

REFERENCES

- Abdullahi, A. (1982). *Science Teaching in Nigeria*. Ilorin: Atoto Press.
- Adams, J. L. (1986). *Conceptual blockbusting: A guide to better ideas*. Reading, MA: Perseus Books.
- Adams, R. S., & Atman, C. J. (2000). Characterizing engineering student design processes: An illustration of iteration. *Proceedings of the Annual Meeting of Engineering Education Conference*, Session 2330. St. Louis, MO.
- Adams, R. S., & Fralick, B. (2010). Work in progress: A conceptions of design instrument as an assessment tool. *Proceedings of the Frontiers in Education Conference*, Washington DC.
- Adams, R. S., Turns, J., & Atman, C.J. (2003). Educating effective engineering designers: The role of reflective practice. *Design Studies*, 24(3), 275–294.
- Agina-Obu, T.N. (2005). *The Relevance of Instructional Materials in Teaching and Learning*. Port Harcourt: Harey Publication.
- Akin, Ö., & Lin, C. (1996). Frames of reference in architectural design: Analysing the hyperaclamation (A-h-a-!). *Design Studies*, 17(4), 341–361.
- American Association for the Advancement of Science (AAAS). (1993). *Benchmarks for science literacy*. New York: Oxford University Press.
- American Association for the Advancement of Science (AAAS). (2001). *Atlas of science literacy*. Washington, DC: Project 2061 and the National Science Teachers Association.
- Anderson, L.S., & Gilbride, K.A. (2003). Preuniversity outreach: encouraging students to consider engineering careers. *Global Journal of Engineering Education*, 7(1), 87–93.
- Appleton, K. (2006). *Elementary science teacher education: International perspectives on contemporary issues and practice*. Mahwah, NJ: Lawrence Erlbaum Associates.
- Archer, L. B. (1979). Design as a discipline. *Design Studies*. 1(1), 17–20.

Ineu Gustiani, 2016

LEARNING SCIENCE THROUGH STEM BASE INSTRUCTIONAL MATERIAL: ITS EFFECTIVENESS IN IMPROVING STUDENTS CONCEPTUAL UNDERSTANDING AND ITS EFFECT TOWARDS ENGINEERING DESIGN BEHAVIORS AND TEAMWORK SKILLS

Universitas Pendidikan Indonesia | repository.upi.edu | perpustakaan.upi.edu

- Arikunto, S. (2006). *Prosedur Penilaian Suatu Pendekatan Praktik*. Jakarta: Rineka Cipta.
- Asan, A. (2002). Pre-service teachers' use of technology to create instructional materials. *Journal of Information Technology for Teacher Education*, 11(2), 218-232.
- Ausubel, D.P. (2000). *The acquisition and retention of knowledge: A cognitive view*. London: Kluwer Academic Press.
- Axton, T. R., Doverspike, D., Park, S. R., & Barrett, G. V. (1997). A model of the information-processing and cognitive ability requirements for mechanical troubleshooting. *International Journal of Cognitive Ergonomics*, 1(3), 245–266.
- Badan Pusat Statistik. (2010). *Analisis Kemiskinan, Ketenagakerjaan dan Distribusi Pendapatan*. Jakarta: Badan Pusat Statistik.
- Banilower, E. R., Smith, P. S., Weiss, I. R., Malzahn, K. A., Campbell, K. M., & Weis, A. M. (2013). *Report of the 2012 national survey of science and mathematics education*. Chapel Hill, NC: Horizon Research, Inc.
- Barret, B.S., Moran, A.L., & Woods, J.E. (2014). Meteorology meets engineering: an interdisciplinary STEM instructional material for middle secondary school students. *International Journal of STEM Education*, 1(6), 5-6.
- Beneze, J.L. (2010). Promoting student-led science and technology projects in elementary teacher education: Entry into core pedagogical practices through technological design. *International Journal of Technology and Design Education*, 20(1), 43-62.
- Berry, R., Reed, P., Ritz, J., Lin, C., Hsiung, S., & Frazier, W. (2004). STEM initiatives: Stimulating students to improve science and mathematics achievement. *The Technology Teacher*, 64(4), 23-29.
- Beyer, C. J., Delgado, C., Davis, E. A., & Krajcik, J. (2009). Investigating teacher learning supports in high school biology curricular programs to inform the design of educative curriculum materials. *Journal of Research in Science Teaching*, 46(9), 977-998.
- Bliese, P. D. (1998). Group size, ICC values, and group-level correlations: A simulation. *Organizational Research Methods*, 1(4), 355-373.

- Bliss, J., & Ogborn, J. (1994). Force and motion from the beginning. *Conceptual Change in the Physical Sciences Learning and Instruction*, 4(1), 7-26.
- Bransford, J.D., Brown, A. L., & Cocking, R. R. (2000). *How people learn: brain, mind, experience, and school*. Washington, D.C: National Academy Press.
- Breiner, J. M., Harkness, S. S., Johnson, C. C., & Koehler, C. M. (2012). What is STEM? A discussion about conceptions of STEM in education and partnerships. *School Science and Mathematics*, 112(1), 3–11.
- Brent, R., & Felder, R.M. (2016). *Teaching and Learning STEM: A Practical Guide*. USA: Jossey-Bass a Wiley brand.
- Brereton, M. F., Cannon, D. M., Mabogunje, A., & Leifer, L. J. (1996). *Collaboration in design teams: How social interaction shapes the product*. Chichester, England: John Wiley & Sons Ltd.
- Bromage, B. K., & Mayer, R. E. (1981). Relationship between what is remembered and creative problem solving performance in science learning. *Journal of Educational Psychology*, 73(2), 451-461.
- Brophy, S., Klein, S., Portsmore, M., Rogers, C. (2008). Advancing engineering education in P-12 classrooms. *Journal of Engineering Education*, 97(3), 369-387.
- Brown, C.A., & McIlroy, K. (2011). Group work in healthcare students' education: what do we think we are doing?. *Assessment & Evaluation in Higher Education*, 36(6), 687-699.
- Brown, J. (2012). The current status of STEM education research. *Journal of STEM Education*, 13(5), 7-11.
- Brown, J.D. (2005). *Testing in Language Programs: A Comprehensive Guide to English Language Assessment International Edition 2005*. New York: Mc. Graw Hill.
- Badan Standar Nasional Pendidikan. (2008). *Panduan Pengembangan Bahan Ajar*. Jakarta: BSNP.
- Burdett, J. (2003). Making groups work: university students' perceptions. *International Education Journal*, 4(3), 177–91.

- Burke, L., Francis, K., & Shanahan, M. (2014). *A horizon of possibilities: a definition of STEM education*. McIntyre: Vancouver.
- Butcher, D. (2013). *Outlook for STEM professionals is robust and moving beyond traditional occupations*. Retrieved from <http://news.thomasnet.com/imt/2013/01/22/employment-outlook-for-stem-professionals-is-robust-and-moving-beyond-traditional-occupations>.
- Bybee, R. B. (2013). *The case for STEM education: Challenges and Opportunities*. Arlington: National Science Teachers Association, NSTA Press.
- Carnevale, A., Melton, M., & Smith, N. (2011). *STEM State-Level Analysis*. Washington, DC: Georgetown University Center on Education.
- Caprile, M., Palmen, R., Sanz, & Dente, G. (2015). *Encouraging STEM studies for the labor market*. Directorate-General for Internal Policies: European Parliament.
- Capraro, R. M., & Slough, S. W. (2008). *Project-based learning: An integrated science, technology, engineering, and mathematics (STEM) approach*. Rotterdam: Sense Publishers.
- Caravita, S., Hallden, O. (1994). Re-framing the problem of conceptual change. *Conceptual Change in the Physical Sciences. Learning and Instruction*. 4(1), 89-111.
- Cardella, M. E., Atman, C. J., & Adams, R. S. (2006). Mapping between design activities and external representations for engineering student designers. *Design Studies*, 27(1), 5–24.
- Chandra, D.T., & Rustaman, N. (2009). Perkembangan Pendidikan Teknologi Sebagai Suatu Inovasi Pembelajaran pada Pendidikan Dasar di Indonesia. *Jurnal Pengajaran Matematika dan Ilmu Pengetahuan Alam*, 14(2), 5-11.
- Chapman, K. J., & van Auken, S. (2001). Creating Positive Group Project Experiences: An Examination of the Role of the Instructor on Students' Perceptions of Group Projects. *Journal of Marketing Education*. 23(3), 117-127.

- Chi, M.T.H., Slotts, J.D., & de Leeuw, N. (1994). From things to processes: A theory of conceptual change for learning science concepts. *Conceptual Change in the Physical Sciences, Learning and Instruction*, 4(1), 27-44.
- Chingos, M., & Whitehurst, G. (2012). *Choosing Blindly: Instructional Materials, Teacher Effectiveness, and The Common Core*. Washington, DC: Brookings Institution.
- Christiaans, H., & Dorst, K. (1992). Cognitive models in industrial design engineering. *Design Theory and Methodology*, 42(2), 131-140.
- Cobble, C.R., & Koballla, T. R. (1996). *Science Education in Sikula, 1*. Handbook of Research on Teacher Education, Association of Teacher Educators.
- Constable, H. (1994). A study of aspects of design and technology capability at key stage 1 and 2. *International journal on Design Research*, 94(1), 9-14.
- Conway, J. M., & Huffcutt, A. I. (1997). Psychometric properties of multisource performance ratings: A meta-analysis of subordinate, supervisory, peer, and self-ratings. *Human Performance*, 10(3), 331-360.
- Creswell, J. W. (2008). *Educational research, planning, conducting and evaluating quantitative and qualitative research (3rd ed.)*. New Jersey: Pearson Education.
- Crismond, D. (2001). Learning and using science and technology ideas when doing investigate-and-redesign tasks: A study of naive, novice and expert designers doing constrained and scaffolded design work. *Journal of Research in Science Teaching*, 38(7), 791-820.
- Crismond, D. P., & Adams, R. S. (2012). The informed design teaching and learning matrix. *Journal of Engineering Education*, 101(4), 738-797.
- Crismond, D., Camp, P. J., Ryan, M., & Kolodner, J. L. (2001). *Design rules of thumb— Connecting science and design*. Special session 27.50. Annual Conference of the American Educational Research Association. Seattle, WA.
- Crismond, D. P., Howland, J., & Jonassen, D. (2011). *Designing with technology*. Upper Saddle River, NJ: Merrill Prentice Hall.

- Cross, N. (2000). *Engineering design methods: Strategies for product design* (3rd ed.). New York: John Wiley & Sons.
- Daly, S. R., Adams, R.S., & Bodner, G. (2012). What does it mean to design? A qualitative investigation of design professionals' experiences. *Journal of Engineering Education*, 101(2), 225-243.
- DeBoer, G.E. (1991). *A history of ideas in science education, implications for practice*. New York/London: Teachers College Press.
- DeLeeuw, N., & Chi, M.T.H. (2003). Self-explanation: Enriching a situation model or repairing a domain model?. *Intentional Conceptual Change*, 15 (1), 55-78.
- Dochy, F., Segers, M., & Sluijsmans, D. (1999). The use of self-, peer and co-assessment in higher education: A review. *Studies in Higher Education*, 24(3), 331–350.
- Dym, C., Agogino, A. M., Eris, O., Frey, D. D., & Leifer, L. J. (2005). Engineering design thinking, teaching, and learning. *Journal of Engineering Education*, 94(1), 103-120.
- Ejiwale, J.A. (2012). Facilitating teaching and learning across STEM fields. *Journal of STEM Education*, 13(3), 87-94.
- Elio, R. & Scharf, P. B. (1990). Modeling novice-to-expert shifts in problem solving strategy and knowledge organization. *Cognitive Science*, 14(4), 579–639.
- Elmer, R. (2002). Meta-cognition and design and technology education. *The Journal of Design and Technology Education*, 7(1), 19-25.
- English, L.D., & King, D.T. (2015). STEM learning through engineering design: fourth-grade students' investigations in aerospace. *International Journal of STEM Education*, 2(14), 18-23.
- Erickson, G. L. (1980). Children's viewpoints of heat: A second look. *Science Education*, 64(3), 323-336.
- Eryilmaz, A. (2002). Effects of conceptual assignments and conceptual change discussions on students' misconceptions and achievement regarding force and motion. *Journal of Research in Science Teaching*, 39(10), 101-115.

- Fang, N. (2013). *Work in Progress: Brainstorming with Yo-Yos in High School Outreach: Inspiring Students' Interest in Learning Physics*. Atlanta-Georgia: ASEE Annual Conference.
- Fellenz, M. R. (2006). Toward fairness in assessing student groupwork: A protocol for peer evaluation of individual contributions. *Journal of Management Education*, 30(3), 570–591.
- Ferris, G. R., Witt, L. A., and Hochwarter, W. A. (2001). Interaction of Social Skill and General Mental Ability on Job Performance and Salary. *Journal of Applied Psychology*, 86(5), 1075–1082.
- Fischer, K. W. (2006). *Dynamic Cycles of Cognitive and Brain Development: Measuring Growth in Mind, Brain, and Education*. Cambridge, UK: Cambridge University Press.
- Fisher, C. D. (1989). *Self and superior assessments: Unraveling the causes of disagreement*. Unpublished manuscript: University of Baltimore.
- Fisher, S. G., Hunter, T. A., & Macrosson, W. D. K. (1997). Team or group? Managers' perceptions of the differences. *Journal of Managerial Psychology*, 12(4), 232-242.
- Fleer, M. (2000). *An early childhood research agenda: Voices from the field*. DETYA: Canberra.
- Fortus, D., Dershimer, R. C., Krajcik, J., Marx, R. W., & Mamlok-Naaman, R. (2004). Design- based science and student learning. *Journal of Research in Science Teaching*, 41(10), 1081–1110.
- Francis, D., & Young, D. (1979). *Improving Work Groups*. San Diego, California: University Associates
- Gero, J. S. (2011). Fixation and commitment while designing and its measurement. *Journal of Creative Behavior*, 45(2), 108–115.
- Girard, M., & Wong, D. (2002). An aesthetic perspective on science learning: case 152 studies of three fourth graders. *The elementary school journal*, 102(3), 199-224.

- Glynn, S.M., & Duit, R. (1995). *Learning science meaningfully: Constructing conceptual models*. Mahwah, NJ: Lawrence Erlbaum Associates.
- Goel, V., & Pirolli, P. (1992). The structure of design spaces. *Cognitive Science*, 16(3), 395–429.
- Goldschmidt, G. (1991). The dialectics of sketching. *Creativity Research Journal*, 4(2), 123–143.
- Goldstein, W. M., & Hogarth, R. M. (1997). *Judgment and decision research: Some history context*. Cambridge, UK: Cambridge University Press.
- Grossman, P., & Thompson, C. (2004). *Curriculum Materials: Scaffolds for New Teacher Learning? A Research Report. Document R-04-1*. Center for the study of teaching and policy.
- Gustafson, B., MacDonald, D., & Gentilini, S. (2007). Using talking and drawing to design: Elementary children collaborating with university industrial design students. *Journal of Technology Education*, 19(1), 19–34.
- Hake, R.R. (1999). *Analyzing Change/Gain Scores*. United States of America: Indiana University.
- Halford, G. S. (1993). *Children's Understanding*. New Jersey, Lawrence Erlbaum.
- Harlen, W. (1998). *Teaching For Understanding in Pre-Secondary Science in, K.G.* International Handbook of Science Education. Kluwer Publishers: Boston.
- Harris, M. M., & Schaubroeck, J. (1988). A meta-analysis of self-supervisor, self-peer, and peer-supervisor ratings. *Personnel Psychology*, 41(2), 43–62.
- Harris, P. R., & Harris, K. G. (1996). Managing effectively through teams. *Team Performance Management: An International Journal*, 2(3), 23–36.
- Heiser, J., Tversky, B., & Silverman, M. (2004). *Sketches for and from collaboration*. Sydney: Key Centre of Design Computation and Cognition, Univ. of Sydney.
- Heller, J. I., & Reif, F. (1984). Prescribing effective human problem-solving processes: Problem description in physics. *Cognition and Instruction*, 1(1), 177–216.

- Hernandez, S. A. (2002). Team learning in a marketing principles course: Cooperative structures that facilitate active learning and higher level thinking. *Journal of Marketing Education*, 24(2), 73-85.
- Herschbach, D. R. (2011). The STEM initiative: Constraints and challenges. *Journal of Stem Teacher Education*, 48(1), 96-122.
- Hiebert, J., & Lefevre, P. (1986). *Conceptual and procedural knowledge in mathematics: An introductory analysis*. Hillsdale, NJ: Lawrence Erlbaum Associates.
- Hoegl, M., & Gemuenden, H.G. (2001). Teamwork quality and the success of innovative projects: a theoretical concept and empirical evidence. *Organization Science*, 12(4), 435-449.
- Honey, M., Pearson, G., & Schweingruber, A. (2014). *STEM integration in K-12 education: status, prospects, and an agenda for research*. Washington: National Academies Press.
- Huba, M. E., & Freed, J. E. (2000). *Learner-Centered Assessment on College Campuses: Shifting the Focus from Teaching to Learning*. Boston: Allyn and Bacon.
- Hughes, A. (2005). *Testing for Language Teachers. 2nd Ed.* London: Cambridge University Press.
- Hunter, J. E. (1986). Cognitive ability, cognitive aptitudes, job knowledge, and job performance. *Journal of Vocational Behavior*, 29(2), 340-362.
- Hurley, M. (2001). Reviewing integrated science and mathematics: The search for evidence and definitions from new perspectives. *School Science and Mathematics*, 101(1), 259-268.
- Johnson-Laird, P. N. (1983). *Mental Models*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Johnson-Laird, P. N. (1987). Mental Models. *Issues in cognitive modelling*, 23(3), 259-268.
- Johnson, D. W., & Johnson, R. T. (1995). *Social Interdependence - Cooperative Learning in Education*. San Francisco: JosseyBass Publishers.

- Jonassen, D., Strobel, J., & Gottdenker, J. (2005). Model building for conceptual change. *Interactive Learning Environments*, 13(1-2), 15-37.
- Kesidou, S., & Roseman, J. E. (2002). How well do middle school science programs measure up? Findings from Project 2061's curriculum review. *Journal of Research in Science Teaching*, 39(6), 522-549.
- Kimbell, R. (1994). *Using advanced technology for teaching, learning, and assessment*. Berlin: Springer-Verlag.
- Kimbell, R., & Perry, D. (2001). *Design and technology in the knowledge economy*. London: Engineering Council.
- Kinlaw, D. C. (1991). *Developing Superior Work Teams: Building Quality and the Competitive Edge*. San Diego: Lexington Books.
- Kirk, D. J. (2005). *Taking back the classroom: Tips for the college professor on becoming a more effective teacher*. Des Moines: Tiberius Publications.
- Kirkwood, M. (2000). Infusing higher-order thinking and learning to learn into content instruction: a case study of secondary computing studies in Scotland. *Journal of Curriculum Studies*, 32(4), 509-535.
- Klahr, D., & Li, J. (2005). Cognitive research and elementary science instruction: From the laboratory, to the classroom, and back. *Journal of Science Education and Technology*, 14(2), 217-238.
- Kohn, N. W., & Smith, S. M. (2009). Partly versus completely out of your mind: Effects of incubation and distraction on resolving fixation. *Journal of Creative Behavior*, 43(2), 102-118.
- Kolodner, L. (2002). Facilitating the learning of design practices: lessons from an inquiry into science education. *Journal of Industrial Teacher Education*, 39(3), 9-40.
- Kolodner, J. L., Camp, P. J., Crismond, D., Fasse, B., Gray, J., Holbrook, J., Puntembakar, S., & Ryan, M. (2003). Problem-based learning meets case-based reasoning in the middle-school science classroom: Putting Learning by Design™ into practice. *Journal of the Learning Sciences*, 12(4), 495-54.
- Kolodner, J. L., Camp, P. J., Crismond, D., Fasse, B., Gray, J., Holbrook, J., & Ryan, M. (2004). *Promoting deep science learning through case-based*

reasoning: Rituals and practices in Learning by Design classrooms. Mahwah, NJ: Lawrence Erlbaum.

Kolodner, J. L., Crismond, D., Gray, J., Holbrook, J., & Puntembakar, S. (1998). Learning by Design from theory to practice. *Proceedings of the International Conference of the Learning Sciences*, 1(6), 16-22.

Konicek-Moran, R., & Keeley, P. (2015). *Teaching for Conceptual Understanding in Science*. USA: NSTA Press.

Kraiger, K. (2008). Transforming our models of learning and development: Web-based instruction as an enabler of third-generation instruction. *Industrial and Organizational Psychology*, 1(4), 454-467.

Krathwohl, D. R. (2002). *A Revision of Bloom's Taxonomy: An Overview. Theory In to Practice. Volume 41, Number 4.* College Education. The Ohio State University.

Kuh, G. (2008). *High-Impact Educational Practices: What They Are, Who Has Access to Them, and Why They Matter*. Washington, D.C.: Association of American Colleges and Universities.

Landis, J. R. & Koch, G. G. (1977). The measurement of observer agreement for categorical data. *Biometrics*, 33(1), 159-174.

Larkin, J. H., McDermott, J., Simon, D. P., & Simon, H. A. (1980). Models of competence in solving physics problems. *Cognitive Science*, 4(4), 317-345.

Layton, R. A., Loughry, M. L., Ohland, M. W., & Ricco, G. D. (2010). Design and Validation of a Web-Based System for Assigning Members to Teams Using Instructor-Specified Criteria. *Advances in Engineering Education*, 2 (1), 1-28.

Lewis, E. L. & Linn, M. C. (1994). Heat energy and temperature concepts of adolescents, adults, and experts: Implications for curricular improvements. *Journal of Research in Science Teaching*, 31(2), 657-677.

Lewis, T. (2006). Design and inquiry: bases for an accommodation between science and technology education in the curriculum?. *Journal of Research in Science Teaching*, 43(3), 255-281.

- Loughry, M.L., M.W. Ohland, & Moore, D.D. (2007). Development of a Theory-Based Assessment of Team Member Effectiveness. *Educational and Psychological Measurement*, 67(3), 505-524.
- Luca, J., & Tarricone, P. (2001). Does emotional intelligence affect successful teamwork?. *Proceedings of the Australasian Society for Computers in Learning in Tertiary Education at the ASCILITE*, 367 – 376.
- Madihally, S., & Maase, E. (2006). *Introducing biomedical and biochemical engineering for k-12 students*. In Proceedings of the American Society for Engineering Education Annual Conference & Exhibition. Chicago: American Society for Engineering Education.
- Maltese, A.V., Melki, C.S., & Wiebke, H.L. (2014). The nature of experiences responsible for the generation and maintenance of interest in STEM. *Science Education*, 98(6), 937-962.
- Markus, H. (1977). Self-schemata and processing information about the self. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*. 35(3), 63-78.
- Mayo, M., Kakarika, M., Pastor, J.C., & Brutus, S. (2012). Aligning or inflating your leadership self-image? A longitudinal study of responses to peer feedback MBA teams. *Journal of Management Learning & Education*, 11(4), 631-652.
- McNeil, N. M., & Uttal, D. H. (2009). Rethinking the use of concrete materials in learning: Perspectives from development and education. *Child development perspectives*, 3(3), 137-139.
- Merrill, C. (2009). *The Future of TE Masters Degrees: STEM*. Presentation at the 70th Annual International Technology Education Association Conference, Louisville, Kentucky.
- Mix, K. S. (2009). *Spatial tools for mathematical thought: The spatial foundations of cognition and language*. Oxford Scholarship Online Monographs, New York.
- Moore, T. J., & Smith, K. A. (2014). Advancing the state of the art of STEM integration. *Journal of STEM Education*, 15(1), 5-10.
- Moriarty, P., & Buckley, F. (2003). Increasing team emotional intelligence through process. *Journal of European Industrial Training*, 27(4), 82-97.

- Mosborg, S., Adams, R., Kim, R., Atman, C. J., Turns, J., & Cardella, M. (2005). Conceptions of the engineering design process: An expert study of advanced practicing professionals. *Proceedings of the Annual Meeting of the American Society of Engineering Education Conference*, Portland, OR.
- Moskal, B.M., & Leydens, J.A. (2000). Scoring rubric development: Validity and reliability. *Practical Assessment, Research & Evaluation*, 7(10), 5-12.
- Mulholland, J., & Wallace, J. (2005). Growing the tree of teacher knowledge: Ten years of learning to teach elementary science. *Journal of Research in Science Teaching*, 42(7), 767- 790.
- National center for education statistics. (2005). *The Nation's report card state science 2005: 154 Washington grade eight public schools, snapshot report*. Retrieved March 3, 2016, from <http://nces.ed.gov/nationsreportcard/pdf/stt2005/2006467WA8.pdf>
- National Research Council. (1996). *National science education standards*. Washington, D.C.: National Academy Press.
- National Research Council. (2009). *Engineering In K-12 Education: Understanding The Status And Improving The Prospects*. Washington, DC: National Academies Press.
- National Research Council. (2012). *A FRAMEWORK FOR K-12 SCIENCE EDUCATION Practices, Crosscutting Concepts, and Core Ideas*. Washington, DC: National Academies Press.
- Newell, A. & Simon, H. (1972). *Human problem solving*. Englewood Cliffs, NJ: Prentice-Hall.
- Newstetter, W. C., & McCracken, W. M. (2001). *Novice conceptions of design: Implications for the design of learning environments*. Amsterdam: Elsevier.
- NGSS Lead States. (2013). *Next generation science standards: For states, by states*. Washington, DC: National Academies Press.
- Niaz, M. (2002). Facilitating conceptual change in students understanding of electrochemistry. *International Journal of Science Education*, 24(4), 425-439.

- Novak, J. D. & A. J. Cañas. (2006). *The Theory Underlying Concept Maps and How to Construct Them*. Technical Report IHMC Cmap Tools, Florida Institute for Human and Machine Cognition.
- Oakley, B., Felder, R. M., Brent, R., & Elhajj, I. (2004). Turning student groups into effective teams. *Journal of Student-Centered Learning*, 2(1), 9-34.
- Obayemi, A.S. (2002). Assessment of school library service in a local government area, Lagos state, Nigeria: A case study. *Journal of Library, Archival and Information Science*. 12(1), 59-61.
- Office of Superintendent of Public Instruction (OSPI). (2005). *K-10 grade level expectations: a new level of specificity, Washington State's Essential Academic Learning Requirements*. Retrieved March 3, 2016, from <http://www.k12.wa.us/CurriculumInstruct/science/pubdocs/ScienceEALR-GLE.pdf>.
- Oversby, J. (2002). *Assessing conceptual understanding*. The Open University: London & N.Y.
- Park, J., & Han, S. (2002). Using deductive reasoning to promote the change of students' conceptions about force and motion. *International Journal of Science Education*, 24(6), 593-609.
- Perkins, D. N., Crismond, D., Simmons, B., & Unger, C. (1995). *Inside understanding*. New York: Oxford University Press.
- Pocovi, M.C. (2007). The effect of a history-based instructional material on the students' understanding of field lines. *Journal Research of Science Teaching*, 44(1), 107-132.
- Pope, N. K. L. (2005). The impact of stress in self- and peer assessment. *Assessment & Evaluation in Higher Education*, 30(6), 51-63.
- Puntambekar, S., & Kolodner, J. L. (2005). Distributed scaffolding: Helping students learn science by design. *Journal of Research in Science Teaching*, 42(5), 112-119.
- Purzer, S., Goldstein, M.H., Adams, R.S., Xie, C., & Nourian, S. (2015). An exploratory study of informed engineering design behaviors associated with scientific explanations. *International Journal of STEM Education*, 2(9), 28-36.

- Robertson, W. C. (1986). *Measurement of conceptual understanding in physics: Predicting performance on transfer problems involving Newton's second law*. Doctoral dissertation, University of Colorado.
- Robertson, W. C. (2016). *Teaching Conceptual Understanding to Promote Students' Ability to do Transfer Problems*. National Association for Research in Science Teaching.
- Roth, W. M. (1997). Why may students fail to learn from demonstrations? A social practice perspective on learning physics. *Journal of Research in Science Teaching*, 34(5), 509-533.
- Rowland, G. (1992). What do instructional designers actually do? An initial investigation of expert practice. *Performance Improvement Quarterly*, 5(2), 65-86.
- Sadler, P. M., Coyle, H. P., & Schwartz, M. (2000). Engineering competitions in the middle school classroom: Key elements in developing effective design challenges. *Journal of the Learning Sciences*, 9(3), 299-327.
- Sahin, A., & Top, N. (2015). STEM Students on the Stage (SOS): Promoting Student Voice and Choice in STEM Education Through an Interdisciplinary, Standards-focused, Project Based Learning Approach. *Journal of STEM Education: Innovations & Research*, 16(3), 24-33.
- Sanders, M. (2009). STEM, STEM Education, STEM mania. *The Technology Teacher*, 68(4), 20-36.
- Schauble, L., Klopfer, L. E., & Raghavan, K. (1991). Students' transition from an engineering model to a science model of experimentation. *Journal of Research in Science Teaching*, 28(9), 859-882.
- Schmitt, N., Gooding, R. Z., Noe, R. A., & Kirsch, M. (1984). Meta analyses of validity studies published between 1964 and 1982 and the investigation of study characteristics. *Personnel Psychology*, 37(6), 407-442.
- Schneider, R. M. & Krajcik, J. S. (2002). Supporting science teacher learning: The role of educative curriculum materials. *Journal of Science Teacher Education*, 13(3), 221-245.

- Schnittka, C., & Bell, R. (2011). Engineering design and conceptual change in science: addressing thermal energy and heat transfer in eighth grade. *International Journal of Science Education*, 33(13), 861-867.
- Schön, D. (1984). Problems, frames and perspective on designing. *Design Studies*, 5(3), 132-136.
- Schroeder, C. M., Scott, T. P., Tolson, H., Huang, T. Y., & Lee, Y. H. (2007). A meta- analysis of national research: Effects of teaching strategies on student achievement in science in the United States. *Journal of Research in Science Teaching*, 44(10), 436-460.
- Shankar, P. G., & Seow, J. L. (2010). The association between students' lone wolf tendencies and their perceptions, preferences, and performance outcomes in team projects. *Journal of Accounting Education*, 28(4), 75-84.
- Shore, T. H., Shore, L. M., & Thornton, G. C. (1992). Construct validity of self- and peer evaluations of performance dimensions in an assessment center. *Journal of Applied Psychology*, 77(3), 42-54.
- Sinatra, G. M., & Pintrich, P. R. (2003). *Intentional conceptual change*. Mahwah, NJ: Lawrence Erlbaum Associates, Inc.
- Smith, K. (1996). Cooperative Learning: make groupwork work. *New Directions for Teaching and Learning*, 67(3), 71-82.
- Smith, S. M. (1995). *Fixation, incubation, and insight in memory, problem solving, and creativity: The creative cognition approach*. Cambridge: MIT Press.
- Stern, L., & Roseman, J. E. (2004). Can middle-school science textbooks help students learn important ideas? Findings from Project 2061's curriculum evaluation study: life science. *Journal of Research in Science Teaching*, 41(6), 538-568.
- Sternberg, R. (1998). Metacognition, abilities, and developing expertise: What makes an expert student?. *Instructional Science*, 26(1), 127-130.
- Stevens, M. J., & Campion, M. A. (1994). The knowledge, skill, and ability requirements for teamwork: Implications for human resource management. *Journal of Management*, 20(7), 503-530.

- Stinson, K., Sheats-Harkness, S., Meyer, H., & Stallworth, J. (2009). Mathematics and science integration: models and characterizations. *School Science and Mathematics*, 109(3), 153-161.
- Stohlmann, M., Moore, T., & Roehrig, G. (2012). Considerations for teaching integrated STEM education. *Journal of Pre-College Engineering Education Research*, 2(1), 27-34.
- Stohr-Hunt, P.M. (1996) An analysis of Frequency of Hands-On Experience and Science Achievement. *Journal of Research in Science Teaching*, 33(1), 102-109.
- Summers, M., & Volet, S. (2008). Students' attitudes towards culturally mixed groups on international campuses: impact of participation in diverse and non-diverse groups. *Studies in Higher Education*, 33(4), 357-370.
- Surapranata. (2009). *Analisis, Validitas, Reliabilitas dan Interpretasi Hasil Tes*. Bandung: PT Remaja Rosdakarya.
- Suwa, M., & Tversky, B. (1997). What do architects and students perceive in their design sketches? A protocol analysis. *Design Studies*. 18(4), 385-403.
- Task Force Report, STEM. (2014). *Innovate: a blueprint for science, technology, engineering, and mathematics in California public education*. Dublin, California: Californians Dedicated to Education Foundation. <http://www.cde.ca.gov/nr/ne/yr14/yr14rel71.asp>
- Team Assessment Report. (2015). *Team Assessment Report: Based on the model in the best-selling book, the five dysfunctions of a team*. The table group.
- Tiberghien, A. (1994). Modeling as a basis for analyzing teaching-learning situations. *Conceptual Change in the Physical Sciences. Learning and Instruction*. 4(1), 71-88.
- Tomcho, T.J., & Foels, R. (2012). Meta-analysis of group learning activities: empirically based teaching recommendations. *Teaching of Psychology*, 39(3), 159-169.
- Tsupros, N., Kohler, R., & Hallinen, J. (2009). *STEM education: A project to identify the missing components*. Intermediate Unit 1 and Carnegie Mellon, Pennsylvania.

- Tuckman, B. W. (1978). *Conducting educational research*. New York: Harcourt Brace Jovanovich.
- Ullman, D. G., Wood, S., & Craig, D. (1990). The importance of drawing in the mechanical design process. *Computers & Graphics*, 14(2), 263-274.
- Verzat, C., Byrne, J., & Fayolle, A. (2009). Tangling with spaghetti: Pedagogical lessons from games. *Academy of Management Learning and Education*, 8(2), 356-369.
- Vilorio, D. (2014). STEM 101: Intro to tomorrow's jobs. *Occupational Outlook Quarterly*. Retrieved from <http://www.stemedcoalition.org/wp-content/uploads/2010/05/BLS-STEM-Jobs-report-spring-2014.pdf>
- Viswesvaran, C., Schmidt, F. L., & Ones, D. S. (2005). Is there a general factor in ratings of job performance? A meta analytic framework for disentangling substantive and error influences. *Journal of Applied Psychology*, 90(4), 108-131.
- Vosniadou, S. (1994). Capturing and modeling the process of conceptual change. *Conceptual Change in the Physical Sciences. Learning and Instruction*. 4(1), 45-70.
- Welch, M., Barlex, D., & Lim, H. S. (2000). Sketching: Friend or foe to the novice designer?. *International Journal of Technology and Design Education*. 10(2), 125-148.
- Wiggins, G. (1998). *Educative Assessment: Designing Assessments to Improve Student Performance*. San Francisco: Jossey-Bass.
- Williams, J. (2011). STEM education: Proceed with caution. *Design and Technology Education: An International Journal*, 16(1), 26-35.
- Willis, S.C., Jones, A., Bundy, C., Burdett, K., Whitehouse, CR., & O'Neill, PA. (2002). Small-group work and assessment in a PBL curriculum. *Medical Teacher*, 24(5), 495-501.
- Woods, D. R., & Crowe, C. M. (1984). Characteristics of engineering students in their first two years. *Engineering Education*, 74(5), 289-295.
- Zubrowski, B. (2002). Integrating science into design technology projects: Using a standard model in the design process. *Journal of Technology Education*, 13(2), 48-67.