

## DAFTAR PUSTAKA

- Acar, O. & Patton, B. R. (2012). *Argumentation and Formal Reasoning Skills in an Argumentation-Based Guided Inquiry Course*. Procedia-Social and Behavioral Sciences 46 (2012) 4756 – 4760
- Akarsu, B., Bayram, K. Slisko, J. & Cruz, A. C. (2013). *Understanding Elementary Students' Argumentation Skills through Discrepant Event "Marbles in the Jar"*. International Journal of Scientific Research in Education, 6(3), 221-232.
- Anderson and Krathwohl. (2010). *Kerangka Landasan untuk Pembelajaran, Pengajaran, dan Asesmen*. Yogyakarta: Pustaka Pelajar
- Arikunto, S. (2006). *Prosedur Penelitian Suatu Pendekatan Praktek*. Jakarta: Rineka Cipta.
- Dahar, RW. (1996). *Teori-teori Belajar*. Jakarta: Penerbit Erlangga.
- Demircioglu, T. & Ucar, S. (2012). *The Effect of Argument-Driven Inquiry on Pre-Service Teachers' Attitudes and Argumentation Skills*. Procedia – Social and Behavioral Sciences 46 (2012) 5053 – 5039
- Depdiknas. (2006). *Kurikulum 2006 Mata Pelajaran Fisika SMA/MA*. Jakarta.
- Dolan, E. & Grady, J. 2009. *Recognizing Students' Scientific Reasoning: A Tool for Categorizing Complexity of Reasoning During Teaching by Inquiry*. Journal Science Teacher Education. Vol 21: 31-55
- Erduran, S., Simon, S., & Osborne, J. (2004). *TAPping into argumentation: developments in the application studying science discourse*. Wiley Periodicals, Inc.
- Erduran, S. Ardac, D. & Guzel, B.Y. (2006). *Learning To Teach Argumentation: Case Studies of PreService Secondary Science Teachers*. Eurasia Journal Of Mathematics, Science and Technology Education, 2, (2): 1-13
- Erduran, S., & Maria, Pj., (2008). *Argumentation in Science Education*. London: Springer Science.

- Foster,B. (2015). *Akselerasi Fisika untuk Siswa SMA Kelas XI*. Bandung: Duta
- Fraenkel, J. R. & Wallen, N. E. (2008). *How to Design and Evaluate Research in Education*. New York: McGraw-Hill Higher Education.
- Hake, R.R. (1999). *Analyzing Change/Gain Scores*, (online), (<http://www.physics.indiana.edu/~sdi/AnalyzingChange-Gain.pdf>, diakses 27 Februari 2014)
- Hand, B. , & Schoerning, E. (2012). *The Discourse of Argumentation*. Mevlana International Journal of Education (MIJE) Vol. 2(3)
- Haryadi, B. (2009). *Fisika SMA/MA Kelas XI*. Jakarta: Puskurbuk Depdiknas
- Herlianti, Y. dkk. (2012). *Kualitas Argumentasi pada Diskusi Isu Sosiosaintifik Mikrobiologi Melalui Weblog*. Jurnal Pendidikan IPA Indonesia (JPII) Vol. 1 (2) 168-177
- Karplus, R., et al., (1977). *Science teaching and the development of reasoning*. Journal of Research in Science Teaching. Vol. 14(2): 169-175.
- Kuhn, D., & Udell, W. (2003). *The Development of Argument Skills*. Child Development, Volume 74 (5): 1245-1260.
- Lawson, A. E., Alkhouri, S., Benford, R. , Clark, B. R., & Falconer, K. A. (2000). *What Kinds of Scientific concepts exist? Concept construction and Intellectual Development in College Biology*. Journal of Research in Science Teaching. Vol. 37(9):996-1018
- Mergendoller, J. R., & Thomas, J. W. (2000). *Managing Project Based Learning : Principles from The Field*. Novato, CA : Buck Institute for Education.
- NSTA. (2003). *Standards for Science Teacher Preparation*. Revised 2003
- OECD. (2009). *Take the Test: Sample Questions from Oecd's Pisa Assessments*. ISBN 978-92-64-05080-8.
- OECD. (2013). *PISA 2012 Results in Focus*.
- Osborne, J., Erduran, S., & Simon, S. (2004). *Enhancing the quality of argumentation in school science*. Journal of Research in Science Teaching, 41(10), 994-1020.

- Osborne, J. (2005). *The role of argument in Science Education*. K. Boesma, M. Goedhart, O. De Jong, & H. Eijkelhof [Eds]. Research and Quality of Science Education. Dordrecht, Nederlands: Springer.
- Roshayanti, F., & Rustaman, N.Y. (2012). *Peningkatan Kualitas Argumentasi Mahasiswa Berdasarkan Kategori Epistemik Melalui Pengembangan Asesmen Argumentatif pada Konsep Sistem Syaraf dan Penyalahgunaan Napza*. Prosiding Seminar.
- Sampson, V. et al. (2012). *Using laboratory activities that emphasize argumentation and argument to help high school students learn how to engage in scientific inquiry and understand the nature of scientific inquiry*. Paper presented at the annual international conference of the National Association for Research in Science Teaching (NARST). Indianapolis, ID
- Sampson, V. et al. (2011). *Argument-Driven Inquiry: An introduction to a new instructional model for use in undergraduate chemistry labs*. Journal of Chemical Education, 88, 1048-1056
- Sampson, V. et al. (2010). *Argument-Driven Inquiry as a Way to Help Students How to Participate in Scientific Argumentation and Craft Written Arguments: An Exploratory Study*. Wiley Peiodical Inc.
- Sugiono. (2012). *Metode Penelitian Pendidikan Penelitian Kuantitatif, Kualitatif, dan R&D*. Bandung: Alfabeta.
- Suherman, Erman. & Sukjaya, Y. (1990). *Petunjuk Praktis untuk Melaksanakan Evaluasi Pendidikan Matematika*. Bandung: Wijayakusumah 157
- Squire, K., & Mingfong. (2007). *Developing Scientific Argumentation Skills with a Place-based Augmented Reality Game on Handheld Computers*. Journal of Science Education and Technology, Vol. 16 (1).
- Toulmin, S. (2003). *The Uses of Argument*. New York: Cambridge University Press.
- Wenning, C. J. (2006). *A framework for teaching the nature of science*. Journal of Physics Teacher Education Online. 3(3). 3-10. Tersedia : [www.phy.ilstu.edu/jpteo](http://www.phy.ilstu.edu/jpteo)