

DAFTAR PUSTAKA

- Anderson, L.W. & Krathwohl, D. R. (2001). *A Taxonomy for Learning, Teaching and Assessing. A Revision of Bloom's Taxonomy of Education Objectives*. New York: Longman.
- Baldwin, J.A., Ebert, D., & Burns, D. J. (1999). The Development of a College Biology Self Efficacy Instrument for Nonmajors. *Science Educations*.
- Barke, H.D., Al-Hazari., & Yitbarek, S. (2009). *Misconception in Chemistry*. Berlin: Springer.
- Bandura. A (1997), *Self Efficacy: The Exercise of Control*. New York: Freenam.
- _____ (1994), Self Efficacy. In V.S. Ramachaudran (Ed), *Encyclopedia of human behavior* (Vol. 4, pp. 71-81). New York: Academic Press.
- _____ (1986). *Social Foundations of Thought and Action*. Englewood Cliffs, NJ: Prentice Hall.
- _____ (2006). Guide For Constructing Self Efficacy Scales. Tersedia [online]. <http://www.uky.edu/~eushe2/Bandura/BanduraGuide2006.pdf> diakses tanggal 18 januari 2016
- Becker, N. (2015). Translating Across Macroscopic, Submicroscopic, and Symbolic levels: the role of instructor facilitation in an inquiry oriented physical chemistry class. *Chemistry Education Research and Education*.
- Bilgin, I. (2009). The Effect of Guided Inquiry Instruction Incorporating a Cooperative Learning Approach on University Students Achievement of Acid and Bases Concepts and Attitude Toward Guided Inquiry Instruction. *Scientific Research and Essay*. Vol 4 (10).pp 1038-1046.
- Brady, J. E. (2012). *Chemistry the molecular nature of mater 6th editon*. John Wiley And Sons: New York.
- Brandriet, R. A. & Bretz, L. S. (2014). Measuring Meta-Ignore through The Lens of Confidence: Examining Students' Redox Misconceptions About Oxidation Numbers, Charge, and Electron Transfer. *Chemistry Education Research and Practice*, 15 hlm. 729-746.
- Brown, T., dkk (2012) *Chemistry the Central Science Twelfth Edition*. USA: Prentice Hall.
- Buck, L. B., Bretz, S. L., & Towns, M. H. (2008). Characterizing the level of inquiry in the undergraduate laboratory. *Journal of College Science Teaching*, hlm. 52-58.

- Chandrasegaran, A. L., Treagust, D. F., & Mocerino, M. (2007). "The Development of Two-Tier Multiple Choice Diagnostic Instrument for Evaluating Secondary School Student's Ability to Describe and Explain Chemical Reaction Using Multiple Levels of Representation". *Journal of Chemistry Education Research and Practice*. 8(3), 293-307
- Chang, R. (2004). *Chemistry 7th Edition*. New York: McGraw-Hill.
- Chittleborough, G. D., Treagust, D. F., & Mocerino, M. (2007). "The Development of A Two Tier Multiple Choice Diagnostic Instrument for Evaluating Secondaryschool Students' Ability to Describe and Explain Chemical Reactions Using Multiple Levels of Representation". *Chemistry Educational Research and Practice*. 8 (3), 274-292.
- Dahar, R. W. (2011). *Teori-teori Belajar dan Pembelajaran*. Jakarta: Erlangga.
- Depdiknas. (2006). Pendidikan Sains di Indonesia Berdasarkan Hasil PISA. Jakarta: Depdiknas.
- Dermicioglu, G. (2009). Comparison of The Effects of Conceptual Change Texts Implemented After and Before Instruction on Secondary School Students' Understanding of Acid-Base Concepts, *Asia-Pacific Forum on Science Learning and Teaching*.
- Devetak, I. (2009). Comparing Slovenian Year 8 and Year 9 Elementary School Pupil's Knowledge of Electrolyte Chemistry and Their Intrinsic Motivation. *Chemistry Education Research and Practice*, 10, hlm. 281 – 290
- Ebbing, D. & Gammon, S. (2008). General chemistry 8th Edition. New York: Houghton Mifflin Company.
- Faramita, S. (2015). Penerapan Model Pembelajaran Inkuiri Terbimbing yang Dipadukan dengan Strategi *Peer Instruction* untuk Meningkatkan Kemampuan Kognitif dan Efikasi Diri Siswa SMA pada Materi Suhu dan Kalor. (Tesis). Sekolah Pascasarjana, UPI Bandung: Tidak diterbitkan.
- Gulo, W. (2002). *Metodologi Penelitian*. Jakarta. PT. Gramedia Widhiarsa. Indonesia.
- Hake, R. R. (1998). Interactive-Engagement vs Traditional Methods: A Six-Thousand Student Survey of Mechanics Test Data for Introductory Physics Courses. *American Journal of Physics*.
- Harrison, A. G., & Treagust, D. F. (2002). The Particulate Nature of Matter: Challenges in Understanding the Submicroscopic World. In Gilbert, J.K et

al (Eds), *Chemical Education: Towards Research Based Practise*. Dordrecht: Kluwer Academic Publisher.

- Hosnan, M. (2014). *Pendekatan Saintifik dan Kontekstual dalam Pembelajaran abad 21*. Bogor: Ghalia Indonesia.
- Joyce, B., Weil, M., & Calhoun, E. (2009). *Models of Teaching*. Yogyakarta: Pustaka Belajar.
- Johnstone, A. H. (2000). Teaching of chemistry—logical or psychological. *Chemistry Education : Research and Practice in Europe*, 1, hlm. 9-15.
- Jufri, A.W. (2012). *Belajar dan Pembelajaran Sains*. Jakarta: Pustaka Reka Cipta.
- Juwita, F. (2010). Implementasi Strategi Pembelajaran Intertekstual pada Materi Hidrolisis Garam. (Skripsi). FPMIPA, UPI Bandung: Tidak diterbitkan.
- Jansoon, N., Cool, R., & Somsook, E. (2009). Understanding Mental Models of Dilution in Thai Students. *International Journal of Enviromental & Science Education*, 4 (2), 147-168.
- Kholidinata, F. (2013). *Profil Model Mental Siswa pada Materi Hidrolisis Garam Berdasarkan Strategi Evaluasi Model Predict-Observe-Explain (POE)*. Skripsi S1 pada FPMIPA UPI Bandung : tidak diterbitkan.
- Kozma, R. B., Russell, J., Jones, T., Marx, N., & Davis, J. (1996). The use of multiple, linked representations to facilitate science understanding. In R.G.S. Vosniadou, E. DeCorte, & H. Mandel (Eds.), *International perspective on the psychological foundations of technology-based learning environments* (pp. 41 ± 60). Hillsdale, NJ: Erlbaum.
- Kurbanoglu, N. I., & Akim, A. (2010) The relationship between university students' chemistry laboratory anxiety, attitudes, and self-efficacy belief. *Australian Journal of Teacher Education*. 35 (8), hlm. 48-59.
- Leonita, Z. (2014). Pengembangan Strategi Pembelajaran Intertekstual menggunakan Inkuiri Terbimbing pada Materi Termokimia untuk Meningkatkan Penguasaan Konsep dan Sikap Ilmiah. (Skripsi). FPMIPA, UPI Bandung: Tidak diterbitkan.
- Milenkovic, M. (2010). Increasing High School Student's Chemistry Performance and Reducing Cognitive Load Through an Instructional Strategy Based on the Interaction of Multiple Levels of Knowledge Representation. *Journal of Chemical Education*.

- Margolis & McCabe. (2006). *Self-Efficacy*. Tersedia [Online]. [Http://serc.carleton.edu/NAGTWorkshops/affective/efficacy.html](http://serc.carleton.edu/NAGTWorkshops/affective/efficacy.html) diakses 16 Januari 2016.
- Mc Murry & Fay. (2004). *Chemistry Fourth Edition*. Prentice Hall, Inc.
- Moore, E., Herzog, T., & Perkins, K. (2013). Interactive simulations as implicit support for guided-inkuiri. *Chem. Educ. Res. Pract*, 14, hlm. 257—268.
- Pajares, M. F. (2002). Overview of Social Cognitive Theory and of Self Efficacy. *Encyclopedia of human behavior* (Vol. 4, pp. 71-81). New York: Academic Press.
- Pan, H., & Henriques, L. (2012). Students' Alternate Conception on Acids and Bases. California State University.
- Peraturan Menteri Pendidikan dan Kebudayaan Nomor 54 Tahun 2013 tentang Standar Kompetensi Lulusan.
- Peraturan Menteri Pendidikan dan Kebudayaan Nomor 104 Tahun 2014 tentang Pedoman Penilaian Hasil Belajar oleh Pendidik pada Pendidikan Dasar dan Pendidikan Menengah.
- Pervin, L. A. (1984). *Personality: Theory and research. Fourth edition*. Canada: John Wiley & Sons, Inc.
- Pinarbasi, T. & Canpolat, N. (2003). "Students' Understanding of Solution Chemistry Concepts". *Journal of Chemical Education*. 80, (11), 1328-1332.
- Putri, Y. R. (2013). *Analyzing Of Student's Misconceptions On Salt Hydrolysis Chemistry at Senior High Schools In Padangsidempuan*. Skripsi S1 pada FPMIPA UNIMED Medan : tidak diterbitkan.
- Raviolo, A. (2001). Assessing students' conceptual understanding of solubility equilibrium. *Journal of Chemical Education*, 78, hlm. 629 – 631.
- Sagala. (2010). *Konsep dan Makna Pembelajaran*. Bandung: Alfabeta.
- Sanjaya, W. (2011). *Strategi Pembelajaran Berorientasi Standar Proses Pendidikan*. Jakarta: Kencana Predana Media Group.
- Santrock, J. W. (2011). Psikologi Pendidikan. Jakarta: Salemba Humanika.
- Schunk, D. H. & Swartz, C. W. (1993). Goals and Progressive Feedback: *Effects on Self Efficacy and Writing Achievement*. *Contemporary Educational Psychology*, 18, 337-354.
- Schunk, D. H., & Zimmerman, B. J. (2006). Competence and Control Belief: Distinguishing The Means and Ends . In P.A. Alexander & P. H. Winne:

- (Eds). *Handbook of Educational Psychology (2nd ed.)*. Mahawh, NJ: Erlbaum.
- Secken, N & Alsan, E. U. (2011). *The effect of constructivist approach on students' understanding of the concepts related to hydrolysis*, Hacateppe University, Ankara, Turkey. Science Direct
- Silberberg, M. S. (2006). *Principles of General Chemistry*. New York: McGraw Hill.
- Sirhan, G. (2007). "Learning Difficulties in Chemistry: An Overview". *Journal of Turkish Science Education*. 4, (2), 2-20.
- Sopandi, W. & Murniati (2007). Microscopic level misconception on topic acid base, salt, buffer, and hydrolysis; a case study at a state Senior High School, *Prosiding Seminar Internasional I*. Bandung: SPS UPI.
- Sukmadinata, N. S. (2013). *Metode Penelitian Pendidikan*. Bandung: PT Remaja Rosdakarya.
- Suyanti, R. D. (2010). *Strategi Pembelajaran Kimia*. Yogyakarta: Graha Ilmu.
- Sund, R. B, Trowbridge., & Leslie, W. (1973). *Teaching Science By Inquiry In The Secondary School*, Second Edition. Columbus: Charles E. Merrill Publishing Company.
- Thiagarajan, S., Semmel, D. S., & Semmel, M. I. (1974). Instructional development for training teachers of exceptional children: *A sourcebook*. Minnesota: Indiana University.
- Treagust, D., Chittleborough, G., D & Mamiala, T. (2003). The Role of Submicroscopic and Symbolic Representation in Chemical Explanations. *International Journal of Science Education*. 25, (11), 1353-1368.
- Trianto (2009). *Mendesain model pembelajaran inovatif-progresif: Konsep, landasan dan implementasinya pada kurikulum tingkat satuan pendidikan (KTSP)*. Jakarta: Kencana Prenada Media Group.
- Tumay, H. (2014) Prospective Chemistry Teachers' Mental Models of Vapor Pressure, *Chemistry Education Research and Practice*. 15, 366-379.
- Universitas Pendidikan Indonesia. (2014). *Pedoman penulisan karya ilmiah*. Bandung: UPI Press.
- Vlassi, M. & Karaolita, A. (2013). The Comparison between Guided Inquiry and Traditional Teaching Method. A case study for the teaching of the structure of matter to 8th grade greek students. *Procedia- Sosial and Behavioral Sciences*, 93 (2013) 494-497.

- Xu, H & Talanquer, V. (2013). Effect of the Level of Inquiry of Lab Experiment on General Chemistry Students' Written Reflection. *J. Chem. Educ.* hlm. 21-28.
- Wenning, C. (2010). The Levels of Inquiry Model of Science Teaching. *J. Phys. Tchr. Educ.* 6(2), hlm. 9-16
- Winkelman, K. (2011). Improving Students' Inquiry Skill and Self Efficacy through Research Inspired Modules in the General Chemistry Laboratory. *Journal of Chemical Education*.
- Whitten, dkk. (2014). *Chemistry 10th Edition*. USA: Brooks Cole.
- Wu, H. K., Krajcik, J. S., & Soloway, E. (2001). Promoting Understanding of Chemical Representations: Students' Use of Visualization Tool in the Classroom. *Journal of Research in Science Teaching*. (38), 7. 821-842.
- Wu, H. K. (2003). Linking the Microscopic View of Chemistry To Real Life Experience: Intertextuality In A High-School Science Classroom. *Science Education*. 87,868-891.
- Yamin, M. (2013). *Strategi dan Metode dalam Model Pembelajaran*. Jakarta: Pustaka Reka Cipta.
- Zumdahl S. S & Decoste D. J. (2010). *Introductory Chemistry 7th Edition*. USA: Brooks Cole.