DAFTAR PUSTAKA

Ainsworth, S. 2008. The educational value of multiple-representations when learning complex scientific concepts. *Visualization : Theory and Practice in Science Education*, hlm 191-208.

Albe, V., dan Gombert, M.J. (2012). Student's communication, argumentation and knowledge in citizen's conference on global warming. *Culture Studies of Science Education, 7*, hlm. 659-681.

Anderson, L.W., dan Krathwohl, D.R. (Penyunting), (2001), *A Taxonomy for Learning, Teaching, and Assessing : A Revision of Bloom's Taxonomy of Educational Objectives. A Bridged Edition.* Boston : Addison Wesley Longman, inc.

Andriani, Y. (2015). *Penggunaan Model Pembelajaran Argument Driven Inquiry dalam Mengembangkan Kemampuan Argumentasi Ilmiah dan Penguasaan Konsep Siswa pada Pembelajaran IPA Terpadu di SMP Kelas VIII.* (Tesis). Universitas Pendidikan Indonesia, Bandung.

Arifin, Z. 2014. *PENELITIAN PENDIDIKAN : Metode dan Paradigma Baru*. Bandung : PT Remaja Rosdakarya Offset.

Arikunto, S. 2015. *Dasar-Dasar Evaluasi Pendidikan.* Jakarta : Bumi Aksara

Bromme, R., dkk. (2015). Is it believable when it's scientific? how scientific discourse style influences laypeople's resolution of conflicts. *Journal Research in Science Teaching, 52 (1),* hlm. 36-57.

Christenson, N., Rundgren, S. N. C., dan Hoglund, H.O. (2012). Using the see-sep model to analyze upper secondary students' use of supporting reason in arguing socioscientific issues. *Journal Science Education Technology, 21,* hlm. 342-352.

Christenson, N., Rundgren, S. N. C., dan Zeidler, D.L. (2014). The relationship of discipline backgorund to upper secondary student's argumentation on socioscientific issues. *Research in Science Education,* Published online.

Corradi, D., Elen, J., dan Clarebout, G. (2012). Understanding and enhancing the use of multiple external representations in chemistry education. *Journal Science Education and Technology, vol.21,* hlm. 780-795

Creswell, J.W. 2009. *RESEARCH DESIGN : Qualitative, Quantitative, and Mixed Methods Approaches. Third Edition.* California : SAGE Publications.

Dahar, R. W. 2011. *Teori-Teori Belajar dan Pembelajaran*. Jakarta : Penerbit Erlangga.

Dunst, C.J., Hamby, W.H., dan Trivette, C.M. (2004). Guidelines for calculating effect sizes for practice-based research syntheses. *Centerscope, 3 (1),* hlm. 1-10.

Fraenkel, J.R., Wallen, N.E., dan Hyun, H.H. 2012. *How to Design and Evaluate Research in Educa\tion*. New York : MCGraw-Hill.

Foong, C.C., dan Daniel, Ester G.S. (2013). Student's argumentation skills across two socio-scientific issues in a confucian classroom : is transfer possible?. *International Journal of Science Education, 35 (14),* hlm. 2331-2355.

Ginanjar, W.S. (2014). *Penerapan Model Argument-Driven Inquiry untuk Meningkatkan Kemampuan Argumentasi Ilmiah Siswa SMP*. (Skripsi). Universitas Pendidikan Indonesia, Bandung.

Hake, R.R. (1999). Interactive-engagement vs traditional methods: A six thousand student survey of mechanic test data for introductory physics courses. *American Journal of Physics,* 66 (*1*), hlm. 64-74.

Jaya, H. (2012). Pengembangan laboratorium virtual untuk kegiatan praktikum dan memfasilitasi pendidikan karakter di SMK. *Jurnal Pendidikan Vokasi*,*2*, hlm. 81-90.

Joyce, B., Weil, M., dan Calhoun, E. 2011. *Models of Teaching (Model-Model Pengajaran)*. Yogyakarta : Pustaka Pelajar

Kemendikbud. 2014. *Ilmu Pengetahuan Alam untuk SMP/MTS Kelas VIII Semester Satu*. Jakarta : Kemendikbud

Khishfe, Rola. (2014). Explicit nature of science and argumentation instruction in the context of socioscientific issues: an effect on student learning and transfer. *International Journal of Science Education, 36(6),* hlm. 974-1016

Lin, S.S., dan Mintzes, J.J. (2010). Learning argumentation skills through instruction in socioscientific issues: the effect of ability level. *International Journal of Science and Mathematics Education, 8,* hlm. 993-1017.

Lohner, S., Van Joolingen, W.R., dan Savelsbergh, E.R. (2003). The effect of external representation on constructing computer models of complex fenomena. *Instructional Science*, *vol.31*, pp. 395-418

Manurung, S.R. (2012). Identifikasi Keterampilan Berargumentasi melalui Ananlisis "*Toulmin Argumentation Pattern (TAP)"* Pada Topik Kinematik Bagi Mahasiswa Calon Guru. *Prosiding Seminar dan Rapat Tahunan FMIPA Universitas Negeri Medan.* Medan, UNIMED Press.

Mulyasa, E. 2014. *Pengembangan dan Implementasi Kurikulum 2013*. Bandung, Remaja Rosdakarya

Muslim dan Suhandi, A. (2012). Pengembangan Perangkat Pembelajaran Fisika Sekolah untuk Meningkatkan Pemahaman Konsep dan Keterampilan Berargumentasi Calon Guru Fisika. *Jurnal Pendidikan Fisika Indonesia*, *8*, hlm.174-183.

Pratama, A.B. (2014). *Penerapan Model Pembangkit Argumen dengan Metode Investigasi Sains untuk Meningkatkan Kemampuan Berargumentasi Siswa Pada Materi Kalor*. (Skripsi). Universitas Pendidikan Indonesia, Bandung.

Pratiwi, R., dkk. 2008. *Contextual Teaching and Learning : Ilmu Pengetahuan Alam SMP/MTS Kelas VIII*. Jakarta : Pusat Perbukuan Depdiknas

Sampson, V. dan Clark, D.B. (2008). Assessment of the ways students generate arguments in science education : current perspectives and recomendations for future directions. *Science Education, 92,* hlm. 447-472.

Sampson, V. dan Grooms, J. (2010). Promoting and supporting scientific argumentation outside the lab : Generate an argument instructional model. *The Science Teacher,* hlm. 32-37.

Samita, J. (2014). *Efektivitas Model Pembangkit Argumen dengan Metode Investigasi Sains untuk Meningkatkan Kemampuan Argumentasi Siswa SMP pada Materi Cahaya*. (Skripsi). Universitas Pendidikan Indonesia, Bandung.

Siswanto. (2014). *Penerapan Model Pembelajaran Pembangkit Argumen Menggunakan Metode Saintifik untuk Meningkatkan Kemampuan Kognitif dan Keterampilan Berargumentasi*. (Tesis). Sekolah Pascasarjana, Universitas Pendidikan Indonesia, Bandung.

Sugiyono. 2012. *METODE PENELITIAN PENDIDIKAN : Pendekatan Kuantitatif, Kualitatif, dan R&D.* Bandung : Alfabeta.

Trianto. 2009. *Mendesain Model Pembelajaran Inovatif-Progresif*. Jakarta : Prenada Media Grup

Venville, G.J dan Dawson, V.M. (2010a).The impact of classroom intervention on grade 10 students' argumentation skills, informal reasoning, and conceptual understanding of science. *Journal of Research in Science Teaching, 47.(8),* hlm. 952-977.

Venville, G.J dan Dawson, V.M. (2010b).Teaching Strategies for Developing Students' Argumentation Skills About Socio-scientific Issues in High School Genetics. *Research in Science Education, vol. 42,* pp. 133-148

Von Aufschnaiter, C., dkk. (2008). Arguing to learn and learning to argue : Case studiesof how students' argumentation relates to their scientific knowledge. *Journal of Research in Science Teaching, 45(1),* hlm. 101-131.

Wiersma, W. dan Jurs, S.G. 2009. *RESEARCH METHODS IN EDUCATION : An Introduction*. Boston : Pearson Education, inc.

Wisudawati, A.W. dan Sulistyowati, E. 2014. *Metodologi Pembelajaran IPA*. Jakarta : PT Bumi Aksara.

Wu, H., dan Puntambekar, S. (2012). Pedagogical affordances of multiple external representations in scientific processes. *Journal Science Education and Technology, vol.21,* pp. 754-767