

## REFERENCES

- Abel, K. & Exley, B. (2008). Using Halliday's functional grammar to examine early years worded mathematics texts. *Australian Journal of Language and Literacy*, 31(3), 227-241.
- Alderson, J. C. & Urquhart, A. H. (1985). The effect of students' academic discipline on their performance on ESP reading tests. *Language Testing*, 2(2), 192-204.
- Allwright, R. L. (1981). What do we want teaching materials for? *ELT Journal*, 36(1), 5-18.
- Anthony, L. (2007). AntConc (3.2.1w) [Computer Software]. Tokyo, Japan: Waseda University. Available from <http://www.antlab.sci.waseda.ac.jp/>
- Apple, M. (1991). The culture and commerce of the textbook. In M. Apple and L. Christian-Smith (Eds.), *The politics of the textbook* (pp. 147-162). New York, NY: Routledge.
- Applebee, A. N., Durst, R. K., & Newell, G. E. (1984). The demands of school writing. In A. N. Applebee (Ed.), *Contexts for learning to write: Studies of secondary school instruction* (pp. 55-77). Norwood, NJ: Ablex.
- Atai, M. R. & Gheitanchian, M. (2009). Iranian EFL teachers' attitudes towards teaching methods and materials of high school English textbooks. *Journal of Education*, 4(4), 115-131.
- Beck, I. L., McKeown, M. G., & Gromoll, E. W. (1989). Learning from social studies texts. *Cognition and Instruction*, 6(2), 99-158.
- Beck, I.L., McKeown, M.G., & Worthy, J. (1995). Giving a text voice can improve students' understanding. *Reading Research Quarterly*, 20(2), 220-238.
- Bhatia, V. K. (1999). Genre-mixing in academic introductions. *English for Specific Purposes*, 16(3), 181-196.
- Biber, D. (1986). Spoken and written textual dimensions in English: Resolving the contradictory findings. *Language*, 62(2), 384-414.
- Biber, D. & Finegan, E. (1989). Styles of stance in English: Lexical and grammatical marking of evidentiality and affect. *Text*, 9(1), 93-124.
- Bloom, B., Englehart, M. Furst, E., Hill, W., & Krathwohl, D. (1956). *Taxonomy of educational objectives: The classification of educational goals. Handbook I: Cognitive domain*. New York, NY: Longmans.
- Bloor, T. & Bloor, M. (2004). *The functional analysis of English: A Hallidayan approach* (2<sup>nd</sup> ed.). London, England: Arnold.
- Bruce, B. & Rubin, A. (1988). Readability formulas: Matching tool and task. In A. Davison and G.M. Green (Eds.), *Linguistic complexity and text*

- comprehension* (pp. 5-22). New Jersey, NJ: Lawrence Erlbaum Associates Publishers.
- Butt, D., Fahey, R., Feez, S., Spinks, S., & Yalop, C. (2006). *Using Functional Grammar: An explorer's guide* (2nd ed.). Sydney, Australia: NCELTR.
- Castello, E. (2008). *Text complexity and reading comprehension tests*. Bern, Swiss: International Academic Publishers.
- Cazden, C., John, V. & Hymes, D. (Eds.). (1972). *Functions of language in the classroom*. New York, NY: Teachers College.
- Chall, J. & Squire, J.R. (1991). The publishing industry and textbooks. In R. Barr, M.L. Kamil, P.B. Mosenthal & P.D. Pearson (Eds.), *Handbook of reading research* (pp. 120-146). New York, NY: Longman.
- Chiappetta, E. L., Fillman, D. A. (2007). Analysis of five high school Biology textbooks used in the United States for inclusion of the nature of science. *International Journal of Science Education*, 29(15), 1847-1868.
- Chomsky, N. (1956). Three models for the description of language. *IRE Trans on Inform Theory* IT, 2(3), 113-124.
- Christie, F. and Misson, R. (1997). *Literacy and schooling*. London, England: Routledge.
- Christie, F. (1998). Learning the literacies of primary and secondary schooling. In F. Christie & R. Misson (Eds.), *Literacy and schooling* (pp. 47-73). London: Routledge.
- Christie, F. (2000a). Pedagogic discourse in the post-compulsory years: pedagogic subject positioning. *Linguistics and Education*, 11(4), 313-332.
- Christie, F. (2000b). The case for language in education. *Glossikos Ipologistis. A Journal of the Centre for the Greek Language (Inaugural edition). Thessaloniki*, 1, 35-44.
- Christie, F. (2002). *Classroom discourse analysis: A functional perspective (Open Linguistics series. Ed. R. Fawcett)*. London, England: Continuum.
- Christie, F. (2004a). Systemic functional linguistics and a theory of language in education. *Systemic Functional Linguistics in Action. A Special Edition of the Journal of English Language, Literatures in English and Cultural Studies*, 1, 13-40.
- Christie, F. (2004b). The study of language and subject English. *Australian Review of Applied Linguistics*, 27(1), 15-29.
- Christie, F. (2005). Using the functional grammar to understand children's written texts. *Language and Social Life: Functional Perspectives, Australian Review of Applied Linguistics, Series S* (19), 9-22.
- Coffin, C. (1997). Constructing and giving value to the past: an investigation into secondary school history. In F. Christie & J. R. Martin (Eds.), *Genre and*

- institutions: Social processes in the workplace and school* (pp. 196-230). London, England: Cassell.
- Cranny-Francis, A. & Martin, J.R. (1993). Making new meanings: literary and linguistic perspectives on the function of genre in textual practice. *English in Australia*, 105, 30-44.
- Crowhurst, M. (1980). Syntactic complexity and teachers' quality ratings of narrations and arguments. *Research in the Teaching of English*, 14, 223–231.
- Dale, E. & Chall, J.S. (1948). A formula for predicting readability. *Educational Research Bulletin*, 27, 37-54.
- Dole, J. A., & Osborn, J. (2003). Elementary language arts textbooks: A decade of change. In J. Flood, D. Lapp, & J. R. Squire (Eds.), *Handbook for research on teaching the English language arts* (pp. 631-639). New York, NY: Macmillan.
- Drum, P. A., Calfee, R. C., & Cook, L. K. (1981). The effects of surface structure variables on performance in reading comprehension tests. *Reading Research Quarterly*, 16, 486-514.
- Durst, R. K. (1987). Cognitive and linguistic demands of analytic writing. *Research in the Teaching of English*, 21(4), 347–376.
- Eggins, S. (2004). *An introduction to Systemic Functional Linguistics* (2<sup>nd</sup> ed.). New York, NY: Continuum.
- Elliot, D. & Woodward, A. (Eds.). (1990). *Textbooks and schooling in the United States (90th yearbook of the society for the study of education)*. Chicago, IL: University of Chicago Press.
- Ellis, R. (1995). Does it “work”? Evaluating tasks. *FOLIO*, 2(1), 19-21.
- Ellis, R., Basturkmen, H., & Loewen, S. (2001). Preemptive focus on form in the ESL classroom. *TESOL Quarterly*, 35(3), 407–432.
- Emilia, E. (2005). *A critical genre-based approach to teaching academic writing in a tertiary EFL context in Indonesia*. A PhD thesis submitted to the University of Melbourne.
- Everett, D. L. (2006). Biology and language. *Journal of Linguistics*, 42, 385-393.
- Flesch, R. (1948). A new readability yardstick. *Journal of Applied Psychology*, 32, 221-233.
- Gibson, T. R. (1993). *Towards a discourse theory of abstracts and abstracting*. Nottingham, England: University of Nottingham.
- Gerot, L. & Wignell, P. (1994) *Making sense of Functional Grammar: An introductory workbook*. Sydney, Australia: Gerd Stabler.
- Grant, N. (1990). *Making the most of your textbook*. New York, NY: Longman

- Halliday, M.A.K. (1970). Language structure and language function. *New Horizons in Linguistics*, 1(1), 140-165.
- Halliday, M.A.K. (1978). *Language as social semiotic: The social interpretation of language and meaning*. Annapolis, MD: University Park Press.
- Halliday, M.A.K. (1989). *Spoken and written language* (2<sup>nd</sup> ed.). Oxford, England: Oxford University Press.
- Halliday, M.A.K. (1993). Towards a language-based theory of learning. *Linguistics and Education*, 5(1): 9-116.
- Halliday, M.A.K. (1994). *An introduction to Functional Grammar* (2<sup>nd</sup> ed.). London, England: Arnold.
- Halliday, M.A.K. & Hasan, R. (1985). *Language, context and text: Aspects of language in a social semiotics perspective*. Oxford, England: Oxford University Press.
- Halliday, M.A.K., and Matthiessen, C. (2004). *An introduction to Functional Grammar* (3<sup>rd</sup> ed.). London, England: Arnold.
- Halliday, M.A.K. & Martin, J.R. (1993). *Writing science: literacy and discursive power*. Pittsburgh, PA: University of Pittsburgh Press.
- Johnsen, E.B., Lorentzen, S., Selander, S. & Skyum-Nielsen, P. (1998). *Kunskapens Texter*. Stockholm, Sweden: Universitetsforlaget.
- Johnson, K. (2000). *Readability*. Retrieved on October 10<sup>th</sup>, 2014 from <http://www.timetabler.com/reading.html>
- Kulm, G., Roseman, J., & Treistman, M. (1999). A benchmarks-based approach to textbook evaluation. *Science Books and Films*, 35, 147–153.
- Kress, G. (1994). *Learning to write* (2<sup>nd</sup> ed.). London, England: Routledge.
- Kwapien, J.J., Drozd, S.S., & Orczyk, A.A. (2010). Linguistic complexity: English vs. Polish, text vs. corpus. *Acta Physica Polonica, A*, 117(4), 716-720.
- Le T., Yue, Y., & Le, Q. (2011). *Linguistic complexity and its relation to language and literacy education*. New York, NY: Nova Science Publishers, Inc.
- Macken-Horarik, M. (2002). ‘Something to shoot for’: A systemic functional approach to teaching genre in secondary school science. In A. M. Johns (Ed.), *Genre in the classroom* (pp. 21–46). Mahwah, NJ: Erlbaum.
- Martin, J.R. (1993a). Genre and literacy - modelling context in educational linguistics. *Annual Review of Applied Linguistics*, 13, 141-172.
- Martin, J.R. (1993b). Technology, bureaucracy and schooling: discursive resources and control. *Cultural Dynamics*, 6(1), 84-130.

- Martin, J.R. (2002). Meaning beyond the clause: SFL perspectives. *Annual Review of Applied Linguistics*, 22, 52–74.
- Martin, J.R. (2009). Genres and language learning: a social semiotic perspective. *Linguistics and Education*, 20, 10-21.
- Martin, J.R. (2010). Semantic variation – Modelling realization, instantiation, and individuation in social semiosis. In M. Bednarek & J.R. Martin (Eds.), *New discourse on language: Functional perspectives on multimodality, identity, and affiliation* (pp. 1-34). London, England: Continuum Press.
- Martin, J.R., Matthiessen, C., & Painter, C. (1997). *Working with Functional Grammar*. New York, NY: St. Martin's Press.
- Martin, J. R. & Rothery, J. (1986). What a functional approach to the writing task can show teachers about 'good writing'. In B. Couture (Ed.), *Functional approaches to writing: Research perspectives* (pp. 241–265). London, England: Frances Pinter.
- McCarthy, M. & Carter, R. (1994). *Language as discourse: Perspectives for language teaching*. Harlow, England: Longman.
- McNamara, T. & Deane, D. (1995). Self-assessment activities: Toward autonomy in language learning. *TESOL Journal*, 5(1), 17-21.
- Moss, G. (2006). Textbook language, teacher mediation, classroom interaction and learning processes: The case of natural and social science textbooks in Barranquilla, Colombia. In B. Leila & T.B. Sardinha (Eds.), *Proceedings of 33rd International Systemic Functional Congress* (pp. 879-894). San Paulo, Italy: PUCSP.
- Moss, G. (2010) Textbook language, ideology and citizenship: The case of a history textbook in Colombia. *Functions of Language* 17(1), 71–93.
- Nathan, M.J., Long, S.D., & Alibali, M.W. (2002). The symbol precedence view of mathematical development: A corpus analysis of the rhetorical structure of textbooks. *Discourse Processes*, 33(1), 1-21.
- O'Loughlin, K. (1995). Lexical density in candidate output on direct and semi-direct versions of an oral proficiency test. *Language Testing*, 12(2), 217–237.
- O'Neill, R. (1990). "Why use textbooks?". In R. Rossner & R. Bolitho (Eds.), *Currents of change in English language teaching* (pp. 148-156). Oxford, England: Oxford University Press.
- Ninnes, P. (2001). Representations of ways of knowing in junior high school science texts used in Australia. *Discourse: Studies in the Cultural Politics of Education*, 22(1), 81–94.
- Palincsar, A.S. & Duke, N.C. (2004). The role of text and text/reader interactions in young children's reading development and achievement. *Elementary School Journal*, 105(2), 183-198.

- Piaget, J. (1970). *Science of education and the psychology of the child*. (D. Coltman, Trans.). New York, NY: Orion Press.
- Radencich, M.C. (1995). *Administration & supervision of the reading/writing program*. Needham Heights, MA: Allyn & Bacon.
- Reys, B.J., Reys, R.E., & Chávez, O. (2004). Why mathematics textbooks matter. *Educational Leadership*, 61(5), 61-66.
- Richards, J.C. & Mahoney, D. (1996) Teachers and textbooks: A survey of beliefs and practices. *Perspectives*, 8(1), 40-63.
- Richards, J.C. & Schmidt, R. (2010). *Longman dictionary of language teaching and applied linguistics* (4<sup>th</sup> ed.). London, England: Pearson Education Ltd.
- Rimmer, W. (2008). Putting grammatical complexity in context. *Literacy*, 42(1), 29-35. doi: 10.1111/j.1467-9345.2008.00478.x.
- Rose, D. (2007) Reading genre: A new wave of analysis. *Linguistics and the human sciences*. 2(1), 185-204.
- Rose, D. & Acevedo, C. (2006). Closing the gap and accelerating learning in the Middle Years of schooling. *Australian Journal of Language and Literacy*, 14(2), 32-45.
- Rothery, J. & Stenglin, M. (1997). Entertaining and instructing: exploring experience through story. In F. Christie & J.R. Martin (Eds.), *Genre & Institutions: social process in the workplace and school* (pp. 231-263). London, England: Cassell.
- Schleppegrell, M. J. (2001). Linguistic features of the language of schooling. *Linguistics and Education*, 12, 431-459.
- Schleppegrell, M.J. (2004). *The Language of schooling: A functional linguistic perspective*. Mahwah, NJ: Lawrence Erlbaum Associates Publishers.
- Schleppegrell, M.J., & Achugar, M. (2003). Learning language and learning history: A functional linguistics approach. *TESOL Journal*, 12(2), 21-27.
- Skinner, B.F. (1957). *Verbal behavior*. Englewood Cliffs, NJ: Prentice-Hall.
- Slobin, D.I. (1979). *Psycholinguistics*. Glenview, IL: Scott, Foresman, and Company.
- St. John, M. (2001). *The Status of high school science program and curricular decision-making*. Inverness, CA: Inverness Research Associates.
- Stubbs, M. (1983). *Discourse analysis: The sociolinguistics analysis of natural language*. Oxford, England: Basil Blackwell.
- To, V., Fan, S. & Thomas, D.P. (2013). Lexical density and readability: A case study of English textbooks. *The International Journal of Language, Society and Culture*, 37(7), 61-71.



- Tyson, H. (1997). *Overcoming structural barriers to good textbooks*. Washington, DC: National Education Goals Panel. Retrieved on March 10<sup>th</sup> 2014 from <http://govinfo.library.unt.edu/negp/reports/tyson.htm>.
- Ventola, E. (1995). Thematic development and translation. In M. Ghadessy (Ed.), *Thematic development in English texts* (pp. 85-104). London, England: Pinter.
- Vygotsky, L. (1978). Interaction between learning and development. From: *Mind and Society* (pp. 79-91). Cambridge, MA: Harvard University Press.
- Watts-Taffe, S., Gwinn, C.B., Johnson, J.B., & Horn, M.L. (2003). Preparing Pre-service teachers to integrate technology with the elementary literacy program. *The Reading Teacher*, 57(2), 130 –138.
- White, S. (2012). Mining the text: 34 text features that can ease or obstruct text comprehension and use. *Literacy Research and Instruction*, 51, 143–164.
- Wyatt-Smith, C.M., & Cumming, J.J. (2003). Curriculum literacies: Expanding domains of assessment. *Assessment in Education: Principles, Policy & Practice*, 10(1), 47-59.