

## REFERENCES

- Abiddin, Z. N. (2007). Challenges in Ph.D studies: The case of arts student. *European Journal of Social Sciences*, Vol.5(2), pp. 83-93.
- Acker, S., Hill, T. & Black, E. (1994). Thesis Supervision in the social sciences: Managed or Negotiated? *Higher Education*, Vol.28, pp. 483-498.
- Adrian-Taylor, S., Noels, K. & Tischler, K. (2007). Conflict between international graduate students and faculty supervisor: Toward effective conflict prevention and management strategies. *Journal of Studies in International education*, Vol. 11(1), pp. 90-117.
- Alamis, M. P. (2010). Evaluating Students' Reactions and Responses to Teachers' Written Feedbacks. *Philippine ESL Journal*, Vol. 5, pp. 57-72
- Anderson, D. J. Major, R. L. & Mitchell, R. R. (1992). *Teacher supervision that works: A guide for university supervisors*. New York: Praeger.
- Anderson, T. (2003). Getting the Mix Right Again: An updated and theoretical rationale for interaction. *International Review of Research in Open and Distance Learning*, Vol.4(2).
- Anson, C. M. (1989). *Writing and response: Theory, practice, and research*. Urbana: National Council of Teachers of English.
- APA. (2003). *Publication Manual*. Washington D.C.: Author.
- Armstrong, S. (2004) The Impact of Supervisor's Cognitive Styles on the Quality of Research Supervision in Management Education. *British Journal of Educational Psychology*, Vol.74, pp. 599-616
- Arbur, R. (1977). The student-teacher conference. *College Composition and Communication*, Vol. 28(4), pp. 338-342.
- Archer-Kath, J. D., Johnson, W. D., & Johnson, R. T. (1994). Individual versus group feedback in cooperative groups. *The Journal of Social Psychology*, vol.134(5), pp. 681-694.
- Arndt, V. (1993). Response to writing: using feedback to inform the writing process. In M. Dalam N. Brock and L. Walters (Eds.), *Teaching Composition Around the Pacific Rim: Politics and Pedagogy* (pp. 90-116). UK: Multilingual Matters.

Sutanto, 2015

**THESIS WRITING SUPERVISION: A CONTRIBUTION OF FEEDBACK TO THE DEVELOPMENT OF STUDENTS' THESIS WRITING**

Universitas Pendidikan Indonesia | repository.upi.edu | perpustakaan.upi.edu

- Ary, D., Jacobs, L. C. & Razavieh, A. (1972). *Introduction to research in education*. New York: Holt, Rinehart and Winston.
- Askew, S. (2000). *Feedback for Learning*. London: Routledge Falmer.
- Austin, A. (1991). *Assessment for excellence: The philosophy and practice of assessment and evaluation in higher education*. New York: Macmillan Publishing Company.
- Bailey, F. & Garner, M. (2010). Is the feedback in higher education assessment worth the paper it is written on? Teachers' reflection on their practices. *Journal of Teaching in Higher Education*. Vol 15/2, pp. 187-189.
- Baldwin, J. R. (1999). *Innovation, training and success*. Ottawa, Canada: Statistics Canada, Analytical Studies Branch
- Balzer, W. K., Doherty, M. E., & O'Connor, R., Jr. (1989). Effects of cognitive feedback on performance. *Psychological Bulletin*, 106(3), 410–433
- Bangert-Drowns, R. L., Kulik, C. C., Kulik, J. A. & Morgan, M. T. (1991). The instructional effect of feedback in test-like events. *Review of Educational Research*, 61 (2), 213–238.
- Bates, L. L. (1993). *Writing clearly: Responding to ESL composition*. Boston: Heinle and Heinle.
- Berg, C. E. (1999). The effects of trained peer response on ESL students' revision types and writing quality. *Journal of Second Language Writing*, Vol. 8, pp. 215– 237.
- Bergman, M.M. (2009) *Advances in Mixed Methods Research*. London: Sage
- Biantoro, A.W. (2009) *Metode Penelitian Ekonomi Islam: Perbedaan Skripsi, Tesis, and Disertasi*. Media Informasi Mahasiswa Pascasarjana UIKA
- Biber, D., Nekrasova & B. Horn. (2011). *The effectiveness of feedback for L1-English and L2 writing development: A meta-analysis*. (TOEFL iBT Research Report No. 14). Princeton, NJ: Educational Testing Service.
- Biggs, J. (2003). *Teaching for Quality Learning at University*. 2nd ed. Brekshire: Open University Press.

- Bitchener, J. & Young, S. A. (2005). The effect of different types of corrective feedback on ESL student writing. *Journal of Second Language Writing*. Vol.14, pp. 191–205
- Bitchener, P.J., Basturkmen, H., East, M. & Meyer, H. (2011). *Best Practice in Supervisor Feedback to Thesis Students*. Wellington: Ako Aotearoa
- Black, P. H. (2003). *Assessment for learning: Putting it into practice*. Berkshire, England: Open University Press.
- Black, P. & William, D. (1998) Assessment in classroom learning. *Assessment Education: Principles, Policy & Practice*, Vol. 5/1, 7-74
- Bloom, B. S. (1984). The 2 Sigma problem: The search for methods of group instruction as effective as one-on-one tutoring. *Educational Researcher* Vol.13, pp. 8-16.
- Blumberg, A. (1978). Supervision and interpersonal intervention. *Journal of Classroom Interaction*, Vol. 13/1, 23–32.
- Bolker, J. (1988) *Writing Your Dissertation in Fifteen Minutes a Day*. New York: Henry Holt and Company, LLC.
- Boud, D. (2000). Sustainable assessment: rethinking assessment for the learning society. *Studies in Continuing Education*, Vol. 22/2, pp. 151-167.
- Boud, D. & Falchikov, N. (2006) Aligning assessment with long term learning. *Assessment and Evaluation in Higher Education*, Vol. 31/4. 399-413
- Bower, Jack & Kawaguchi, Satomi (2001). Negotiation of Meaning and Corrective Feedback. *Language Learning & Technology*, Volume 15/1, pp. 41–71 .
- Braunstein, J. W. (2007). *Research Methodology Help for Dissertation Students*. ResearchConsultation.com.
- Brender, A. (1998). Conferencing: An Interactive Way to Teach Writing. *The Language Teacher Online*. Retrieved May 3, 2008, from <http://www.jaltpublications.org/tlt/files/98/jul/brender.html>.
- Brender, A. (1995). ‘An investigation of differences between peer tutors and faculty tutors.’ *Paper presented at the international Writing center Conference*, . St. Louis, MO.

Sutanto, 2015

**THESIS WRITING SUPERVISION: A CONTRIBUTION OF FEEDBACK TO THE DEVELOPMENT OF STUDENTS' THESIS WRITING**

Universitas Pendidikan Indonesia | repository.upi.edu | perpustakaan.upi.edu

- Brockner, J., S. Grover, T. Reed, R. DeWitt, and M. O'Malley 1987 "Survivors' reactions to layoffs: We get by with a little help for our friends." *Administrative Science Quarterly*, 32: 526–542.
- Brookhart, S. M. (2008) *How to give effective feedback to your students*. Alexandria: Association for Supervision and Curriculum Development
- Brophy, J.E. (1981) Teacher praise: A functional analysis. *Review of Educational Research*, 51 (1), pp. 5-32
- Brounstein, M. (2014) Giving Constructive. Retrieved 24 January 2014 from Feedback.<http://www.dummies.com/how-to/content/giving-constructive-feedback.html>
- Brounstein, M. ( 2012). *Giving Constructive Feedback*, New Jersey: John Wiley & Sons, Inc, <http://www.dummies.com/how-to/content/giving-constructive->.
- Brown, R. (1994) The 'big picture' about managing writing, in: O. Zuber-Skerritt & Ryan, Y. (Eds) *Quality in Postgraduate Education*, pp. 38-50. London: Kogan Page
- Brown, L. (2004). Diversity: the challenge for higher education. *Race, Ethnicity and Education*, Vol. 7/1, pp. 21-34.
- Brown, R.B. & Glover, A. (2006) *Doing Your Dissertation in Business and Management. The Reality of Researching and Writing*. London: Sage Publications
- Brutus, S. & Manoogian, S. (1997) The art of feedback . *Leadership in Action*, Vol.17/3, pp. 8-10.
- Buswell, J. & Matthews, N. (2004) Feedback on Feedback! Encouraging students to use feedback: a University of Gloucestershire case study. *Journal of Hospitality, Leisure, Sport and Tourism Education* Vol.3/1, pp. 61-72
- Butler, D.L. & Winne, P.H. (1995) Feedback and self-regulated learning: a theoretical synthesis, *Review of Educational Research*, Vol. 65/3, pp. 245-281.
- Calabrese, R. L. (2006). *The elements of an effective dissertation and thesis: A step by step guide for getting it right the first time*. Lanham, MD: Rowman & Littlefield Education.

Sutanto, 2015

**THESIS WRITING SUPERVISION: A CONTRIBUTION OF FEEDBACK TO THE DEVELOPMENT OF STUDENTS' THESIS WRITING**

Universitas Pendidikan Indonesia | repository.upi.edu | perpustakaan.upi.edu

- Calkins, L. M. (1986). *The art of teaching writing*. Portsmouth, NH: Heinemann.
- Camp, C.L., Gregory, J.K., Lachman, N., Chen, L.P., Juskewitch, J.E. & Pawlina, W. (2010) *Comparative efficacy of group and individual feedback in gross anatomy for promoting medical student professionalism*. Mayo Medical School, Minnesota: American Association of Anatomists.
- Carson, J. & Nelson, G. 1996. 'Chinese students' perceptions of ESL peer response group interaction'. *Journal of Second Language Writing*. Vol. 5/1, pp. 1-9.
- Carson, J. & Nelson, G. (1994) Writing groups: cross-cultural issues. *Journal of Second Language Writing*, Vol. 3/1, pp. 17 – 30.
- Castle, P., Incledon, V. & Waring, M. (2008). Enhancing feedback: The development of a Criterion based marking template for students on a sport degree programme. *Journal of Hospitality, Leisure, Sport and Tourism Education*, Vol. 7/1, pp. 89-98.
- Charliepeu (2011) Group learning versus Individual work, retrieved 12 May 2013 from <http://charliepeu8b8.wordpress.com/2011/02/25/group-learning-versus-individual-work/>
- Charmaz, Kathy (1983) "The grounded theory method: An explication and interpretation", in *Contemporary field Research: A Collection of Readings*, Robert M. Emerson, ed., Boston: Little, Brown and Company, 109-128.
- Chen, S. (2002). A cognitive model for non-linear learning in hypermedia programmes. *British Journal of Educational Technology*, Vol. 33/4, pp. 449–460
- Chenoweth, N. A. & Luppescu, S. (1993). Attitudes and preferences of ESL students to error correction. *Studies in Second Language Acquisition*, Vol. 6/1, pp. 79-87.
- Cho, K. S. ( 2006). Commenting on writing: Typology and perceived helpfulness of comments from novice peer reviewers and subject matter experts. *Written Communication*, Vol. 23, pp. 260–294.
- Clare, J. (2003). Writing a PhD Thesis. Dalam & H. J. Clare., *Writing Research: Transforming data into text*. London: Chrhill Linving stone.

Sutanto, 2015

**THESIS WRITING SUPERVISION: A CONTRIBUTION OF FEEDBACK TO THE DEVELOPMENT OF STUDENTS' THESIS WRITING**

Universitas Pendidikan Indonesia | repository.upi.edu | perpustakaan.upi.edu

- Clare, Judith, and Hamilton, Helen (2003). *Writing Research: Transforming Data into Text*. Edinburgh: Churchill Livingstone.
- Coffin, C., Curry, M.J., Goodman., Hewings, A., Lillis, T.M. & Swann, J. (2003) *Teaching Academic Writing: A toolkit for higher education*. London: Routledge
- Cohen, A.D. & Cavalcanti, M.C. (1990). Feedback on compositions: Teacher and student. In B. Kroll (Ed.), *Second Language Writing: Research insights for the classroom. verbal report*. Cambridge: CUP.
- Cohen, L. & Manion, L. (1994). *Research Methods in Education*. New Your: Routledge
- Connell, R. W. (1985). 'How to supervise a PhD'. *Vestes* Vol. 2, pp. 38-41.
- Connole, H. (1993). 'The research enterprise.' In Connole, H., Smith, J., Wiseman, R. (1993) (Eds). *Research methodology 1: Issues and methods in research. Study guide*. Melbourne: Deakin University.
- Connolly, P. (2004) *Boys and Schooling in the Early*, Routledge: Routledge Falmer
- Connors, K. & Asenavage, K. (1994) Peer response groups in ESL writing classes: How much impact on revision? *Journal of Second Language Writing*. Vol. 3/3, pp. 257-276
- Connors, R.J. & Lunsford, A.A. (1993). Teachers' rhetorical comments on student papers. *College Composition and Communication*, Vol. 44/2), pp. 200-223
- Cooley, L. & Lewkowicz, J. (2003) *Dissertation Writing in Practice, Turning Ideas into*. Hongkong: Hongkong University Press.
- Cooper, H. (2010). *Research Synthesis and Meta-Analysis: A Step-By-Step Approach*. Los Angeles: Sage.
- Cooper, L. W. ( 2001). A comparison of online and traditional computer application classes. *T.H.E. (Technological Horizons in Education) Journal*, Vol. 28/8, pp. 52–58.
- Cooper, T. J., Baturo, A. R. & Harris, L. (1998) Scholarly writing in mathematics and science Education higher degree courses, in: J. A. Malone, B. Atweh, & J. R. Northfield (Eds) *Research and Supervision in Mathematics and*

Sutanto, 2015

**THESIS WRITING SUPERVISION: A CONTRIBUTION OF FEEDBACK TO THE DEVELOPMENT OF STUDENTS' THESIS WRITING**

Universitas Pendidikan Indonesia | repository.upi.edu | perpustakaan.upi.edu

*Science Education*, pp. 249-276. Mahwah, NJ: Erlbaum).

- Corbin, Juliet & Anselm Strauss (1990) *Basics of Qualitative Research: Grounded Theory Procedures and Techniques*, Newbury Park, CA: Sage Publications.
- Corder, S. P. (1967). The significance of learners' errors. *International Review of Applied Linguistics*, Vol. 4, pp. 161-170.
- Cornwall, M. G., Schmithals, F. & Jaques, D. (Eds.). (1977). What is project orientation? An overview. In *Proceedings of the Seminar on Project Orientation in Higher Education, 1-16*. University of Bremen, March 1976, University of London, Institute of Education.
- Cowan, J. (2003). Assessment for learning – giving timely and effective feedback'. *Exchange*, Spring Vol. 4, pp. 21-22.
- Crook, C. (1998). Children as computer users: The case of collaborative learning. *Computers and Education*, Vol. 30, pp. 237-247
- Cullen, D., Pearson, M., Saha, L. J., & Spear, R. H. (1994). Establishing effective PhD supervision. Canberra: AGPS
- Dale, K. (2010). Providing Students with Effective Feedback. *Academic Leadership, The online Journal*. Vol. 4/4.
- Davies, A. (2003) Feed Back ... Feed Forward: Using Assessment to Boost Literacy Learning Originally published in *Primary Leadership*. Vol.2 No. 3 Spring Issue (2000) p.53-55.
- Davinson, D. (1977). *Theses and Dissertations as Information Sources*. London: Clive Bingley.
- Delamont, S., Atkinson, P. & Pary, O. (1999) *Supervising the PhD: A Guide to Success* Buckingham: Open University Press.
- Donnelly, R. & Fitzmaurice, M. (n.d.) Resource Pack on Supervising Postgraduate Students. Dublin Institute of Technology
- Dragga, S. (1991). Responding to technical writing. *Technical Writing Teacher*, Vol. 18/3, pp. 202-221.
- Duke, S. (2001). E-mail: Essential in media relations, but no replacement for face-to-face communication. *Public Relations Quarterly*, Vol. 46/4,

Sutanto, 2015

**THESIS WRITING SUPERVISION: A CONTRIBUTION OF FEEDBACK TO THE DEVELOPMENT OF STUDENTS' THESIS WRITING**

Universitas Pendidikan Indonesia | repository.upi.edu | perpustakaan.upi.edu

pp. 19-22.

- Edlin, A. (2001). *The Nature of Written Feedback: A study of postgraduate lecturers' Perspectives. A Thesis for Master Degree of Education*. New Zealand: Unitec.
- Educators, A. ( 2011). *Grammar & Vocabulary*. D & B Mann Webmasters. <http://www.aussieeducator.org.au/images/auslogo.png>.
- Eggleston, J. & Delamont, S. (1983). *Supervision of Students for Research Degrees*. Birmingham: ALBERA.
- Elawar, M. C. & Corno. L. (1985). A factorial experiment in teachers' written feedback on student homework: Changing teacher behavior a little rather than a lot. *Journal of Educational Psychology*, Vol .77/2, pp. 162-173.
- Ellis, R. (2009). A Typology of Written Corrective Feedback Types. *ELT Journal*. Vol.63/2 April 2009
- Ellis, R. A. & Calvo, R. A. (2004). Learning through discussions in blended environments. *Educational Media International*, Vol. 41/3, pp. 263–274.
- Ellis, R.S., Murakami, M. & Takashima, H. (2008) The effects of focussed and unfocused written corrective feedback in an English as a foreign language context. *System* Vol. 36, pp. 353-371
- Emerson, L.(2007) Ed. *Writing Guidelines for Education Students*. Australia: Thomson
- Emilia, E. (2008). *Menulis Tesis dan Disertasi*. Bandung: Alfabeta.
- Engebretson, K., Smith, K., McLaughlin, D., Seibold, C. Teret, G., & Ryan, E. (2008). The changing reality of research education in Australia and implications for supervision: a review of the literature. *Teaching in Higher Education*, 13(1) 1–15.
- Evans, D. & Gruba, P. (2002). *How to Write a Better Thesis*. Victoria: Melbourne: Melbourne University Press.
- Fathman, A.K. & Whalley, E. (1990). Teacher response to student writing: Focus on form versus content. In B. Kroll (Ed.), *Second Language Writing* (pp. 178-190). Cambridge, UK: Cambridge University Press.
- Fazio, L. (2001). The effect of corrections and commentaries on the journal writing accuracy of minority- and majority-language students. *Journal of*

Sutanto, 2015

**THESIS WRITING SUPERVISION: A CONTRIBUTION OF FEEDBACK TO THE DEVELOPMENT OF STUDENTS' THESIS WRITING**

Universitas Pendidikan Indonesia | repository.upi.edu | perpustakaan.upi.edu



*Second Language Writing*, 10(4), 235-249.

- Fedor, D. B. (1991). Recipient responses to performance feedback: A proposed model and its implications. *Research in Personnel and Human Resources Management*, 9,73–120.
- Ferris, D. (2006). Does error feedback help student writers? New evidence on the short- and long-term effects of written error correction. Dalam K. H. (Eds.), *Feedback in second language writing: Contexts and issues*. (pp. 81-104). Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Ferris, D. (2003a). *Responding to writing: Exploring second language writing*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press. (pp. 119–140).
- Ferris, D. (2003b). *Response to Student Writing: Implication for Second-Language Students*. Mahwah, NJ: Lawrence Erlbaum Associates, Inc.
- Ferris, D. (1999). The case for grammar correction in L2 writing classes. A response to Truscott (1996). *Journal of Second Language Writing*, Vol. 8, pp. 1–10.
- Ferris, D. (1995). Can advanced ESL students be taught to correct their most serious Serious and frequent errors?. *CATESOL Journal*, Vol. 8/1, pp. 41-62.
- Ferris, D. & Hedgcock, J. S. (2004). *Teaching ESL Composition: Purpose, Process, and Practice* (2 nd Ed.). Mahwah, N.J.: Lawrence Erlbaum.
- Ferris, D. & Roberts, B (2001). ‘Error Feedback in L2 Writing Classes: How Explicit Does It Need to Be?’. *Journal of Second Language Writing*, Vol.10, pp.161–184.
- Fox, B. (1993). *The human tutorial dialogue project: Issues in the design of instructional systems*. Lawrence Erlbaum.
- Freebody, P. (2003). *Qualitative research in education. Interaction and practice*. London: SAGE Publications.
- Freedman, S. (1985). *The Acquisition of written language. Response and Revision*. New Jersey: Ablex.
- Frijda, N.H. (1986) *The Emotions*, Cambridge: Cambridge University Press
- Fullan, M. and Hargreaves, A. (1992). *What’s Worth Fighting for in Your School?*

Sutanto, 2015

**THESIS WRITING SUPERVISION: A CONTRIBUTION OF FEEDBACK TO THE DEVELOPMENT OF STUDENTS’ THESIS WRITING**

Universitas Pendidikan Indonesia | repository.upi.edu | perpustakaan.upi.edu

*Working Together for Improvement*. Beckenham: Open University Press.  
pp 28–29.

Garcia, M.E., Malott, R.W. & Brethower, D. (1988). A system of thesis and dissertation supervision: Helping graduate students succeed. *Teaching of Psychology*, Vol. 15, pp. 186–191

Gay, L. M. (2006). *Educational Research: Competencies and Applications*. New Jersey: Pearson.

Gay, L.R., Mills, J.E., & Airasian, P. (2006). *Educational Research: Competencies and Applications*. New Jersey: Pearson.

Glatthorn, A. A. & Joyner, R. L. (2005). *Writing the Winning Theses or Dissertation: A Step by Step Guide*, California: Corwin Press.

Goldstein, L. (2006). 'Feedback and Revision in Second Language Writing: Contextual, Teacher, and Student Variables'. Dalam K. a. Hyland, *Feedback in Second Language Writing* (pp. 185–205). New York: CUP

Goldstein, L. & Conrad, S. (1990). "Student input and negotiation of meaning in ESL writing conferences." *TESOL Quarterly*, 24

Goodman, J. S., & Wood, R. E. (2004). Feedback specificity, learning opportunities, and learning. *Journal of Applied Psychology*, 89(5), 809-821.

Gottschalk, Katherine, & Hjortshoj, Keith. (2004). *The elements of teaching writing: A resource for instructors in all disciplines*. Boston: Bedford/St. Martin's.

Grabe, W. (2001). *Notes towards a theory of second language writing* (pp. 39-57) New Jersey: Lawrence Erlbaum.

Grabe, W. & Kaplan, R. B. (1996). *Theory and Practice of Writing*. The USA: Longman.

Graduate School of Communication (2010). The Master's thesis and the role of the tutor/thesis supervisor in the new Communication Science Master's programme. University of Amsterdam

Grami, G. (2005). The Effect of Teachers Written Feedback on ESL Students Perception: A Study A Saudi ESL University Context. *Annual Review of Education. Communication and Language Sciences*. Volume 2, 2005

Sutanto, 2015

**THESIS WRITING SUPERVISION: A CONTRIBUTION OF FEEDBACK TO THE DEVELOPMENT OF STUDENTS' THESIS WRITING**

Universitas Pendidikan Indonesia | repository.upi.edu | perpustakaan.upi.edu

- Green, B. (2005). Unfinished business: subjectivity and supervision. *Higher Education Research and Development*, Vol. 24 /2, pp. 151-163.
- Greene, J. C. & Caracelli, V.J. (1997a) Defining and describing the paradigm issue in mixed method evaluation. *New Direction for Evaluation*, Vol. 74, pp. 5-17
- Greene, J. C. & Caracelli, V. J. (Eds) (1997b). *Advances in mixed-method evaluation: The challenges and benefits of integrating diverse paradigms* (pp. 19-32). San Francisco: Jossey-Bass.
- Griffith, T. L., Sawyer, J. E. & and Neale, M. A. (2003). "Virtualness and Knowledge in Teams: Managing the Love Triangle in Organizations, Individuals, and Information Technology,". *MIS Quarterly*, Vol. 27/2.
- Guffey, M. R. (2006). *Business Communication: Process and Product*. Toronto: Nelson.
- Guide on Thesis Supervision (2010-2011)*Handbook for Research Postgraduate Studies 2010-2011* Retrieved December 18,2010 from [www.ust.hk/vpaa/pg/rpghandbook/supervision/guide.html](http://www.ust.hk/vpaa/pg/rpghandbook/supervision/guide.html)
- Hairston, M. (1986). On not being a composition slave. In c.w. Bridges (ed.), *Training the new teacher of college composition*, Urbana, Il: ncte.
- Hann, M. A. (2004). Computer anxiety and students' preferred feedback methods in EFL writing. *The Modern Language Journal*, Vol. 88/3, pp. 403-415.
- Hara, N. B. (2000). Content analysis of online discussion in an applied educational psychology. *Instructional Science*, Vol. 28/2, pp. 115–152.
- Harding, A. G. (1973). The objectives and structure of undergraduate projects. *British Journal of Educational Technology*, Vol. 4, pp. 216–232.
- Harman, G. (2002) Producing PhD Graduates in Australia for the Knowledge Economy. *Higher Education Research & Development*, Vol. 21/2, pp.180-190
- Harris, M. (1986). Teaching one-to-one: The writing conference. National Council of Teachers of English.
- Hart, C. (2005). *Doing your Masters Dissertation*. Sage: London.

- Harvard Writing Project Bulletin (2000). *Responding to Student Writing*. Boston: The President and Fellows of Harvard College
- Hattie, J. & Timperley, H. (2007). The power of feedback. *Review of Educational Research*, Vol. 77/1, pp. 81-101.
- Hatziapostolou, T & Paraskakis, I. (2010) “Enhancing the Impact of Formative Feedback on Student Learning through an Online Feedback System” *Electronic Journal of e-Learning* Volume 8 Issue 2 2010, pp.111 - 122.
- Hawkins & Shohet (2006) *Supervision in the Helping Professions*. Berkshire, England: OUP
- Haythornthwaite, C. & Kazmer, M.M. (2002) “Bringing the Internet Home: Adult Distance Learners and Their Internet, Home, and Work Worlds,” in *The Internet in Everyday Life*, ed. Barry Wellman and Caroline Haythornthwaite (Malden, MA: Blackwell Publishing, 2002), pp. 431–463
- Heath, T. (2002). A quantitative analysis of PhD students' views of supervision. *Higher Education Research and Development*, Vol. 21/1, pp. 41-53.
- Hefferman, J. & Lincoln, J. (1996) *Writing: a concise handbook*. New York: Norton.
- Heift, T. & Caws, C. (2000). Peer feedback in synchronous writing environments: A case study in French. *Educational Technology & Society* 3(3).
- Heppner, P. P., & Handley, P. G. (1981). A study of the interpersonal influence Process in supervision. *Journal of Counseling Psychology*, Vol. 28, pp. 437-444.
- Holmberg, B. (1989). *Theory and practice of distance education*. London: Routledge.
- Holmes, P. (2005). Ethnic Chinese students’ communication with cultural others in a New Zealand University. *Communication Education*, Vol. 54(4), pp. 289-311.
- Holmes, L. & Smith, L. (2003) Student evaluation of faculty grading methods. *Journal of Education for Business*, July/August 2003, Vol. pp. 318–323.
- Holtom, D. & Fisher, E. (1999) *Enjoy Writing your Thesis or Dissertation!* London: Imperial College Press

Sutanto, 2015

**THESIS WRITING SUPERVISION: A CONTRIBUTION OF FEEDBACK TO THE DEVELOPMENT OF STUDENTS' THESIS WRITING**

Universitas Pendidikan Indonesia | repository.upi.edu | perpustakaan.upi.edu

- Horowitz, D. (1986). Process, not product: Less than meets the eye. *TESOL Quarterly*, Vol. 20/1, pp. 141-154.
- Hounsell, D. (2004). Reinventing feedback for the contemporary Scottish university'. *Improving Feedback to Students Workshop*. Glasgow: available at [www.enhancement.themes.ac.uk/uploads/documents/Hounsellpaper.doc](http://www.enhancement.themes.ac.uk/uploads/documents/Hounsellpaper.doc)
- Hounsell, D. I. (1987). *Essay writing and the quality of feedback, Student Learning: research in education and cognitive psychology*. Milton Keynes: Open University Press.
- Hrastinski, S. (2008). Asynchronous and Synchronous E-Learning. *EDUCAUSE Quarterly*, Vol. 31/4 (October–December 2008).
- Hsu, C. (2009). Writing partnerships. *Reading Teacher*, Vol. 63/2, pp. 153-158.
- Huang, R. (2006). 'A challenging but worthwhile learning experience!' Asian international student perspectives of undertaking a dissertation in the UK. *Journal of Hospitality, Leisure, Sport and Tourism Education*, Vol. 6/1, pp. 29-38.
- Huckin, T. (2006). *Abstracting from abstracts*. In M. Hewings (ed.), *Academic Writing in Context*. London : Continuum (pp. 93-103).
- Hung, D., Tan, S. C. & Chen, D. T. (2005). How the Internet facilitates learning as dialog: design considerations for online discussions. *International Journal of Instructional Media*, Vol. 32/1, pp. 37–46.
- Hyatt, D. (2005). 'Yes, a very good point!': A critical genre analysis of a corpus of feedback commentaries on Master of Education assignments. *Teaching in Higher Education*, 10(3) , 339–353.
- Hyland, F. (1998). The impact of teacher written feedback on individual writers. *Journal of Second Language Writing*, Vol. 7, pp. 255-286.
- Hyland, Fiona & Hyland, Ken, (2001) Sugaring the pill Praise and criticism in written Feedback. *Journal of Second Language Writing*, Vol. 10, pp. 185– 212
- Hyland, K. (2009). *Academic Discourse*. London: Continuum.
- Hyland, K. (2000). *Disciplinary Discourses: Social Interactions in Academic*

Sutanto, 2015

**THESIS WRITING SUPERVISION: A CONTRIBUTION OF FEEDBACK TO THE DEVELOPMENT OF STUDENTS' THESIS WRITING**

Universitas Pendidikan Indonesia | [repository.upi.edu](http://repository.upi.edu) | [perpustakaan.upi.edu](http://perpustakaan.upi.edu)

*Writing*. London: Longman.

Hyland, K. (1990). Providing productive feedback. *ELT Journal*, Vol. 44/4, pp. 294-304.

Hyland, K. (2006). *Feedback in Second Language Writing*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.

Hyland, K. & Hyland, F. (2006). Feedback on second language students' writing. *Language Teaching* Vol. 39, pp. 83–101.

Hyland, K. & Tse, P. (2004). Metadiscourse in academic writing: A reappraisal. *Applied Linguistics*, Vol. 25/2, 156–177.

Inoue, A. & Kubota, M. (1989) Error Treatment in a Japanese Language Classroom. *WPEL*, Vol. 10/2

Irons, A. (2008). *Enhancing learning through formative assessment*. New York: Routledge. New York: Routledge.

Ivanic, R. C. (2000). *What am I supposed to make of this? The messages conveyed to students by tutors' written comments*. *Student Writing in Higher Education: New Contexts*. Buckingham: SHRE/Open University Press.

James, R. & Baldwin, G. (1999). *Eleven practices of effective postgraduate supervisors*. The Centre for the Study of Higher Education and The School of Graduate Studies, University of Melbourne. Retrieved May 15, 2007 from [www.cshe.unimelb.edu.au/pdfs/11practices.pdf](http://www.cshe.unimelb.edu.au/pdfs/11practices.pdf)

Jannetti, Anthony J. (2005) Case and Grounded Theory As Qualitative Research Methods. Retrieved 2 August 2012 from [Users/TOSHIBA/Documents/Dissertation, Draft 2012/Case and Grounded Theory As Qualitative Research Methods-Science News-red.Orbit.htm](http://Users/TOSHIBA/Documents/Dissertation, Draft 2012/Case and Grounded Theory As Qualitative Research Methods-Science News-red.Orbit.htm)

Jasmine, J. & Weiner, W. (2007). The effects of writing workshop on abilities of first grade students to become confident and independent writers. *Early Childhood Education Journal*, Vol. 35/2, pp. 131-139.

Jin, L. & Cortazzi, M. (1998) Dimensions of dialogue: Large classes in China. *International of Educational Research*, Vol. 29, pp. 739-761.

Jinguji, M. (2008) The Importance of Feedback: Descriptive and Evaluative Feedback Retrieved 12 november 2013 from [www.innovations4pe.com](http://www.innovations4pe.com)

Johnson, D. W. & Johnson, R. (1989). Cooperation and competition: Theory and

Sutanto, 2015

**THESIS WRITING SUPERVISION: A CONTRIBUTION OF FEEDBACK TO THE DEVELOPMENT OF STUDENTS' THESIS WRITING**

Universitas Pendidikan Indonesia | [repository.upi.edu](http://repository.upi.edu) | [perpustakaan.upi.edu](http://perpustakaan.upi.edu)

research. Edina, MN: Interaction Book Company

Johnston, P. H. (2004). *Choice words: How our language affects children's learning*. Portland, ME: Stenhouse

Jordan, J. (2004). The Use of Orally Recorded Exam Feedback as a Supplement to Written Comments. *Journal of Statistics Education*. Vol.12/1.

Jorgensen, Danny L. (1989) *Participant Observation: A Methodology for Human Studies*, Newbury Park, CA: Sage Publications.

Juwah, C. (2004 ). *Enhancing student learning through effective formative feedback*. The Higher Education Academy Generic Centre.

Kamler, B. & Thomson, P. (2006) *Helping Doctoral Students Write: Pedagogies for Supervision*. Oxon: Routledge

Karim, M. Z. & Ivy, T. I. (2011 ). The Nature of Teacher Feedback in Second Language (L2) Language Classrooms: A Study on Some private universities in Bangladesh. *Journal of Bangladesh Association of Young Researchers*. Vol. 1/1. pp.31- 48.

Kasanga, L. A. (2006). *Students' Response to Peer andTeacher Feedback in a First-Year Writing Course*. . Qaboos: Department of English Language and Literature, Sultan Qaboos University.

Kepner, C. G. (1991). An Experiment in the Relationship of Types of Written Feedback to the Development of Second-Language Writing Skills. *The Modern Language Journal*, 75.

Keyton, J. (2006 ) *Communicating in Groups: Building Relationships for Effective Decision Making*, 2nd Ed. Oxford: OUP

King, J. (2011) Policy on Feedback. Aberdeen: School of Language and Literature, Retrieved May 20, 2013 from [http://www.abdn.ac.uk/feedback/documents/Feedback/LL\\_feedback\\_policy.pdf](http://www.abdn.ac.uk/feedback/documents/Feedback/LL_feedback_policy.pdf)

King, K. P. (2001). .Educators revitalize the classroom “bulletin board”: a case study of the influence of online dialogue on face-to-face classes from an adult learning perspective. *Journal of Research on Computing in Education*, Vol. 33/4, pp. 337–354.

Kleuver, R. (1997). Students' attitudes towards the responsibilities and barriers in doctoral study. *New Directions for Higher Education*, Vol. 99, pp. 47-56.

Sutanto, 2015

**THESIS WRITING SUPERVISION: A CONTRIBUTION OF FEEDBACK TO THE DEVELOPMENT OF STUDENTS' THESIS WRITING**

Universitas Pendidikan Indonesia | repository.upi.edu | perpustakaan.upi.edu

- Kluger, A.N. & Denisi, A. (1998) Feedback interventions on performance: Towards the understanding of a double-edged sword. *Current Directions in Psychological Science*, 7, 67-72
- Kluger, A. N., & DeNisi, A. (1996). The effects of feedback interventions on performance: A historical review, a meta-analysis, and a preliminary feedback intervention theory. *Psychological Bulletin*, 119(2), 254–284.
- Knafl, K. & Breitmayer, B. (1991). Triangulation in qualitative research: Issues of conceptual clarity and purpose. Dalam J. M. (Ed)., *Qualitative nursing research: A contemporary dialogue* (hal. pp. 226-239 ). London: Sage Publishers.
- Kohlbacher, F. (January 2006 ). The Use of Qualitative Content Analysis in Case Study Research. *Forum: Qualitative Social Reserach*. Vol. 7/1, pp.21-32 .
- Kouritzin, S. G. (1999). Feedback on Feedback: Preservice ESL Teachers Respond to Evaluation Practices. *IESL Canada Journal*, Vol. 17/1
- Krebs, S. A., Hobman, E. V., & Bordia, P. (2006). Virtual teams and group member dissimilarity. *Small Group Research*, Vol. 37/6, pp. 721-741.
- Kumar, V. & Stracke, E. (2007). An analysis of written feedback on a PhD thesis. *Teaching in Higher Education*, Vol. 12/4, pp. 461-470
- Kurt, G. & Atay, Derin (2007). The effects of peer feedback on the writing anxiety of prospective Turkish teachers of EFL. *Journal of Theory and Practice in Education*, Vol. pp.12-23.
- Lai, K. W. (2011). Using collaborative peer feedback and supervision to support. *Proceedings ascilite 2011*. Dunedin, New Zealand: Centre for Distance Education and Learning Technologies University of Otago College of Education, Dunedin, New Zealand.
- Lalande, J. (1982). Reducing composition errors: An experiment. *The Modern Language Journal*, Vol. 66 , pp. 140-149.
- Laske, S. & Zuber-Skerritt, O. (1996). *Frameworks for Postgraduate Research and Supervision*. Lismore: Southern Cross University Press.

Sutanto, 2015

**THESIS WRITING SUPERVISION: A CONTRIBUTION OF FEEDBACK TO THE DEVELOPMENT OF STUDENTS' THESIS WRITING**

Universitas Pendidikan Indonesia | repository.upi.edu | perpustakaan.upi.edu



- Laurillard, D. (2002). *Rethinking University Teaching: a conversational framework for the effective use of learning technologies*. London: Routledge.
- Lavery, J. J. (1964). Retention of a skill following training with and without instructions to retain. *Perceptual and Motor Skills*, 18, 275-281.
- Lea, M. & Street, B. (1998). 'Student writing in higher education: an academic literacies approach'. *Studies in Higher Education*, Vol. 23/3, pp. 329–338.
- Lee, I. (2008). Student reactions to teacher feedback in two Hong Kong secondary classrooms. *Journal of Second Language Writing* Vol. 17, pp. 144–164.
- Leithwood, K. J. (1998). 'Leadership and other conditions which foster organizational learning in schools'. Dalam K. L. (eds), *Organizational Learning in Schools*. Lisse: Swets and Zeitlinger.
- Leki, I. (1990). Coaching from the margins: issues in written response in Second Language Writing. in B. Kroll, *Research Insights for the Classroom* (pp. 57-71). Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Leo, S. (2013) *Kiat Jitu Menulis Skripsi, Tesis dan Disertasi*, Jakarta: Erlangga
- Leo, S. (2010) *Kiat Jitu Menulis dan Menerbitkan Buku*, Jakarta: Erlangga
- Leo, S., Groth, C., Yugianingrum., Lilyana, S., Kendra, I.L. & Lukman, E.A (2007) *English for Academic Purposes: Essay Writing*. Yogyakarta: AndiOffset
- Lepper, M.R. & R.W. Chabay (1988). Socializing the intelligent tutor: Bringing empathy to computer tutors. In Mandl and Lesgold (Eds.), *Learning Issues for Intelligent Tutoring Systems*, Springer. pp. 114-137.
- Lightbown, P.M. & N. Spada. (1999). *How languages are learned*. Oxford: Oxford University Press
- Lim, C. P. (2004). An activity-theoretical approach to research of ICT integration in Singapore schools: orienting activities and learner autonomy. *Computers & Education*, pp. 43/3, pp. 215–236.
- Lincoln, Y.S., & Guba, E.G. (1985). *Naturalistic inquiry*. Beverly Hills, CA: Sage.
- Lind, M. R. (1999). The gender impact of temporary virtual work groups. *IEEE*

Sutanto, 2015

**THESIS WRITING SUPERVISION: A CONTRIBUTION OF FEEDBACK TO THE DEVELOPMENT OF STUDENTS' THESIS WRITING**

Universitas Pendidikan Indonesia | repository.upi.edu | perpustakaan.upi.edu

*Transactions on Professional Communication*, Vol. 42/4, pp. 276-287

- Lipman, M. (2003). *Thinking in education. (Edisi Kedua)*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Liu, J. & Sadler, R. (2003). The effect and affect of peer review in electronic versus traditional modes on L2 writing. *Journal of English for Academic Purposes*, Vol. 2, pp.193–227.
- Lorés, R. (2004). On RA abstracts: from rhetorical structure to thematic organization. *English for Specific Purposes*, Vol. 23, pp. 280-302.
- Lou, Y., Abrami, P.C., & d'Apollonia, S. (2001). Small group and individual learning with technology: A meta-analysis. *Review of Educational Research*, Vol. 71/3, pp. 449-521.
- MA Programme Director (2008). Guidelines for Supervisors and Supervisees Economics Postgraduate, retrieved 24 October 2010 from [www.socialsciences.manchester.ac.uk/.../dissertation/.../Guidelines\\_001.doc](http://www.socialsciences.manchester.ac.uk/.../dissertation/.../Guidelines_001.doc)
- Machi, L. (2009). *The Literature Review: Six Steps to Success*. Thousand Oaks, California: Corwin Press.
- Maclellan, E. (2001). Assessment for learning: The differing perceptions of tutors and students. *Assessment and Evaluation in Higher Education*, *Assessment and Evaluation in Higher Education*, Vol. 26/4, pp. 307 -318
- Magill, R. A. (1993). Augmented feedback in skill acquisition. In R. N. Singer, M. Murphy, & L. K. Tennant (Eds.), *Handbook of research on sport psychology* (pp. 193-212). New York: Macmillan.
- Magney, J. (1997). Working and learning together. *Techniques*, Vol. 72/6, pp. 57-58.
- Marjanovic, O. (1999). Learning and teaching in a synchronous collaborative environment. *Journal of Computer Assisted Learning*, Vol. 15/2, pp. 129-138.
- Marra, R. M., Moore, J. L. & Klimczak, A. K. (2004). Content analysis of online discussion forums: a comparative analysis of protocols. *Educational Technology Research and Development*, Vol. 52/2, pp. 23–40.
- Matsumura, S. & Hann, G. (2004) Computer anxiety and students' preferred feedback methods in EFL writing. *The Modern Language Journal*, Vol. 88(3), pp.403-415.

Sutanto, 2015

**THESIS WRITING SUPERVISION: A CONTRIBUTION OF FEEDBACK TO THE DEVELOPMENT OF STUDENTS' THESIS WRITING**

Universitas Pendidikan Indonesia | repository.upi.edu | perpustakaan.upi.edu

- Mauch, J. & Park, N. (2003). *Guide to successful thesis and dissertation: A handbook for students and faculty, 5th ed.* New York: Marcel Dekker.
- McAlister, S., Ravenscroft, A. & Scanlon, E. (2004). Combining interaction and context design to support collaborative argumentation using a tool for synchronous CMC. *Journal of Computer Assisted Learning*, Vol. 20, pp. 194-204
- McCloskey, W., & Leary, M. R. (1985). Differential effects of norm-referenced and self-referenced feedback on performance expectancies, attribution, and motivation. *Contemporary Educational Psychology*, 10, 275–284.
- McClure, J. W. (2005). Preparing a Laboratory-based Thesis: Chinese International Research Students' Experiences of Supervision. *Teaching in Higher Education*, Vol. 10/1, pp. 3-16.
- McLeod, L. P., Baron, R. S., Weighner Marti, M., & Kuh Yoon, M. (1997) The eyes have it: Minority influence in face-to-face and computer-mediated group discussion. *Journal of Applied Psychology*, Vol. 82/5, pp.706-718.
- Meyer, K. A. (2003). Face-to-face versus threaded discussions: the role of time and higher-order thinking. *Journal of Asynchronous Learning Networks*, Vol. 7/3, pp. 55–65.
- Mills, C. & Matthews, N. (2009) Review of tutor feedback during undergraduate dissertations: A case study *Journal of Hospitality, Leisure, Sport and Tourism Education*, Vol. 8/1, pp. 108 – 116
- Morrison, J. L., Oladunjoye, G. T. & Onyefulu, C. (2007). An Assessment of Research Supervision: A Leadership Model Enhancing Current Practices in Business and Management . *Journal of Education for Business, March/April*, Vol. pp. 212-219.
- Milton, J. (2006). Resource-rich Web-based feedback: Helping learners become independent writers. Dalam K. H. (Eds.), *Feedback in second language writing: Contexts and issues* (pp. 123-139). New York: Cambridge University Press.
- MLA Citation (2013) "Collaborative Learning And Architecture Education." 123HelpMe.com. Retrived 20 May 2013 from <<http://www.123HelpMe.com/view.asp?id=33731>>.

Moallem, M. (2003). An interactive online course: a collaborative design model.

Sutanto, 2015

**THESIS WRITING SUPERVISION: A CONTRIBUTION OF FEEDBACK TO THE DEVELOPMENT OF STUDENTS' THESIS WRITING**

Universitas Pendidikan Indonesia | repository.upi.edu | perpustakaan.upi.edu

- Educational. *Technology Research and Development*, Vol. 51/4, pp. 85–103.
- Moore, J. D. (2004). Generating Tutorial Feedback with Affect. *American Association for Artificial Intelligence*. Vol. pp.923-928.
- Moreno, R. (2004). Decreasing cognitive load for novice students: Effects of explanatory versus. *corrective feedback in discovery-based multimedia*. *Instructional Science*, Vol. 32, pp. 99–113.
- Moriarti, M. (1997). *Teaching Multicultural Students*. London: John and Bartlett Publishers International.
- Morrison, J. L., Oladunjoye, G. T. & Onyefulu, C. (2007). An Assessment of Research Supervision: A Leadership Model Enhancing Current Practices in Business and Management . *Journal of Education for Business*, March/April, Vol 2, pp. 212-219.
- Moses, I. (1985). *Supervising postgraduates*. Kensington, NSW: Higher Education Research and Development Society of Australia.
- Murray, D.M. (2002) *How to Write a Thesis*. Maidenhead, Berkshire: Open University Press
- Murray, H. (1985). Qassroom teaching behaviors related to college teaching effectiveness. Dalam J.G.Donald&A.M. Sullivan (Eds.), *in Using research to improve teaching*. (pp. 21-34). San Francisco: Josey-Bass.
- Mustafa, R. F. (2012). Feedback on the Feedback: Sociocultural Interpretation of Saudi ESL Learners' Opinions about Writing Feedback. *English Language Teaching*. Vol. 5/3
- Mutch, A. (2003). Exploring the practice of feedback to students. *Active Learning in Higher Education*, Vol. 4/1, pp. 24-38.
- Nah, W. (2008). *The Advantages and Disadvantages of Written and Spoken Communication*. <http://communicatebetter.blogspot.com/2008/11/advantages-and-disadvantages-of-written.html>.
- Naidu, M. (2007). *The use of written feedback and conferencing in improving students' writing*. Malaysia: Faculty of Management and Human Resource Development.
- Nathan, M. J. (2000). Moving beyond teachers' intuitive beliefs about algebra learning. *Mathematics Teacher*, Vol. 93, pp. 218–223.

Sutanto, 2015

**THESIS WRITING SUPERVISION: A CONTRIBUTION OF FEEDBACK TO THE DEVELOPMENT OF STUDENTS' THESIS WRITING**

Universitas Pendidikan Indonesia | repository.upi.edu | perpustakaan.upi.edu

- Nelson, G. & Carsen, J. (1998). ESL Students perception of effectiveness in peer response groups. *Journal of Second Language Writing*, Vol. 7, pp. 113-131.
- Nelson, G. & Murphy, J.M. (1993) Peer response group: DO L2 writers use peer comments in reveisng their drafts? *Tesol Quarterly*, Vol. 27/1, pp.135-141.
- Nelson and Schunn (2009). The nature of feedback: how different. *Instr Sci*, Vol. 8 pp. 375–401.
- Newman, D. R., Webb, B. & Cochrane, C. (1996). *A content analysis method to measure Critical thinking in face-to-face and computer supported group learning*. Retrieved on 10 January 2012 from <http://www.qub.ac.uk/mgt/papers/methods/contpap.html>
- Nicol, D. (2008). Redesigning written feedback to students when class sizes are large. Paper for the 33rd Improving University Teaching Conference, Transforming higher education and learning in the 21st century. *Improving University Teaching Conference, Transforming higher*. Glasgow, Scotland: Unversity of Strathclyde.
- Nicol, D. J. & Macfarlane-Dick. D. (2006) Formative assessment and self-regulated learning: A model and seven principles of good feedback practice. *Studies in Higher Education* (2006), Vol. 31/2, pp. 199-218
- Nicol, David & Macfarlane-Dick, Debra Dick (2004) "Rethinking Formative Assessment in HE: a theoretical model and seven principles of good feedback practice" [http://www.heacademy.ac.uk/assessment/ASS051D\\_SENLEF\\_model.doc](http://www.heacademy.ac.uk/assessment/ASS051D_SENLEF_model.doc)
- Nik, Y. A., Sani, Badariah. Bt. M., Chik, B. W., Jusoff, K. & Hasbollah, Hasif, R. B. (2010) The writing performance of undergraduates in the University of Technology, Mara. *Terengganu, Malaysia. Journal of Languages and Culture*. Vol. 1/1, pp. 7–13.
- Nunan, D. (1992). *Research methods in language learning*. New York: Cambridge University Press.
- O'Brien, R., & Sparshatt, L. (2007). *Mind the gap! Staff perceptions of students' perception of assessment feedback*. Retrieved June 30, 2008, from <http://www.heacademy.ac.uk/events/conference/papers>
- Oliver, P. (2004). *Writing your thesis*. London: Sage publications.

Sutanto, 2015

**THESIS WRITING SUPERVISION: A CONTRIBUTION OF FEEDBACK TO THE DEVELOPMENT OF STUDENTS' THESIS WRITING**

Universitas Pendidikan Indonesia | [repository.upi.edu](http://repository.upi.edu) | [perpustakaan.upi.edu](http://perpustakaan.upi.edu)

- Oshima, A. & Hogue, A. (1999). *Academic English.*(3rd ed.). New York: Longman.
- Paltridge, B. & Starfield, S. ( 2007) *Thesis and Dissertation Writing in a Second Language. A Handbook for Supervisors.* London: Routledge.
- Patchan, M. M., Charney, D., & Schunn, C. D. (2009). A validation study of students' end comments: Comparing comments by students, a writing instructor, and a content instructor. *Journal of Writing Research*, Vol. 1/2, pp. 124-152
- Patton, E. & Appelbaum, S.H. (2003). The Case for Case Studies in Management Research. *Management Research News* [online], **26**(5), pp. 60-71. Accessed: 5 February 2012 from <http://www.emeraldinsight.com>.
- Pearce, L. (2005). *How to examine a thesis.* . Berkshire, England: Society for Research into Higher Education and Open University Press.
- Pearson, M., & Brew, A. (2002) Research Training and Supervision. *Studies in Higher Education*, Vol. 27/2, pp. 135-150
- Pelligrino, J. W., Chudowsky, N. & Glaser, R. (eds) (2001) *Knowing what Students Know – The Science and Design of Educational Assessment*, Washington, DC: National Academic Press.
- Peterson, S. (2010). *Improving Student Writing Using Feedback as a Teaching Tool.* Toronto: OISE/University of Toronto.
- Peterson, S. (2003). Peer influences on students' revisions of their narrative writing. *L-1 Educational Studies in Language and Literature*, Vol. 30, pp. 239–272.
- Peterson, S., & McClay, J. (2010). Assessing and providing feedback for student writing in Canadian classrooms. *Assessing Writing*, Vol. 15/2.
- Peterson, S. (2003). Peer influences on students' revisions of their narrative writing. *L-1 Educational Studies in Language and Literature*, 30, 239–272.
- Pinnell, G. & Fountas, I. (1998) *Word Matters.* Portsmouth, NH: Heinemann.
- Pintrich, P. R. (2002). Student motivation and self-regulated learning in the college classroom, Dalam J. C. (Eds), *Higher Education: Handbook of*

Sutanto, 2015

**THESIS WRITING SUPERVISION: A CONTRIBUTION OF FEEDBACK TO THE DEVELOPMENT OF STUDENTS' THESIS WRITING**

Universitas Pendidikan Indonesia | repository.upi.edu | perpustakaan.upi.edu

- Theory and Research, Volume XVII.* New York: Agathon Press.
- Pintrich, P. R. (1995). *Understanding self-regulated learning.* San Francisco: Jossey-Bass.
- Polanyi, M. (2002). *Personal Knowledge: Towards a Post-Critical Philosophy.* London: Routledge.
- Polio, C., Fleck, C. & Leder, N. (1998) If I only had more time: ESL learners' changes in linguistic accuracy on essay revisions. *Journal of Second Language Writing*, Vol. 7, pp. 43-68
- Palomba, C & Banta, T. (1999). *Assessment Essentials: Planning, Implementing, and Improving Assessment in Higher Education.* San Francisco: Jossey Bass.
- Potter, J. (1994). *Common Business English Errors in Hong Kong.* Hong Kong: Longman.
- Pridemore, D. R. (1995). Control of practice and level of feedback in computer-based instruction. *Contemporary Educational Psychology*, Vol. 20, pp. 444-450.
- Primethesis, C. (2009). *Tips in Thesis Writing.* Retrieved 16 January 2012 <http://primethesis.com/blog/tips-in-thesis-writing/>.
- Prior, P. A. (1998). *Writing/disciplinary: A sociohistoric account of literate activity in the academy.* New Jersey : Lawrence Erlbaum.
- Prystowsky, J.B. & DaRosa, D.A. (2003). Learning Prescription Permits Feedback on Feedback, *The American Journal of Surgery.* Vol. 185. pp. 264-267 .
- Race, P. (2001). *Using feedback to help students learn.* The Higher Education Academy [http://www.heacademy.ac.uk/resources/detail/id432\\_using\\_feedback](http://www.heacademy.ac.uk/resources/detail/id432_using_feedback)
- Radecki, P. M. & Swales, J.M. (1988). ESL student reaction to written comments on their written work. *System*, Vol. pp. 355-365.
- Rait, E. (1995). 'Against the current: organizational learning in schools'. In S. B. Bacharach & B. Mundell (Eds), *Images of Schools: structures and roles in organizational behavior.* London: Sage.

Sutanto, 2015

**THESIS WRITING SUPERVISION: A CONTRIBUTION OF FEEDBACK TO THE DEVELOPMENT OF STUDENTS' THESIS WRITING**

Universitas Pendidikan Indonesia | [repository.upi.edu](http://repository.upi.edu) | [perpustakaan.upi.edu](http://perpustakaan.upi.edu)

- Ramsden, P. (2003). *Learning to teach in Higher Education*(2nd ed.). London: Routledge Falmer.
- Ray, S. (2007), *Selecting a Doctoral Dissertation Supervisor: Analytical Hierarchy Approach to the Multiple Criteria Problem*, International Journal of Doctoral Studies, Vol. 2, pp. 23-32
- Reesor, M. (2002). Issues in written teacher feedback: A critical review. *The English Teacher*. Vol. 5, pp. 242-255.
- Reid, J. (1998). *The Process of Composition* . New Jersey: Prentice Hall.
- Reid, J. (1993). *Teaching ESL writing*. Englewood Cliffs, NJ: Regents Hall.
- Rezael, S. ( 2011). *Corrective Feedback in Task-based Grammar Instruction: A Case of Recast vs. Metalinguistic Feedback*. Lambert : Lap Lambert Academic Publishing.
- Rhodes, L. & Dudley-Marling, C. (1996). *Readers and writers with a difference: A holistic approach to teaching struggling readers and writers* (2nd ed.). Portsmouth, NH: Heinemann.
- Rickards' D. & Hawes, S. (2006) Connecting Reading and Writing Through Author's Craft *The Reading Teacher*.Vol. 60/4, pp. 370–373.
- Robb, T., Ross, S. & Shortreed, I.(1986). Salience of feedback on error and its effect on EFL writing quality. *TESOL Quarterly*, Vol. 20, pp. 83–93.
- Rollinson, P. (1998). *'Peer response and revision in an ESL writing group: A case study*. Madrid: Unpublished PhD thesis. Universidad Autonoma de Madrid.
- Rosen, B., Furst, S., & Blackburn, R. (2007). Overcoming barriers to knowledge sharing in virtual teams. *Organizational Dynamics*, Vol. 36/3, pp. 259-273.
- Rowe, A.D. & Wood, L.N. (2006). *What feedback do students want?* Sydney, Australia : Macquarie University.
- Rubel, C. & Wallace, M. (2010). Instructor Tone in Written Communication: Are We Saying What We Want Them to Hear?. In *Proceedings of TCC – Teaching Colleges and Community Worldwide Online Conference 2010* (pp. 1-15). Retrieved November 22, 2013 from <http://www.editlib.org/p/43755>.



- Rudestam, K.E. & Newton, R.R. (1992) *Surviving Your Dissertation*. London: Sage Publications
- Sadler, D. R. (1998). Formative assessment: revisiting the territory. *Assessment in Education: Principles, Policy and Practice* Vol. 5/1, no.77-84.
- Sadler, D. R. (1989). Formative assessment and the design of instructional systems. *Instructional Science*. Vol. 18, pp. 119-144. .
- Saito, H. (1994). Teachers' practices and students' preferences for feedback on second language writing: A case study of Adult ESL learners. *TESL Canada Journal*, Vol.11/2, pp. 46-70.
- Salager-Meyer, F. ( 1990). Discoursal flaws in Medical English Abstract: A Genre Analysis per Research and Text Type. *Text*. Vol. 10/4, pp. 365-384.
- Salmoni, A. W., Schmidt, R. A, & Walter, C. B. (1984). Knowledge of results and motor learning: A review and critical reappraisal. *Psychological Bulletin*, 95, 355-386.
- Sarason, S. B. (1996). *Revisiting 'The Culture of the School and the Problem of Change'*. New York: Schunk, D.H. & Zimmerman, B.J. (1994) *Self-regulation of learning and performance: issues and educational applications*.(New Jersey: Lawrence Erlbaum Associates.
- Schunk, D.H. & Zimmerman, B.J. (1994) *Self-regulation of learning and performance: Issues and educational applications*. New Jersey: Lawrence Erlbaum Associates.
- Schunk, D. I. (989). 'Self efficacy and cognitive skill learning'. *Research on Motivation in Education, San Diego, CA, Academic Press*, pp. 13–44.
- Schwartz, F. & White, K. (2000). Making sense of it all: Giving and getting online course feedback. In K. W. White & B. H. Weight (Eds.), *The online teaching guide: A handbook of attitudes, strategies, and techniques for the virtual classroom* (pp. 57–72). Boston: Allyn and Bacon.
- Seagram B., Gould, J. & Pyke, S. (1998). An Investigation of Gender and Other Variables on Time to Completion of Doctoral Degrees. *Research in Higher Education*, Vol. 39/3, pp. 319-335.
- Seifert, C. M., & Hutchins, E. L. (1992). Error as opportunity: Learning in a cooperative task. *Human-Computer Interaction*, pp. 7/4, pp. 409-435.
- Shannon, A. G. (1995). Research degree supervision: more mentor than master.

Sutanto, 2015

**THESIS WRITING SUPERVISION: A CONTRIBUTION OF FEEDBACK TO THE DEVELOPMENT OF STUDENTS' THESIS WRITING**

Universitas Pendidikan Indonesia | repository.upi.edu | perpustakaan.upi.edu

*Australian Universities' Review*, Vol. 38/2, pp. 12-15.

- Sheen, Y. (2007). The effect of focused written corrective feedback and language aptitude on ESL learners' acquisition of articles. *TESOL Quarterly*, 41, 255-283.
- Sheppard, K. (1992). Two feedback types: Do they make a difference? *RELC Journal*, Vol. 23, pp. 103-110.
- Shetzer, H. & Warschauer, M. (2000) An electronic literacy approach to network-based language teaching. Dalam M. A. Warschauer, *Network-based language teaching: Concepts and practice*. New York: Cambridge University Press.
- Shute, V. J. (2007). *Focus on Formative Feedback*. Princeton, NJ: Educational Testing Services.
- Shute, V. J. (2006). Assessments for learning: Great idea, but do they work?. *Paper presented at the annual meeting of the American Educational Research Association, San Francisco, CA. Shute, V. J., Woltz, San Francisco, the American Educational Research Association, San Francisco*
- Simpson, J. M. (2006). Feedback on Writing: Changing EFL Students' Attitudes. *TESL Canada Journal*, Vol. 24/1, Winter 2006 .
- Sims, R. (2003). Promises of Interactivity: Aligning learner perceptions and expectations with strategies for flexible and online learning. *Distance Education*, Vol. 24/1, pp. 87-104.
- Sivyer, D. L. (2005). "The Effect of Positive/Negative Feedback Awareness on Self-Efficacy and Writing Performance". . *Electronic Theses, Treatises and Dissertations*.
- Slavin, R., Leavey, M. & Madden, N. (1984). Combining cooperative learning and individualized instruction: Effects on student mathematics achievement, attitudes, and behaviors. *Elementary School Journal*, Vol. 84, pp. 409-422.
- Smith, K. H. (1972) Changes in group structure through individual and group feedback. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, Vol 24/3),
- Smith, S. (1997). The genre of the end comment: Conventions in teacher

Sutanto, 2015

**THESIS WRITING SUPERVISION: A CONTRIBUTION OF FEEDBACK TO THE DEVELOPMENT OF STUDENTS' THESIS WRITING**

Universitas Pendidikan Indonesia | repository.upi.edu | perpustakaan.upi.edu

- responses to student writing. *College Composition and Communication*, Vol. 48, pp. 249–268.
- Smith, S. (2003a). The role of Technical Expertise in Engineering and Writing Teachers' Evaluations of Students' Writing. *Written Communication*, Vol. 20/1, pp. 37-80.
- Smith, S. (2003b). What is "Good" Technical communication? A comparison of the standards Of writing and engineering instructors. *Technical Communication Quarterly*, Vol. 12/1, pp. 7 - 24.
- Sokmen, A. (1988). Taking advantage of conference-centered writing. *TESOL Newsletter*, Vol. 22/1, pp.5-17.
- Sorenson, S. (2010). *Webster's Newworld, Student Writing Handbook*. Canada: Wiley Publishing, Inc.
- Sperling, M. (1990). I want to talk to each of you: Collaboration and the teacher student writing conference. *Research in the Teaching of English*, Vol. 24/3, pp. 279-321.
- Stake, E. (1995). *The art of case study research*. Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage.
- Stake, E. (1985). 'Case study.' In Nisbet, J., Mergary, J., and Nisbet, S. (1985). (Eds). *World yearbook of education 1985. Research, policy and politics*. London: Nicholas Publishing Company.
- Stake, Robert. (1998). "Case Studies" in: Norman Denzin & Yvonna Lincoln. (eds.): *Strategies of Qualitative Inquiry*. Thousand Oaks, London, New Delhi: Sage.
- Stanley, J. (1992). 'Coaching student writers to be effective peer evaluators'. *Journal of Second Language Writing* Vol. 1/3, pp. 217-33.
- Steel, Kimberly (2007) Definition for Writing Conventions. Retrieved 23 June 2011, Last updated 14 November 2007 from [kimskorner4teachertalk.com](http://kimskorner4teachertalk.com).
- Storper, M. & Venables, A.J. (2004). Buzz: Face-to-face contact and the urban economy. *Journal of Economic Geography*, Vol. 4, pp.351-370.
- STPB (2012) *Buku Panduan Penyusunan Skripsi Program Strata -1* Bandung: STPB
- Sturridge, P. (2007). *The National Student Survey 2006 Summary Report*. London: HEFCE.

Sutanto, 2015

**THESIS WRITING SUPERVISION: A CONTRIBUTION OF FEEDBACK TO THE DEVELOPMENT OF STUDENTS' THESIS WRITING**

Universitas Pendidikan Indonesia | [repository.upi.edu](http://repository.upi.edu) | [perpustakaan.upi.edu](http://perpustakaan.upi.edu)

- Swales, J.M. & Feak, C.B. (1994). *Academic Writing for Graduate Students: Essential Tasks and Skills*. Michigan: The University of Michigan Press.
- Symons, M. (2001). Learning Assistance: Enhancing the PhD Experience. in A. Bartlett & G. Mercer (eds.), *Postgraduate research supervision* (pp. 101-112). New York: Peter Lang Publishing, Inc.
- Tardy, C. M. (2006). Researching first and second language genre learning: A comparative review and a look ahead. *Journal of Second Language Writing*, Vol. 15/2, pp. 79-101.
- Tarras, M. (2002). Using assessment for learning and learning from assessment. *Assessment and Evaluation in Higher Education*, Vol. 27, pp. 501-510.
- Tashakkori, A. & Teddlie, C. (2003). The past and future of mixed methods research: From Data triangulation to mixed model designs. In A. Tashakkori & C. Teddlie (Eds.), *Handbook of mixed methods in social and behavioural research* (pp. 671–701). Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage.
- Taylor, S. & Beasley, N. (2005). *A Handbook for doctoral supervisors*. London: Routledge.
- Tellis, W. (1997). Introduction to Case Study. *The Qualitative Report*, Vol 3/2, July 1997.
- Thalheimer, W. (2008 ). Providing Learners with Feedback—Part 2: Peer-reviewed research compiled for training, education, and e-learning. Retrieved November 31, 2003, from <http://www.work-learning.com/catalog/>
- Thalheimer, W. (2006). Spacing Learning Events Over Time: What the Research Says. Retrieved January 9, 2003, from <http://www.work-learning.com/catalog/>.
- Thody, A. (2006). *Writing and presenting research*. London: sage Publications.
- Thomas, S. (2000). *How to Write Health Science Paperes, Dissertation and Thesis*. London: Harcourt Publishers Ltd.
- Tiene, D. (2000). Online discussions: a survey of advantages and disadvantages compared to Face-to-face discussions. *Journal of Educational Multimedia and Hypermedia*, Vol. 9/4, pp. 371–384.
- Toner, K. et al. (2012) The Impact of Individual and Group Feedback on

Sutanto, 2015

**THESIS WRITING SUPERVISION: A CONTRIBUTION OF FEEDBACK TO THE DEVELOPMENT OF STUDENTS' THESIS WRITING**

Universitas Pendidikan Indonesia | [repository.upi.edu](http://repository.upi.edu) | [perpustakaan.upi.edu](http://perpustakaan.upi.edu)

Environmental Intentions and Self-Beliefs. *Environment and Behavior*, August 1, 2012

Truscott, J. (1996). The Case Against Grammar Correction in L2 Writing Classes. *Language Learning*, Vol. 46, pp. 327-369.

Tseng, S. & Tsai, C.C. (2006). On-line peer assessment and the role of the peer feedback: A study of high school computer course. *Journal of the Chinese Institute of Industrial Engineers*, Vol. 23, pp. 262-267.

Tunstall, P., & Gipps, C. (1996). Teacher feedback to young children in formative assessment: A typology. *British Educational Research Journal*, Vol. 22, pp. 389-404.

University, D. (2009). *The Literature Review*. Victoria, Australia: Geelong, Victoria, Australia.

University, W. M. (2009). *Writer's Handbook: Common Writing Assignments: Review of Literature*. Wisconsin, Madison: Wisconsin-Madison Writing Center.

Vasquez, J.A. (1993). *The War Puzzle: Cambridge Studies in International Relations: Cambridge*. New York: Cambridge University Press.

Vilkinas, T. (2002). The PhD process: The supervisor as manager. *Education and Training*, Vol. 44/3, pp. 129-137.

Villamil, O.S. and Guerrero, M.C.M. (1996). 'Peer revisions in the L2 classroom: social cognitive activities, mediating strategies, and aspects of social behaviour'. *Journal of Second Language Writing*, Vol. 5/1, pp. 51-75.

Vollmeyer, R. and Rheinberg, F. (2005). Surprising Effect of Feedback on Learning. *Learning and Instruction*. Vol. 15. pp. 589- 602.

Wang, Q. & Woo, H. L. (2007). Comparing asynchronous online discussions and face-to-face discussions in a classroom setting. *British Journal of Educational Technology Vol 38 No 2*.

Wang, T. & Li, L. Y. (2011). 'Tell me what to do' vs. 'guide me through it': Feedback experiences of international doctoral students. *Active Learning* Vol. 12/2, pp. 101-112.

Wang, T. & Li, L. (2009) International research students' experiences of feedback, in *The Student Experience, Proceedings of the 32nd*

Sutanto, 2015

**THESIS WRITING SUPERVISION: A CONTRIBUTION OF FEEDBACK TO THE DEVELOPMENT OF STUDENTS' THESIS WRITING**

Universitas Pendidikan Indonesia | repository.upi.edu | perpustakaan.upi.edu

*HERDSA Annual Conference, Darwin, 6-9 July 2009: pp 444-452.*

- Ware, P. D. & Warschauer, M. (2006). Electronic feedback and second language writing. *In K. Hyland & F. Hyland, (Eds.) Feedback in second language writing: Contexts and issues* (pp. 1-20). New York: Cambridge.
- Wegerif, R. (2008). Dialogic or dialectic? The significance of ontological assumptions in research on educational dialogue. *research on educational dialogue. British Educational Research Journal*, Vol. 34/3, pp. 347-361.
- Weigle, S. (2002). *Assessing Writing*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press. Eds.
- Wisker, G., Exley, K., Antoniou, M. & Ridley, P. (2008), *Working one-to-one with students: supervising, coaching, mentoring, and personal tutoring*. London: Routledge.
- Wong-Kam, J. & Vasquez, V. (2003). Writing today: Between the ideal and the real world of teaching. *School Talk*, Vol. 9/1, pp. 1-7.
- Wood, D., Bruner, J.S. & Ross, G. (1976). The role of tutoring in problem solving. *Journal of Child Psychology & Psychiatry*. Vol. 17, pp. 89-100.
- Wright, J. & Lodwick, R. (1989). The process of the PhD: A study of the first year of doctoral study. *Research Papers in Education*, Vol. 4, pp. 22-56
- Yin, R. K. (2002) *Case Study Research: Design and Methods*. Beverly Hills: Sage Publication.
- Yin, R. K. (2003). *Case study research design and methods (3rd ed.)*. Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage.
- Yin, R. K. (1993). *Applications of case study research*. Applied Social Research Series, Vol. 34. London: Sage.
- Yorke, M. (2003). 'Formative assessment in higher education: moves towards theory and the enhancement of pedagogic practice'. *Higher Education*, Vol. 45, pp. 477-501.
- Young, K., Fogarty, M. P. & McRea, S. (1987). *The management of doctoral studies in the social sciences*. London, England: PSI.
- Youyan (2013) Using Feedback to Enhance Learning, SingTeach, Education Research for Teachers, retrieved 7 August 2013 from [singteach.nie.edu.sg](http://singteach.nie.edu.sg).

**Sutanto, 2015**

**THESIS WRITING SUPERVISION: A CONTRIBUTION OF FEEDBACK TO THE DEVELOPMENT OF STUDENTS' THESIS WRITING**

Universitas Pendidikan Indonesia | [repository.upi.edu](http://repository.upi.edu) | [perpustakaan.upi.edu](http://perpustakaan.upi.edu)

- Zainal, Z.(2007)” Case study as a research method”, *Journal of Jurnal Kemanusiaan*, Vol.9, No. January, pp.1-6.
- Zamel, V. (1985). Responding to student writing. *TESOL Quarterly*, Vol. 19/1, pp. 79-101.
- Zelditch, M. (1990). Mentor roles. Proceedings of the 32nd Annual Meeting of the Western Association of Graduate Schools. Cited in Powell, R. C. & Pivo, G. (2001), *Mentoring: The faculty-graduate student relationship*. Tucson, AZ: University of Arizona.
- Zhang, S. (1995). RE-examining the effective advantages of peer feedback in the ESL writing class. *Journal of Second Language Writing*, Vol. 4/3, pp. 209-222 .
- Zhao, F. (2002) Transforming Quality in Research Supervision: A Knowledge Management Approach. School of Management, Business Faculty, RMIT University Melbourne
- Zhao, C. G. (2007). More than a signature: How advisor choice and advisor behaviour affect student satisfaction. *Journal of Further and Higher Education*, Vol. 31/3, pp. 263-281.
- Zhu, C. (2001) ‘Supervision on Officials Must Tighten’. Retrieved December 12, 2010 from <http://www.china.org.cn/english/14230.htm>
- Zimmerman, B.J. & Schunk, D.H. (2001) *Self-regulated learning and academic achievement:theoretical perspectives*. N.J.: Lawrence Erlbaum Associates
- Zimmerman, B.J. & Schunk, D.H. (2004) Self-regulating intellectual processes and outcomes: a social cognitive perspective, in D. Y. Dai & R. J. Sternberg (Eds) *Motivation, emotion and cognition*. New Jersey: Lawrence Erlbaum Associates