

DAFTAR PUSTAKA

- Alao, S. & Guthrie, J.T. (1999). Predicting Conceptual Understanding with Cognitive and Motivational Variables. *Journal of Educational Research*, 92, hlm. 243–254.
- Amin, T.S. (2009). Conceptual Metaphor Meets Conceptual Change. *Human Development*, 52, hlm. 165-197.
- Andersson, B. (1986). Pupils' Explanations of Some Aspects of Chemical Reactions. *Science Education*, 70, hlm. 549–563.
- Anderson, L.W. & Kratwohl, D.R. (2014). *Kerangka Landasan Untuk Pembelajaran, Pengajaran, dan Asesmen: Revisi Taksonomi Pendidikan Bloom*. Yogyakarta: Pustaka Pelajar.
- Ainsworth, S.E., Bibby, P.A., & Wood, D.J. (1997). Information Technology and Multiple Representations: New Opportunities - New Problems. *Journal of Information for Teacher Education*, 6, hlm. 93-104.
- Ainsworth, S. (1999). The Functions of Multiple Representations. *Computers and Education*, 33, hlm. 131-152.
- Ardac, D. & Akaygun, S. (2004). Effectiveness of Multimedia-Based Instruction that Emphasizes Molecular Representations on Students' Understanding of Chemical Change. *Journal of Research in Science Teaching*, 41, hlm. 317-338.
- Ausubel, D.P. (1968). *Educational Psychology: A Cognitive View*. New York: Holt, Rinehart and Winston, Inc.
- Adadan E. (2014). Investigating The Influence of Pre-Service Chemistry Teachers' Understanding of The Particle Nature of Matter on their Conceptual Understanding of Solution Chemistry. *Chemistry Education Research and Practice*, 15(2), hlm. 219–238.
- Armstrong, T. (2008). *Multiple Intelligences in the Classroom*. Alexandria: ASCD.
- Asterhan, C.S.C. & Schwarz, B. (2009). Argumentation and Explanation in Conceptual Change: Indications From Protocol Analyses of Peer-To-Peer Dialog. *Cognitive Science*, 33(3), hlm. 374-400.

- Aydeniz, M., Pabuccu, A., Cetin, P.S., & Kaya, E. (2012). Argumentation and Students' Conceptual Understanding of Properties and Behaviors of Gases. *International Journal of Science and Mathematics Education*, 10, hlm. 1303-1324.
- Aydeniz, M. & Dogan, A. (2016). Exploring The Impact of Argumentation on Pre-service Science Teachers' Conceptual Understanding of Chemical Equilibrium. *Chemistry Education Research Practice*, 17, hlm. 111-119.
- Azis, M., Yuanita, L., & Rahayu, Y.S. (2014). Pengembangan Perangkat Pembelajaran Inkuiiri Berbantuan Program Simulasi Phet untuk Melatihkan Keterampilan Proses dan Pemahaman Konsep IPA. *Jurnal Penelitian Pendidikan Sains*, 2(3), hlm. 218-227.
- Barke, B.H., Al Hazari, & YitBarek, S. (2009). *Misconceptions in Chemistry: Addressing Perceptions in Chemical Education*. Berlin: Springer.
- Barke, B.H. & Engida, T. (2001). Structural Chemistry and Spasial Ability in Different Cultures. *Chemical Education: Research and Practice in Europe.*, 2(3), hlm. 227-239.
- Barnea, N. & Dori, Y.J. 1999. Computerized Molecular Modeling The New Technology for Enhancing Model Perception among Chemistry Educators and Learners. *Chemistry Education Research and Practice in Europe*, 1(1), hlm. 109-120.
- Ben-Zvi, R., Eylon, B.S., & Silberstein, J. (1986). Is an Atom of Copper Malleable?. *Journal of Chemical Education*, 63(1), hlm. 64-66.
- Bodner, G.M. (1992). Refocusing The General Chemistry Curriculum. *Journal of Chemical Education*, 69, hlm. 186–190.
- Bodner, G.M. & Guay, R.B. (1997). The Purdue Visualization of Rotations Test. *Chemistry Education*, 2(4), hlm. 12-37.
- Borg. W. & Gall, M. (1983). *Educational Research and Introduction*: Third edition. USA: Pearson Education.
- Borg. W. & Gall, M. (2003). *Educational Research an Introduction*: Seventh Edition.USA: Pearson Education.

- Bransford, J. D., Brown, A. L., & Cocking, R. R. (2000). *How People Learn: Brain, Mind, Experience, and School*. Washington, DC: National Research Council.
- Carlisle, D., Tyson, J., & Nieswandt, M. (2015). Fostering Spatial Skill Acquisition by General Chemistry Students. *Chemistry Education Research Practice*, 16, hlm. 478-519.
- Carroll J. (1993). *Human Cognitive Abilities: A Survey of Factor Analytic Studies*, Cambridge England: Cambridge University Press.
- Chandrasegaran, A.L., Treagust, D.F., & Mocerino, M. (2008). An Evaluation of A Teaching Intervention to Promote Students' Ability to Use Multiple Levels of Representation when Describing and Explaining Chemical Reactions. *Research in Science Education*, 38, hlm. 237–248.
- Chang, R. & Jason, O. (2011). *General Chemistry The Essential Concepts, Sixth Edition*. New York: McGraw-Hill.
- Chiu, M.H. (2007). A National Survey of Students' Conceptions in Chemistry in Taiwan. *International Journal of Science Education*, 29(4), hlm. 421-452.
- Chittleborough G., & Treagust D. F., (2007), The Modelling Ability of Non-Major Chemistry Students and Their Understanding of The Sub-Microscopic Level. *Chemistry Education Research Practice*, 8(3), hlm. 274-292.
- Coll, R.K. (2008). Chemistry Learners' Preferred Mental Models For Chemical Bonding. *Journal of Turkish Science Education*, 5(1), hlm. 22-47.
- Copolo, C.F. & Hounshell, P.B. (1995). Using Three-Dimensional Models to Teach Molecular Structures in High School Chemistry. *Journal of Science Education and Technology*, 4(4), hlm. 295-305.
- Departemen Pendidikan Nasional. (2003). *Standar Kompetensi Mata Pelajaran Kimia SMA dan MA*. Jakarta : Depdiknas.
- Devetak, I. (2004). Submicroscopic Representations as a Tool For Evaluating Students' Chemical Conception. *Acta Chim Slov*, 51(4), hlm. 799-814.
- Diego F.P., Romarly F.C., Marco A.P.L., & Marcio H.F.B. (2014). Elastic scattering of low-energy electrons by BF_3 . *The European Physical Journal D*, 68, hlm. 20-29.

- diSessa, A.A. (1988). Knowledge in Pieces. Dalam G. Forman & P.B. Pufall (Eds.), *Constructivism in the Computer Age* (hlm. 49–70). Hillsdale, NJ: Lawrence Erlbaum Associates.
- Donaghy, K. & Saxton K., (2012), Connecting Geometry and Chemistry: A Three-Step Approach to Three-Dimensional Thinking. *Journal Chemistry Education*, 89, hlm. 917–920.
- Effendy. (2006). *A-Level Chemistry For Senior High School Students Based on 2007 Cambridge Curriculum Volume 1A*. Malang: Bayumedia Publishing.
- Ferguson C., Ball A., McDaniel W., & Anderson R. (2008), A Comparison of Instructional Methods For Improving the Spatial Visualization Ability of Freshman Technology Seminar Students. *IAJC-IJME International Conference*, ISBN 978-160643-379-9.
- Furio, C. (1996). Difficulties with The Geometry and Polarity of Molecules. *Journal of Chemical Education*, 73(1), hlm. 36-41.
- Gabel, D.L., Samuel, K.V., & Hunn, D. (1987). Understanding The Particulate Nature of Matter. *Journal of Chemical Education*, 64(8), hlm. 695–697.
- Gabel, D.L. (1993). Use of The Particle Nature of Matter in Developing Conceptual Understanding. *Journal of Chemical Education*, 70(3), hlm. 193–194.
- Gabel, D.L. (1999). Improving Teaching and Learning Through Chemistry Education Research: A Look to The Future. *Journal of Chemical Education*, 76(4), hlm. 193–194.
- Gallagher, J. (2007). *Teaching Science For Understanding: A Practical Guide For Middle and High School Teachers*. New Jersey: Prentice Hall.
- Gallagher, J.J. (2000). Teaching For Understanding and Application of Science Knowledge. *School Science and Mathematics*, 100(6), hlm. 310-318.
- Gardner, H. (1983). *Frames of Mind, The Theory of Multiple Intelligence*. New York: Basic Books
- Gilbert, J.K. (2005). *Visualization in science education*. Dordrecht: Springer.
- Gilbert, J.K., Reiner, M., & Nakhleh, M. (2008). *Visualization Theory and practice in science education*. Dordrecht: Springer.

Gilbert, J.K. & Treagust, D.F. (2009). *Multiple representation in chemical education*. Dordrecht: Springer.

Gilbert, J.K. & Treagust, D.F. (2009) Towards a Coherent Model for Macro, Submicro and Symbolic Representations in Chemical Education. Dalam J.K. Gilbert & D.F. Treagust (Eds.), *Multiple Representations in Chemical Education: Model and Modeling in Science Education* (hlm. 333-350). Dordrecht: Springer.

Greenwood, N. N. (1963). *Chemistry Of The Noble Gases*. UK: University of Newcastle Upon Tyne

Griffiths, A.K. & Preston, K.R., (1992). Grade-12 Students' Misconceptions Relating to Fundamental Characteristics of Atoms and Molecules. *Journal of Research In Science Teaching*, 29(6), hlm. 611-628.

Griffith, J.E., Richard, P., Carter, Jr., & Holmes, R.R. (1964). Molecular Structures of PCl_4F , PCl_3F_2 , PCl_2F_3 , and PF_5 : Infrared and Low Temperature Raman Vibrational Spectra. *The Journal of Chemical Physics*, 41, hlm. 863-876.

Gutow, J.H. (2010). Easy Jmol Web Pages Using The Jmol Export to Web Function: a Tool for Creating Interactive Web-Based Instructional Resources and Student Projects with Live 3-D Images of Molecules Without Writing Computer Code. *Journal of Chemical Education*, 87(6), hlm. 652-653.

Guzel, B.Y. & Adadan, E. (2013). Use of Multiple Representations in Developing Preservice Chemistry Teachers' Understanding of the Structure of Matter. *International Journal of Environmental & Science Education*, 8(1), hlm. 109-130.

Hakala, M., Nygard, K., Manninen, S., Huotari, S., Buslaps, T., Nilsson, A., Pettersson, L.G.M., & Hamalainen, K. (2006). Correlation of Hydrogen Bond Lengths and Angles In Liquid Water Based On Compton Scattering. *The Journal of Chemical Physics*, 125, hlm. 1-8.

Hake, R. (1998). Interactive-Engagement vs Traditional Methods: A Six-Thousand Student Survey of Mechanics Test Data for Introductory Physics Courses. *American Journal of Physics*.

Harle, M. & Towns M., (2011), A Review of Spatial Ability Literature, It's Connection to Chemistry, and Implications for Instruction, *Journal Chemistry Education*, 88(3), hlm. 351–360.

Hilton, A. & Nichols, K., (2010) Representational Classroom Practices that Contribute to Students' Conceptual and Representational Understanding Of Chemical Bonding. *International Journal of Science Education*, 33(16), hlm. 2215-2246.

Hochberg, J. (1978). *Perception*. Englewood Cliffs, N.J.: Prentice-Hall

Holme, T.A., Luxford, C.J., & Brandriet, A. (2015). Defining conceptual Understanding in General Chemistry. *Journal of Chemical Education*, 92(9), hlm. 1477–1483.

Hughes, M.J., Brock, D.S., Mercier, H.P.A., & Schrobilgen, G.J. (2011). A Raman Spectroscopic Study of The XeOF₄/XeF₂ System and The X-Ray Crystal Structure of α -XeOF₄/XeF₂. *Elsevier*, 132, hlm. 660-668.

Jaber, L.D. & BouJaoude, S. (2012). A Macro-Micro-Symbolic Teaching to Promote Relational Understanding of Chemical Reactions. *International Journal of Science Education*, 34(7), 973-998.

Jespersen, N.D, Brady, J.E & Hyslop, A. (2012). Chemistry The Molecular Nature of Matter. Sixth Edition. USA: John Wiley & Sons, Inc.

Johnstone, A.H. (1982). Macro- and Micro-Chemistry. *School Science Review*, 64, 377–379.

Johnstone, A.H. (1991). Why is Science Difficult to Learn? Things are Seldom What They Seem. *Journal of Computer Assisted Learning*, 7, hlm. 75–83.

Johnstone, A.H. (1993). The Development of Chemistry Teaching: A Changing Response to A Changing Demand. *Journal of Chemical Education*, 70(9), hlm. 701–705.

Johnstone, A.H. (2000). Teaching of Chemistry: Logical or Psychological? *Chemical Education: Research and Practice in Europe*, 1(1), hlm. 9–15.

- Kaya, E. (2013). Argumentation Practices in Classroom: Pre-service Teachers' Conceptual Understanding of Chemical Equilibrium. *International Journal of Science Education*, 35(7), hlm. 1139-1158.
- Keig, P.F., & Rubba, P.A. (1993). Translation of Representations of The Structure of Matter and Its Relationship to Reasoning, Gender, Spatial Reasoning, and Specific Prior Knowledge. *Journal of Research in Science Teaching*, 30(8), hlm. 883-903.
- Kosslyn, S.M. (1978). Measuring The Visual Angle of The Mind's Eye. *Cognitive Psychology*, 10, hlm. 356–389.
- Kosugi, N., Bodeur, S., & Hitchcock, A.P. (1990). Bond-Angle and Bond-Length Dependence of Bound-State Resonances In Inner-Shell Excitation Spectra of SF₄. *Journal of Electron Spectroscopy and Related Phenomena*, 51, hlm. 103-114.
- Kozma, R.B., Chin, E., Russell, J., & Marx, N. (2000). The Roles of Representations and Tools in The Chemistry Laboratory and Their Implications for Chemistry Instruction. *Journal of the Learning Sciences*, 9(2), hlm. 105–143.
- Kozma, R. (2003). The Material Features of Multiple Representations and Their Cognitive and Social Affordances for Science Understanding. *Learning and Instruction*, 13, hlm. 205-226.
- Kozma, R. & Russell, J. (2005). Students Becoming Chemists: Developing Representational Competence. Dalam J. Gilbert (Eds.), *Visualization in science education* (hlm. 121-145), 7, Dordrecht: Springer.
- Kunandar. (2015). *Penilaian Autentik (penilaian hasil belajar peserta didik berdasarkan kurikulum 2013)*. Jakarta: Rajawali Pers.
- Kurnaz, M.A. & Emen, A.Y. (2014). Student Mental Models Related to Expansion and Contraction. *Acta Didactica Napocensia*, 7(1), hlm. 59-67.
- Linn, M.C. & Petersen A.C. (1985). Emergence and Characterization of Sex Differences in Spatial Ability: A Meta-Analysis. *The Society for Research in Child Development, Inc*, 56, 1479-1498.
- Mcmahon, B., & Hanson, R. (2008). A Toolkit for Publishing Enhanced Figures. *Journal of Applied Crystallography*. 41, hlm. 811-814.

- Madden, S.P., Jones, L.L., & Rahm, J. (2011). The Role of Multiple Representations in The Understanding of Ideal Gas Problems. *Chemistry Education Research and Practice*, 12(2), hlm. 283-293.
- Mahaffy, P. (2004). The Future Shape of Chemistry Education. *Chemical Education: Research and Practice in Europe*. 5(3), hlm. 229-245.
- Mahaffy, P. (2006). Moving Chemistry Education Into 3D: A Tetrahedral Metaphor for Understanding Chemistry. *Chemical Education: Research and Practice in Europe*. 5(3), hlm. 229-245.
- Mayer, E. (1994) Visual Aids To Knowledge Construction: Building Mental Representations From Pictures And Words. Dalam W. Schnotz & W. Kulhavy (Eds.), *Comprehension of Graphics*. Amsterdam: Elsevier Science B. V.
- Mayer, R.E. (2003). The Promise of Multimedia Learning: Using The Same Instructional Design Methods Across Different Media. *Learning and Instruction*, 13(2), hlm. 125-140
- Mayer, R.E. (2009). *Multimedia learning* (2ndedt.). New York: Cambridge University Press.
- Merchant, Z., Goetz, E.T., Kennicutt-Keeney, W., Kwok, O., Cifuentes, L., & Davis, T.J. (2012). The Learner Characteristics, Features of Desktop 3D Virtual Reality Environments and College Chemistry Instruction: A structural equation modeling analysis. *Computer and Education*, 59, hlm. 551-568.
- Mustofa., Pikoli, M., & Suleman, N. (2013). Hubungan Antara Kemampuan Berpikir Formal dan Kecerdasan Visual-Spasial dengan Kemampuan Menggambarkan Bentuk Molekul Siswa Kelas XI MAN Model Gorontolo tahun ajaran 2010/2011. *Jurnal Entropi*, 3(1), hlm. 551-561.
- Nakhleh, M.B., & Krajcik, J.S. (1994). Influence of Levels of Information as Presented by Different Technologies on Students' Understanding of Acid, Base, and pH Concepts. *Journal of Research in Science Teaching*, 31(10), hlm. 1077–1096.
- National Research Council. (2006). *Learning to think spatially*. Washington, DC: National Academies Press.

- Nieswandt, M. (2007). Student Affect and Conceptual Understanding in Learning Chemistry. *Journal of Research in Science Teaching*, 44(7), hlm. 908–937
- Oxtoby, D.W., Gillis, H. P., & Nachtrieb, N. H., (2001), *Kimia Modern Edisi Keempat Jilid 1*. Jakarta: Erlangga.
- Ozmen, H. (2004). Some Student Misconceptions in Chemistry: A Literature Review of Chemical Bonding. *Journal of Science Education and Technology*, 13(2), hlm. 147-159.
- Ojwang, J.G.O., McWilliams, R.S., Xuezhi, K., & Alexander F.G. (2012). Melting And Dissociation Of Ammonia At High Pressure And High Temperature. *The Journal of Chemical Physics*, 137, hlm. 1-8.
- Pabuccu, A. & Geban, O. (2012). Students' Conceptual Level of Understanding on Chemical Bonding. *International Online Journal of Educational Sciences*, 4(3), hlm. 563-580.
- Paris, S.G., Cross, D.R., & Lipson, M.Y. (1984). Informed Strategies for Learning: A Program Toimprovechildren's Reading Awareness and Comprehension. *Journal of Educational Psychology*, 76(6), 1239–1252.
- Perez, J.R.B., Perez, M.E.B., Calatayud, M. L., Lopera R. G., Montesinos, J.V.S & Gil, E.T. (2017). Student's Misconceptions on Chemical Bonding: A Comparative Study between High School and First Year University Students. *Asian Journal of Education and e-Learning*, 5(1), ISSN: 2321 – 2454.
- Peterson, R.F. & Treagust, D.F. (1989). Grade-12 Students' Misconceptions of Covalent Bonding and Structure. *Journal of Chemical Education*, 66(6), hlm. 459-460.
- Rademachera, N., Friedrich, A., Morgenroth, W., Bayarjargal, L., Milman, V., & Winklera, B. (2015). High-pressure phases of SF₆ up to 32GPa from X-ray Diffraction and Raman Spectroscopy. *Elsevier*, 225, hlm. 141-148.
- Reger, D.L., Goode, S.R., & Ball, D.W. (2010). Chemistry: Principles and Practice. Third Edition. New York: McGraw-Hill Higher Education.

- Robiette, A.G. (1971). Gas-phase Molecular Structures of BrF₅, and IF₅: Information from Electron Diffraction and Rotational Constant Data. *The Journal of Physics and Chemistry.*, 11, hlm. 1567-1568.
- Rompayom, P., Tambunchong, C., Wongyounoi, S., & Dechsri, P. (2011). Using Open-Ended Questions to Diagnose Students' Understanding of Inter- and Intramolecular Forces. *US-China Education Review*, ISSN 1548-6613, hlm. 12-23.
- Roth, K.J. (1990). Developing Meaningful Conceptual Understanding in Science. In Jones, B. F., Idol, L., (Eds.), *Dimensions of Thinking and Cognitive Instruction*(hlm. 139–175), Lawrence Erlbaum Associates: Hillsdale, NJ.
- Rousson, R. & Drifford, M. (1975). Infrared and Raman Spectra of BrF₅ and ClF₃ in The Condensed Phase. Evidence of An Associated State In Liquid ClF₃. *The Journal of Chemical Physics*, 62(5), hlm. 1806-1811.
- Rundgren, C.J. & Tibell, L. A. E. (2010). Students' Communicative Resources in Relation to Their Conceptual Understanding—The Role of Non-Conventionalized Expressions in Making Sense of Visualizations of Protein Function. *Springer*, 42, hlm. 891–913.
- Sabekti, A.W., Widarti, H.R., & Mahmudi. (2016, 24 Desember). Analisis Pemahaman Konsep Siswa Kelas XI IPA SMA 1 Malang pada Topik Bentuk Molekul. *Jurnal Zarah*, 2(1), (Forum online) Diakses dari <http://ojs.umrah.ac.id/index.php/zarah/article/view/24>
- Savec, V.F., Sajovic, I & Wissiak grm, K.S. (2009). Action Research to Promote The Formation of Linkages by Chemistry Students Between the Macro, Submicro, and Symbolic Representational Levels. Dalam J.K. Gilbert & D.F. Treagust (eds.), *Multiple Representations in Chemical Education: Model and Modeling in Science Education* (hlm. 309-331). Dordrecht: Springer.
- Scott, P., Mortimer, E., & Aguiar, O. (2006). The Tension Between Authoritative and Dialogic Discourse: A Fundamental Characteristic of Meaning Making Interactions in High School Science Lessons. *Science Education*, 90, hlm. 605-631.

- Schank, P. & Kozma, R. (2002). Learning Chemistry Through The Use of A Representation Based Knowledge-Building Environment. *Journal of Computers in Mathematics and Science Teaching*, 21(3), 253-279.
- Seddon, G.M. & Eniaiyeju, P.A. (1986). The Understanding Of Pictorial Depth Cues, and The Ability to Visualize The Rotation of Three-Dimensional Structures in Diagrams. *Research in Science and Technological Education*, 4(1), 29-37.
- Silberberg, M.S. (2009). *Chemistry: The Molecular Nature Of Matter And Change, Fifth Edition*. New York: McGraw-Hill.
- Short, K.G. (1992). Researching Intertextuality Within Collaborative Classroom Learning Environments. *Linguistics and Education*, 4, 313–333.
- Stevens S.Y., Delgado C. & Krajcik J. S., (2010), Developing A Hypothetical Multi-Dimensional Learning Progression for The Nature of Matter, *Journal Research Science Teaching*, 47(6), 687–715.
- Stieff M., Ryu M., Dixon, B., & Hegarty M., (2012), The Role of Spatial Ability and Strategy Preference for Spatial Problem Solving in Organic Chemistry, *Journal of Chemistry Education*, 89(4), 854–859.
- Sunyono, Yuarnita, L., & Ibrahim, M. (2015). Supporting Students in Learning with Multiple Representation to Improve Student Mental Models on Atomic Structure Concepts. *Science Education International*, 26(2), hlm. 104-125.
- Taber, K.S. (2013) Revisiting The Chemistry Triplet: Drawing Upon The Nature of Chemical Knowledge and The Psychology of Learning to Inform Chemistry Education. *Chemistry Education Research Practice*, 14(2), hlm. 156-168.
- Talanquer, V. (2011). Macro, Submicro, and Symbolic: The Many Faces of The Chemistry “Triplet”. *International Journal of Science Education*, 33(2), 179-195.
- Tasker, R., & Dalton, R. (2006). Research Into Practice: Visualization of The Molecular World Using Animations. *Chemistry Education Research and Practice*, 7(2), 141-159.

- Tavares, D.L., Aleixandre, M.J., & Mortimer, E.F. (2010). Articulation of Conceptual Knowledge and Argumentation Practices By High School Students In Evolution Problems. *Science and Education*, 19, 573–598.
- Treagust, D.F., Chittleborough, G. D., & Mamiala, T. L. (2003). The Role of Sub-Microscopic and Symbolic Representations in Chemical Explanations. *International Journal of Science Education*, 25(11), 1353-1369.
- Treagust, D.F. (2008). The Role of Multimedia Representations in Learning Science. In: Y.-J. Lee, & A.-L. Tan (Eds.), *Science Education at the Nexus of Theory and Practice* (hlm. 7-23). Singapore: Sense Publishers.
- Tokmakov, V.I., Park, J.S.G., & Lin, M.C. (1999). Experimental and Theoretical Studies of the Reaction of the Phenyl Radical with Methane. *Journal Physical Chemistry*, 103(19), hlm. 3636-3645.
- Uyulgan M.A, & Nalan, A. (2016). An Insight Towards Conceptual Understanding: Looking Into The Molecular Structures of Compounds. *Acta Didactica Napocensia*, 9(4), hlm. 49-69.
- Velez, M., Silver, D., & Tremaine, M. (2005). Understanding Visualization Through Spatial Ability Differences. *Paper presented at the IEEE Visualization 2005 Conference*, Minneapolis
- Warfa, A.R.M., Roehrig, G.H., Schneider, J.L., & Nyachwaya, J. (2014). Role of Teacher-Initiated Discourses in Students' Development of Representational Fluency in Chemistry: A Case Study. *Journal of Chemical Education*, 91(5), hlm. 784-792.
- Wang, C. (2007). *The Role of Mental-Modeling Ability, Content Knowledge, and Mental Models in General Chemistry Students Understanding About Molecular Polarity*. University of Missouri-Columbia. Disertasi diterbitkan.
- Wang, C. Y & Barrow, L.H. (2011). Characteristics and Levels of Sophistication: An Analysis of Chemistry Students' Ability to Think with Mental Models. *Springer*, 41, hlm. 561–586.
- Wiggins, G. P., & McTighe, J., (2005). *Understanding by Design*. Virginia USA: Association for Supervision and Curriculum Development (ASCD) Alexandria

- Williamson, V.M., & Abraham, M.R. (1995). The Effects of Computer Animation On The Particulate Mental Models of College Chemistry Students. *Journal of Research in Science Teaching*, 32, hlm. 521-534.
- Williamson, V.M., & Jose, T.J. (2009). Using Visualization Techniques in Chemistry Teaching. In: Pienta, N., Cooper, M., Greenbowe, T. J., (eds.), *Chemists' Guide to Effective Teaching* (hlm. 71–89). Upper Saddle River, NJ: Prentice Hall.
- Williamson, V.M., Lane, S.M., Gilbreath, T., Tasker, R., Ashkenazi, G., Williamson K.C., & Macfarlane, R.D. The Effect of Viewing Order of Macroscopic and Particulate Visualizations on Students' Particulate Explanations. *Journal of Chemical Education*, 89, hlm. 979-987.
- Wina, S. (2006). *Strategi Pembelajaran : Berorientasi Standar Proses Pendidikan*. Jakarta : Kencana Prenada Media Group.
- Wiser, M. (1995). Use Of History Of Science To Understand And Remedy Students' Misconceptions About Heat And Temperature. In D. N. Perkins, J. L. Schwartz, M. M. West & M. S. Wiske (Eds.), *Software Goes To School: Teaching For Understanding With New Technologies* (hlm. 23–38). New York: Oxford University Press.
- Whitten, K.W, Davis, R.E, Peck, M.L, & Stanley, G.G (2014). *Chemistry Tenth Edition*. USA: Brooks/Cole, Cengage Learning.
- Wu, H.K., Krajcik, J.S., & Soloway, E. (2001). Promoting Understanding of Chemical Representations: Students' Use of A Visualization Tool in The Classroom. *Journal of Research in Science Teaching*, 38(7), hlm. 821-842.
- Wu, H.K. (2003). Linking The Microscopic View of Chemistry to Real Life Experience: Intertextuality in A High School Science Classroom. *Science Education*, 87, hlm. 869-891.
- Wu, H.K., & Shah, P (2004). Exploring Visuospatial Thingking in Chemistry Learning. *Science Education*, 88(5), hlm. 465-492.

- Yamaguchi, T., & Ohtaki, H. (1986). Molecular Dynamics and X-Ray Diffraction Study of Aqueous Beryllium (II) Chloride Solutions. *Verlag Zeitschrift für Naturforschung*, 41A, hlm. 1175-1185.
- Yang, E., Andre, T., Greenbowe, T.J., & Tibell, L. (2003). Spatial Ability and The Impact of Visualization/Animation On Learning Electrochemistry. *International Journal of Science Education*, 25(3), 329-349.
- Yilmaz H. B. (2009). On The Development and Measurement of Spatial Ability. *International Election Journal Elementary Education.*, 1(2), 83–96.