

## DAFTAR PUSTAKA

- Arifin, Z. (2011). *Penelitian Pendidikan*. Bandung: PT. Remaja Rosdakarya.
- Bonello, M. (2008). *Sixth Grade Students' mental models of physical education concepts: a framework theory perspective*. Disertasi Doctor pada Department of Kinesiology School of Public Health: tidak diterbitkan.
- Brown, T.L., Lemay, H.E, Bursten, B.E., Murphy, C.J., Woodward, P.M. (2012). *Chemistry The Central Science*. United States of America: Pearson Prentice Hall.
- Butts, B. & Smith, R. (1987). What do students perceive as difficult in H.S.C. chemistry? *Australian Science Teachers Journal*, 32, 45-51.
- Ceyhun, I. & Karagolge, Z. (2005). Chemistry students' misconceptions in electrochemistry. *Australian Journal of Education Chemistry*, 65, 24-28.
- Chandrasegaran, A.L, Treagust, D.F., & Mocerino, M. (2007). The development of a two-tier multiple-choice diagnostic instrument for evaluating secondary school students' ability to describe and explain chemical reactions using multiple levels of representation. *Chemistry Research and Practice*, 8(3), hlm.293-307.
- Chang, R., (2010). *Chemistry:10<sup>th</sup> Edition*. The McGraw-Hill Companies: New York.
- Chittleborough, G.D. (2004). *The Role of Teaching Models and Chemical Representation in Developing Students' Mental Models of Chemical Phenomena*. (Tesis). Curtin University of Technology.
- Ciesla, P., Nodzyska, M., Stawoska, I. (2012). *Chemistry Education in the light of the research*. Krakow: Department of Chemistry and Chemistry Education.
- Corter, J. E. (1995). Using Clustering Methods to Explore the Structure of Diagnostic Test. In P.D. Nichols, S.F. Chipman, & R. L. Brennan (Eds.), *Cognitively diagnostic Assessment* (hal. 327-359). Hillsdale, NJ:Erlbaum.
- Costu, B., Ayas, A., Niaz, M. (2009). Promoting conceptual change in first year students' understanding of evaporation. *Chemistry Education Research and Practice*. 11, 5-16.
- Departemen Pendidikan Nasional. (2007). *Tes Diagnostik*. Jakarta: Depdiknas.
- Devetak, I., Vogrinc, J., Glazar, S.A., (2007). Assessing 16-Years-Old Students' Understanding of Aqueous Solution at Submicroscopic Level. *Springer: Research Science Education*.
- Embretson, S.E., Yang, X., (2007). *Construct Validity and Cognitive Diagnostic Assessment*. Georgia Institute of Technology.

- Elliott & Timulak, L. (2005). Descriptive and interpretive approaches to qualitative research, hlm. 147.
- Farida, I. (2011). Pembelajaran Berbasis Web untuk Meningkatkan Kemampuan Interkoneksi Multiplelevel Representasi Mahasiswa Calon Guru pada Topik Keseimbangan Larutan Asam-Basa. *Jurnal Chemical*, 12(1), hlm. 14 – 24.
- Fitriyani, Intan. (2014). *Profil Model Mental Siswa Pada Materi Termokimia dengan Menggunakan TDM-POE*. (skripsi). FPMIPA UPI, Bandung.
- Franco, C., & Colinvaux, D. (2000). Grasping mental models, dalam Gilbert, J. K. & Boulter, C. J., *Developing models in science education* (hlm. 93-118). Dordrecht: Kluwer.
- Gilbert, J., & Boulter, C. (Eds.). (2000). *Developing models in science education*. Dordrecht: Kluwer Academic Publishers.
- Halim, N.D.A., Ali M.B., Yahaya, N., Said, M.N.H.. (2012). *Mental Model in Learning Chemical Bonding: A Preliminary Study*. Johor: Department of Educational Sciences, Mathematics and Multimedia Creative, Faculty of Education., Universiti Teknologi Malaysia, 81310 Skudai.
- Jansoon, N., Coll, Richard K., and Somsook, E. (2009). Understanding Mental Models of Dilution in Thai Students. *International Journal of Environmental & Science Education* 4 (2), hlm. 147-168.
- Johnstone, A.H. (1991). Why is science difficult to learn? Things are seldom what they seem. *Journal of Computer Assisted Learning: Centre for Science Education*, (7), hlm. 75-83.
- Kala, dkk. (2013) The Effectiveness of Predict-Observe-Explain Technique in Probing Students' Understanding About Acid-Base Chemistry: A case For The Concept of pH, pOH, and strength. *International journal of Science and Mathematics Education*, (11), hlm. 555-574)
- Khanthavy, H., and Yuenyong, C.(2012). *Examining Lao Student's Mental Model of Force and Motion*. Science Education Program, Faculty of Education Khon Kaen University. Thailand.
- Kibirige, S.I, Osodo, J., Tlala, K.M..(2014). The Effect of Predict-Observe-Explain Strategy on Learners' Misconceptions about Dissolved. *University of Limpopo, Polokwane, South Africa. Mediterranean Journal of Social Sciences MCSEER Publishing, Rome-Italy*. 5(4), hlm. 300-310.
- Middlecamp, C. dan Kean, E. (1985). *Panduan Belajar Kimia Dasar (Alih bahasa: A.H Pudjaatmaka)*. Jakarta: PT. Gramedia.
- Miller G., (2014), *Addressing students' difficulties and misconceptions about electrochemistry, in AP Central*, California: University of California, retrieved Oct 10, 2014 from [http:// apcentral.collegeboard.com/](http://apcentral.collegeboard.com/).

- Mulford, D.R., Robinson, W.R. (2002). An Inventory for Alternate Conceptions among First-Semester General Chemistry Students. *Chemical Education Research: Science and Education*. 79(6), hlm. 739-744.
- Nelson, P. G. (2002). Teaching chemistry progressively: From substances, to atoms and molecules, to electrons and nuclei. *Chemistry Education: Research and Practice in Europe*, 3(2), 215–228.
- Nguyen, T. *et.al.* (2007). “First Year Bachelor of Education Students’ Mental Models of Themselves as Learners.” James Cook University Australia.
- Ogude, A.N., Bradley, J.D. (1994). Ionic Conduction and Electrical Neutrality in Operating Electrochemical Cells: Pre-College and College Student Interpretations. *Johannesburg*, 71(1), hlm. 29-34.
- Peraturan Menteri Pendidikan dan Kebudayaan nomor 59 tahun 2013 tentang Kerangka Dasar dan Struktur Kurikulum Sekolah Menengah Atas/ Madrasah Aliyah.
- Sanger, M.J., Greenbowe, T.J., (1997). Common Student Misconceptions in Electrochemistry: Galvanic, Electrolytic, and Concentration Cells, *Iowa: Journal of Research in Science Teaching*, 34(4), hlm. 377-398.
- Sendur, G., Toprak, M., Pekmez, E. (2010). “Analyzing of students’ misconceptions, About Chemical Equilibrium.” *Paper on International Conference on New Trends in Education and Their Implication*. Antalya-Turkey. hlm. 1-7.
- Silberberg. (2007). *Principles of general Chemistry: 2<sup>th</sup> Edition*. Newyork: Mc. Graw Hill Companies inc.
- Sopandi dan Murniati. (2007) Microscopic Level Misconception on topic acid base, salt, buffer and hydrolysis: A case study at a state senior high school. *Seminar Proceeding of the first International Seminar of Science Education*. Bandung: SPS UPI.
- Sugiyono. (2015). *Metode Penelitian Pendidikan*. Bandung: Alfabeta.
- Supasorn, S., (2015). Grade 12 students’ conceptual understanding and mental models of galvanic cells before and after learning by using small-scale experiments in conjunction with a model kit. *Chemistry Education Research and Practice: Royal Society of Chemistry*, (16), hlm. 393-407.
- Talanquer, V. (2011). Macro, Submicro, and Symbolic: Te many faces of the chemistry “triplet”. *International jurnal of Science Education*. 33(2), hlm. 179-195.
- Treagust, D., Chittleborough, G., Mamiala, T. (2003). The Role of Submicroscopic and Symbolic representations in Chemical Explanation. *Curtin University of Technology, International Journal of Science Education*, 25(11), hlm. 1353-1368.

- Tumay, H. (2014). "Prospective Chemistry Teachers' Model Mental of Vapor Pressure." *Chemistry Education Research and Practice*. 14,( ), hlm. 366-379.
- Wang, C.Y. (2007). *The Role of Mental-Modeling Ability, Content Knowledge, And Mental Models In General Chemistry Students' Understanding About Molecular Polarity*. (Disertasi). The Faculty of the Graduate School University of Missouri, Columbia.
- Whitten, dkk. (2014). *General Chemistry (seventh edition)*. USA: Thomson Brooks.