

## DAFTAR PUSTAKA

- Akinoğlu, O. & Tandoğan, R.O. (2007). The Effects of Problem-Based Active Learning in Science Education on Students' Academic Achievement, Attitude and Concept Learning. *Eurasia J. Math. Sci. & Tech. Ed.* 3(1). pp. 71-81.
- Arikunto, S. (2005). *Dasar-Dasar Evaluasi Pendidikan*. Jakarta : Bumi Aksara
- Aubrecht & Aubrecht (1983). Constructing objective test. *American Journal of Physics*, 51, 613
- Badan Penelitian dan Pengembangan. (2011). Survei Internasional PISA. Jakarta: Depdikbud.
- Basl, J. (2011). Effect of School on Interest in Natural Sciences: A comparison of the Czech Republic, Germany, Finland, and Norway based on PISA 2006. *International Journal of Science Education* 33(1). pp. 145-157.
- Baumert, J., & Kölle, O. (1998). Interest research concerning secondary level I: An overview. In L. Hoffmann, A. Krapp, K. A. Renninger, & J. Baumert (Eds.), *Interest and learning. Proceedings of the Seeon-conference on interest and gender* (pp. 241–256). Kiel: Institut fuer die Paedagogik der Naturwissenschaften (IPN)
- Buccheri, G., Gürber, N.A., Brühwiler, C. (2011). The impact of gender on interest in science topics and the choice of scientific and technical vocations. *International Journal of Science Education* 33(1). pp. 159-178
- Burruss, J. D. (1999). Problem-based learning. *Science Scope* 22(6). pp. 46-49.
- Bybee, R. and McCrae, B. (2011). Scientific Literacy and Student Attitudes: Perspectives from PISA 2006 science. *International Journal of Science Education* 33(1). pp. 7-26
- Caesar, M.I.M., Jawawi, R., Matzin, R., Shahrill, M., Jaidin, J. H., Mundia, L. (2016). The benefits of adopting a problem-based learning approach on students' learning developments in secondary geography lessons. *International Education Studies* 9(2). pp. 51-65.
- Chang, K.E, et al, (2001). Learning through computer-based concept mapping with scaffolding aid. *Journal of Computer Assisted Learning* 17, pp. 21-33
- Chi, M.T.H., Glaser, R. and Far, M.J. (1988) "The nature of expertise". Hillsdale, NJ: Lawrence Earlbaum.

- Chiou-Chie Chang. (2008). The effect of concept mapping on students' learning achievements and interests. *Innovations in Education and Teaching International* 45(4), pp. 375-387.
- Chin, C. And Chia, L.G. (2008). Problem-based learning tools. *The Science Teacher*. Nov. 2008. pp. 44-49.
- Coletta, V. P., Phillips, J. A. dan Steinert, J. J. (2007). Why you should measure your students' reasoning ability. *Physc. Teach.* 45. pp. 235-238.
- Cruickshank, B. J. and Olander, J. (2002). Can problem-based instruction stimulate higher order thinking? *Journal of College Science Teaching* 31(6). pp. 374-377.
- Dahtiar, A. (2015). Pembelajaran *levels of inquiry* untuk meningkatkan literasi sains siswa smp pada konteks energi alternatif. *Prosiding Simposium Nasional Inovasi dan Pembelajaran Sains 2015* (SNIPS 2015) 8 dan 9 Juni 2015, Bandung, Indonesia. Hal. 197-200.
- Daniels, Z. (2008). *Entwicklung schulischer Interessen im Jugendalter* [Development of student interest on secondary level]. Muenster: Waxmann
- Departemen Pendidikan Nasional. (2006). *Kurikulum Tingkat Satuan Pendidikan*. Jakarta: Depdiknas
- Departemen Pendidikan Nasional. (2009). *Buku Saku Kurikulum Tingkat Satuan Pendidikan (KTSP) Sekolah Menengah Pertama*, Jakarta : Depdiknas.
- Dewi, L.P.F., Arnyana, I.B.P., Sadia, I.W. (2015). Pengaruh model pembelajaran siklus belajar 7e berbantuan peta konsep terhadap pemahaman konsep dan keterampilan proses siswa SMP. *Jurnal penelitian pascasarjana UNDIKSHA* 5(1).
- Ding, L., Beichner R. (2009). Approaches to data analysis of multiple-choice questions. *Physics education research* 5, 20103.
- Dorough, D.K., Rye, J. A. (1997). Mapping for understanding. *The Science Teacher* 64(1). pp. 36-41
- Drechsela, B., Carstensen, C., Prenzel, M. (2011). The role of content and context in pisa interest scales: a study of the embedded interest items in the pisa 2006 science assessment. *International Journal of Science Education* 33(1). pp. 73–95

- Edwards, A.L. (1957). *Technique of attitude scale construction*. Appleton century crafts, Inc. Chapt. 6, pp. 149-57.
- Escalada, L.T., Zollman, D. A. (1997). An investigation on the effects of using interactive digital video in a physics classroom on student learning and attitudes. *Journal of Research In Science Teaching* 34(5). pp. 467–489
- Fahrung, Muhammad. *et al.* (2013). “Kesiapan Guru dan Siswa dalam Penerapan Kurikulum 2013 Pembelajaran IPA Terpadu di SMP XXX di kota Bandung”. Makalah Matakuliah Studi Kasus, Bandung.
- Fakhriyah, F. (2014) Penerapan *problem based learning* dalam upaya mengembangkan kemampuan berpikir kritis mahasiswa. *JPII* 3(1). pp. 95-101.
- Fogarty, R. (1991a). Integrating the curriculum: Ten ways to integrate the curriculum. *Educational Leadership Oct.* 1991. pp. 61-65.
- Fogarty, R. (1991b). *How To Integrate The Curricula*. Illinois: IRI/Skylight Publishing, Inc.
- Fraenkel, J. R., Wallen, N. E., dan Hyun, H. H. (2012). *How to design and evaluate research in education eighth edition*. New York: McGraw Hill Company.
- Gallagher, S.A., Stephien, W.J., Sher, B.T. & Workman, D. (1999). Implementing Problem-Based Learning in Science Classrooms. *School Science and Mathematics*. 95(3). pp. 136-146.
- Gardner, P. L. (1985). Students' interest in science and technology: An international overview. In M. Lehrke, L. Hoffmann, & P. L. Gardner (Eds.), *Interests in science and technology education* (pp. 15–34). Kiel: Institut fuer die Paedagogik der Naturwissenschaften (IPN).
- Greenwald, N.L. (2000). Learning From Problems. *The Science Teacher*, 67(4), 28-32.
- Grow, P. L. dan Plucker, J. A. (2003). Good problems to have. *The Science Teacher* 70(9). pp. 31-35
- Haeussler, P., & Hoffmann, L. (1998). Qualitative differences in student's interest in physics and the dependence on gender and age. In L. Hoffmann, A. Krapp, K. A. Renninger, & J. Baumert (Eds.), *Interest and learning. Proceedings of the Seeon-conference on interest and gender* (pp. 280–289). Kiel: Institut fuer die Paedagogik der Naturwissenschaften (IPN).

- Hake, R. (1998). Interactive-engagement versus traditional methods: A six thousand-student survey of mechanics test data for introductory physics courses. *Am. J. Phys.* 66 (1).pp.64-74.
- Herman, T. (2007). Pembelajaran berbasis masalah untuk meningkatkan kemampuan berpikir matematis tingkat tinggi siswa sekolah menengah pertama. *Educationist* 1(1). pp. 47-56.
- Hilbert, T.S., Renkl, A. (2008). Concept mapping as a follow-up strategy to learning from texts: what characterizes good and poor mappers? *Instr. Sci.* 36. pp. 53–73
- Holbrook, J., Rannikmae, M. (2009). The Meaning of Scientific Literacy. *International Journal of Environmental & Science Education*. IV. ISSN 1306-3065
- Hudson dan McIntire, W.R. (1977). Correlation between mathematical skills and success in physics. *Am. J. Phys.* 45 (5). Pp. 470-471.
- Kementerian Pendidikan dan Kebudayaan. (2013). *Materi Pelatihan Guru Implementasi Kurikulum 2013 SMP/Mts Ilmu Pengetahuan Alam*. Jakarta: Kemendikbud
- Kern, Cindy;Crippen, Kent J. (2008). Mapping for Conceptual Change. *The Science Teacher* 75(6). pp. 32-38
- Koc, Mustafa. (2012). Pedagogical knowledge representation through concept mapping as a study and collaboration tool in teacher education. *Australasian Journal of Educational Technology*, 28(4), pp 656-670
- Krappa, A. and Prenzel, M. (2011). Research on Interest in Science: Theories, methods, and findings. *International Journal of Science Education* 33(1). pp. 27-50
- Kuder, G. F., Richardson, M. W. (1937). The theory of the estimation of test reliability. *Psychometrika* 2(3). pp. 151-160.
- Latip, A., Permanasari, A. (2015). Pengembangan multimedia pembelajaran berbasis literasi sains untuk siswa smp pada tema teknologi. *Prosiding Simposium Nasional Inovasi dan Pembelajaran Sains 2015* (SNIPS 2015) 8 dan 9 Juni 2015, Bandung, Indonesia. Hal. 189-192.
- Liu, X. (2004). Using concept mapping for assessing and promoting relational conceptual change in science. *Sci. Ed.* 88. pp. 373–396.
- Llewellyn, D. (2007). Making the most of concept maps. *Science Scope* 28 (8). pp. 27–31.

- Maloney, D.P., O'Kuma, T.L., Hieggelke, C.J., Van Heuvelen, A. (2001). Surveying students' conceptual knowledge of electricity and magnetism. *American Journal of Physics*, 69(7), S12-S23.
- Markow, P.G., Lonning, R.A. (1998). Usefulness of concept maps in college chemistry laboratories: students' perceptions and effects on achievement. *Journal of Research In Science Teaching* 34(9). pp. 1015–1029
- Mawardini, A., Permanasai, A., Sanjaya, Y. (2015). Profil literasi sains siswa smp pada pembelajaran ipa terpadu tema pencemaran lingkungan. *Prosiding Seminar Nasional Fisika (E-Journal) SNF2015*. Vol. IV, Okt. 2015. Hal.49-56.
- Meltzer, D. E. (2002). The relationship between mathematics preparation and conceptual learning gains in physics: A possible —hidden variable in diagnostic pretest scores. *Am. J. Phys.* 70(12). pp. 1259-1268.
- Munthe, Bermawi. (2009). *Desain Pembelajaran*. Yogyakarta; Pustaka Insan Madani
- Novak, J.D. (1990a). Concept mapping: A useful tool for science education. *Journal of research in science teaching* 27(10). pp. 937-949
- Novak, J.D. (1990b). Concept maps and Vee diagrams: two metacognitive tools to facilitate meaningful learning. *Instructional Science* 19. pp. 29-52.
- Novak, J.D. (1991). Clarify with Concept Maps. *The Science Teacher* 58(7). pp. 44-49
- Novak, J.D. (2005). *The Theory Underlying Concept Maps and How To Construct Them*. California: Stanford University
- Novak, J.D. (2010). Learning, Creating, and Using Knowledge: Concept maps as facilitative tools in schools and corporations. *Journal of e-Learning and Knowledge Society* 6(3). pp. 21 – 30.
- Novak, J.D. Cañas, A. (2006). The origins of the concept mapping tool and the continuing evolution of the tool. *Information Visualization* 5. pp. 175-184.
- Novak, J.D., Canas A.J. (2008). “*The Theory Underlying Concept Maps and How To Construct and use Them*”. Florida: Florida Institute for Human and Machine Cognition

- Novak, J.D., Gowin, D. B., Johansen, G.T. (1983). The use of concept mapping and knowledge vee mapping with junior high school science students. *Science Education* 67(5). pp. 625-645.
- Novak, J.D., Gowin. D.B. (1985). *Learning how to learn*. Cambridge; Cambridge University Press.
- Odom, A.L., Kelly, P.V. (2001). Integrating concept mapping and the learning cycle to teach diffusion and osmosis concepts to high school biology students. *Sci. Ed.* 85. pp. 615–635
- OECD. (2006). *Assessing scientific, reading and mathematical literacy: a framework for PISA 2006*. [Online]. Tersedia di: <http://www.oecd.org>. Diakses 6 Desember 2015.
- OECD. (2013). *PISA 2015: Draft science framework*. Paris: OECD.
- OECD. (2014). *PISA 2012 Results: What student know and can do. Student performance in mathematics, reading and science volume 1*. Paris: OECD.
- Olsena, R.V., Lieb, S. (2011). Profiles of students' interest in science issues around the world: analysis of data from pisa 2006. *International Journal of Science Education* 33(1). pp. 97–120
- Osborne, J., Simon, S., & Collins, S. (2003). Attitudes towards science: A review of the literature and its implications. *International Journal of Science Education*, 25, 1049–1079.
- Pankratius, W.J. (1990). Building an organized knowledge base: concept mapping and achievement in secondary school physics. *Journal of research in science teaching* 27(4). pp. 315-333.
- Perkins, K., Moore, E., Podolefsky, N., Lancaster, K., Denison, C. (2011). Towards research-based strategies for using phet simulations in middle school physical science classes. *Physics Education Research Conference AIP Conf. Proc.* 1413, pp. 295-298
- Prince, M. and Felder, R. (2007). The many faces of inductive teaching and learning. *Journal of College Science Teaching*. 36(5). pp. 14-20.
- Redhana, W. I. (2012). Model pembelajaran berbasis masalah dan pertanyaan socratik untuk meningkatkan keterampilan berpikir kritis siswa. *Cakrawala Pendidikan XXXI* (3). pp. 351-365.
- Reiska, P., Soika, K., Möllits, A., Rannikmäe, M., Soobard, R. (2015). Using concept mapping method for assessing students' scientific literacy. *Procedia - Social and Behavioral Sciences* 177. pp. 352 – 357

- Rizqiana, N., Hidayat, A., Koes, S.H. (2015). Pengaruh pembelajaran fisika model *problem based learning* (pbl) terhadap kemampuan literasi sains siswa ditinjau dari kemampuan awal. *Prosiding Pertemuan Ilmiah XXIX HFI Jateng & DIY*, Yogyakarta 25 April 2015. ISSN : 0853-0823. pp.196-199.
- Rustaman, N. (2005). Literasi Sains Anak Indonesia 200 dan 2003. Makalah literasi sains, 06 september 2003. Tersedia [Daring] di: [http://file.upi.edu/Direktori/SPS/PRODI.PENDIDIKAN\\_IPA/195012311979032-NURYANI\\_RUSTAMAN/MAKALAH\\_LITSAINS\\_2003\\_sep,06.pdf](http://file.upi.edu/Direktori/SPS/PRODI.PENDIDIKAN_IPA/195012311979032-NURYANI_RUSTAMAN/MAKALAH_LITSAINS_2003_sep,06.pdf)
- Rustaman, N. *et al.* (2004). *Analisis Hasil Bidang Literasi Sains*. Tim Literasi Sains Puspendik
- Rusyani, Endang. (2012). *Desain Pembelajaran*. [pdf], (<http://file.upi.edu/>, diakses tanggal 3 Januari 2016)
- Setyorini U., Sukiswo S.E., Subali B. (2011). Penerapan model problem based learning untuk meningkatkan kemampuan berpikir kritis siswa SMP. *JPFI* 7. pp. 52-56.
- Slavin, R.E. (1999), Comrehensive Approaches to Cooperative Learning, *Theory Into Practice*, 38, 2.
- Slotte, Virpi and Lonka, Kirsti (1999). Spontaneous Concept Maps Aiding The Understanding of scientific concepts. *Int J Sci Educ* 21(5), pp. 515-531.
- Sudjana, Nana. (2010). *Penilaian Hasil Proses Belajar Mengajar*. (Cet. XV). Bandung: PT. Ramaja Rosdakarya.
- Sugiyono. (2011). *Metode Penelitian Kombinasi (Mixed Methods)*. Bandung: ALFABETA.
- Sujarwo. (2016). *Desain Sistem Pembelajaran*, [pdf], (<http://staff.uny.ac.id/>, diakses tanggal 3 Januari 2016)
- Sunarsih. (2013). Efektivitas pembelajaran berbasis masalah sosial dengan strategi belajar *mind mapping* untuk meningkatkan berpikir kritis siswa pada mata pelajaran ips kelas viii smp. *Interaksi* 1(1). pp. 1-11.
- Susilowati. 2013. *Integrated Science Worksheet* Pembelajaran IPA SMP Dalam Kurikulum 2013. Makalah disampaikan dalam PPM “*Diklat Pengembangan Student Worksheet Integrated Science bagi Guru SMP/MTs di Kabupaten Sleman*”. UNY
- Treagust, D.F. & Peterson, R.F. (1998). Learning To Teach Primary Science Trough Problem Based Learning. *Science Education*, 82(2), 215-237.

- Trianto. (2009). *Mendesain Model Pembelajaran Inovatif-Progresif: Konsep, Landasan, dan Implementasinya Pada Kurikulum Tingkat Satuan Pendidikan (KTSP)*. Jakarta: KENCANA.
- Trianto. (2011). *Desain Pengembangan Pembelajaran Tematik bagi anak usia dini TK/RA & anak Usia Kelas Awal SD/MI*. Jakarta. Kencana.
- Utari, S., Karim, S., Setiawan, A., Nugraha, M.G., Saepuzaman, D., Prima, E.C. (2015). Designing science learning for training students' science literacies at junior high school level. *International Conference on Mathematics, Science, and Education 2015 (ICMSE 2015)*. SE-1-6.
- Vanides, J., Yin, Y., Tomita, M., Ruiz-Primo, Maria, A. (2005). Using CONCEPT MAPS in the science classroom. *Science Scope* 28(8). pp. 27-31.
- Wallace, J.D., Mintzes, J.J. (1990). The concept map as a research tool: exploring conceptual change in biology. *Journal of research in science teaching* 27(10). pp. 1033- 1052
- Weideman, M, Kritzinger, W. (2003). "Concept Mapping – a proposed theoretical model for implementation as a knowledge repository". South Africa: University of the Western Cape
- Widiari, N.N., Arnyana, I.B.P., Sadia, I.W. (2015). Pengaruh model pembelajaran inkuiri terbimbing berbantuan peta konsep terhadap literasi sains ditinjau dari gaya belajar siswa kelas VIII. *Jurnal penelitian pascasarjana UNDIKSHA* 5(1).
- Wieman, C. E., Adams, W. K., Loeblein, P. Perkins, K. K. (2010). Teaching physics using phet simulations. *Phys. Teach.* 48. pp. 225-227