

DAFTAR PUSTAKA

- Abidin, Y. (2012). *Pembelajaran membaca berbasis pendidikan karakter*. Bandung, Indonesia: PT Refika Aditama.
- Adaminata, M.A., & Marsih, I.N. (2011). Analisis kesalahan konsep siswa SMA pada pokok bahasan kesetimbangan kimia. Prosiding Simposium Nasional Inovasi Pembelajaran dan Sains (SNIPS) (hlm. 1-5). Bandung, Indonesia.
- Akkus, H., Kadayifci, H., & Atasoy, B. (2011). Development and application of a two-tier diagnostic test to assess secondary students' understanding of chemical equilibrium concepts. *Journal of Baltic Science Education*, 10(3), 146-155.
- Al-Balushi, S.M., Ambusaidi, A.K., Al-Shuaili, A.H., & Taylor, N. (2012). Omani twelfth grade students' most common misconceptions in chemistry. *Science Education International*, 23(3), 221-240.
- Ardac, D. & Akaygun, S. (2004). Effectiveness of multimedia-based instruction that emphasizes molecular representations on students' understanding of chemical change. *Journal of Research in Science Teaching*, 41(4), hlm. 317-337.
- Averil, B & Eldredge, P. (2012). *General chemistry: Principles, patterns, and applications*. Diakses dari <https://2012books.lardbucket.org/pdfs/principles-of-general-chemistry-v1.0.pdf>
- BSNP. (2014). *Revisi peraturan kriteria kelayakan buku teks pelajaran*. Jakarta, Indonesia: Penulis.
- Bergqvist, A. & Rundgren, S.N.C. (2017). The influence of textbooks on teachers' knowledge of chemical bonding representations relative to students' difficulties understanding. *Research in Science & Technological Education*, 35, 215-237.
- Borg, W.R., Gall, M.D., & Gall, J.P. (1983). *Educational research and development* (3rd ed.). USA: Pearson Education, Inc.
- Brown, T.L., Lemay, H.E., Bursten, B.E., Murphy, C.J., & Woodward, P.M. (2012). *Chemistry: The central science* (12th ed.) Glenview, IL: Pearson Education, Inc.

- Chandrasegaran, A.L., Treagust, D.F., & Mocerino, M. (2007). The development of a two-tier multiple-choice diagnostic instrument for evaluating secondary school students' ability to describe and explain chemical reactions using multiple levels of representation. *Chemistry Education Research and Practice*, 8(3), 293-307.
- Chandrasegaran, A.L., Treagust, D.F., Mocerino, M., Won, M., & Karpudewan, M. (2014). A brief review of the complexities of teaching and learning chemical equilibrium with specific reference to Malaysia. Proceeding of International Conference on Research, Implementation and Education of Mathematics and Sciences 2014 (hlm. 1-8). Yogyakarta, Indonesia.
- Chang, R. & Overby, J. (2011). *General chemistry: The essential concepts* (6th ed.). New York, NY: McGraw-Hill
- Chittleborough, G., & Treagust, D.F. (2007). The modelling ability of non-major chemistry students and their understanding of the sub-microscopic level. *Chemistry Education Research and Practice*, 8(3), 74-292.
- Demircioğlu, G., Demircioğlu, H., & Yadigaroglu, M. (2013). An investigation of chemistry student teachers' understanding of chemical equilibrium. *International Journal on New Trends in Education and Their Implications*, 4(2), 185-192.
- Demirdöğen, B. (2017). Examination of chemical representations in Turkish high school chemistry textbooks. *Journal of Baltic Science Education*, 16(4), 472-499.
- Depdiknas. (2008). *Panduan pengembangan bahan ajar*. Jakarta, Indonesia: Dirjen Dikdasmen.
- Dindar, A.C., Bektaş, O., & Çelik, A.Y. (2010). What are the pre-service chemistry teachers' explanations on chemistry topics? *The International Journal of Research in Teacher Education*, 1, 32-41.
- Erdemir, A., Geban, O., & Uzuntiryak, E. (2000). Freshman students' misconceptions in chemical equilibrium. *Hacettepe Üniversitesi Eğitim Fakültesi Dergisi*, 18, 79-84.
- Fry, E. (1968). A readability formula that saves time. *Journal of Reading*, 11(7) 513-516, 575-578.

- Gabel, D. (1999). Improving teaching and learning through chemistry education research: A look to the future. *Journal of Chemical Education*, 76(3), 549-554.
- Gilbert, J.K. & Treagust, D. (2009). Introduction: Macro, submicro and symbolic representations and the relationship between them: Key models in chemical education. Dalam J.K. Gilbert, D. Treagust (Penyunting), *Multiple Representations in Chemical Education* (hlm. 1-8). Dordrecht, Belanda: Springer.
- Gkitzia, V., Salta, K., & Tzougraki, C. (2011). Development and application of suitable criteria for the evaluation of chemical representations in school textbooks. *Chemistry Education Research and Practice*, 12(1), 5-14.
- Hackling, M.W. & Garnett, P.J. (1985). Misconceptions of chemical equilibrium. *European Journal of Science Education*, 7(2), 205-214.
- Huddle, P.A. & Pillay, A.E. (1996). An in-depth study of misconceptions in stoichiometry and chemical equilibrium at a South African University. *John Wiley & Sons, Inc*, 33(1), 65-77.
- Jaber, L.Z. & BouJaoude, S. (2012). A macro–micro–symbolic teaching to promote relational understanding of chemical reactions. *International Journal of Science Education*, 34(7), 973–998.
- Jatnika, A.W. (2007). Tingkat keterbacaan wacana sains dengan teknik klos. *Jurnal Sositoteknologi*, 10, 196-200.
- Jespersen, N.D., Brady, J.E., & Hyslop, A. (2012). *Chemistry: The molecular of matter*. Danvers, MA: John Wiley & Sons, Inc.
- Johnstone, A.H. (2000). Teaching of chemistry – logical or psychological? *Chemistry Education: Research and Practice in Europe*, 1(1), 9-15.
- Kamus Besar Bahasa Indonesia. (2017). *Prototype*. Diakses dari <http://kbbi.web.id/prototipe>.
- Karpudewan, M., Treagust, D.F., Mocerino, M., Won, M., & Chandrasegaran, A.L. (2015). Investigating high school students' understanding of chemical equilibrium concepts. *International Journal of Environmental & Science Education*, 10(6), 845-863.

- Kousathana, M. & Tsaparlis, G. (2002). Student's errors in solving numerical chemical-equilibrium problems. *Chemistry Education: Research and Practice In Europe*, (1), 5-17
- Lopera, R.G., Calatayud, M.L., & Hernandez, J. (2014). A brief review on the contributions to the knowledge of the difficulties and misconceptions in understanding the chemical equilibrium. *Asian Journal of Education and e-Learning*, 2(6), 448-463.
- McMurry, J.E. & Fay, R.C., (2003). *Chemistry* (4th ed.). Philadelphia, PA: Brooks/Cole Publishing Company.
- Milenković, D.D., Segedinac, M.D., & Hrin, T.N. (2014). Increasing high school students' chemistry performance and reducing cognitive load through an instructional strategy based on the interaction of multiple levels of knowledge representation. *Journal of Chemical Education*, 91(9), 1409-1416.
- Mocerino, M., Chandrasegaran, A.L., & Treagust, D.F. (2009). Emphasizing multiple levels of representation to enhance students' understandings of the changes occurring during chemical reactions. *Journal of Chemical Education*, 86(12), 1433-1436.
- Nyachwaya, J.M., & Wood, N.B. (2014). Evaluation of chemical representations in physical chemistry textbooks. *Chemistry Education Research and Practice*, 15, 720-728.
- Özmen, H. (2007). Determination of students' alternative conceptions about chemical equilibrium: A review of research and the case of Turkey. *Chemistry Education Research and Practice*, 9, 225-233.
- Oxford Dictionaries*. (2017). *Prototype*. Diakses dari: <http://en.oxforddictionaries.com/definition/prototype>.
- Pekmez, E.S. (2010). Using analogies to prevent misconceptions about chemical equilibrium. *Asia-Pacific Forum on Science Learning and Teaching*, 11(2), 1-35.
- Peraturan Menteri Pendidikan dan Kebudayaan Republik Indonesia Nomor 8 Tahun 2016 Tentang Buku Yang Digunakan oleh Satuan Pendidikan.

- Peraturan Menteri Pendidikan Dan Kebudayaan Republik Indonesia Nomor 24 Tahun 2016 Tentang Kompetensi Inti dan Kompetensi Dasar Pelajaran pada Kurikulum 2013.
- Petrucci, R.H., Herring, F.G., Madura, J.D., & Bissonette, C.B. (2010). *General chemistry: Principles and Modern Applications* (10th ed.). Toronto, Canada: Pearson.
- Piquette, J.S. & Heikkinen, H.W. (2005). Strategies reported used by instructors to address student alternate conceptions in chemical equilibrium. *Journal of Research in Science Teaching*, 42(10), 1112-1134.
- Prastowo, A. (2011). *Panduan kreatif membuat bahan ajar inovatif*. Yogyakarta, Indonesia: DIVA Press.
- Purtadi, S. & Sari, L.P. (2008). Analisis miskonsepsi konsep laju dan kesetimbangan kimia pada siswa SMA. Seminar Nasional MIPA (hlm. 1-13). Yogyakarta, Indonesia.
- Rosmaini. (2011). *Keterbacaan buku teks*. Medan, Indonesia: Fakultas Bahasa dan Seni Universitas Medan.
- Sabarua, J.O. (2017). Implementasi teknik uji rumpang pada pembelajaran Bahasa Indonesia di sekolah dasar. *Tlutih Sawo Jurnal Ilmiah Pendidikan dan Humaniora*, 1(3), 1-23.
- Sendur, G., Toprak, M., & Pekmez, E.S. (2010). How can secondary school students perceive chemical equilibrium? *New World Sciences Academy*, 6(2), 1512-1531.
- Shehab, S.S. & BouJaoude, S. (2016). Analysis of the chemical representations in secondary Lebanese chemistry textbooks. *Int. J. of Sci. and Math Educ*, 15(5), 797-816.
- Sikorova, Z. (2012). The role of textbooks in lower secondary schools in the Czech Republic. *IARTEM e-Journal*, 4(2), 1-22.
- Silberberg, M.S. (2007). *Principle of general chemistry*. New York, NY: McGraw-Hill.
- Sirhan, G. (2007). Learning difficulties in chemistry: An overview. *Journal of Turkish Science Education*, 4(2), 1-20.

- Sitepu, B.P. (2015). *Penulisan buku teks pelajaran*. Bandung, Indonesia: PT Remaja Rosdakarya.
- Solin, A. (2004). Intertextuality as mediation: On the analysis of intertextual relations in public discourse. *Text - Interdisciplinary Journal for the Study of Discourse*, 24(2), 267-296.
- Stojanovska, M., Petruševski, V. M., & Šoptrajanov, B. (2014). study of the use of the three levels of thinking and representation. *Contributions, Sec. Nat. Math. Biotech. Sci., MASA*, 35(1), 37–46.
- Sukmadinata, N.S. (2012). *Metode penelitian pendidikan*. Bandung, Indonesia: PT Remaja Rosdakarya.
- Suladi, Astuti, W.K., & Biskoyo, K. (2000). *Keterbacaan kalimat Bahasa Indonesia dalam buku pelajaran SLTP*. Jakarta, Indonesia: Pusat Bahasa Departemen Pendidikan Nasional.
- Taber, K.S. (2013). Revisiting the chemistry triplet: drawing upon the nature of chemical and the psychology of learning to inform chemistry education. *Chemistry Education Research and Practice*, 14, 156-168.
- Treagust, D.F., Chittleborough, G., & Mamiala, T. (2003). The role of submicroscopic and symbolic representations in chemical explanations. *International Journal of Science Education*, 25(11), 1353–1368.
- Tulip, D. & Cook, A. (1993). Teacher and student usage of science textbooks. *J. Res. Sci. Educ*, 23(1), 302–307.
- Uzezi, J.G., Ezekiel, D., & Auwal, A.K.M. (2017). Assessment of conceptual difficulties in chemistry syllabus of the Nigerian science curriculum as perceived by high school college students. *American Journal of Educational Research*, 5(7), 710-716.
- Van Driel, J.H. & Graber, W. (2002). Students' corpuscular conceptions in the context of chemical equilibrium and chemical kinetics. *Chemistry Education: Research And Practice In Europe*, 3(2), 201-213.
- Whitten, K.W., Davis, R.E., Peck, M.R., & Stanley, G.G. (2014). *General chemistry* (10th ed.). Belmont, CA: Brooks/Cole, Cengage Learning.

- Wu, H-K. (2003). Linking the microscopic view of chemistry to real-life experiences: Intertextuality in a high-school science classroom. *Science Education*, 87, 868-891
- Yager, R.E. (1983). The importance of terminology in teaching K-12 science. *J. Res. Sci. Teach*, 20(6), 577–588.
- Yildirim, N., Kurt, S., & Ayas, A. (2011). The effect of the worksheets on students' achievement in chemical equilibrium. *Journal of Turkish Science Education*, 8(3), 44-58.
- Yong, B.C.S. (2010). Can students read secondary science textbooks comfortably? *Brunei International Journal of Science and Mathematic Education*, 2(1), hlm. 59-67.
- Zumdahl, S.S. & DeCoste, D.J. (2010). *Introductory chemistry* (7th ed.). Belmont, CA: Brooks/Cole, Cengage Learning.