

DAFTAR PUSTAKA

- Ambross, J.N. (2011). *A Case Study of the Implementation of Science Process Skills for Grades 4 to 7 Learners in Natural Sciences in a South African Primary School*. Johannesburg: Nelson Mandela Metropolitan University.
- Arikunto, S. (2011). *Dasar-Dasar Evaluasi Pendidikan*. Jakarta: Bumi Aksara.
- Ashkenazi, G & Zimrot, R. (2007). Interactive Lecture Demonstrations: A Tool for Exploring and Enhancing Conceptual Change. *Chemistry Education Research and Practice*, 8(2), hlm. 197 – 211.
- Badan Standar Nasional Pendidikan. (2006). *Panduan Penyusunan Kurikulum Tingkat Satuan Pendidikan Jenjang Pendidikan Dasar dan Menengah*. Jakarta: BSNP.
- Bao, L. (2006). Theoretical Comparison of Average Normalized Gain Calculations. *American Journal of Physics*, 7(10), hlm. 917 – 922.
- Beatty, I. (2004). Transforming Student Learning With Classroom Communication Systems. *EDUCAUSE Center for Applied Research*, 3, hlm. 1 – 13.
- Brown, D. (2014). *Tracker 4.84*. [Online]. Diakses dari <http://www.opensourcephysics.org>.
- Chang, W. (2002). Interactive Teaching Approach in Year One University Physics in Taiwan: Implementation and Evaluation. *Asia-Pacific Forum on Science Learning and Teaching*, 3(1), hlm. 1 – 23.
- Churukian, A.D. (2002). *Interactive Engagement in an Introductory University Physics Course: Learning Gains and Perceptions*. (Disertasi). Kansas State University, Manhattan.
- Crouch, C, dkk. (2004). *Classroom Demonstrations: Learning Tools or Entertainment?* American Journal of Physics. American Association of Physics Teacher.
- Dahar, R.W. (1996). *Teori-teori Belajar*. Jakarta: Erlangga.
- Daluba, N.E & Mama, R.O. (2012). A Comparative Analysis of The Effect of Greeno Problem Solving and Demonstration Teaching Methods on Students' Achievement In Agricultural Science. *Journal of Engineering Trends in Educational Research and Policy Studies*, 3(2), hlm. 179 – 184.

- David, H. (1996). Misconceptions or P-Prims: How May Alternative Perspectives of Cognitive Structure Influence Instructional Perceptions and Intentions?. *The Journal of The Learning Sciences*, 5(2), hlm. 97 – 127.
- Demirci, N. (2005). A Study About Students' Misconceptions in Force and Motion Concepts by Incorporating a Web-Assisted Physics Program. *The Turkish Online Journal of Educational Technology*, 4(3), hlm. 40 – 48.
- Dori, Y.J & Belcher, J. (2005). How Does Technology-Enabled Active Learning Affect Undergraduate Students' Understanding of Electromagnetism Concepts?. *The Journal of The Learning Sciences*, 14(2), hlm. 243 – 279.
- Dufresne, R.J, dkk. (1996). Classtalk: A Classroom Communication System For Active Learning. *Journal of Computing In Higher Education*, 7, hlm. 3 – 47.
- Dufresne, R.J, dkk. (2000). *Assessing Student Knowledge With Instructional Technology*. Amherst: University of Massachusetts, Physics Education Research Group.
- Eryanti. (2012). *Penerapan Model Pembelajaran Konstruktivisme dengan Pendekatan Keterampilan Proses Sains untuk Meningkatkan Penguasaan Konsep dan Keterampilan Berkomunikasi Siswa pada Konsep Hormon*. (Tesis). Sekolah Pascasarjana, Universitas Pendidikan Indonesia, Bandung.
- Eryilmaz, A. (2002). Effects of Conceptual Assignments and Conceptual Change Discussions on Students' Misconceptions and Achievement Regarding Force and Motion. *Journal of Research in Science Teaching*, 39(10), hlm. 1001 – 1015.
- Eryilmaz, H. (2004). *The Effect of Peer Instruction on High School Students' Achievement and Attitudes Toward Physics*. (Tesis). The Middle East Technical University, Istanbul.
- Escalada, L.T. (1995). *An Investigation on The Effect of Using Interactive Digital Video in a Physics Classroom on Student Learning and Attitudes*. (Tesis). Kansas State University, Manhattan.
- Fisher, K. (2010). *Technology-Enabled Active Learning Environments: An Appraisal*. Paris: OECD.
- Fraenkel, J.C., and Wallen N.E. (2007). *How to Design and Evaluate Research in Education*. New York: McGraw-Hill, inc.
- Guemez, J, dkk. (2009). Toys in Physics Lectures and Demonstrations – A Brief Review. *Physics Education*, 44(1), hlm. 53 – 64.
- Hake, R.R. (1998). Interactive Engagement Methods In Introductory Mechanics Courses. *Journal of Physics Education Research*, hlm. 1 – 39.

- Harcharan, P. (2000). *Science Activities and Ideas, Experiencing Science Process Skills*. Edmonton: CMASTE, University of Alberta.
- Harvard – Smithsonian Center for Astrophysics. (2001). *Science in Focus: Force and Motion*. Burlington: Anneberg/CPB.
- Hestenes, D, dkk. (1992). Force Concept Inventory. *The Physics Teacher*, 30, hlm. 141 – 158.
- Jalaludin, D. (2009). *Penggunaan Model Pembelajaran Berbasis Masalah dengan Pendekatan Inkuiri Terbimbing untuk Meningkatkan Penguasaan Konsep Listrik Dinamis dan Kecakapan Ilmiah Siswa SMA*. (Tesis). Sekolah Pascasarjana, Universitas Pendidikan Indonesia, Bandung.
- Johnson, D & Brock, M. (2009). Using Learning Progressions to Develop and Implement an Inquiry Model for Force and Motion at K-5 Level, *The Learning Progressions in Science (LeaPS) Conference*. Iowa City.
- Kementrian Pendidikan dan Kebudayaan. (2013). *Implementasi Kurikulum 2013*. Jakarta: Kemendikbud.
- Krathwohl, D.R. (2002). A Revision of Bloom’s Taxonomy: An Overview. *Theory into Practice*, 41(4), hlm. 212 – 218.
- Maftai, G. (2011). The Interactive Teaching Methods – The Vectors of Success In Learning Physics, *The 6th International Conference On Virtual Learning*, hlm. 160 -166.
- Marusic M & Slisco J. (2012). Increasing The Attractiveness of School Physics: The Effect of Two Different Designs of Physics Learning. *Revista Mexicana de Fisica*, E(58), hlm. 75 – 83.
- Mazzolini, A.P, dkk. (2010). Using Interactive Lecture Demonstrations to Enhance Student Learning in Electronics, *proceedings of The 2010 AaeE Conference, Sydney*, hlm. 417 – 422.
- Meltzer, D.E & Thornton, R.K. (2012). Active-Learning Instruction In Physics. *American Journal of Physics*, 80(6), hlm. 478 – 496.
- Monaghan, J.M & Clement, J. (2000). Algorithms, Visualization, and Mental Models: High School Students’ Interactions With a Relative Motion Simulation. *Journal of Science Education and Technology*, 9(4), hlm. 311 – 325.
- Mualem, R & Eylon, B.S. (2007). “Physics With A Smile” – Explaining Phenomena With A Qualitative Problem-Solving Strategy. *The Physics Teacher*, 45, hlm. 158 – 163.
- Pacific Policy Research Center. (2010). *21st Century Skills for Students and Teacher*. Honolulu: Kamehameha Schools, Research and Evaluation Division.

- Panggabean, L. (1996). *Penelitian Pendidikan*. Bandung : Jurusan Pendidikan Fisika FPMIPA UPI.
- Panggabean, L. (2001). *Statistika Dasar*. Bandung : Jurusan Pendidikan Fisika FPMIPA UPI.
- Picard, R.W, dkk. (2004). Active Learning – A Manifesto. *BT Technology Journal*, 22(4), hlm. 253 – 269.
- Prince, M. (2004). Does Active Learning Work? A Review of The Research. *Journal of Engineering Education*, 93(3), hlm. 223 – 231.
- Pundak, D, dkk. (2009). Instructors’ Attitude Toward Active Learning. *Interdisciplinary Journal of E-Learning and Learning Objects*, 5, hlm. 215 – 232.
- Rahmawati. (2014). Tes PISA Higher Order Thinking Contextual Assessment, *Bimbingan Teknis Sosialisasi PISA (Program for International Student Assessment)*. Surabaya: Puspendik.
- Sabatka, Z. (2009). Interactive Physics Laboratory for High School Students (Experiences and Teachers’ Opinions). *WDS '09 Proceedings of Contributed Papers, Part III*(hlm. 252 – 257).
- Shieh, R.S, dkk. (2011). Technology Enabled Active Learning (TEAL) in Introductory Physics: Impact On Genders and Achievement Levels. *Australasian Journal of Educational Technology*, 27(7), hlm. 1082 – 1099.
- Sokoloff, D.R. (2012). Active Learning of Introductory Optics: Strategies for The U.S. and Develoving World. *Latin American Journal of Physics Education*. 6(1), hlm. 16 – 22.
- Sokolof, D & Thornton, R. (2004). *Interactive Lecture Demonstrations: Active Learning in Introductory Physics*. New York: John Wiley & Sons, Inc.
- Sudjana, N & Ibrahim. (2010). *Penelitian dan Penilaian Pendidikan*. Bandung: Sinar Baru Algensindo.
- Tebabal, A & Kahssay, G. (2011). The Effects of Student-Centered Approach in Improving Students’ Graphical Interpretation Skills and Conceptual Understanding of Kinematical Motion. *Latin American Journal of Physics Education*, 5(2), hlm. 374 – 381.
- Temizkan, D. (2003). *The Effect of Gender on Different Categories of Students’ Misconceptions About Force and Motion*. (Tesis). Middle East Technical University, Istanbul.
- Terenzini, P.T, dkk. (2001). Collaborative Learning vs. Lecture Discussion: Students’ Reported Learning Gains. *Journal of Engineering Education*, hlm. 123 – 130.

Turker, F. (2005). *Developing a Three-Tier Test to Assess High School Students' Misconceptions Concerning Force and Motion*. (Tesis). The Middle East Technical University, Istanbul.
