

DAFTAR PUSTAKA

- Acar, O., L. Turkmen., and A. Roychoudhury. (2010). Student difficulties in socio-scientific argumentation and decision-making research findings: crossing the borders of two research lines. *International Journal of Science Education*, 32 (9), hlm. 1191-1206.
- Aufschnaiter, V. A., et al. (2007). *Argumentation and The Learning of Science*. Spinger. London
- Bekiroglu, F. O. & Eskin, H. (2012). Examination of the relationship between engagement in scientific argumentation and conceptual knowledge. *International Journal of Science And Mathematics Education*, 10, hlm. 1415-1443.
- Chen, C. T. & She, H. C. (2014). The effectiveness of scientific inquiry with/ without integration of scientific reasoning. *International Journal of Science and Mathematics Education*.
- Dawson, V., & Venville, G. J. (2009). “High-school student’ informal reasoning and argumentation about biotechnology: An indicator of scientific literacy?”. *International Journal of Science Education*. 31 (11), hlm. 1421-1445.
- Dawson, V. M. & Venville, G. (2010). Teaching strategies for developing students’ argumentation skills about socioscientific issues in high school genetics. *Research in Science Education*, 40, hlm. 133-148.
- Eggert, S., & Bogeholz, S. (2010). Students’ use of decision-making strategies with regard to socioscientific issues: An application of the Rasch partial credit model. *Science Education*, 94 (2), hlm. 203–393.
- Ekanara, B. (2015). *Keterampilan Argumentasi Siswa Sekolah Menengah Atas (Studi tentang Keterampilan Pembentukan Klaim mengenai Isu Sosio-Saintifik Siswa Sekolah Menengah Atas Pada Kelompok Budaya Sunda*. (Tesis). Sekolah Pascasarjana, Universitas Pendidikan Indonesia, Bandung.
- Evagorou, M., Aleixandre, M. P. J., & Osborne, J. (2011). ‘Should we kill the Grey Squirrels?’ a study exploring students’ justifications and decision-making. *International Journal of Science Education*, 34 (3), hlm. 401-428.
- Glass, A. L., & Holyoak, K. J. (1986). *Cognition* (2nd ed.). Auckland: McGrawHill International
- Goel, V., & Dolan, R. J. (2004). Differential involvement of left prefrontal cortex in inductive and deductive reasoning. *Cognition*, 93 (3).

- Hadjichambi, D. P., Hadjichambis, A. C., & Korfiatis, K. (2015). How students' values are intertwined with decisions in a socio-scientific issue. *International Journal of Environmental & Science Education*, 10 (3), hlm. 493-513.
- Herawati, D. (2015). *Penalaran Ilmiah (Scientific Reasoning) Siswa Sekolah Berorientasi Lingkungan dan Sekolah Multinasional*. (Tesis). Sekolah Pascasarjana, Universitas Pendidikan Indonesia, Bandung.
- Hermiyanti, E. (2010). *Biologimolekul Virus*. Program Pascasarjana, Universitas Padjajaran, Bandung.
- Hornikx, J., & Hahn, U. (2012). Reasoning and argumentation: Towards an integrated psychology of argumentation. *Thinking and Reasoning*, 18 (3), hlm. 225-243.
- Inch, E. S., Warnick, B., & Endres, D. (2006). *Critical Thinking and Communication: The Use of Reason in Argument*. USA: Pearson Education, Inc.
- Judarwanto, W. (2010). *Penyakit Infeksi Virus, Sebagai Penyebab Tersering*. (Online). Tersedia: <https://koranindonesiasehat.wordpress.com/2010/11/28/penyakit-infeksi-virus-sebagai-penyebab-tersering/> [7 Agustus 2016].
- Kaslow, et al., (2014). *Viral Infections of Humans Epidemiology and Control Fifth Edition*. USA: Springer New York Heidelberg Dordrecht London
- Kelly, G. S., et al. (2007). Students' reasoning about electricity: combining performance assessments with argumentation analysis. *International Journal of Science Education*, , 20 (7), hlm. 849-871.
- Kementrian Pendidikan dan Kebudayaan. (2013). *Permendikbud Nomor 69 Tentang Kerangka Dasar dan Struktur Kurikulum Sekolah Menengah Atas/ Madrasah Aliyah*. Jakarta: Kemendikbud.
- Keraf, G. (2004). *Argumentasi dan Narasi*. Jakarta: PT Gramedia Pustaka Utama.
- Khishfe, R. (2011). Nature of science and decision-making. *International Journal of Science Education*, 34 (1), hlm. 67-100.
- Khishfe, R. (2012). Relationship between nature of science understanding and argumentation skills: a role for counterargument and contextual factors. *Journal of Research in Science Teaching*. 49 (4), hlm. 489-514.
- Koenig, K., Schen M., & Bao L. (2012). Explicitly targeting pre-service teacher scientific reasoning abilities and understanding of nature of science through an introductory science course. *Winter*, 21 (2).

- Kuhn, D. (1991). *The skills of argument*. Cambridge, England: Cambridge University Press.
- Kuhn, D. (2010). Teaching and learning science as argument. *Science Education*, 94 (4), hlm. 810-824.
- Kuhn, D & Udell, W. (2003). The development argument skills. *The Society For Research In Child Development, Inc*, 74 (5), hlm. 1245–1260.
- Kuswana, W. S. (2012). *Taksonomi Kognitif Perkembangan Ragam Berpikir*. Bandung: PT Remaja Rosdakarya.
- Kuswana, W. (2013). *Taksonomi Berpikir*. Bandung: PT. Remaja Rosdakarya.
- Lee, C. Q., & She, H. C. (2010). Facilitating students' conceptual change and scientific reasoning involving the unit of combustion. *Research in Science Education*, 40, hlm. 479-504.
- Lemke, J. (1990). *Talking Science, Language, Learning and Values*. Ablex. Norwood.
- Manurung, S. R. (2013). *Pengembangan Model Pembelajaran Dengan Media Hiperteks Berdasarkan Skema Pemecahan Masalah Berintikan Argumentasi Tolmin: Suatu Studi Penerapan Pada Topik Kinematika*. (Disertasi). Sekolah Pascasarjana, Universitas Pendidikan Indonesia, Bandung.
- Means, M. L., & Voss, J. F. (1996). Who reasons well? Two studies of informed reasoning among children of different grade, ability, and knowledge levels. *Cognition and Instruction*, 14 (2), hlm. 139–178.
- Molan, B. (2012). *Logika Ilmu dan Seni Berpikir Kritis*. Jakarta: PT Indeks.
- Molinattia, G., Giraulta, Y., & Hammond, C. (2010). High school students debate the use of embryonic stem cells: The influence of context on decision-making. *International Journal of Science Education*, 32 (16), hlm. 2235–2251.
- NRC. (1996). *National Science Education Standarts*. National Academy Press. Washington DC.
- Nursani, Z. (2014). *Analisis Argumentasi dan Penguasaan Konsep Dalam Menggambarkan Keterampilan Berpikir Sistem Pada Pembelajaran Fisiologi Manusia*. (Tesis). Sekolah Pascasarjana, Universitas Pendidikan Indonesia, Bandung.
- Osborne, J., *et al.* (2001). Enhancing the quality of argument in school science. *School Science Review*. 83 (301).
- Purwoko, T. (2007). *Fisiologi Mikroba*. Jakarta: PT. Bumi Aksara.

- Ristyantoro, R. (2012). *Critical Thinking Membangun Pemikiran Logis*. Jakarta: PT. Pustaka Sinar Harapan.
- Robertshaw, B. and T. Campbell. (2013). Constructing arguments: investigating pre-service science teachers' argumentation skill in socio-scientific context. *Science Education International*, 24 (2), hlm. 195-211.
- Roshayanti, F. (2012). *Pengembangan Model Asesmen Argumentatif Untuk Mengukur Keterampilan Argumentasi Mahasiswa Pada Konsep Fisiologi Manusia*. (Disertasi). Sekolah Pascasarjana, Universitas Pendidikan Indonesia, Bandung.
- Rustaman, N., et al. (2003). *Strategi Belajar Mengajar Biologi*. Bandung: FPMIPA UPI.
- Sadler, T.D. (2004). Informal reasoning regarding socioscientific issues: A critical review of research. *Journal Of Research In Science Teaching*, 41 (5), hlm. 513–536.
- Sadler, T. D., & Zeidler, D. L. (2004). Patterns of informal reasoning in the context of socioscientific decision making. *Journal of Research in Science Teaching*, 42 (1), hlm. 112–138.
- Sadler, T. D., & Zeidler, D. L. (2005b). The significance of content knowledge for informal reasoning regarding socioscientific issues: Applying genetics knowledge to genetic engineering issues. *Science Education*, 89 (1), hlm. 71-93.
- Schlegel, H. G. (1994). *Mikrobiologi Umum Edisi Keenam*. Yogyakarta: Gadjah Mada University Press.
- Simosi, M. (2003). Using toulmin's framework for the analysis of everyday argumentation: some methodological considerations. *Argumentation*, 17, hlm. 185-202.
- Varma, K. (2014). Supporting scientific experimentation and reasoning in young elementary school students. *Journal of Science Education and Technology*, 23, hlm. 381-397.
- Verheij, B. (2005). Evaluating arguments based on toulmin's scheme. *Argumentation*, 19, hlm. 347-371.
- Widodo, A., Waldrip, B., & Herawati, D. (tanpa tahun). Student Argumentation in ScienceLessons: A story of two research project. (belum publish).
- Waldrip, B. (2012). Reasoning through representating in school science. *Teaching Science.*, 58, hlm. 14-18.

- Yang, F. Y. & Tsai, C. C. (2010). Reasoning about science-related uncertain issues and epistemological perspective among children. *Instructional Science*, 38, hlm. 325-354.
- Zohar, A., & Nemet, F. (2002). Fostering students' knowledge and argumentation skills through dilemmas in human genetics. *Journal of Research in Science Teaching*, 39 (1), hlm. 35–62.