

DAFTAR PUSTAKA

- Ainsworth, S., 2006. DeFT: A conceptual framework for considering learning with multiple representations. *Learning and Instruction*, 16, 183-198.
- Airey, J., and Linder, C. 2009. A Disciplinary Discourse Perspective on University Science Learning: Achieving Fluency in a Critical Constellation of Modes. *Journal of Research in Science Teaching*, 46 (1), 27-49.
- Akkus, R., Gunel, M., & Hand, B. 2007. Comparing an inquiry-based approach known as the science writing heuristic to traditional science teaching practices: Are there differences?. *International Journal of Science Education*, 1, 1-21.
- Alvermann, D. 2004. Multiliteracies and self questioning in the service of science learning. In E. W. Saul (Ed.), *Crossing borders in literacy and science instruction*, 226- 238. Newark: International Reading Association.
- Anderson R. Inquiry as an organizing theme for science curricula. In: S. Abell & N. Lederman (Eds.). 2007. Handbook of research on science education (pp. 807-830). Mahwah, NJ: Lawrence Erlbaum Associates.
- Arikunto, S. 2014. *Prosedur penelitian: Suatu Pendekatan Praktik*. Jakarta : Rineka Cipta.
- Bassham, G. Irwin, W., Nardone, H., and Wallace, J.M. 2008. *Critical Thinking A Student's Introduction*. Boston: McGraw-Hill.
- Beebe, S. A., & Masterson, J. T. 2003. *Communicating in small groups*. Pearson Education Inc. Boston: Massachusetts.
- Burke, A. 2011. Group Work: How to Use Groups Effectively. *The Journal of Effective Teaching*, 11, 2, 87-95.
- Cho, K. L. and D. H. Jonassen. 2002. Scaffolding online argumentation during problem solving. *Educational Technology Research and Development*, 50(3), 5-22,
- Choi, A. Klein, V. & Hershberger, S. 2014. Success, Difficulty, and Instructional Strategy to Enact an Argument-Based Inquiry Approach: Experience of Elementary Teacher. *International Journal of Science Mathematics Education*.
- Clark, D. B. and V. D. Sampson. 2007. Personally-seeded discussions to scaffold online argumentation. *International Journal of Science Education*, 29 (3), 253-277.

- Coburn, W., Schuster, D., & Adams, B.. 2010. Experimental Comparison of Inquiry and Direct Instruction in Science. *SREE Conference*.
- De La Paz, S. 2005. Effects of Historical Reasoning Instruction and Writing Strategy Mastery in Culturally and Academically Diverse Middle School Classrooms. *Journal of Educational Psychology*, 9, (2) 139.
- Dennen, V.P. 2004. *Cognitive Apprenticeship in Educational Practice: Research on Scaffolding, Modeling, Mentoring, and Coaching as Instructional Strategies*. Dalam D. H. Jonassen (Ed.). Handbook of Research on Educational Communications and Technology. Mahwah, New Jersey: Lawrence Erlbaum Associates.
- Demirbag, M. dan Gunel, M. 2014. Integrating Argument Based Science Inquiry with Modal Representations: Impact on Science Achievement, Argumentation, and Writing Skills. *Educational Consultancy and Research Center. Educational Sciences: Theory & Practice* - 14(1) • 386-391.
- Demircioglu, T. dan Ucar, S. 2012. The Effect of Argument-Driven Inquiry on Pre-Service Science Teachers Attitude and Argumentation Skill. *Procedia - Social and Behavioral Sciences*. 46: 5035 – 5039.
- Demircioglu, T. & Ucar, S. 2015. Investigating the effect of argument-driven inquiry in laboratory instruction. *Educational Science: Theory & Practice*. 15 (1). 267-283.
- Dewi, R. S. 2011. *Pengaruh Pendekatan Keterampilan Proses Sains Terhadap Keterampilan Proses Siswa Siswa Pada Materi Suhu Dan Kalor*. (Skripsi) UIN Syarif Hidayatullah, Jakarta
- Erduran, S. & Maria, P.J. 2008. *Argumentation in Science Education*. London: Springer.
- Eemeren, V., R. Grootendorst and A. Henkemans. 2002. *Argumentation: analysis, evaluation, presentations*. Lawrence Erlbaum Associates Publisher, London.
- Eemeren, F.H., & Houtlosser, P. 2007. The study of argumentation as normative pragmatics. *Pragmatics: Quarterly Publication of the International Pragmatics Association*, 15(1), 161–177.
- Ellis, E. S., & Larkin, M. J. 1998. *Strategic instruction for adolescents with learning disabilities*. In B. Y. L. Wong (Ed.), *Learning about learning disabilities* (2nd ed., pp. 585-656). San Diego, CA: Academic Press.
- Erduran, S., & Maria, Pj. 2008. *Argumentation in Science Education*. London: Springer Science.
- Erduran, S., Simon, S., & Osborne, J. 2004. *TAPping in argumentation: developments in the applicatio studying science discourse*. Wiley Periodicals, Inc.

Lina Syerliana, 2018

PENERAPAN MODEL ARGUMENT-DRIVEN INQUIRY WITH SCAFFOLDING MENGGUNAKAN PENDEKATAN MULTIREPRESENTASI UNTUK MENINGKATKAN KETERAMPILAN ARGUMENTASI DAN KOMUNIKASI ILMIAH SISWA SMA PADA MATERI FLUIDA STATIS

Universitas Pendidikan Indonesia | repository.upi.edu | perpustakaan.upi.edu

- Ferreti, R. P., W. E. Lewis and S. Andre-Weckerly. 2009. Do Goals affect the structure of student argumentative writing strategy ?. *Journal of Educational Psychology*, 101 (30), 577-589.
- Günel, M., Hand, B., & Gündüz, S. 2006. Comparing student understanding of quantum physics when embedding multimodal representations into two different writing formats: Presentations format versus summary report format. *Science Education*, 90, 1092-1112.
- Grooms, J., Enderle, P., & Sampson, V. 2015. Coordinating Scientific Argumentation and the Next Generation Science Standards through Argument Driven Inquiry. *Science Educator*, 24(1), 45-50.
- Hake, Richard R. 1998. *Analyzing Change/Gain Scores*. Dept. Of Physic, Indiana University.
- Hand, B., Wallace, C., & Prain, V. 2003. Teacher issues in using a science writing heuristic to promote science literacy in secondary science. *Paper presented at the European Science Education Research Association Conference*, Noordwijkerhout, The Netherlands.
- Hasnunidah, Neni dkk. 2015. Argument – Driven Inquiry with Scaffolding as the Developmnt Strategi of Argumentation and critical Thingking skills of Student in Lampung, Indonesia. *American Journal of Educational Research*. Vol. 3, No. 9, 1185-1192.
- Hubber, P., Tylter, R., dan Haslam, F. 2010. Teaching and Learning about Force with a Representational Focus: Pedagogy and Teacher Change. *Research Science Education*, 40, 5-28
- Inch, Edward S., Barbara, Warnick, dan Danielle, Endress. 2006. *Critical Thinking Skills: Cara Berpikir Kreatif dan Kritis*. Bandung: Nuansa.
- Kadayifcia, H., Atasoya, B., & Akussa, H. 2012. The Correlation Between The Flaws Students Define in Argument and Their Creative and Critical Thinking Abilities. *Procedia-Social and Behavioral Science*. 47: 802-806.
- Kemendikbud. 2016. *Permendikbud No. 24 tentang Kompetensi Inti dan Kompetensi Dasar dan Menengah*. Jakarta : Kementerian Pendidikan dan Kebudayaan.
- Kemendikbud. 2016. *Permendikbud No. 20 tentang Standar Kompetensi Lulusan Pendidikan Dasar dan Menengah*. Jakarta : Kementerian Pendidikan dan Kebudayaan.
- Keys, C. W. 1999. Revitalizing instruction in scientific genres: Connecting knowledge production with writing to learn in science. *Science Education*, 83, 115-130.

- Kuhn, Deanna. 1991. *The Skills of Argument*. Cambridge. England: Cambridge University Press.
- Kuhn, Deanna. 2010. Teaching and Learning Science as Argument. *Wiley Online Library*.
- Kunandar. 2013. *Penilaian Autentik (Penilaian Hasil Belajar Peserta Didik Berdasarkan Kurikulum 2013)*. Jakarta: Rajawali Pers.
- Kusumawati, I., Marwoto, P., dan Linuwih, S. 2015. Implementation multi representation and oral communication skills in Department of Physics Education on Elementary Physics II. *AIP Conf. Proc.* hlm. 1-4.
- Larkin, M, "Using Scaffolded Instruction to Optimize Learning," ERIC Clearinghouse on Disabilities and Gifted Education, December, 2002. (Online). Available: <http://www.vtaide.com/png/ERIC/Scaffolding.html> (Accessed, March, 23, 2017).
- Lawshe, C. H.. 1975. A quantitative Approach to content Validity. *Personnel Psychology*. 28. 563-575.
- Lemke, J. 1998. Multiplying meaning: Visual and verbal semiotics in scientific text. In J. R. Martin & R. Veel (Eds.), *Reading science*, 87-113. London: Routledge.
- Levy, O.S., Eylon, B. & Scherz, Z. 2009. Teaching scientific communication skills in science studies: does it make a difference?. *International Journal of Science and Mathematics Education*. 7, (5), 875-903.
- Levy, O.S., Eylon, B. & Scherz, Z. 2008. Teaching communication skills in science: Tracing teacher change. *Teaching and Teacher Education*, 24, 462-477.
- Luis Pineda, Gabriela Garza. 2000. A model for multimodal reference resolution. *MIT Press*, 26 (2) 139-193.
- Nielsen, L.H., dkk. 2012. *Credibility of Science Communication: An exploratory Study of Press Releases in Astronomy*. Denmark : Roskilde University
- Norris, S. P., dan L. M. Phillips. 2003. How Literacy in Its Fundamental Sense is Central to Scientific Literacy. *Science Education*, 8, 224–240.
- Meltzer, E. David. 2002. The Relationship Between Mathematics Preparation and Conceptual Learning Gains In Physics: A Possible Hidden Variable In Diagnostic Pretest Score. *American Association of Physics Teachers: American Journal Physics*. 70 (20), 1259-1268.
- Meltzer, D.E. 2005. Relation Between student's problem-solving performance and representational format. *Am. J. Phys*, 73(5), hlm. 463-478.

Lina Syerliana, 2018

PENERAPAN MODEL ARGUMENT-DRIVEN INQUIRY WITH SCAFFOLDING MENGGUNAKAN PENDEKATAN MULTIREPRESENTASI UNTUK MENINGKATKAN KETERAMPILAN ARGUMENTASI DAN KOMUNIKASI ILMIAH SISWA SMA PADA MATERI FLUIDA STATIS

Universitas Pendidikan Indonesia | repository.upi.edu | perpustakaan.upi.edu

- Mulder, Henke A.J., Longnecker, N., and Davis, Lloyd S., 2008. The State of Science Communication Programs at Universities Around the World. *Science Communication, SAGEpu*,. 30 (2) 277-287.
- Muslim. 2014. Pengembangan Program Perkuliahan Fisika Sekolah Berorientasi Kemampuan Berargumentasi Calon Guru Fisika. (Disertasi, Universitas Pendidikan Indonesia, 2014, Tidak Diterbitkan)
- Osborne, J., Erduran, S., & Simon, S. 2004. Enhancing the Quality of Argumentation in Science Classrooms. *Journal of Research in Science Teaching*. 41(10), 994–1020.
- Osborne J. 2010. Arguing to learn in science the role of collaborative, critical discourse. 328, 5977, 463-466.
- Owens, Kay D., & Clement, MA Ken.. 1998. Representations in spatial problem solving in the classroom. *The Journal of Mathematical Behavior. JAI*. 17 (2), 197-218.
- Prain, V., & Hand, B. 1996. Writing for learning in secondary science: Rethinking practices. *Teaching and Teacher Education*, 12(6), 609-626.
- Prain, V. & Tytler, R. 2013. Learning through the affordance of representation construction.
- Rohaeni, M. A. 2013. *The Emergence of A Tragic Hero: A Narrative Analysis of Chinua Achebe's Things Fall Apart*. Thesis, UPI University.
- Roshayanti, F. 2012. Pengembangan model asesmen argumentatif untuk mengukur keterampilan argumentasi mahasiswa pada konsep fisiologi manusia. Graduate Studies Universitas Pendidikan Indonesia, Dissertation was not published,
- Sampson, V. & Gleim, L. 2009. Argument-Driven Inquiry to Promote the Understanding of Important Concepts & Practices in Biology. *The American Biology Teacher*. 71 (8): 465-472.
- Sampson, V. & Gleim, L. 2009. Argument-driven inquiry to promote the understanding of important concepts & practices in biology. *The American Biology Teacher*, 71(8), 465-472.
- Sampson, V., Grooms, J. & Walker, J.P. 2011. Argument-Driven Inquiry as a Way to Help Students Learn How to Part Argumentation and Craft Written Arguments: An Exploratory Study. *Science Education*. 95(2): 217-257.
- Siswanto. 2014. Pembelajaran perbangkit argumen menggunakan metode saintifik untuk meningkatkan kemampuan kognitif dan keterampilan berargumentasi siswa SMA. (tesis, Universitas Pendidikan Indonesia, 2014, tidak dipublikasikan).

Lina Syerliana, 2018

PENERAPAN MODEL ARGUMENT-DRIVEN INQUIRY WITH SCAFFOLDING MENGGUNAKAN PENDEKATAN MULTIREPRESENTASI UNTUK MENINGKATKAN KETERAMPILAN ARGUMENTASI DAN KOMUNIKASI ILMIAH SISWA SMA PADA MATERI FLUIDA STATIS

Universitas Pendidikan Indonesia | repository.upi.edu | perpustakaan.upi.edu

- Stuyf, Rachel R.V.D. (2002). *Scaffolding as a teaching strategy*, Adolescent learning and Development. Retrieved from: condor.admin.ccnycuny.edu/.../Van%20Der%20Stuyf%20Paper.doc (Agustus12, 2017).
- Sugiyono. 2008. *Metode penelitian kuantitatif, kualitatif, dan R&D*. Bandung: Alfabeta.
- Toulmin, S. E. 1958. *The Use of Argument*. Cambridge: Cambridge University press.
- Trilling, B & Fadel, C. 2009. *21st Century Skills: Learning for Life in Our Times*, John Wiley & Sons. 978-0-47-055362-6.
- Waldrip, B., Prain, V., Sellings, P. 2013. Explaining Newton's laws of motion: using student reasoning through representations to develop conceptual understanding. *Instr Sci.*, 41, 165–189.
- Zangyuan, O. 2006. The Application of an Adaptive Web-Based Learning Environment on Oxidation–Reduction Reactions. *International Journal of Science and Mathematics Education*, 4, (1) 73-96.
- Zohar, A. and F. Nemet. 2002. Fostering students' knowledge and argumentation skills through dilemmas in human genetics. *Journal of Research in Science Teaching*, 39, 35-62.