Fraenkel, J.R., Wallen, E.N., & Hyun, H. (2007). *How To Design and Evaluate Research in Education*. Newyork: Mc. Graw Hill.
- Ginanjari, Utari, S., Muslim. (2015). Penerapan Model Argument-Driven Inquiry Dalam Pembelajaran IPA Untuk Meningkatkan Kemampuan Argumentasi

Putri Handayani, 2018

PENGEMBANGAN BUKU AJAR FISIKA MENGGUNAKAN MULTIMODUS REPRESENTASI BERORIENTASI PADA PEMBEKALAN KEMAMPUAN KOGNITIF DAN KETERAMPILAN ARGUMENTASI ILMIAH SISWA
Universitas Pendidikan Indonesia | repository.upi.edu | perpustakaan.upi.edu

- Ilmiah Siswa Smp. *Jurnal pengajaran MIPA*. Volume 20, Number 1, 2015, pp. 32-37(6).
- Goldin, GA.(2002). *Reperentation in Mathematical Learning and Probelem Solving*. Dalam L.D English. New Jersey:Laurence Association.
- Günel, M., Hand, B., & Gündüz, S. (2006). Comparing student understanding of quantum physics when embedding multimodal representations into two different writing formats: Presentations format versus summary report format. *Science Education*, 90, 1092-1112
- Hand,B. Gunel. Ulu,M. Cuneyt. 2009. Sequencing Embedded Multimodal Representations in a Writing to Learn Approach to the Teaching of Electricity. *Journal Of Research In Science Teaching* Vol. 46, No. 3, Pp. 225–247
- Kemendikbud. (2013). *Kurikulum 2013. Sekolah Menengah Atas (SMA)/ MadrasahAliyah (MA)*.
- Kemendikbud. (2013). *Pedoman Pelatihan Implementasi Kurikulum 2013*. Jakarta: Kemendikbud
- Kıngır, S. (2011). *Using the science writing heuristic approach to promote student understanding in chemical changes and mixtures* (Doctoral dissertation, Middle East Technical University, Department of Secondary Science and Mathematics Education, Ankara). [http://tez2.yok.gov. tr/ adresinden edinilmiştir](http://tez2.yok.gov.tr/adresinden edinilmiştir) .
- Klein, U. (2001). *Tools and modes of representation in the laboratory sciences*. Dordrecht, Boston: Kluwer Academic Publishers
- Kuhn. (2010). *Teaching and Learning Science as Argument*. Wiley Periodicals, Inc. *Sci Ed*, (94) :810-824.
- Kuhn, D., & Udell, W. (2003). *The Development of Argument Skills*. *Child Development*, Volume 74 (5): 1245-1260.

- Lemke, J.(1998). Multiplying meaning: Visual and verbal semiotics in scientific text. In J. R. Martin, & R. Veel (Eds.), *Reading science: Critical and functional perspectives on discourses of science* (pp. 87-113). London: Routledge.
- Lemke, J.(2004). *The literacies of science*. In E.W. Saul (Ed.), *Crossing borders in literacy and science instruction* (pp 33-47). Newark: International Reading Association.
- Lemke, J.(1998). Multiplying meaning: Visual and verbal semiotics in scientific text. In J. R. Martin, & R. Veel (Eds.), *Reading science: Critical and functional perspectives on discourses of science* (pp. 87-113). London: Routledge.
- Maruff, A, O. Olosunde, G.2011.Instructional Materials and Students' Academic Achievement in Physics: Some Policy [Implications](#). *European Journal of Humanities and Social Sciences Vol. 2, No.1*
- Mkpa M. A., 1989. "Status of implementation of the national policy as it relates to instructional materials," *Nigeria Educational Research Association (NERA)*, pp. 253-261,
- Morohunfolo, P.I (1983). *A study of relationship between instructional resources and students' academic performance*. (Unpublished master's thesis) University of Ilorin, Ilorin, Nigeria.
- Muslim, & Suhandi, A. (2012). *Pengembangan Perangkat Pembelajaran Fisika Sekolah untuk Meningkatkan Kemampuan kognitif dan Keterampilan Berargumentasi*. *Jurnal Pendidikan Fisika Indonesia*, 8:174-183.
- Muslim. (2015). Implementasi Model Pembelajaran Argumentasi Dialogis dalam Pembelajaran Fisika untuk Meningkatkan Kemampuan Argumentasi Ilmiah Siswa SMA. *Jurnal penelitian dan pengembangan pendidikan fisika*; DOI: doi.org/10.21009/1.01203: p-ISSN: 2461-0933 | e-ISSN: 2461-1433.

- Michael, M. 2010. *Unlocking the potential of multimodal representation (MMR) to foster dialogue that promotes learning in science*. Australia: Edith Cowan University.
- Murti, K. E (2015). *Pendidikan Abad 21 dan Aplikasinya dalam pembelajaran di SMK*.
- Nussifera, L., Sinaga, I., Setiawan, A.(2017)the use of multimodal representation in the physics learning material development to promote students' cognitive and critical thinking competences. *International Journal of Research in Applied, Natural and Social Sciences (IMPACT: IJRANSS) ISSN(P): 2347-4580; ISSN(E): 2321-8851 Vol. 5, Issue 4, Apr 2017, 9-18*
- Norris, S. P., & Phillips, L. M. (2003). *How literacy in its fundamental sense is central to scientific literacy*. *Science Education*, 87(2), 224-240.
- Norris, S., Philips, L. & Osborne, J. (2007). *Scientific Inquiry: The Place of Interpretation and Argumentation*. In J. Luft, R. Bell & J. Gess-New-Some (Eds), *Science as Inquiry in The Secondary Setting*. Arlington, VA: NSTA Press.
- Noviyani, M., Kusairi, S, Amin, M. (2017). Penguasaan Konsep Dan Kemampuan Berargumentasi Siswa Smp Pada Pembelajaran IPA Dengan Inkuiri Berbasis Argumen. *Jurnal Pendidikan*, Vol. 2, No. 7;2502 - 471X. Hal.974-978
- Nuryandi, A., Rusdiana. (2016). Penerapan *Dialogical Argumentation Instructional Model* (Daim) Untuk Meningkatkan Pemahaman Dan Kemampuan Argumentasi Siswa Sma Pada Materi Listrik Statik
- Osborne, J., Erduran, S., & Simon, S. (2004). Enhancing the quality of argumentation in school science. *Journal of Research in Science Teaching*, 41(10), 994-1020. doi: 10.1002/Tea.20035.

- Prain, V. and Waldrip, B. (2006). *Exploratory study of teachers and students use of multi-modal representations of concepts in primary science*. International Journal of Science Education, 28(15), pg. 1843-1866.
- Prain, V. (2006). Learning from Writing in Secondary Science: Some theoretical and practical implications. *International Journal of Science Education* Vol. 28, Nos 2–3, 15, pp. 179–201
- Prain, V., Tytler, R. dan Peterson, S. (2009) *Multiple Representation in Learning About Evaporation*. International Journal of Science Education, 31:6, 787-808, DOI: 10.1080/09500690701824249
- Rankin, E. F & Culhane, J.W (1969) *Comparable Cloze and Multiple-Choice Comprehensive Test Score*. Journal of Reading, 13(3), 193-198
- Sampson, V., & Gerbino, F. (2010). *Two Instructional Models That Teacher Can Use to Promote & Support Scientific Argumentation In the Biology Classroom*. The American Biology Teacher, Vol.72 (7): 427-431.
- Sondang, R. (2012). Identifikasi Keterampilan Argumentasi Melalui Analisis “*Toulmin Argumentation Pattern (TAP)*” pada Topik Kinematika bagi Mahasiswa Calon Guru. Seminar Bidang Ilmu Mipa Universitas Negeri Medan, 11-12 mei 2012.
- Sugiyono. (2012). *Metode Penelitian Pendidikan*. Bandung : Alfabeta.
- Simbolon, M., Sinaga, P., Utari, S. (2017). Effect of Application of Physics Learning material Using Multimode representation to Improve Problem Solving Ability. *International Conference of Mathematics and Science Education*. Vol.7, 51-53
- Sinaga, P. (2014). Pengembangan Program Perkuliahan Fisika Sekolah III untuk Meningkatkan Kompetensi Menulis Materi Ajar Calon Guru Menggunakan Multi Modus Representasi (Unpublished doctoral thesis), Indonesia University of Education, Indonesia.

- Sinaga, P., Suhandi, A., and Liliasari. (2014). Improving the ability of writing teaching materials and self regulation of pre service physics teacher through representational approach. *International journal of sciences: Basic and Applied Research (IJSBAR)*. 15(1), 80-94
- Sinaga, P., Suhandi, A., and Liliasari. (2015). The effectiveness of scaffolding design in training writing skills physics teaching materials. *International journal of instruction*, 8(1), pg.19-32.
- Sinaga, P., Kaniawati, I., and Setiawan, A. (2017). Improving Secondary School Student's Scientific Literacy Ability Through The Desain of Better Science Textbooks. *International journal of Turkish Science Education*, 14(4). 92-107
- Soobard, R. Rannikmäe, M. 2011. Assessing student's level of scientific literacy using interdisciplinary scenarios. *Science Education International*. Vol.22, No.2, 133-144
- Toulmin, S. (2003). *The Uses of Argument*. New York: Cambridge University Press.
- [Tarigan, Rochintaniawati.](#)(2015) Pengaruh Metode Praktikum Berbasis PBL Terhadap Kemampuan Argumentasi Tertulis Siswa Pada Materi Interaksi Mahluk Hidup Dengan Lingkungannya. *Edusain jurnal*; DOI. 10.15408; vol 7 no 2.
- Van Heuvelen, A.(2001). Millikan Lecture 1999: The Workplace, Student Minds, and Physics Learning Systems. *Citation :American Journal of Physics*, 69 No.11, 1139-1146 ; doi:10.1119/1.399043.
- Van Heuvelen,A (1996). ALPS kit, Active Learning Problem Sheets Electricity and Magnetism. United States of America; Hayden-McNeil Publishing
- Wasis.(2013). *Merenungkan kembali hasil pembelajaran sains. Seminar Nasional FMIPA UNDIKSHA III Tahun 2013*.
- Zakiya, H., Sinaga, P., and Hamida, I. (2017). The effectiveness of multi modal representation text books to improve student's scientific literacy of senior

high school students. AIP Conference Proceeding: 050001-1–050001-8;
doi: 10.1063/1.4983957.

Zohar, A., & Nemet, F. (2002). Fostering students knowledge and argumentation skills through dilemmas in human genetics. *Journal of research in science teaching*, 39 (1), 35-62.

Zou, X (2000). *The Use of Multiple Representations and Visualization in Student Learning of Introductory Physics; an example From Work and Energy*. The Ohio State University.