

REFERENCES

- Anderson, L. W., Krathwohl, D. R., and Bloom, B. S. (2001). *A taxonomy for learning, teaching, and assessing: A revision of Bloom's taxonomy of educational objectives*. San Fransisco: Pearson.
- Arikunto, S. (2010). *Prosedur Penelitian Suatu Pendekatan Praktik*. Jakarta: Rineka Cipta.
- Arikunto, S. (2013). *Dasar-dasar Evaluasi Pendidikan (Edisi 2)*. Jakarta: Bumi Aksara
- Barron, F. and Harrington, D. M. (1981). *Creativity, Intelligence, and Personality*. [Online]. Retrieved from <http://www.sagepub.com>.
- Berlin, N., Tavani, J. L., & Beasancon, M. (2015). An exploratory study of creativity, personality and schooling achievement. *Education Economics Journal*.
- Besemer, S. P., & Treffinger, D. J. (1981). Analysis of Creative Products: Review and Synthesis. *The Journal of Creative Behavior*, 15(3), 158-178.
- Besemer, S. P. and O'Quin, K. (1986).). Analyzing creative products: Refinement and test of a judging instrument. *Journal of Creative Behavior*. 20(2), 115-126.
- Boopathiraj, C., and Chellamani, D. (2013). Analysis of Test Items on Difficulty level and Discrimination Index in the test for research in education. *International Journal of Social Science and Interdisciplinary Research*. 2(2), 189-192.
- Creswel, J. W. (2012). *Educational Research Planning, Conducting, and Evaluating Quantitative and Qualitative Research Fourth Edition*. Boston: Pearson.
- Cocco, J. & Quttainah, M. A. (2015). Creativity Versus Innovativeness: Exploring the Differences between the Two Constructs May Lead to Greater Innovation in Large Firms. *International Journal of Business and Management*. 10 (10).
- Corazza, G. E., & Agnoli, S. (2015). *Multidisciplinary Contributions to the Science of Creative Thinking*. Bologna: Springer

- Crocker, L., and Aigina, J. (2006). *Introduction to Classical and Modern Test Theory*. Ohio: Cengage Learning.
- Cutnell, J. D., & Johnson, K. W. (2012). *Physics 9e*. Hoboken: John Wiley & Sons, Inc.
- Demircioğlu, G., & Yadigaroglu, M. (2011). The Effect of Laboratory Method on High School Students' Understanding of The Reaction Rate. *Western Anatolia Journal of Educational Sciences (WAJES)*. 1308-8971.
- Duncan, T., & Kennet, H. (2014). *Cambridge IGCSE Physics Third Edition*. London: Hodder Education
- Fraenkel, J. R., Wallen, N. E., and Hyun, H. H. (2011). *How to Design and Evaluate Research in Education*. New York: McGraw-Hill.
- Hake, R. R. (1999). *Analyzing Change/ Gain Score*. [Online]. Retrieved from <http://www.physics.indiana.edu>.
- Hodson, D. (1993). Re-Thinking Old Ways: Towards A More Critical Approach To Practical Work In School Science. *Studies in Science Education*. 22, 85-142.
- Hofstein, A., & Lunetta, V. N. (2004). The Laboratory In Science Education: Foundations For The Twenty First Century. *Science Education*. 88, 28-54.
- Kaplan, R. M., and Saccuzzo, D. P. (2012). *Psychological Testing Principles, Applications, and Issues*. Belmont: Wadsworth.
- Lucas, B., Claxton, G., and Spencer, E. (2014). Progression in Student Creativity in School: First Steps towards New Forms of Formative Assessments. *Contemporary Readings in Law and Social Justice*. 6(2), 81-121.
- Minium, E., King, B. M., & Bear, G. (1993). *Statistical Reasoning in Psychology and Education, Third Editon*. USA: John Wiley & Son.
- Mulyasa, E. (2011). *Menjadi Guru Profesional Menciptakan Pembelajaran Kreatif dan Menyenangkan*. Bandung: PT Remaja Rosdakarya.
- Munandar, U. (2009). *Pengembangan Kreativitas Anak Berbakat*. Jakarta: Rineka Cipta

- Ndeke, G. C., Okere, M. I., & Keraro, F. N. (2015). Secondary School Biology Teachers' Perceptions of Scientific Creativity. *Journal of Education and Learning*. 5(1). 31.
- Rimmele, R. (2002). *The Videograph: A Videoanalyses Program: Leibniz Institute for Science Education, Kiel, Germany*. [Online]. Retrieved from <http://www.ipn.uni-kiel.de/aktuell/videograph/htmStart.htm>.
- Rimmele, R. (2004). *The Videograph: A Videoanalyses Program: Leibniz Institute for Science Education, Kiel, Germany*. [Online]. Retrieved from <http://www.ipn.uni-kiel.de/aktuell/videograph/htmStart.htm>.
- Rustaman, N., Dirdjosoemarto, S., Yudianto, S. A., Kusumastuti, M. N., Rochintaniawati, D., Achmad, Y., et al. (2005). *Strategi Belajar Mengajar Biologi*. Malang: UM Press.
- Saavedra, A. R., and Opfer, V. D. (2012). *Learning 21st-century skills requires 21st-century teaching*. Rand Corporation
- Sawant, S. P., and Rizvi, S. (2015). Study of Passive Didactic Teacher Centered Approach And An Active Student Centered Approach In Teaching Anatomy. *International Journal of Anatomy and Research*. 3(3), 1192-1197.
- Sere, M.-G. (2002). Towards Renewed Research Questions From The Outcomes of The European Project Labwork in Science Education. *Science Education*. 86, 624-644
- Siemon, D., Rarog, T., & Bissantz, S. R. (2016). Semi-Automated Questions as a Cognitive Stimulus in Idea Generation. 10, 1109.
- Sudjana. (2005). *Metoda Statistika*. Bandung: Tarsito.
- Sunarsih. 2009. Penerapan Metode Praktikum Dalam Meningkatkan Hasil Belajar Siswa mata Pelajaran IPA. (Thesis). Universitas Terbuka Upbjj Malang.
- Treffinger, D. J., Young, G. C., Selby, E. C., and Shepardson, C. (2002). Assessing Creativity: A Guide for Educators. *National Research Center on the Gifted and Talented*.
- Usman, S. et al.,(2014) Perbandingan Penggunaan Metode Demonstrasi Dengan Metode Praktikum Terhadap Hasil Belajar. *Jurnal Kreatif Lampung Online*. 5, 6.

Widodo, A. & Ramdaningsih, V. (2006). Analisis Kegiatan Praktikum Biologi dengan Menggunakan Video. *Jurnal Metalogika: Bidang Kependidikan MIPA*. 9, (2). 148-158