

## REFERENCES

- Allen, D. L. (1973). *Science fiction*. Lincoln, Neb.: Cliffs Notes.
- Amis, K. (1976). Starting points. In M. Rose (Ed.), *Science fiction: a collection of critical essays* (pp. 13-31). Prentice Hall.
- Ballon, R. (2005). *Blueprint for screenwriting: a complete writer's guide to story structure and character development*. New Jersey: Lawrence Erlbaum Associates, Inc.
- Bell, J. S. (2004). *Plot & structure*. Cincinnati, Ohio: Writer's Digest Books.
- Brooks, P. (1992). *Reading for the plot: design and intention in narrative*. Harvard University Press.
- Clute, J., & Nicholls, P. (1993). *The encyclopedia of science fiction* (pp. 588-589). United Kingdom: Orbit.
- Dibell, A. (1988). *Plot*. Cincinnati, Ohio: Writer's Digest Books.
- Dowding, K. (2016). Power and persuasion. *Political Studies*, 64, 4-18.  
<http://dx.doi.org/10.1177/0032321715614848>.
- Fiske, S. T. (1993). Controlling other people: the impact of power on stereotyping. *American Psychologist*, 48, 621-628.
- Forster, E. M., & Stallybrass, O. (2005). *Aspects of the novel*. London: Penguin.
- Foucault, M. (1980). *Power/knowledge: selected interviews and other writings, 1972-1977*. New York: Pantheon Books.
- Foucault, M. (1982). The subject and power. *Critical Inquiry*, 8, 777-795.
- Foucault, M. (1995). *Discipline and punish*. New York: Vintage Books.
- Freedman, L. (2003). War. *Foreign Policy*, 137, 16-24.
- Glaser, B. G., & Strauss, A. L. (1965). Discovery of substantive theory: a basic strategy underlying qualitative research. *American Behavioral Scientist*, 8(6), 5-12. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1177/000276426500800602>.
- Gunn, J. E. (2002). *The road to science fiction*. Lanham, Md.: The Scarecrow Press, Inc.
- Hardy, S. (2003). A story of days to come: H. G. Wells and the language of science fiction. *Language And Literature*, 12(3), 199-212.

- Hawley, A. C. (2010). "Revisiting H.G. Wells' depiction of science and religion in war of the worlds." *Inquiries Journal/Student Pulse*, 2(03). Retrieved on August, 3, from <http://www.inquiriesjournal.com/a?id=190>.
- Heller, K. (1996). Power, subjectification and resistance in Foucault. *Substance*, 25(79), 78-110.
- Higgins, C. (1997). Legal language as discursive formation. In C. O'Farrel (Ed.), *Foucault: the legacy* (pp. 361-371). Queensland University of Technology.
- Hrotic, S. (2013). The evolution and extinction of science fiction. *Public Understanding Of Science*, 23(8), 996-1012.  
<http://dx.doi.org/10.1177/0963662513478898>.
- Jones, G. (2003). The icons of science fiction. In E. James & F. Mendlesohn (Eds.), *The Cambridge companion to science fiction* (pp. 163-173). Cambridge University Press.
- Lowe, N. J. (2000). *The classical plot and the invention of western narrative*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Lumby, J. (2013). Distributed leadership: the uses and abuses of power. *Educational Management Administration & Leadership*, 41(5), 581-597.  
<http://dx.doi.org/10.1177/1741143213489288>.
- Manlove, C. (1993). Charles Kingsley, H. G. Wells, and the machine in Victorian fiction. *Nineteenth-Century Literature*, 48(2), 212-239.  
<http://dx.doi.org/10.2307/2933891>.
- Mills, S. (2003). *Michel Foucault*. London: Routledge.
- Mol, A. P. J. (2006). Environmental governance in the information age: the emergence of informational governance. *Environment And Planning C: Government And Policy*, 24(4), 497-514. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1068/c0508j>.
- Parrinder, P. (1999). *Learning from the other worlds: estrangement, cognition, and the politics of science fiction and utopia*. Liverpool: Liverpool University Press.
- Planinc, E. (2016). Catching up with Wells: The political theory of H. G. Wells's science fiction. *Political Theory*, 1-22.  
<http://dx.doi.org/10.1177/0090591716642496>.
- Polifroni, E. C. (2010). Power, right, and truth: Foucault's triangle as a model for clinical power. *Nursing Science Quarterly*, 23(1), 8-12.  
<http://dx.doi.org/10.1177/0894318409353811>.
- Ramsdell, K. (1999). *Romance fiction*. Englewood, Colo.: Libraries Unlimited.
- Rand, A. & Boeckmann, T. (2000). *The art of fiction*. New York: Plume.

- Raven, B. H. (2008). The bases of power and the power/interaction model of interpersonal influence. *Analyses Of Social Issues And Public Policy*, 8(1), 1-22. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1530-2415.2008.00159.x>.
- Rieder, J. (2009). Fiction, 1895-1926. In M. Bould, A. M. Butler, A. Roberts, & S. Vint (Eds.), *The routledge companion to science fiction* (pp. 23-31). London: Routledge.
- Ryan, M. -L. (1991). *Possible worlds, artificial intelligence, and narrative theory*. Bloomington: Indiana University Press.
- Sandelowski, M. (2000). Whatever happened to qualitative description?. *Res. Nurs. Health*, 23(4), 334-340. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/1098-240x\(200008\)23:4<334::aid-nur9>3.0.co;2-g](http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/1098-240x(200008)23:4<334::aid-nur9>3.0.co;2-g)
- Seed, D. (2005). *A companion to science fiction*. Malden, MA: Blackwell Pub.
- Stableford, B. (2003). Science fiction before the genre. In E. James & F. Mendlesohn (Eds.), *The cambridge companion to science fiction* (pp. 15-31). Cambridge University Press.
- Steiner, B., Hester, R., Makarios, M., & Travis, L. F. (2012). Examining the link between parole officers' bases of power and their exercise of power. *The Prison Journal*, 92(4), 435-459. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1177/0032885512457546>.
- Tobias, R. (1993). *20 master plots: and how to build them*. Writer's Digest Books.
- Turner, J. C. (2005). Explaining the nature of power: a three-process theory. *European Journal Of Social Psychology*, 35(1), 1-22. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/ejsp.244>.
- Wells, H. G. (1898). *The war of the worlds*. [PlanetPDF version]. Retrieved November 11, 2016 from <http://www.planetpublish.com/free-ebooks/401/the-war-of-the-worlds/>

