REFERENCES

Alwasilah, A. C. (2000). *Pokoknya kualitatif: Rancangan melakukan penelitian kualitatif.* Jakarta, ID: Pustaka Jaya.

Alwasilah, A. C. (2010). *Language, culture, and education: A potrait of contemporary Indonesia.* Bandung: Andira.

Anderson, M., & Anderson, K. (1997). *Text type in English.* South Yarra: Macmillan Education Australia Pty Ltd.

Aridah. (2003). The role of feedback in the teaching and learning of writing. *Celt, 3*(2), 105-114.

Ary, D., Jacobs, L. C., & Sorensen, C. (2010). *Introduction to research in education.* Belmont, CA: Wadsworth, Cengage Learning.

Ashwell, T. (2000). Patterns of teacher response to student writing in a multiple-draft composition classroom: Is content feedback followed by form feedback the best method? *Journal of Second Language Writing, 9*(3), 227–257.

Bitchener, J., & Knoch, U. (2009). The contribution of written corrective feedback to language development: A ten month investigation. *Applied Linguistics, 31*(2), 193-214.

Bogdan, R. C., & Biklen, S. K. (2003). *Qualitative research for education: An introduction to theories and methods* (4th ed.). Boston, MA: Allyn and Bacon Publishers.

Butt, D., Fahey, R., Feez, S., Spinks, S., & Yallop, C. (2000). *Using functional grammar: An explorer's guide* (2nd ed.). Sydney: Macquarie University.

Byram, M. (2004). Genre and genre-based teaching. In M. Byram (Ed.), *Routledge encyclopedia of language teaching and learning* (pp. 234-237). London: Routledge.

Callaghan, M., & Rothery, J. (1988). *Teaching factual writing: A genre-based approach.* Erskineville, Sydney: Metropolitan East Disadvantaged Schools Program.

Callaghan, M., Knapp, P., & Noble, G. (1993). Genre in practice. In B. Cope, & M. Kalantzis (Eds.), *The powers of literacy: A genre approach to teaching writing* (pp. 179–202). London: The Falmer Press.

Cardelle, M., & Corno, L. (1981). Effects on second language learning of variations in written feedback on homework assignments. *TESOL Quarterly, 15*(3), 251-261.

Chandler, J. (2003). The efficacy of various kinds of error feedback for improvement in the accuracy and fluency of L2 student writing. *Journal of Second Language Writing, 12*(3), 267-296.

Chiang Kwun-Man, K. (2004). An investigation into students' preferences for and responses to teacher feedback and its implications for writing teachers. *Hong Kong Teachers' Centre Journal, 3*, 98-115.

Christie, F. (1990). The changing face of literacy. In F. Christie (Ed.), *Literacy for a changing world* (pp. 1-25). Melbourne: ACER.

Coe, R. M. (2002). The new rhetoric of genre: Writing political briefs. In A. M. Johns (Ed.), *Genre in the classroom* (pp. 197-210). Mahwah, New Jersey: Lawrence Erlbaum Associates.

Coffin, C., Curry, M. J., Goodman, S., Hewings, A., Lillis, T. M., & Swann, J. (2003). *Teaching academic writing: A toolkit for higher education.* London and New York: Routledge.

Cohen, A. D. (1987). Student processing of feedback on their compositions. In A. L. Wenden, & J. Rubin (Eds.), *Learner strategies in second language learning* (pp. 57-69). Englewood Cliffs, New Jersey: Prentice-Hall.

Cohen, A. D., & Cavalcanti, M. C. (1990). Feedback on compositions: Teacher and student verbal reports. In B. Kroll (Ed.), *Second language writing: Research insight the classroom* (pp. 155-177). Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.

Cohen, L., Manion, L., & Morrison, K. (2007). *Research methods in education* (6th ed.). London, UK: Routledge.

Conrad, S. M., & Goldstein, L. M. (1999). nglish student revision after teacher written comments: Text, contexts, and individuals. *Journal of Second Language Writing, 8*(2), 147-179.

Cope, B., & Kalantzis, M. (1993). Introduction: How a genre approach to literacy can transform the way writing is taught. In B. Cope, & M. Kalantzis (Eds.), *The powers of literacy: A genre approach to teaching writing* (pp. 1-21). London: The Falmer Press.

Creswell, J. W. (2009). *Research design: Qualitative, quantitative, and mixed method approaches* (3rd ed.). Los Angeles, UK: SAGE Publications.

Davidson, C. (2009). Transcription: Imperatives for qualitative research. *International Journal of Qualitative Methods, 8*(2), 35-52.

Derewianka, B. (1990). *Exploring how texts work.* Newtown: PETA.

Dheram, P. K. (1995). Feedback as two-bullock cart: A case study of teaching writing. *ELT Journal, 49*(2), 160-168.

Dohrer, G. (1991). Do teachers' comments on students' papers help? *College Teaching, 39*(2), 48-54.

Emilia, E. (2005). *A critical genre-based approach to teaching academic writing in a tertiary EFL context in Indonesia (Volume 1).* Published doctoral dissertation. University of Melbourne, Melbourne, Australia.

Fathman, A. K., & Whalley, E. (1990). Teacher response to student writing: Focus on form versus content. In B. Kroll (Ed.), *Second language writing* (pp. 178-190). Cambridge: CUP.

Feez, S., & Joyce, H. (1998a). *Text-based syllabus design.* Sydney: National Centre For English Language Teaching and Research.

Ferris, D. R. (1995). Student reactions to teacher response in multiple-draft composition classrooms. *TESOL Quarterly, 29*(1), 33-51.

Ferris, D. R. (1997). The influence of teacher commentary on student revision. *TESOL Quarterly, 31*(2), 315-339.

Ferris, D. R. (2002). *Treatment of error in second language writing classes.* Ann Arbor: University of Michigan Press.

Ferris, D. R. (2003). *Response to student writing: Implications for second language students.* Mahwah, New Jersey: Lawrence Erlbaum Associates.

Ferris, D. R. (2004). The "grammar correction" debate in L2 writing: Where are we, and where do we go from here? (and what do we do in the meantime…?). *Journal of Second Language Writing, 13*(1), 49-62.

Ferris, D. R., & Hedgcock, J. S. (1998). *Teaching ESL composition: Purpose, process, and practice.* Mahwah, New Jersey: Lawrence Erlbaum.

Ferris, D. R., & Roberts, B. (2001). Error feedback in L2 writing classes: How explicit does it need to be? *Journal of Second Language Writing, 10*(3), 161-184.

Flower, L., & Hayes, J. R. (1981). A cognitive process theory of writing. *College Composition and Communication, 32*(4), 365-387.

Fraenkel, J. R., & Wallen, N. E. (2000). *How to design and evaluate research in education* (4th ed.). Boston, MA: McGraw-Hill Higher Education.

Freebody, P. R. (2003). *Qualitative Research in Education: Interaction and Practice.* London, UK: SAGE Publications.

Fulwiler, T. (1996). *The College Writer's Reference* (3rd ed.). Upper Saddle River: Prentice Hall.

Gerot, L., & Wignell, P. (1994). *Making sense of functional grammar: An introductory workbook.* Sydney: Antipodean Educational Enterprise.

Gibbons, P. (2002). *Scaffolding language, scaffolding learning: Teaching second language learners in the mainstream classroom.* Portsmouth, New Hampshire: Heinemann.

Golafshani, N. (2003). Understanding reliability and validity in qualitative reserach. *The Qualitative Report, 8*(4), 597-606.

Grami, G. M. A. (2005). The effect of teachers' written feedback on ESL students' perception: A study at a Saudi ESL university level context. *Annual Review of Education, Communication and Language Sciences, 2*, 18-41.

Graves, D. (2004). What I've learned from teachers of writing. *Language Arts, 82*(2), 88-94.

Hammond, J. (2001). *Scaffolding: A focus on teaching learning in literacy education.* Sydney: PETA.

124

Hamouda, A. (2011). A study of students and teachers' preferences and attitudes towards correction of classroom written error in Saudi EFL context. *English Language Teaching Journal, 4*(3), 128-141.

Hattie, J., & Timperley, H. (2007). The power of feedback. *Review of Educational Research, 77*(1), 81-112.

Hedgcock, J., & Lefkowitz, N. (1994). Feedback on feedback: Assessing learner receptivity in second language writing. *Journal of Second Language Writing, 3*(2), 141–163.

Hedge, T. (1988). *Writing.* Oxford: Oxford University Press.

Hyland, K. (2003). *Second language writing.* Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.

Hyland, K., & Hyand, F. (2006). *Feedback in the second language writing: Contexts and issues.* Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.

Hyon, S. (1996). Genre in three traditions: Implications for ESL. *TESOL Quarterly, 30*(4), 693-722.

Jerry, C., Jan, J. M., & Samuel, M. (2013). Improving students' writing: Why is there a need for teacher feedback. *Malaysian Journal of Languages and Linguistics, 2*, 44-55.

Jorgensen, D. L. (1989). *Participant observation: A methodology for human studies.* Newbury Park, CA: SAGE Publications.

Keh, C. L. (1990). Feedback in the writing process: A moral and methods for implementation. *ELT Journal, 44*(4), 294-304.

Kepner, C. G. (1991). An experiment in the relationship of types of written feedback to the development of second-language writing skills. *The Modern Language Journal, 75*(3), 305-315.

Knoblauch, C. H., & Brannon, L. (1981). Teacher commentary on student writing: The state of the art. *Freshman English News, 10*(2), 1-4.

Krashen, S. (1984). *Writing: Research, theory and applications.* Oxford: Pergamon Institute of English.

Kroll, B. (2001). Considerations for teaching an ESL/EFL writing course. In M. Celce-Murcia (Ed.), *Teaching English as a second or foreign language* (3rd ed., pp. 219-232). Boston, MA: Heinle & Heinle.

Kvale, S. (1996). *Interviews: An introduction to qualitative research interviewing.* Thousand Oaks, CA: SAGE Publications.

Lalande, J. F. (1982). Reducing composition errors: An experiment. *Modern Language Journal, 66*(1), 140-149.

Lamb, S., & Simpson, Z. (2011). Students' expectations of feedback given on draft writing. *Per Linguam, 27*(1), 44-55.

Langan, J. (2012). *English skills.* New York: McGraw-Hill.

Lee, I. (2004). Error correction in L2 secondary writing classrooms: The case of Hong Kong. *Journal of Second Language Writing, 13*, 285–312.

Lee, I. (2008). Student reactions to teacher feedback in two Hong Kong secondary classrooms. *Journal of Second Language Writing, 17*(3), 144-164.

Leki, I. (1990). Coaching from the margins: Issues in written response. In B. Kroll (Ed.), *Second language writing* (pp. 57-68). New York: Cambridge University Press.

Leki, I. (1991). The preferences of ESL students for error correction in college-level writing classes. *Foreign Language Annals, 24*(3), 203-218.

Lincoln, Y. S., & Guba, E. G. (1985). *Naturalistic inquiry.* Newbury Park, CA: SAGE Publications.

Lodico, M. G., Spaulding, D. I., & Voegtle, K. H. (2010). *Methods in educational research: From theory to practice* (2nd ed.). San Francisco, CA: Jossey-Bass.

Macken-Horarik, M. (2002). Something to shoot for. In A. M. Johns (Ed.), *Genre in the classroom* (pp. 17-42). Mahwah, New Jersey: Lawrence Erlbaum Associates.

Martin, J. R. (1985). *Factual writing: Exploring and challenging social reality.* Geelong, Victoria: Deakin University Press.

Martin, J. R. (1993). A contextual theory of language. In B. Cope, & M. Kalantzis (Eds.), *The powers of literacy: A genre approach to teaching writing* (pp. 116-136). London: The Falmer Press.

Martin, J. R. (2000). Grammar meets genre: Reflections on the "Sydney School". *Arts: The Journal of the Sydney University Arts Association, 22*, 47-95.

Martin, J. R., Christie, F., & Rothery, J. (1994). Social processes in education: A reply to Sawyer and Watson. In B. Stierer, & J. Maybin (Eds.), *Language, literacy and learning in educational practice* (pp. 232-247). Clevedon: Multilingual Matters Ltd.

Merriam, S. B. (1995). What can you tell from N of 1?: Issues of validity and reliability in qualitative research. *PAACE Journal of Lifelong Learning, 4*, 51-60.

Miceli, T. (2006). Foreign language students' perceptions of a reflective approach to text correction. *Flinders University Languages Group Online Review, 3*(1), 25-36.

Miller, C. R. (1984). Genre as social action. *Quarterly Journal of Speech, 70*(2), 151-167.

Myles, J. (2004). Feedback processes in second language writing: Conflict in students and teacher preferences. In M. E. Vethamani, & K. Kaur (Eds.), *Second Language Writing* (pp. 254-274). Petaling Jaya, Selangor: Sasbadi Sdn.Bhd.

Nicol, D. (2011). Good designs for written feedback for students. In M. Svinicki, & W. J. McKeachie (Eds.), *Teaching tips: Strategies, research and theories for college and university teachers* (13th ed., pp. 108-124). Belmont: Wadsworth, Cengage Learning.

Nicol, D. J., & Macfarlane-Dick, D. (2006). Formative assessment and self-regulated learning: A model and seven principles of good feedback practice. *Macfarlane-Dick, 31*(2), 199-218.

Nobel, H., & Smith, J. (2015). Issues of validity and reliability in qualitative research. *Evidence-Based Nursing, 18*(2), 34-35.

Othman, S. B., & Mohamad, F. (2009). Student response to teacher feedback on multiple-draft compositions in ESL classroom . *ICLT Journal, 2*, 1-12.

Paltridge, B. (1994). Genre analysis and the identification of textual boundaries. *Applied Linguistics, 15*(3), 288-299.

Paltridge, B. (1997). *Genre, frames and writing in research settings.* Amsterdam: John Benjamins Publishing Company.

Paltridge, B. (2004). State of the art review: Academic writing. *Language Teaching, 37*(2), 87-105.

Press, W. L. (1983). A theoretical model for teaching students to write. *English Teaching Forum, 21*(3), 17-22.

Purnawarman, P. (2011). *Impacts of different types of teacher corrective feedback in reducing grammatical errors on ESL/EFL students' writing.* Published doctoral dissertation. Virginia Polytechnic Institute and State University, Blacksburg, VA.

Radecki, P. M., & Swales, J. M. (1988). ESL student reaction to written comments on their written work. *System, 16*(3), 355–365.

Rae, A. M., & Cochrane, D. K. (2008). Listening to students: How to make written assessment feedback useful. *Active Learning in Higher Education, 9*(3), 217-230.

Raimes, A. (1983). *Techniques in teaching writing.* Oxford: Oxford University Press.

Ravichandran, V. (2002). Responding to student writing: Motivate, not criticize. *GEMA Online Journal of Language Studies, 2*(3), 1-9.

Richards , J. C., & Lockhart, C. (1996). *Reflective teaching in second language classrooms.* Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.

Robb, T., Ross, S., & Shortreed, I. (1986). Salience of feedback on error and its effect on EFL writing quality. *TESOL Quarterly, 20*(1), 83-93.

Rose, A. (1982). Spoken versus written criticism of student writing: Some advantages of the conference method. *College Composition and Communication, 33*(3), 326-330.

Rothery, J. (1986b). Writing to learn and learning to write. In J. R. Martin, & J. Rothery (Eds.), *Writing research project: Working Papers in linguistics No. 4.* Sydney: Linguistics Department of University of Sydney.

Rothery, J. (1996). Making changes: Developing educational linguistics. In R. Hasan, & G. Williams (Eds.), *Literacy in society* (pp. 86-123). New York: Addison Wesley Longman Limited.

Saito, H. (1994). Teachers' practices and students' preferences for feedback on second language writing: A case study of adult ESL learners. *TESL Canada Journal, 11*(2), 46-70.

Seidman, I. (2006). *Interviewing as qualitative research: A guide for researchers in education and the social sciences.* New York, NY: Teachers College Press.

Semke, H. D. (1984). Effects of the red pen. *Foreign Language Annals, 17*(3), 195-202.

Shenton, A. K. (2004). Strategies for ensuring trustworthiness in qualitative research projects. *Education for Information, 22*(2), 63-75.

Sheppard, K. (1992). Two feedback types: Do they make a difference? *RELC Journal, 23*(1), 103-110.

Silver, R., & Lee, S. (2007). What does it take to make a change? Teacher feedback and student revisions. *English Teaching: Practice and Critique, 6*(1), 25-49.

Silverman, D. (2005). *Doing qualitative research: A practical handbook* (2nd ed.). London, UK: SAGE Publications.

Smith, H. W. (1981). *Strategies of social Research* (2nd ed.). Englewood Cliffs, NJ: Prentice Hall.

Sommers, N. (1982). Responding to student writing. *College Composition and Communication, 33*(2), 148-156.

Sperling, M. (1991). Dialogues of deliberation: Conversation in the teacher-student writing conference. *Written Communication, 8*(2), 131-162.

Stake, R. E. (1995). *The art of case study research.* Thousand Oaks, CA: SAGE Publications.

Swales, J. (1990). *Genre analysis: English in academic and research settings.* Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.

Tompkins, G. E. (1990). *Teaching writing: Balancing process and product.* Columbus: Merrill.

Truscott, J. (1996). The case against grammar correction in L2 writing classes. *Language Learning, 46*(2), 327-369.

Truscott, J. (1999). The case for "The case against grammar correction in L2 writing classes": A Response to Ferris. *Journal of Second Language Writing, 8*(2), 111-122.

Van-Lier, L. (1988). *The classroom and the language learner: Ethnography and second-language classroom research.* Harlow, England: Longman.

Vardi, I. (2009). The relationship between feedback and change in tertiary student writing in the disciplines. *International Journal of Teaching and Learning in Higher Education, 20*(3), 350-361.

Walqui, A. (2006). Scaffolding instruction for English language learners: A conceptual framework. *International Journal of Bilingual Education and Bilingualism, 9*(2), 159-180.

Walz, J. C. (1982). *Error correction technique for the foreign language classroom. Language in education: Theory and practice series.* Washington, D.C: Centre for Applied Linguistics.

Wasoh, F. (2013). Students response to expert feedback on multiple-draft compositions in writing classroom. *Language Institute Journal, 2*(1), 483-493.

Weaver, M. R. (2006). Do students value feedback? Student perceptions of tutors' written responses. *Assessment & Evaluation in Higher Education, 31*(3), 379-394.

Williams, J. G. (2003). Providing feedback on ESL students' written assignments. *The Internet TESL Journal, 10*(10).

Yeh, C. (2016). EFL college students' experiences and attitudes towards teacher-student writing conferences. *Journal of Response to Writing, 2*(2), 37-65.

Yin, R. K. (2003). *Case study research: Design and methods* (3 ed.). Thousand Oaks, CA: SAGE Publications.

Zamel, V. (1985). Responding to student writing. *TESOL Quarterly, 19*(1), 79-101.

Zellermayer, M. (1989). The study of teachers' written feedback to student writing: Changes in the theoretical considerations and the expansion of research contexts. *Instructional Science, 18*(2), 145-165.