

CONTENTS

THE INVESTIGATION OF STUDENTS' METACOGNITION IN READING COMPREHENSION.....	i
PAGE OF APPROVAL	i
THE INVESTIGATION OF STUDENTS' METACOGNITION IN READING COMPREHENSION.....	i
STATEMENTS	ii
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	iii
ABSTRACT	iv
CONTENTS	v
LIST OF TABLES	vii
LIST OF FIGURES	viii
CHAPTER I INTRODUCTION	1
1.1 Background of the Study.....	1
1.2 Statement of the Problem	3
1.3 The Purpose of the Study	3
1.4 The Scope of the Study	3
1.5 The Significance of the Study	3
1.6 Clarification of Related Terms.....	4
1.7 Organization of the Paper.....	4
1.8 Concluding Remark	5
CHAPTER II THE LITERARY REVIEW	6
2.1 Reading	6
2.1.1 General Concept of Reading	6
2.1.2 Models of Reading	7
2.1.3 Types of Reading	10
2.1.4 Reading Comprehension	10
2.2 Factor Influencing Reading Comprehension	11
2.3 Difficulties in Reading Comprehension.....	13
2.4 Reading Strategies.....	14
2.5 Metacognition	15
2.5.1 General Concept of Metacognition	15
2.5.2 Metacognition in Reading Comprehension.....	16
2.5.3 Components of Metacognition in Reading Comprehension	17
2.5.4 Significance of Metacognition in Reading Comprehension	25
2.6 Previous Study	26
2.7 Concluding Remarks	27

CHAPTER III RESEARCH METHODOLOGY	28
3.1 The Research Design.....	28
3.2 Research Site and Participants	29
3.3 Data Collection.....	29
3.3.1 Questionnaires.....	29
3.3.2 Interviews.....	31
3.4 Data Analysis	33
3.5 Concluding Remarks.....	35
CHAPTER IV FINDINGS AND DISCUSSIONS	36
4.1 Students' Metacognitive Knowledge	36
4.2 Students' Metacognitive Regulation	40
4.3 High-achievers Metacognition	45
4.4 Medium-achievers Metacognition	47
4.5 Low-achievers Metacognition.....	48
4.6 The different use of Metacognition Between High-, Medium- and Low-achiever Students	50
4.6.1 Metacognitive Knowledge between High-, medium-, and Low-achiever Students	51
4.6.2 Metacognitive Regulation between High-, medium-, and Low-achiever Students	53
4.7 Concluding Remarks	58
CHAPTER V CONCLUSIONS AND SUGGESTIONS.....	59
5.1 Conclusions	59
5.2 Suggestions	60
5.3 Concluding Remarks	60
References	61
APPENDICES	69

LIST OF TABLES

- Table 3.1 Questionnaire items
- Table 3.2 Data processing table for questionnaire
- Table 3.3 Categorization of Metacognition Usage
- Table 4.1 Students' Metacognitive Knowledge
- Table 4.2 Students' Metacognitive Regulation Frequency
- Table 4.3 Students' Debugging Strategies Frequency
- Table 4.4 High-achievers' metacognitive regulation
- Table 4.5 Medium-achievers' metacognitive regulation
- Table 4.6 Low-achievers' metacognitive regulation

LIST OF FIGURES

- Figure 2.1 Anderson's Concept of Reading
Figure 2.2 Bottom-up reading model
Figure 2.3 Top-down reading model
Figure 2.4 Interactive Reading Model
Figure 2.5 Metacognition in Reading Framework
Figure 4.1 Metacognitive Knowledge of the Students
Figure 4.2 Students' Metacognitive Regulation
Figure 4.3 Students' Regulation Rate in Percentage
Figure 4.4 High achievers' metacognitive knowledge in percentage
Figure 4.5 Medium achievers' metacognitive knowledge in percentage
Figure 4.6 Low-achievers' metacognitive knowledge in percentage
Figure 4.7 The comparison of students' metacognitive knowledge
Figure 4.8 The comparison of students' metacognitive regulation
Figure 4.9 The comparison of students' comprehension monitoring