

## DAFTAR PUSTAKA

- Abell, S.K. (2008). Twenty years later: does pedagogical content knowledge remain a useful idea? *International Journal of Science Education*, 30 (10), hlm. 1405-1416.
- Achmad, R. (2004). *Kimia Lingkungan*. Yogyakarta: Andi Press
- Adadan, E. & Oner, D. (2014). Exploring the progression in preservice chemistry teachers' pedagogical content knowledge representations: the case of behavior of bases. *Research Science Education*, 44(6), hlm. 829-7858.
- Albe, V. (2008). When scientific knowledge, daily life experience, epistemological and social considerations intersect: students' argumentation in group discussions on a socio-scientific issue. *Research Science Education*, 38(1), hlm. 67-90.
- Alshamali, M.A., & Daher, W.M. (2016). Scientific reasoning and its relationship with problem solving: the case of upper primary science teacher. *Instructional of Science and Mathematics Education*, 14 (6), hlm. 1003-1019.
- Aprianto, V. Y. (2014). *Pengetahuan guru tentang representasi materi Fisika dalam pembelajaran Fisika yang dimiliki oleh 3 orang guru Fisika SMA di Yogyakarta*. (Skripsi). Program Studi Pendidikan Fisika, Universitas Sanata Dharma, Yogyakarta.
- Arends, R. I. (2009). *Learning to Teach*. New York: The McGraw-Hill Companies, Inc.
- Arikunto, S. (2006). *Dasar-dasar evaluasi pendidikan (Edisi Revisi)*. Jakarta: Bumi Aksara.
- Asrori, M. (2007). *Psikologi Pembelajaran*. Bandung: Wacana Prima. Cetakan Pertama.
- Aufschnaiter, C.V., et al. (2008). Arguing to learn and learning to argue: case studies of how students' argumentation relates to their scientific knowledge. *Journal of Research in Science Teaching*, 45 (1), hlm. 101-178.

- Aydin, *et al.* (2015). The nature and development of interaction among components of pedagogical content knowledge in practicum. *Teaching and Teacher Education*. 46, hlm. 37-50.
- Aydin, S. & Boz, Y. (2013). The nature of integration among PCK components: a case study of two experienced chemistry teachers, *Chemistry Educational Research and Practice*, 14 (4), hlm. 615-624.
- Aydin, S., *et al.* (2015). Examination of the topic-specific nature of pedagogical content knowledge in teaching electrochemical cells and nuclear reactions. *Chemistry Education Research Practice*, 15 (4), hlm. 658-674.
- Bao *et al.* (2009). Learning and scientific reasoning. *Education Forum*, 323 (1), hlm. 586-587.
- Baxter, J.A. & Lederman, N.G. (1999). Assessment and Measurement of Pedagogical Content Knowledge. Dalam Gess-Newsome, J. & Lederman, N.G (Penyunting), *Examining PCK: the construct and its implications for science education*. Boston: Kluwer Academic Press, hlm. 147-162.
- Bekiroglu, F.O. & Eskin, H. (2012). Examination of the relationship between engagement in scientific argumentation and conceptual knowledge. *International Journal of Science and Mathematics Education*. 10 (6), hlm. 1415-1443.
- Bektas, O., *et al.* (2013). Pre-service chemistry teachers' pedagogical content knowledge of the nature of science in the particle nature of matter. *Chemistry Educational Research, and Practice*, 14(2), hlm. 201-231.
- Berland, L. K. & Hammer, D. (2011). Framing for scientific argumentation. *Journal of Research in Science Teaching*, 49 (1), hlm. 68-94.
- Bertram, A. & Loughran, J. (2012). Science teacher's views on CoRe and PaP-eRs as a framework for articulating and developing pedagogical content knowledge. *Research in Science Education*, 42(6), hlm. 1027-1047.
- Brookhart, S. (2010). *How to asses higher order thinking skills in your classroom*. Alexandria, VA: ASCD.
- Brown, N. J. S., *et al.* (2010). The evidence-based reasoning framework: assessing scientific reasoning. *Educational Assessment*, 15(3-4), hlm. 123-141.

- BSNP. (2006). *Panduan Penyusunan Kurikulum Tingkat Satuan Pendidikan Jenjang Pendidikan Dasar dan Menengah*. Jakarta: Badan Standar Nasional Pendidikan.
- Bulgren, J.A., Ellis, J.D., & Marquis, J.G. (2014). The use and effectiveness of an argumentation and evaluation intervention in science classes. *Journal of Science Educational Technologies*, 23 (1), hlm. 82-97.
- Chang Rundgren, S-N. & Rundren, C-L. (2010). SEE-SEP: From a separate to holistic view of socioscientific issues. *Asia-Pasific Forum on Science Learning and Teaching*, 11 (1), hlm. 1-24.
- Chen, C-T. & She, H-C. (2015). The effectiveness of science inquiry with/without integration of science reasoning. *Intenational Journal of Science and Mathematics Education*, 20, hlm. 1-20.
- Chin, C-C., Yang, W-Y., & Tuan, H-L. (2014). Argumentation in a socio scientific context and its influence on fundamental and derived science literacies. *Instructional of Science and Mathematics Education*, 14 (4), hlm. 603-617.
- Choi, A., Hand, B., & Meier, L.N. (2013). Grade 5 students' online argumentation about their in-class inquiry investigation. *Research Science Education*. 44, (2), hlm.267-287.
- Christenson, N., Rundgren, S.N.C., & Zeidler, D.L. (2014). The Relationship of discipline background to upper secondary students' argumentation on socioscientific Issues. *Research Science Education*, 44 (4), hlm. 581-601.
- Cross, D., *et al.* (2008). Argumentation: a strategy for improving achievement and revealing scientific identities. *International Journal of Science Education*, 30 (6), hlm. 837-861.
- Dahar, R.W. (1996). *Teori-teori belajar*. Jakarta: Erlangga.
- Dawson, V. & Venville, G. J. (2009). High-school students' informal reasoning and argumentation about biotechnology: an indicator of scientific literacy?. *International Journal of Science Education*, 3 (11), hlm. 1421–1445.
- Dawson, V. M., & Venville, G. (2010). Teaching strategies for developing students' argumentation skills about socioscientific issues in high school genetics. *Research Science Education*. 40, (2), hlm.133–148.

- Depkominfo. (2005). *Himpunan Perundang-Undangan Lingkungan Hidup*. Jakarta: Departemen Komunikasi dan Informatika RI.
- Destiansari, E. (2016). *Kemampuan guru dalam mengintegrasikan penalaran dan wellbeing siswa ke dalam PCK melalui pelatihan*. (Tesis). Sekolah Pascasarjana, Universitas Pendidikan Indonesia, Bandung.
- Dolan, E. & Grady, J. (2010). Recognizing students' scientific reasoning: a tool for categorizing complexity of reasoning during teaching by inquiry. *Journal of Science Teacher Education*, 21 (1), hlm. 31 – 55.
- Duschl, R. A. (2007). Quality of argumentation and epistemic criteria. Dalam Erduran, S. & Jimenez-Alexandre, M (Penyunting). *Argumentation in Science Education, Science & Technology Education Library*, 35, hlm. 159-175.
- Duschl, R.A., & Osborne, J. (2002): Supporting and Promoting Argumentation Discourse in Science Education. *Studies in Science Education*, 38 (1), hlm. 39-72.
- Eames, C., et al. (2012) Core: a way to build pedagogical content knowledge for beginning teacher. Artikel: *Teaching & Learning Research Initiative, University of Waikato*.
- Erduran, S., Simon, S., & Osborne, J. (2004). TAPping into argumentation: development in the application of Toulmin's argumentation pattern for studying science discourse. *Science Education*, 88 (6), hlm. 915-933.
- Faikhamta, C. dan Clarke, A. (2013). A self study of a Thai teacher educator developing a better understanding of PCK for teaching about teaching science. *Research Science Education*. 43 (3), hlm. 955 -979.
- Falk, A., & Brodsky, L. (2013). Scientific argumentation as a foundation for the design of inquiry-based science instruction. *The Journal of Mathematics and Science: Collaborative Exploration*, 13 (Spring) hlm. 27-55.
- Fischer, et al. (2014). Scientific reasoning and argumentation: Advancing an interdisciplinary research agenda in education. *Frontline Learning Research*, 2 (3), hlm. 28-45.
- Fogarty, Robin. (1991). *The Mindful School: How to Integrate the Curricula*. Illinois: IRI/Skylight Publishing. Inc.

- Foong, C.C., & Daniel, E.G.S. (2013). Students' argumentation skills across two socio-scientific issues in a confucian classroom: is transfer possible? *International Journal of Science Education*, 35 (14), hlm. 2331-2355.
- Fraenkel, J.R., Wallen, N.E., & Hyun, H.H. (2012). *How to design and evaluate research in education*. New York : McGraw-Hill.
- Frosch, C. A. (2008). A framework for analyzing reasoning in science classroom discourse: paper presented at the annual meeting of the American Educational Research Association, 14 (1), hlm. 39-44.
- Furtak, et al. (2008). *A framework for analyzing reasoning in science classroom discourse*. New York: Annual Meeting of the American Educational Research Association.
- Garcia-Mila et al. (2013). The effect of argumentative task goal on the quality of argumentative discourse. *Science Education*. 97, (4), hlm.497-523.
- Garcia-Mila, M. & Andersen, C. (2007). Cognitive Foundations of Learning Argumentation. Dalam Erduran, S. & Jimenez-Alexandrie, M.P (Penyunting), *Argumentation in Science Education, Volume 35 of the series Science & Teachnology Education Library* (hlm. 29-45). Netherlands: Springer Netherlands.
- Garritz, A., Irazoque, G., & Izquierdo, M. (2012). *Pedagogical knowledge of chemical equilibrium: a comparison between high school teachers and undergraduate professors*. [Online]. Diakses dari <https://seminariorepensarlabioquimica.files.wordpress.com/2014/03/garritz-izquierdo-irazoque-feb2014.pdf>
- Gillies et al. (2014). Primary students' scientific reasoning and discourse during cooperative inquiry-based science activities. *International Journal of Educational Research*, 63, hlm. 127–140.
- Gillies, et al. (2012). The effects of two meta cognitive questioning approaches on children's explanatory behaviour, problem-solving, and learning during cooperative, inquiry-based science. *International Journal of Educational Research*, 53, hlm.93–106.

- Gillies, R. (2011). Promoting thinking, problem-solving and reasoning during small group discussions. *Teachers and Teaching: Theory and Practice*, 17 (1), hlm. 73–89.
- Grooms, J., Sampson, V., & Golden, B. (2014). Comparing the effectiveness of verification and inquiry laboratories in supporting undergraduate science students in constructing arguments around socioscientific issues. *International Journal of Science Education*, 39 (9), hlm. 1412-1433.
- Grossman, P.L. (1990). *The making of a teacher: teacher knowledge and teacher education*. New York: The Teachers Collages Press.
- Hanuscin, D., Lee, M. (2011). Elementary teachers' pedagogical content knowledge for teaching the nature of science. *Science Education*, 95 (1), hlm. 145-167.
- Harlen, W. (1992). *The teaching of science: studies in primary education*. Michigan: David Fulton Publishers Inc.
- Harlen, W. (Ed) (2010). *Principal and big ideas of science education*. Hatfiled, Herts: Association for Science Education.
- Harris, R. & Ratcliffe, M. (2005) Socio-scientific issues and the quality of exploratory talk - what can be learned from schools involved in a 'collapsed day' project? *The Curriculum Journal*, 16 (4). Hlm. 439-453.
- Hayat, M.S (2015). Analisis persepsi mahasiswa terhadap implementasi wacana argumentasi dalam pembelajaran. *Prosiding Semnas Sains & Entrepreneurship II*: ISBN:978-602-99975-1-4 (hlm.403-410). Semarang: Universtas PGRI Semarang
- Heng, L.L., Surif, J., & Seng, C.H. (2015). Malaysian students' scientific argumentation: do groups perform better than individual? *International Journal of Science Education*, 37 (3), hlm. 505-528.
- Herawati, D. (2015). *Penalaran ilmiah (scientific reasoning) siswa sekolah berorientasi lingkungan dan sekolah multinasional*. (Tesis). Sekolah Pascasarjana, Universitas Pendidikan Indonesia, Bandung.
- Herlina, L. (2015). *Perkembangan pedagogic content knowledge mahasiswa calon guru Biologi peserta program pengalaman lapangan*. (Tesis). Sekolah Pascasarjana, Universitas Pendidikan Indonesia, Bandung.

- Hodgkinson, G.P., *et al.* (2002). Further reflection on the elimination of framing bias in strategic decision making. *Strategic Management Journal*, 23 (11), hlm. 1069-1076.
- Hornikx, J. & Hahn, U. (2012). Reasoning and argumentation: Toward an integrated psychology of argumentation. *Thinking & Reasoning*, 18 (3), hlm. 225-243.
- Hume, A. & Berry, A. (2011). Constructing CoRes- a strategy for building PCK in pre-service science teacher education. *Research Science Education*, 41(3), hlm. 341-355.
- Hume, A. & Berry, A. (2013). Enhancing the practicum experience for pre-service chemistry teachers through collaborative CoRe design with mentor teachers. *Research Science Education*, 43(5), hlm. 2107-2136.
- Hume, A. (2010). *CoRes as Tools for Promoting Pedagogical Content Knowledge of Novice Science Teacher: Chemistry Education in New Zealand*. Waikato: School of Education, University of Waikato.
- Inch, E.S., Warnick, B., & Endres, D. (2006). *Critical thinking and communication*. Boston: Pearson Education, Inc.
- Jasin, M. (2011). *Ilmu alamiah dasar*. Jakarta: Grafindo
- Jiménez-Aleixandre, *et al.* (2000). “Doing the lesson” or “doing science”: Argument in high school genetics. *Science Education*, 84 (6), hlm. 757–792.
- Karahan, E. & Roehrig, G. (2016). Secondary school students’ understanding of science and their socio scientific reasoning. *Research Science Education*, DOI 10.1007/s11165-016-9527-9, hlm. 1-28.
- Kartal, T., Ozturks, N., & Ekici, G. (2012). Developing pedagogical content knowledge in preservice science teacher through microteaching lesson study. *Procedia-Social and Behavioral Sciences*, 46, hlm.2753-2758.
- Kelly, G.J. & Takao, A. (2002). Epistemic levels in argument: An analysis of university oceanography students’ of evidence in writing. *Science Education*, 86, hlm. 314–342.
- Khan, W., & Ullah, H. (2010). Scientific reasoning: a solution to the problem of induction. *International Journal of Basic Applied Science*, 10 (3), hlm. 58-62.

- Kiliç, D. & Saglam, N. (2014). Students' understanding of genetics concepts: the effect of reasoning ability and learning approaches. *Journal of Biological Education*, 48 (2), hlm. 63-70.
- Kind, P.M., *et al.* (2011). Peer argumentation in the school science laboratory - exploring effects of task features. *International Journal of Science Education*. 33(8), hlm. 2527–2558.
- Kind, V. (2009). Pedagogical content knowledge in science education: perspectives and potential for progress. *Studies in Science Education*, 45 (2), hlm. 169–204.
- Klosterman, M.L. & Sadler, T.D. (2010). Multi-level assessment of scientific content knowledge gains associated with socioscientific issues-based instruction. *International Journal of Science Education*, 32 (8), hlm. 1017-1043.
- Kompas. (2011). 70 Persen Tanah DKI Tercemar Air Limbah. [Online]. Diakses dari <http://nasional.kompas.com/read/2011/04/25/17360217/70-Persen-Tanah-DKI-Tercemar-Air-Limbah>
- Kostantinidou, A. & Macagano, F. (2013). Understanding students' reasoning: argumentation schemes as an interpretation method in science education. *Science and Education*, 22 (1), hlm. 1069-1087.
- Kuhn, D. & Udell, W. (2003). The development of argument skills. *Child Development*, 74 (5), hlm. 1245 – 1260.
- Kuhn, D. (2010). *Handbook of childhood cognitive development: What is scientific thinking and how does it develop?* New York: Teacher Collage Columbia University.
- Kuhn, D. (2010). Teaching and learning science as argument. *Science Education*, 95 (5) hlm. 810-824.
- Kurniadi, A., *et al.* (2015). Analisis Reasoning Skill Siswa pada Pembelajaran Biologi SMA. *Prosiding Simposium Nasional Inovasi dan Pembelajaran Sains 2015*. Hlm. 237-240.
- Lawson, A.E. (2004). The nature and development of scientific reasoning: a synthetic view. *International Journal of Science and Mathematics Education*, 2 (3), hlm. 307-338.



- Lazonder, A. W., & Drost S. W. (2015). Advancing scientific reasoning in upper elementary classrooms: direct instruction versus task structuring. *Journal of Science Education and Technology*, 24, hlm. 69-77.
- Lebrun *et al.* (2002). Past and current trends in the analysis of textbooks in a Quebec context. *Curriculum Inquiry*, 32, (1), hlm. 51-83.
- Lin, S-S & Mintzes, J.J. (2010). Learning argumentation skills through instruction in socioscientific issues: the effect of ability level. *International Journal of Science and Mathematics Education*, 8(6), hlm. 993-1017.
- Loughran, J., Berry, A., & Mulhall, P. (2012). *Understanding and developing science teachers' pedagogical content knowledge*. Rotterdam: Sense Publishing.
- Loughran, J., Mulhall, P., & Berry, A. (2004). In search of pedagogical knowledge in science: developing ways of articulating and documenting professional practice. *Journal of Research in Science Teaching*, 41 (4), hlm. 370-391.
- Magnusson, S., Krajacik, J., & Borko, H. (1999). Nature, sources, and development of PCK for science teaching, Dalam Gess-Newsome, J & Lederman, N.G. (Penyunting), *Examining PCK: the construct and its implications for science education*. Boston: Kluwer Academic Press, hlm. 95-120.
- Margiyono, I. & Mampouw, H.L. (2011). Deskripsi *pedagogic content knowledge* guru pada bahasan tentang bilangan rasional. *Proceeding International Seminar and the Fourth National Conference on Mathematics Education* (ISBN : 978-979-16353-7-0). Yogyakarta: Universitas Negeri Yogyakarta.
- Matlin, M.W. (2009) *Cognitive psychology (seventh edition international student version)*. New York: John Wiley & Sons, Inc.
- Mavhunga, E. & Rollnick, M. (2013). Improving PCK of chemical equilibrium in pre-service teachers. *African Journal of Research in Mathematics, Science, and Technology Education*, 17 (1-2), hlm. 113-125.
- McDonald, C. V. (2013). An examination of preservice primary teachers' written arguments in an open inquiry laboratory task. *Science Education International*, 24 (3), hlm. 254-281.

- McNeill, K.L. & Pimentel, D.S. (2010). Scientific discourse in three urban classrooms: the role of the teacher in engaging high school students in argumentation. *Science Education*, 94 (2), hlm. 203-229.
- Mohammad, R. F., & Kumari, R. (2007). Effective use of textbooks: a neglected aspect of education in Pakistan. *Journal of Education for International Development*, 3 (1), hlm 1-12.
- Nichols, K., Hedberg, J., & Gillies, R.M. (2016). Primary teachers' representational practices: from competencies to fluency. *Cambridge Journal Education*, 46 (4), hlm. 509-531.
- Nilson, P. (2014). When teaching makes difference: developing science teacher's pedagogical content knowledge through learning study. *Internatioanal Journal of Science Education*, 36(11), hlm. 1794-1814
- Nilsson, P. & Loughran, J. (2012). Exploring interaction among components of pedagogical content knowledge in practicum: the development of pre-service science elementary teachers' pedagogical content knowledge. *Science Teacher Education*, 23(7), hlm. 699-721.
- Okumus, S. & Unal, S. (2012). The effects of argumentation model on students' achievement and argumentation skills in science. *Procedia-Social and Behavioral Sciences*, 46, hlm. 457 – 461.
- Osborne, J., *et al.* (2001). Enhancing the quality of argument in school science. *School Science Review*, 82 (301), hlm. 63-70.
- Osborne, J., *et al.* (2013). Learning to argue: a study of four school and their attempt to develop the use of argumentation as a common instructional practice and its impact on students. *Journal of Research in Science Teaching*, 50 (3), hlm, 315-347.
- Padilla, K. & Garritz, A. (2011). The pedagogical content knowledge of university chemistry professors teaching stoichiometry, *NARST 2011 Annual Meeting*.
- Peraturan Menteri Pendidikan Nasional Republik Indonesia Nomor 16 tahun 2007, *Tentang Standar Kualifikasi dan Kompetensi Guru*.
- Peraturan Menteri Pendidikan Nasional Republik Indonesia Nomor 16 Tahun 2007, *Tentang Standar Kualifikasi dan Kompetensi Guru*.

- Piraksa, C., Srisawasdi, N., & Koul, R. (2014). Effect of gender on students' scientific reasoning ability : a case study in Thailand. *Procedia – Social and Behavioral Sciences*, 116, hlm. 486 – 491.
- Prawirohartono, S. 2004. *Sains Biologi Satu*. Jakarta : Bumi Aksara.
- Purwaningsih, E. (2015). Potret Representasi *Pedagogical Content Knowledge (PCK)* Guru dalam Mengajarkan Materi Getaran dan Gelombang pada Siswa SMP. *Indonesian Journal of Applied Physics*. 5, (1), hlm. 9-16.
- Purwanto, N. (2010). *Prinsip – prinsip dan teknik evaluasi pengajaran*. Bandung: Remaja Rosdakarya.
- Rachmawati, L. D. dan As'ari, F. *Pencemaran air*. [Online]. Diakses dari <http://pollutiononmyearth.weebly.com/pencemaran-air.html>
- Remigio, K.B., Yangco, R.T., & Espinosa, A.A. (2014). Analogy-enhanced instruction: Effect on reasoning skills in science. *The Malaysian Online Journal of Educational Science*, 2 (2), hlm. 1-9.
- Robinson, T. J., *et al.* (2014). The impact of open textbooks on secondary science learning outcomes. *Educational Researcher*, 43 (7), hlm. 341 – 351.
- Rozenszajn, R., Yarden, A. (2014). Expansion of biology teachers' pedagogical content knowledge (PCK) during a long-term professional development program. *Research Science Education*, 44(1), hlm.189-213.
- Ruswandi dan A. Yani. (2011). *Pendidikan Lingkungan Hidup*. Bandung: Mughni Sejahtera.
- Sagala, S. (2010). *Konsep dan makna pembelajaran*. Bandung: Alfabeta.
- Salandanan, G. G. (2000). *Teaching Approaches and Strategies*. Quezon city: Katha Publishing Co., Inc.
- Sampson, V & Clark, D.B. (2008). Assessment of the ways students generate arguments in science education: current perspectives and recommendations for future directions. *Science Education*, 92(3), hlm. 447-472.
- Sampson, V. & Blanchard, M. (2012). Science teachers and scientific argumentation: trends in views and practice. *Journal of Research in Science Teaching*, 49 (9), hlm. 1122-1148.
- Sampson, V., Grooms, J., & Walker, J.P. (2011). Argument-driven inquiry as a way to help students learn how to participate in scientific argumentation and

- craft written arguments: an exploration study. *Science Education*, 95 (2), hlm. 217-257.
- Sarkim, T. (2015). *Pedagogical content knowledge: Sebuah konstruksi untuk memahami kinerja guru di dalam pembelajaran. Prosiding Pertemuan Ilmiah XXIX HFI Jateng & DIY* (hlm.7-12). ISSN : 0853-0823.
- Scott, J. H. (2009). *The principle of argumentation*. [Online]. Diakses dari <http://www.csun.edu/~hcpas003/argument.html>
- Scott, P., Mortimer, E., & Aguiar, O. (2006). The tension between authoritative and dialogic discourse: a fundamental characteristic of meaning making interactions in high school science lessons. *Science Education*, 90, hlm. 605–631.
- Shefarat, R. (2015). Critical thinking, reasoning, and logical concluding' abilities in relation to academic achievement among Indian adolescent students. *The International Journal of Indian Psychology*, 3 (1), hlm. 145-155.
- Shemwell, J. T., & Furtak, E. M. (2010). Science Classroom Discussion as Scientific Argumentation: A study of conceptually rich (and poor) student talk. *Educational Assessment*, 15(3-4), hlm. 222-250.
- Shulman, L. S. (1986). Those who understand: knowledge growth in teaching, *Educational Researcher*, 15(2), hlm. 4–14.
- Shulman, L. S. (1987). Knowledge and teaching: foundations of the new reform, *Harvard Educational Review*, 57(1), hlm. 1-22.
- Sianipar, P.N. (2014). *Pengetahuan guru tentang strategi pembelajaran Fisika: studi kasus 3 orang guru Fisika SMA di Yogyakarta*. (Skripsi). Program Studi Pendidikan Fisika, Universitas Sanata Dharma, Yogyakarta.
- Simonneaux, L. & Simonneaux, J. (2009). Socio-scientific reasoning influenced by identities. *Cultural Studies of Science Education*, 4 (3), hlm. 705-711.
- Simosi, M. (2003). Using Toulmin's framework for the analysis of everyday argumentation: Some methodological consideration. *Argumentation*, 16, hlm. 185-202.
- Sugiyono. (2011). *Metode penelitian kuantitatif, kualitatif dan R & D*. Bandung: Alfabeta.

- Sukardi, R.R & Agustrianti, Y.V. (2017). Analysis of students' argumentation skill and conceptual knowledge in friction force lesson through argumentative task. *Atlantis Press : Advances in Social Science, Education, and Humanities Research (ASSEHR)*, 57, hlm. 80-84.
- Sukmadinata, N.S. (2009). *Metode penelitian pendidikan*. Bandung : PT Remaja Rosdakarya.
- Tempo. (2012). *Walhi: Pencemaran Air Naik Lebih dari 30 Persen*. [Online]. Diakses dari <https://nasional.tempo.co/read/news/2012/04/06/206395227/walhi-pencemaran-air-naik-lebih-dari-30-persen>.
- Tempo. (2013). *Citarum, Sungai Paling Tercemar di Bumi*. [Online]. Diakses dari <https://nasional.tempo.co/read/news/2013/11/07/173527891/citarum-sungai-paling-tercemar-di-bumi>.
- Tytler, R. & Peterson S. (2003). Tracing young children's scientific reasoning. *Research in Science Education*, 33(4), hlm. 433-465.
- Tytler, R., Prain, V., Hubber, P., & Waldrup, B. (2013). *Constructing representations to learn in science*. The Netherlands: Sense Publishers.
- Tytler, R., *et al.* (2009). An explicit representational focus for teaching and learning about animals in the environment. *Teaching Science*, 55 (4), hlm. 21-27
- Van Driel, J.H., Verloop, N. dan De Vos, W. (1997). Developing science teachers' pedagogical content knowledge. *Research in Science Teaching*, 35 (6), hlm.673-695.
- Van Driel, V. (2010). Model-based development of science teacher' pedagogical content knowledge. *Paper Presented at Internation Seminar, Professional Reflecons, National learning centre*, New York. February.
- Varma, K. (2014). Supporting scientific experimentation and reasoning in young elementary school students. *Journal of Science Education and Technology*, 23 (3), hlm. 381-397.
- Wahyuni, H.T. (2013). *Pemahaman guru Fisika tentang materi pembelajaran dan hubungannya dengan proses pembelajaran dan penilaian hasil belajar*.

- (Skripsi). Program Studi Pendidikan Fisika, Universitas Sanata Dharma, Yogyakarta.
- Waldrip, B. & Prain, V. (2012). Reasoning through representing in school science. *Teaching Science*, 58 (4), hlm. 14-18.
- Waldrip, B., Prain, V., & Selling, P. (2013). Explaining Newton's laws of motion: using student reasoning through representations to develop conceptual understanding. *Instructional Science*, 41 (1), hlm.165-189.
- Walsh, J.A. & Sattes, B. D. (2005). *Quality questioning: research-based practice to engage every learner*. New Delhi: Sage Publications India Pvt, Ltd.
- Williams, J. *et al.* (2012). Promoting pedagogical content knowledge development for early career secondary teachers in science and technology using content representtaions. *Research in Science & Technological Education*, 30 (3), hlm. 327-343.
- Wu, Y. T. & Tsai, C. C. (2011). The effects of different on-line searching activities on high school students' cognitive structures and informal reasoning regarding a socio-scientific issue. *Research in Science Education*, 41(5), hlm. 771-785.
- Wu, Y.T. & Tsai, C.C. (2007). High school students' informal reasoning on a socio-scientific issue: qualitative and quantitative analyses. *International Journal of Science Education*, 29 (9), hlm. 1163-1187.
- Wu, Y.T. & Tsai, C.C. (2011). High school students' informal reasoning regarding a socio-scientific issue, with relation to scientific epistemological beliefs and cognitive structures. *International Journal of Science Education*, 33 (3), hlm 371-400.
- Yang, F-Y & Tsai, C-C. (2010). Reasoning about science-related uncertain issues and epistemological perspectives among children. *Instructional Science: An International Journal of the Learning Sciences*, 38 (4), hlm. 325-354.
- Zimmerman, C. (2007). The development of scientific thinking skills in elementary and middle school. *Development Review*, 27(2), hlm. 172-223.
- Zohar, A. & Nemet, F. (2002). Fostering students' knowledge and argumentation skills through dilemmas in human genetics. *Journal of Research in Science Teaching*, 39 (1), hlm. 35-62.