

REFERENCES

- Acar, O., & Patton, B. R. (2012). Argumentation and Formal Reasoning Skills in an Argumentation-Based Guided Inquiry Course. *Procedia - Social and Behavioral Sciences*, 46 (2), 4756–4760.
- Acher, A., Arca, M., & Sanmarti, N. (2007). Modeling as a Teaching Learning Process for Understanding Materials: A Case Study in Primary Education. *Wiley InterScience*, 91 (5), 398–418.
- Ainsworth, S., Prain, V., & Tytler, R. (2011). Drawing to Learn in Science. *Science*, 333 (6046), 1096–1097.
- Anderson, L.W. & Krathwohl, D.R (Eds). (2001). *A taxonomy for Learning, Teaching, and Assesing; A Revision of Bloom's Taxonomy of Education Objectives*. New York: Addison Wesley Lonman Inc.
- Asterhan, C. S. C., & Schwarz, B. B. (2009). Argumentation and explanation in conceptual change: Indications from protocol analyses of peer-to peer dialog. *Cognitive Science*, 33, 374–400.
- Bao, L., Cai, T., Koenig, K., Fang, K., Han, J., Wang, J., Liu, K., Ding, L., Cui, L., Luo, Y., Wang, Y., Li, L., & Wu, N. (2009). Learning and scientific reasoning. *Science*, 323, 586–587.
- Barman, C.R., Griffiths, A. K., & Okebukola, P.O.A. (1995). High school students' concepts regarding food chains and food webs: a multinational study. *International Journal of Science Education*, 20 (2), 775–782.
- Bathgate, Crowell, Schunn, Cannady, & D. (2015). No Title. *International Journal of Science and Mathematics Education*, 37 (5), 1590–1612.
- Bekiroglu, F., & Eskin, H. (2012). Examination of the relationship between engagement in scientific argumentation and conceptual knowledge. *International Journal of Science and Mathematics Education*, 10 (6), 1415–1443.
- Berland, L.K. & McNeil, K.L. (2010). A learning progression for Scientific Argumentation: Understanding Student Work and Designing Supportive Instructional Contexts. *Wiley Online Library*, 94 (4), 765-793.
- Bolen, L. & van Joolingen, W.R. (2013). SimSketch: Multi-Agent Simulations Based on Learner-Created Sketches for Early Science Education. *IEEE Transaction on Learning Technologies*. 9 (13), 1939-1948.
- Bricker, L. A., & Bell, P. (2008). Conceptualizations of argumentation from science studies and the learning sciences and their implications for the practices of science education. *Science Education*, 92 (3), 473–498.

- Burghes, D. N. & Borrie, M. S. (1979). Mathematical modeling: A new approach to teaching applied mathematics [Electronic version]. *Physics Education*, 14 (5), 82–86.
- Chang, N. (2012). The Role of Drawing in Young Children's Construction of Science Concepts. *Early Childhood Education Journal*, 40 (3), 187–193.
- Chen, C.T., & She, H.C. (2015). The Effectiveness of Scientific Inquiry With/Without Integration of Scientific Reasoning. *International Journal of Science & Mathematics Education*, 20 (5), 1-20.
- Chin, C., & Osborne, J. (2010). Students' questions and discursive interaction: Their impact on argumentation during collaborative group discussions in science. *Journal of Research in Science Teaching*, 47 (7), 883–908.
- Choi, A., Notebaert, A., Diaz, J., & Hand, B. (2010). Examining arguments generated by year 5, 7, and 10 students in science classrooms. *Research in Science Education*, 40 (2), 149-169.
- Coll, R. K., France, B., & Taylor, I. (2005). The role of models/and analogies in science education: Implications from research. *International Journal of Science Education*, 27(2), 183–198.
- Coxon, S. (2012). Innovative allies. *Gifted Child Today*, 35 (4), 277-284.
- Daniel, E. C., Ebhora, W. S., A, F. J., & Ugochukwu, O. (2013). Modeling and Simulation of a time series Forecasting Models and Analysis in a Manufacturing Industry 1, 4 (9), 516–529.
- Dawson, V., & Venville, G. J. (2009). High-school students' informal reasoning and argumentation about biotechnology: An indicator of scientific literacy? *International Journal of Science Education*, 31 (11), 1421–1445.
- Dolan, E., & Grady, J. (2010). Recognizing students' scientific reasoning: A tool for categorizing complexity of reasoning during teaching by inquiry. *Journal of Science Teacher Education*, 21 (1), 31–55.
- Donovan, L., Green, T., & Mason, C. (2014). Examining The 21st Century Classroom: Developing an Innovation Configuration Map. *Journal Educational Computing Research*, 50 (2), 161-178.
- Eemeren, F.H. & Houtlosser, P. (2003). The Development of The Pragmatic-dialectical Approach to Argumentation. *Argumentation*, 17 (5), 387-403.
- Ekanara, B. (2014). *Keterampilan Argumentasi Siswa Sekolah Menengah Atas (Studi tentang Keterampilan Pembentukan Klaim mengenai Isu Sosio-Saintifik Siswa Sekolah Menengah Atas pada Kelompok Budaya Sunda)*. Tesis UPI Bandung: tidak diterbitkan.

- Ekawati, M. (2014). *Efektivitas Penerapan Pendekatan Saintifik Berbantuan Bahan Ajar Berbasis Lingkungan Pesisir Terhadap Hasil Belajar Dan Keterampilan Proses Sains Siswa SMA Pada Konsep Ekosistem*. Tesis UPI Bandung: tidak diterbitkan.
- Erduran, S., Ardac, D., & Guzel, B. Y. (2006). Learning to teach argumentation: Case studies of pre-service secondary science teachers. *Eurasia Journal of Mathematics, Science and Technology Education*, 2 (2), 1–14.
- Erduran, S., Simon, S., & Osborne, J. (2004). TAPing into argumentation: Developments in the application of Toulmin's Argumentation Pattern for studying science discourse. *Science Education*, 88 (6), 915–933.
- Fiel'ardh, K. (2013). *Dynamics of students' self regulated learning within Ecological Multi-User Virtual Environment*. Skripsi UPI Bandung: tidak diterbitkan.
- Foong, C. C., & Daniel, E. G. S. (2010). Assessing student's arguments made in socio-scientific contexts: The considerations of structural complexity and the depth of content knowledge. *Procedia - Social and Behavioral Sciences*, 9 (2), 1120–1127.
- Ford, M. J. (2012). A Dialogic Account of Sense-Making in Scientific Argumentation and Reasoning. *Cognition and Instruction*, 30 (3), 207–245.
- Fraenkel, J.R., Wallen, N.E., & Hyun, H. H. (2012). *How to Design and Evaluate Research in Education* (Eighth Edi). New York: The McGraw-Hill Companies, Inc.
- Frey, B. B., Ellis, J. D., Bulgren, J. A., Hare, J. C., & Ault, M. (2015). *Electronic Journal of Science Education*, 19 (4), 1-18.
- Furtak, E. M., Hardy, I., Beinbrech, C., Shavelson, R. J., & Shemwell, J. T. (2010). A framework for analyzing evidence-based reasoning in science classroom discourse. *Educational Assessment*, 15 (3), 175–196.
- Garcia-Mila, M., Gilabert, S., Erduran, S., & Felton, M. (2013). The effect of argumentative task goal on the quality of argumentative discourse. *Science Education*, 97 (4), 497–523.
- Gilbert, J. K. (2004). Models and Modelling: Routes To More Authentic Science Education. *International Journal of Science and Mathematics Education*, 2 (2), 115–130.
- Greca, I. M. & Moreire, M. A. (2000). Mental models, conceptual models, and modeling. [Electronic version]. *International Journal of Science Education*, 1 (3), 1–11.

- Greca, I. M., & Moreira, M. A. (2002). Mental, physical, and mathematical models in the teaching and learning of physics. *Science Education*, 86 (1), 106–121.
- Grotzer, T.A., Kamarainen, A.M., Tutwiler, M.S., Metcalf, S., & Dede, C. (2011). Ecosystem Science Learning via Multi-User Virtual Environments. *International Journal of Gaming and Computer-Mediated Simulations*, 3 (1), 86-90.
- Grotzer, T.A., Kamarainen, A.M., Tutwiler, M.S., Metcalf, S., & Dede, C. (2012). Learning to Reason about Ecosystems Dynamics over Time: The Challenges of an Event-Based Causal Focus. *BioScience*, 63 (4), 289-296.
- Halloun, I. A. (2007). Mediated modeling in science education. *Science and Education*, 16 (2), 1-33.
- Harrison, A. G., & Treagust, D. F. (1998). Modelling in Science Lessons: Are There Better Ways to Learn With Models? *School Science and Mathematics*, 98 (8), 420–429.
- Heijnes, D. (2015). *Stimulating scientific reasoning with drawing-based modeling*. Thesis Utrecht University: not publication.
- Heitmann, P., Hecht, M., Schwanewedel, J., & Schipolowski, S. (2014). Students' Argumentative Writing Skills in Science and First-Language Education: Commonalities and differences. *International Journal of Science Education*, 36 (18), 3148–3170.
- Heng, L. L., Surif, J., & Seng, C. H. (2014). Individual versus group argumentation: Student's performance in a Malaysian context. *International Education Studies*, 7 (7), 109–124.
- Heng, L. L., Surif, J., & Seng, C. H. (2015). Malaysian Students' Scientific Argumentation: Do groups perform better than individuals? *International Journal of Science Education*, 37 (3), 505–528.
- Herawati, D. (2015). *Perkembangan Kemampuan Penalaran Ilmiah Siswa pada Sekolah Multinasional dengan Sekolah Lingkungan*. Tesis UPI Bandung: tidak diterbitkan.
- Hmelo-Silver, C. (2007). Scaffolding and achievement in problem-based and inquiry learning: A response to Kirschner, Sweller, and Clark (2006). *Educational Psychologist*, 42 (2), 99–107.
- Hofstein, A. & Lunetta, V.N. (2004). The Laboratory in Science Education: Foundations for the Twenty-First Century. *Wiley InterScience*, 88 (2), 28-54.

- Holland, D. (1988). A software laboratory. Dynamical modeling and the cellular modeling system. *SSR*, 5 (2), 407-416.
- Hogan, Kathleen., Nastasi, Bonie. K., & Pressley, M. (2000). Discourse Patterns and Collaborative Scientific Reasoning in Peer and Teacher-Guided Discussions. *Cognition and Instruction*, 17 (4), 379–432.
- Holland, D. (1988). A software laboratory. Dynamical modeling and the celluarmodeling system. *SSR*, 3 (5), 407–416.
- Hornikx & Hahn. (2012). Reasoning and Argumentation: Towards an Integrated psychology of argumentation. *Thinking and Reasoning*, 18 (2), 225–243.
- Inch, E. S., Warnick, B., & Endres, D. (2006). *Critical Thinking and Communication: The Use of Reason in Argument*. USA: Pearson Education, Inc.
- Johnstone & Mahmoud. (1980). Isolating topics of high perceived difficulty in school biology. *Journal of Biological Education*, 14 (2), 163–166.
- Junaedi, D. A. (2015). *Profil Pengetahuan Awal Siswa Penguasaan Konsep dan Miskonsepsi dalam Pembelajaran Ekosistem dengan Menggunakan Peta Konsep*. Tesis UPI Bandung: tidak diterbitkan.
- Kemdikbud. (2015). *Penyusunan Soal High Order Thinking Skill Sekolah Menengah Atas*. Jakarta: Kementerian Pendidikan dan Kebudayaan.
- Kemdikbud. (2017). *Model Silabus Mata pelajaran Biologi Sekolah Menengah Atas/Madrasah Aliyah*. Jakarta: Kementerian Pendidikan dan Kebudayaan.
- Kivunja, C. (2014). Innovative Pedagogies in Higher Education to Become Effective Teachers of 21st Century Skills: Unpacking the Learning and Innovations Skills Domain of the New Learning Paradigm. *International Journal of Higher Education*, 3 (4), 37–48.
- Kivunja, C. (2015). Exploring the Pedagogical Meaning and Implications of the 4Cs “Super Skills” for the 21st Century through Bruner’s 5E Lenses of Knowledge Construction to Improve Pedagogies of the New Learning Paradigm. *Creative Education*, 6 (2), 224-239.
- Kuhn, D. (2010). Teaching and learning science as argument. *Science Education*, 94 (5), 810–824.
- Lancor, R. (2015). An Analysis of Metaphors Used by Students to Describe Energy in an Interdisciplinary General Science Course. *International Journal of Science Education*, 37 (5–6), 876–902.

- Lawson, A. E. (2010). Basic inferences of scientific reasoning, argumentation, and discovery. *Science Education*, 94 (2), 336–364.
- Layyinah, S.Q. (2017). *The profile of students' concept mastery and scientific argumentation skill in learning global warming at sekolah Indonesia Kuala Lumpur*. Skripsi UPI Bandung: tidak diterbitkan.
- Levin, B. (1995). Using the case method in teacher education: The role of discussion and experience in teacher's thinking about cases. *Teaching and Teacher Education*, 11 (2), 63–79.
- Louca, L. T., & Zacharia, Z. C. (2012). Modeling-based learning in science education: Cognitive, metacognitive, social, material and epistemological contributions. *Educational Review*, 64 (4), 471–492.
- Louca, L. T., Zacharia, Z. C., Michael, M., & Constantinou, C. P. (2011). Objects, Entities, Behaviors, and Interactions: A Typology of Student-Constructed Computer-Based Models of Physical Phenomena. *Journal of Educational Computing Research*, 44 (2), 173–201.
- Mason, L. (1998). Sharing cognition to construct scientific knowledge in school context: The role of oral and written discourse. *Instructional Science*, 26 (2), 359–389.
- Mason, L. (2001). Introducing talk and writing for conceptual change: A classroom study. *Learning and Instruction*, 11 (2001), 305–329.
- Maswandi, F. (2016). *Analisis Kemampuan Metakognisi Siswa Berasrama Serta Hubungannya Dengan Sikap Ilmiah Pada Konsep Ekosistem*. Tesis UPI Bandung: tidak diterbitkan.
- McDonald, C. V. (2014). Preservice primary teachers' written arguments in a socioscientific argumentation task. *Electronic Journal of Science Education*, 18 (7), 1-20.
- McNeill, K. L. & Martin, D. M. (2011, April/May). Claims, evidence, and reasoning: Demystifying data during a unit on simple machines. *Science and Children*, 48 (8), 52–56.
- Mercier, H., & Heintz, C. (2014). Scientists' Argumentative Reasoning. *Topoi*, 33 (2), 513–524.
- Minarti, I.B. & Hayat, M.S. (2015). Arguments Representation of Students to The Sosioscientific Issue about Vitamin D Resources for Human. *International Conference on Mathematics, Science, and Education*. 2 (1), 85-90.
- Musidah, U.S. (2010). *Identifikasi Miskonsepsi Siswa pada Konsep Ekosistem dengan Menggunakan Peta Konsep*. Skripsi UPI Bandung: tidak diterbitkan.

- Nurzatulshima, K., Lilia, H., Kamisah, O., & Subahan, M. M. (2009). Management of students' involvement in science practical work. *Journal of Malaysian Education*, 34 (1), 205–217.
- Osborne, J. (2010). Arguing to Learn in Science: The Role of Collaborative, Critical Discourse. *Science*, 328 (5977), 463–466.
- Osborne, J., Erduran, S., Simon, S., & Monk, M. (2001). Enhancing the quality of argument in school science. *School Science*, 82 (301), 63–70.
- Ozkan, O., Tekayya, C., & Geban, O. (2004). Facilitating Conceptual Change in Students' Understanding of Ecological Concepts. *Journal of Science Education and Technology*, 13 (1), 95-105.
- P21. (2015). Framework for 21st Century Learning. Retrieved from <http://www.p21.org/our-work/p21-framework> on 15 Mei 2017.
- Paluvy, R.T. (2017). *Hubungan penguasaan konsep dengan kemampuan siswa dalam menyelesaikan soal diagram proses pada materi ekosistem*. Skripsi UPI Bandung: tidak diterbitkan.
- Pickett, S. T. A., & Cadenasso, M. L. (2002). The ecosystem as a multidimensional concept: Meaning, model, and metaphor. *Ecosystems*, 5 (1), 1–10.
- Piraksa, C., Srisawasdi, N., & Koul, R. (2014). Effect of Gender on Student's Scientific Reasoning Ability: A Case Study in Thailand. *Procedia - Social and Behavioral Sciences*, 116, 486–491.
- Polis, G.A., & Strong, D.R.(1996). Food Web Complexity and Community Dynamics. *The American Naturalist*, 147 (5), 813-846.
- Power, M.E. (1992). Top-Down and Bottom-Up Forces in Food Webs: Do Plants Have Primacy?. *Ecology*, 73 (3), 733-746.
- Pritasari, C., Dwiastuti, S., & Probosari, R. M. (2015). The Argumentation Capacity Improvement Through The Problem Based Learning Implementation in Class X MIA 1 SMA Batik 2 Surakarta. *Jurnal Pendidikan IPA Indonesia*, 4 (2), 158–163.
- Reece, J.B., Urry, L.A., Cain, M.L., Wasserman, S.A., Minorsky, P.V., & Jackson, R. B. (2014). *Campbell Biology, Tenth Edition*. USA: Pearson Education, Inc.
- Roshayanti, F. (2012). *Pengembangan Model Asesmen Argumentatif Untuk Mengukur Keterampilan Argumentasi Mahasiswa pada Konsep Fisiologi Manusia*. Disertasi UPI Bandung: tidak diterbitkan.

- Rutten, N. van Joolingen, W.R. van der Veen, J. T. (2012). The learning effects of computer simulations in science education. *Computers & Education*, 58 (1), 136–153.
- Ryu, S., & Sandoval, W. A. (2010). Listen to each other : How the building of norms in an elementary science classroom fosters participation and argumentation. *Proceedings of the 2010 International Conference of the Learning Sciences*, 1 (1998), 1103–1110.
- Sagala, S. (2010). *Konsep dan Makna Pembelajaran*. Bandung: Alfabeta.
- Sampson, V., & Clark, D. B. (2009). The impact of collaboration on the outcomes of scientific argumentation. *Science Education*, 93 (3), 448–484.
- Sandoval, W. A., & Millwood, K. A. (2005). The Quality of Students' Use of Evidence in Written Scientific Explanations. *Cognition and Instruction*, 23 (1), 23–55.
- Santrock, J. W. (2011). *Educational Psychology 5th ed.* New York: The McGraw-Hill Companies, Inc.
- Scherer, D., Dubois, P., & Sherwood, B. (2000). VPython: 3D interactive scientific graphics for students. *Computing in Science and Engineering*, 2 (4), 82–88.
- Schouten, J. H. (2016). *Drawing-Based Modeling in Science Education to Support Scientific Thinking*. Thesis Utrecht University: not publication.
- Schwarz, C. V., & White, B. Y. (2005). Meta-Modeling Knowledge: Developing Students' Understanding of Scientific Modeling. *Cognition and Instruction*, 23 (2), 165–205.
- Schwarz, C. V., Brian, J.R., Davis, E.A., Kenyon, L., Acher, A., Fortus, D., Shwartz, Y., Hug, B., & Krajcik, J. (2009). Developing a Learning Progression for Scientific Modeling: Making Scientific Modeling Accessible and Meaningful for Learners. *Journal of Research in Science Teaching*, 46 (6), 632–654.
- Simon, S., Erduran, S., & Osborne, J. (2006). Learning to teach argumentation: Research and development in the science classroom. *International Journal of Science Education*, 28 (2-3), 235–260.
- Siswanto. (2014). *Penerapan Model Pembelajaran Pembangkit Argumen (ADI) menggunakan Metode Saintifik Untuk Meningkatkan Kemampuan Kognitif dan Keterampilan Berargumentasi Siswa SMA*. Tesis UPI Bandung: tidak diterbitkan.

- Solihat, R., Rustaman, N.Y., Widodo, A., & Saefudin. (2013). Konteks Penelitian Pendidikan Biologi Mahasiswa Calon Guru pada Pembelajaran Konsep Ekologi dan Lingkungan. Disajikan pada *Seminar Nasional Pendidikan dan Penelitian Biologi 2013*, 28 Juni 2013, UPI, Bandung.
- Sprod, T. (1998). "I Can Change Your Opinion on that": Social Constructivist Whole Class Discussions and Their Effect on Scientific Reasoning. *Research in Science Education*, 28 (4), 463-480.
- Sudjana. (2005). *Metode Statistika*. Bandung: Tarsito.
- Sugiyono. (2008). *Metode Penelitian Pendidikan: Pendidikan Kuantitatif, Kualitatif, dan R&D*. Bandung: PT Alfabeta.
- Sutopo & Waldrip, B. (2014). Impact of a representational approach on students' reasoning and conceptual understanding in learning mechanics. *International Journal of science and Mathematics Education*, 24 (12), 741-765.
- Tobin, K. G. (1990). Research on science laboratory activities. In pursuit of better questions and answers to improve learning. *School Science and Mathematics*, 90 (2), 403-418.
- Treagust, D. F., Chittleborough, G., & Mamiala, T. L. (2002). Students' understanding of the role of scientific models in learning science. *International Journal of Science Education*, 24 (4), 357-368.
- van Joolingen, W. R., Aukes, A. V. A., Gijlers, H., & Bollen, L. (2015). Understanding Elementary Astronomy by Making Drawing-Based Models. *Journal of Science Education and Technology*, 24 (2-3), 256-264.
- Verheij, B. (2005). Evaluating Arguments Based on Toulmin's Scheme. *Argumentation*, 19 (1), 347-371.
- Waldrip, B., Prain, V., & Sellings, P. (2013). Explaining Newton's laws of motion: using student reasoning through representation to develop conceptual understanding. *Instructional Science*, 41 (2), 165-189.
- Walsh, J., A, Sattes, B, D. (2011). *Thinking Through Quality Questioning Deepening Student Engagement*. New York: Library of Congress Cataloging in Publication Data.
- White, R.T. (1994). Dimension of content, in P.J. Fensham, R.F. Gunstone, & R. T. White (Eds) *The content of science a constructivist approach to its teaching and learning* (255-262). London: The Palmer Press.
- Widodo, A., Waldrip, B., & Herawati, D. (2016). Students Argumentation in Science Lessons : A Story of Two Research Projects. *Jurnal Pendidikan IPA Indonesia*. 5 (2), 199-208.

- Zimmerman, C. (2005). The Development of Scientific Reasoning Skills: What Psychologists Contribute to an Understanding of Elementary Science Learning. Retrieved September 8, 2017, from http://informal.science.org/image/research/Corine_Zimmerman_Final_Paper.pdf.
- Zimmerman, C. (2007). The development of scientific thinking skills in elementary and middle school. *Developmental Review*, 27 (2), 172–223.
- Zohar, A., & Nemet, F. (2002). Fostering students' knowledge and argumentation skills through dilemmas in human genetics. *Journal of Research in Science Teaching*, 39 (1), 35–62.