

DAFTAR PUSTAKA

- Adeleke, A. A., & Joshua, E. O. (2015). Development and Validation of scientific literacy achievement test to assess senior secondary school student's literacy acquisition in physics. *Journal of Education and Practice*. Vol. 6, No. 7, 2015.
- Allen M. J dan Yen, W. M. (1989). *Introduction to Measurement Theory*, California: Broke.
- Altbach G. P, Reisberg L., & Rumbley E. L. (2009). *Trends in global higher education: tracking an academic revolutioni*. A report prepare for the UNESCO 2009 world conference on higher education. UNEESCO: France.
- Al-Rsa'I, M. (2013). *Promoting Scientific Literacy by Using ICT in Science Teaching*. Canadian Center of Science and Education. International Education Studies; Vol. 6, No. 9; 2013.
- Apple, D.K, & Krumsieg. K. (1998). *Process education teaching institute handbook*. Corvalis, OR: Pacific Crest Software.
- Anderson, L. W. dan Krathwohl, D. R. (2010). *Pembelajaran, Pengajaran, dan Asesmen*. Yogyakarta: Pustaka Pelajar.
- Andrews, A. S. (2012). *Multiple choice and essay test: Improving college and university teaching*. University of Michigan: USA. DOI: 10.1080
- Arikunto, S. (2001). *Dasar-dasar Evaluasi Pendidikan*. Jakarta: Bumi Aksara.
- Arikunto, S. (2009). *Dasar-dasar Evaluasi Pendidikan*. Jakarta: Bumi Aksara.
- Aubrecht & Aubrecht. (1983). *Constructing objective test*. American Journal of Physics. 51, 613.
- Azwar, S. (2001). *Tes Prestasi: Fungsi Pengembangan Pengukuran Prestasi Belajar*. Yogyakarta: Pustaka Pelajar Offset.
- Balitbang. (2011). Seminar PISA: *Analisis Trend Kemampuan Siswa Indonesia Hasil PISA 2000-2009*. Jakarta: Depdikbud.
- Beane, J. (1996). Curriculum integration. Designing the core of democratic education. New York and London: Teachers College Press, Columbia University.
- Bechger, T.M., Maris, G., Verstralen, H.H.F.M., & Beguin, A.A. (2003). Using classical test theory in combination with item response theory. *Applied Psychological Measurement*, 27 (5), 319-334.

- Burton, *et al.* (1991). Multiple choice test-items: Guidelines for university faculty. Brigham Young University Testing Services and The Department of Instructional Science.
- Bybee, R. W. (1997). Achieving Scientific Literacy: From purpose to practise . Portsmouth: Heinemann.
- Bybee, R. W. (2009). PISA'S 2006 Measurement of Scientific Literacy: An Insider's Perspective for the U.S. A Presentation for the NCES PISA Research Conference. Washington: Science Forum and Science Expert Group.
- Cambridge International Examinations. (2015). *Assessment for learning*. [online] www.cie.org.uk/images/271179-assessment-for-learning.pdf. 5 Mei 2016.
- Cheung, D., & Bucat, R., *How Can We Construct Good Multiple-Choice Items?*. Paper presented at the Science and Technology Education Conference Hong Kong, June 20-21, 2002.
- Clough, G.W. (2011). Increasing scientific literacy: A shared responsibility. Smithsonian Institution.
- DeBoer. G. E. (1991). *A History of Ideas in Science Education. Implications for Practice*. Teachers College Press, 173-89. New York.
- DeBoer. G. E. (2000). *Scientific Literacy: Another look at its historical and contemporary meanings and its relationship to science education reform*. Journal of research and teaching. Vol. 37, No. 6, PP. 582-601.
- Demirtaşlı, N.C. (2002). A study of raven standard progressive matrices test's item measures under classical and item response models: An empirical comparison. Ankara Üniversitesi Eğitim Bilimleri Fakültesi Dergisi, 35 (2), 71-79.
- Ding Lin & Beichner, R. (2009). *Approaches to data analysis of multiple-choice questions*. Physical review special topics. Physics education research. 5, 20103.
- Doran, R. L., (1980). *Basic measurement and evaluation of science instruction*. National science teachers assocition. New York: Washington DC.
- Dwyer, *et al.* (2008). *What do parents and preschool staff tell us about young children's physical activity: a qualitative study*. International Journal of Behavioral Nutrition and Physical Activity.
- Embretson, S. E., & Reise, S. P. (2000). Item Response Theory for Psychologist. NJ: Lawrence Erlbaum Associates Inc.
- Fan, X. (1998). Item Response Theory and Classical Test Theory: An Empirical Comparison of Their Item/Response Person Statistics. Educational and Psychological Measurement, 58 (3), 357-381.
- Aristo Hardinata, 2016**
- PENGEMBANGAN ALAT UKUR TES LITERASI SAINS PEMBELAJARAN IPA TERPADU PADA TEMA PEMANASAN GLOBAL DI SMP KOTA BANDUNG**
- Universitas Pendidikan Indonesia | repository.upi.edu | perpustakaan.upi.edu

- Firman, H. (2007). *Laporan Analisis Literasi Sains Berdasarkan Hasil PISA Nasional Tahun 2006*. Jakarta: Balitbang Depdiknas.
- Fraenkel, J. R., Wallen, N. E., dan Hyun, H. H. (2012). *How to design and evaluate research in education eight edition*. New York: McGrow Hill Company.
- Gagne, R.M. dan Briggs, L. J. (1979). Principles of instructional Design. Second Edition, New York: United States of America.
- Ghasemi, A., dan Zahediasl, S. (2012). Normality tests for statistical analysis: A guide for non-statisticians. *Int J. Endocrinol Metab.* 2012;10(2):486-9. DOI: 10.5812/ijem.3505. Kowsar corp.
- Guler, N., Uyanik, G. K., dan Teker, G. T. (2014). *Comparison of classical test theory and item response theory in terms of item parameters*. International Association of Social Science Research. 2 (1), 1-6. [online]. <http://iassr.org/journal>. Diakses tanggal 31 Juli 2016.
- Hahn, et al. (2013). *Assessing scientific literacy over the lifespan: A description of the NEPS science framework and the test development*. Journal for educational research online. Vol. 5, No. 2.
- Haladyna, T. M. (1999). *Developing and validating multiple-choice test items*. Mahwah, NJ: Lawrence Erlbaum Associates, Inc.
- Hambleton, R. K., & Swaminathan, H. (1985). *Item Response Theory: Principles and Application*. Boston, MA: Kluwer Inc.
- Hambleton, R. K., dan Jones, R. W. (1993). *Comparison of classical test theory and item response Theory and Their Applications to Test Development*. An NCME Instructional Module. University of Massachusetts at Amherst. [online]. <https://www.ncme.org>. Diakses tanggal 31 Juli 2016.
- Hambleton, R. K., Robin, F., & Xing, D. (2000). *Item Response Models for the Analysis of Educational and Psychological Test Data*. Dalam H. E. Tinsley, & S. D. Brown, *Handbook of applied multivariate statistics and mathematical modeling*. (hal. 553 -581). San Diego, CA: Academic Press.
- Hambleton, R. K., Swaminathan, H., & Rogers, H. J. (1991). *Fundamentals of Item Response Theory*. CA: Sage Publication Inc.
- Harlen, W. (2013). *Assessment & inquiry-based science education: issues in policy and practice*. Trieste: Global Network of Science Academies Science Education Programme. [Online]. Diunduh dari <http://www.interacademies.net>. Diakses 24 mei 2016

- Hestenes, D. (1997). *Modelling methodology for physics teachers, in the changing role of physics departments in modern universities: proceedings of the international conference on undergraduate physics education*, college park, 1996, AIP conference proceedings No. 399 edited by E. Redish and J. Rigden (AIP, New York, 1997), pp. 935; Diunduh dari <http://modelling.asu.edu/r&e/ModellingMeth-jul98.pdf>.
- Hopkins, C. D. Dan Antes, R. L. (1990). *Classroom measurement and evaluation*. University of Virginia; F. E. Peacock.
- Hurd, P.D. (1958). Science literacy: Its meaning for American schools. *Educational Leadership*, 16(1), 13-16.
- Huxham, G. J. & Lipton, A. (1974). *Do multiple choice and essay tests measure different factors?*. British Journal of Medical Education. 8, 204-208.
- IEA. (2013). *Released science items*. TIMSS & PIRLS International Study Center Lynch school of Education, Boston College.
- Jacobs, L. C. dan Chase, C. I. (1992). *Development and Using Test Effectively*. San Fransisco: Josey-Bass Publisher.
- Keefe, E.B. dan Copeland, S.R. What is literacy? The power of a definition. *Research and Practice for Persons with Severe Disabilities* Vol. 36 (3-4) P. 92-99.
- Kemen LH. (2014). Status lingkungan hidup Indonesia 2013: Ketahanan Lingkungan Hidup. [online]. www.menlh.go.id/status-lingkungan-hidup-indonesia. Diakses tanggal 28 Juli 2016.
- Kurniawan, Deni. (2011). *Pembelajaran Terpadu*. Bandung: CV. Pustaka Cendikia Utama.
- Kurniasih, Imas dan Sani, Berlin. (2014). Sukses mengimplementasikan kurikulum 2013. Kata Pena.
- Lawse, C. H. (1975) A Quantitative Approach To Content Validity. Content Validity II. A Conference Held at Bowling Green State University, 28, hal 563-575.
- Lefrancois, G. R. (1982). *Psychology for teaching: A bear rarely faces the front*. University of Michigan; Wadsworth Pub. Co.
- Lord, F. M. (1980). *Application of Item Response Theory to Practical Testing Problems*. Hillsdale, New Jersey: Lawrence Erlbaum Associates Publishers.
- Maloney, et al. (2001). *Surveying student's conceptual knowledge of electricity and magnetism*. American Association of Physics Teachers.

- McMilan, J.H. & Schumacer, S. (2001). *Research in education: a conceptual introduction*. New York: Addison Wesley Longman.
- Mullis, Ina V.S., Martin, M.O., Gonzalez, Eugenio J., Chrostowski, Steven J. *TIMSS 2003 International mathematics report findings from IEA's trend in international mathematics and science Study at the fourth and eighth grade*. Chestnut Hill USA: TIMSS & PIRLS International Study Center, 2004.
- National Research Council. (1996). *National Science Education Standards*. Washington DC: National Academy Press.
- OECD. (2003). PISA 2003. *Learning for Tomorrow's World. First Result from PISA 2003*. Paris: OECD.
- OECD. (2003). Literacy skills for the world of tomorrow – further results from PISA 2000. OECD Publishing.
- OECD. (2004). Learning for tomorrow's world – first results from PISA 2003. OECD Publishing.
- OECD. (2007). PISA 2006: science competencies for tomorrow's world, vol. 1. OECD Publishing.
- OECD. (2010). PISA 2012. Result: What student know and can do. Student performance in mathematics, reading, and science volume I. Paris: OECD Publishing.
- OECD. (2013). PISA 2015. *Draft Science Framework*. Paris: OECD Publishing.
- OECD. (2014). PISA 2012 Results: what 15-year-olds know and what they can do with what they know. OECD Publishing.
- Phopam, W. J. (2006). *Assessment for educational leaders*. United States of America: Pearson Education.
- Purwanto. (2009). *Evaluasi Hasil Belajar*. Surakarta: Pustaka Belajar.
- Ramlo, S. (2008). Validity and reliability of the force and motion conceptual evaluation. *American Journal of Physics*. 76, 882.
- Republik Indonesia, (2003). *Undang-Undang No. 20 Tahun 2003 tentang Sistem Pendidikan Nasional*. Lembaran Negara RI Tahun 2003, No. 78. Sekretariat Negara. Jakarta.
- Sharma, S.V. (2006). High school students interpreting tables and graphs: implications for research. *International Journal of Science and Mathematics Education*. 4: 241-268.

- Shwartz, Y., Ben-Zvi, R., dan Hofstein, A. (2006). *The use of scientific literacy taxonomy for assessing the development of chemical literacy among high school students*. Chemistry Education Research and Practice. 7(4), 203-225.
- Solomon J. dan Thomas J. (1999). *Science Education for The Public Understanding of Science*. Studies in Science Education. 33. pp. 61-90.
- Soobard, R., & Rannikmäe, M. (2011). Assessing student's level of scientific literacy using interdisciplinary scenarios. *Science Education International*, 133-144.
- Sudiatmika, A.A Istri Rai. (2010). *Pengembangan alat ukur tes literasi sains siswa SMP dalam konteks budaya Bali*. S3 disertasi, Universitas Pendidikan Indonesia.
- Sudijono, A. (2006). Pengantar evaluasi pendidikan, Jakarta: PT. RajaGrafindo Persada.
- Sugiyono. (2013). *Metode penelitian pendidikan: pendekatan kuantitatif, kualitatif, dan R&D*. Bandung: Alfabeta.
- Suwarto (2013). *Pengembangan tes diagnostik dalam pembelajaran*. Yogyakarta: Pustaka Pelajar.
- Tamir, P. (2010). *An alternative approach to the construction of multiple choice test items*. School of Education and Israeli Science Teaching Center. The Hebrew University: Jerusalem. 5:6, 305-307.
- Taylor, C. (1999). *An alternative method of answering and scoring multiple choice tests*. Research in Science Education, 1999, 29(3), 353-363.
- Traub, R.E. (1997). Classical test theory in historical perspective. *Educational Measurement: Issues and practice*, 8-14.
- Uno, H. B. dan Kuno, S. (2014). *Asesmen Pembelajaran*. Jakarta: Bumi Aksara.
- Utari, et al, (2015). *Designing science learning for training students' science literacies at junior high school level*. International Conference on Mathematics , Science, and Education. SE 1-2.
- Wulan, A.R. (2003). Pengertian dan esensi konsep evaluasi, asesmen, tes, dan pengukuran.[Online].file.upi.edu/Direktori/SPS/PRODI.../pengertian_asesmen.pdf. 30 Desember 2014.
- Wiliam, Dylan. (2013). *Assessment: The bridge between teaching and learning*. National council of teachers of English. Voice from the Middle, Vol. 21, No. 2.

- Wilson, R. F., Pan, W., Schumsky, A. D. (2012). *Recalculation of the critical values for Lawshe's Content Validity Ratio*. Association for Assesment in Counseling and Education.
- Zimmaro, D. M. (2004). Writing good multiple-choice exams. Measurement and Evaluation Center, The University of Texas. [online] www6.cityu.edu.hk/edge/.../2010.../Seminar03WritingGoodMultipleChoiceExams. Diakses tanggal 22 Mei 2016.