DAFTAR PUSTAKA

Anagun, Sengul S. & M. Ozden. (2010). Teacher Candidates' Perceptions Regarding Socio-scientific issues and Their Competencies in Using Socio-scientific issues in Science and Technology Instruction.*Journal of Procedia Social and Behavioral Science*.*9*(1), 981-985.

Arikunto, S. (2010). *Prosedur Penelitian Suatu Pendekatan Praktek*. Jakarta: PT. Rineka Cipta

Aufschnaiter, C., Eduran, S., Osborne, J., & Simon, S. (2008) Arguing to learn and learning to argue: case studies of how students' argumentation relates to their scientific knowledge. *Journal of Research in Science Teaching*, *45* (1), hlm. 101-131

Dahar, R.W. (2006). *Teori-Teori Belajar & Pembelajaran.* Jakarta: Erlangga

Dawson , V.M., & Venville, G. (2010). Teaching strategies for developing student's argumentation skill about socioscientific issues in high school genetics. *Reasearch in Science Education*, *40*, hlm. 133-148

Dawson, V.M. & Venvile, G.J. (2009). High-school students' informal reasoning and argumentation about biotechnology: An indicator of scientific literacy?. *Journal of Research in Science Teaching*, *31* (11), hlm. 1421-1445

Dawson, V.M. & Venvile, G.J. (2013). Introducing high school biology students to argumentation about sosioscientific Issues. *Routledge Taylor & Francis Group*, 13 (4), hlm. 356-372

Desmita. (2010). *Psikologi Perkembangan.* Bandung: PT. Remaja Rosdakarya.

Driver, R., Newton, P., & Osborne, J. (2000). Establishing the norms of socioscientific argumentation in classrooms. *Science Education*, *84* (3), hlm. 287-312

Duschl, R. (2008) Science education in three-part harmony: Balancing conceptual , epistemic, and social learning goals. *Sage Journals,* hlm. 268-291

Eemeren, V., Houtlosser, P., & Henkemans, A. (2007). *Indicators of Starting Points for Discussion*, Netherlands: Springerlink

Ellie & Omrod (2007). *Educational Psychology Developing Learners.* Pearson

Erduran, S. & Maria, P.J. (2008). *Argumentation in Science Education*. London: Springer.

Eskin, H. & Feral O.B. (2013). Argumentation as a strategy for conceptual learning of dynamcs. *Springer Science, 43*, 1939-1956

Geary, D. C., dkk. (2000). Numerical and Arithmetical Cognition: A Longitudinal Study of Process and Concept Deficit in Children with Learning Disability. *Journal of Experimental Child Psychology. 77*, hlm. 236-263.

Goel, V., Dolan, R.J. (2004). Differential involvement of left prefrontal cortex in inductive and deductive reasoning. Cognition, *93*(3)

Inch, E.S., Warnick, B., Endres, D. (2006*). Critical Thinking and Comunication : The Use of Reason In argument*.US of America: Pearson Education,Inc

Kuhn, D. (2010). Teaching and Learning Science as Argument. *Wiley Online Library*, hlm. 810-824

Kuswana, Wowo. (2013). *Taksonomi Berpikir*. Bandung: PT. Remaja Rosdakarya

Lewis, J., & Leach , J. (2006). Discussion of socio-scientific issues: The role of science knowledge. *International Journal of Science Education, 28* (11), hlm. 1267-1287

Martono, N. (2011). *Metode Penelitian Kuantitatif Analisis Isi dan Analisis Data Sekunder*. Jakarta: PT. Raja Grafindo Persada.

Morin, O., Simonneaux L., Simonneaux, J., Tytler, R. (2013). Digitaltechnology to support sosioscientific reasoning about enviromental issues. Taylor & Francis. *47* (3), hlm. 157-165

Osborne, J., Erduran, S., & Simon, S. (2004). Enchancing the quality of argument in school science. *Journal of Research in Science Teaching, 41* (10), hlm. 994-1020

Roshayanti, F. (2012). *Pengembangan Model Assesmen argumentatif untuk Mengukur Keterampilan Argumentasi Mahasiswa pada Konsep Fisiologi Manusia*. Disertasi Doktor pada Sekolah Pascasarjana Universitas Pendidikan Indonesia: Tidak diterbitkan

Sadler, T. D. (2004). Informal reasoning regarding socioscientific issues: A critical review of research. *Journal of Research in Science Teaching*, *41*(5), 513–536. http://doi.org/10.1002/tea.20009

Sadler, T. D., & Zeidler, D. L. (2005). Patterns of informal reasoning in the context of socioscientific decision making. *Journal of Research in Science Teaching*, *42*(1), hlm. 112–138

Sampson, V., & Clark, D.B., (2008) Assesment of the ways studentd generate arguments in science education: Current perspectives and recommendations for future directions. Science Education, 92, hlm. 447-472

Santrock, J. (2007). *Perkembangan Anak.* University of Texas, Dallas: Penerbit Erlangga.

Siegler, R. dan Crowley K. (1991). The microgenetic method: A direct means for studying cognitive development. *American Psychology. 56,* hlm. 606-620

Simon, S. (2008). Using Toulmin's argument pattern in the evaluation argumentation in school science. *Taylor & Francis, 31* (3), hlm. 277-289

Sugiyono. (2010). *Metode Penelitian Kuantitatif Kualitatif dan R&D*. Bandung: Alfabeta

Ujan, A.A. (2012). *Critical Thinking Membangun Pemikiran Logis*. Jakarta: PT PUSTAKA SINAR HARAPAN

Upton, P. (2012). *Psikologi Perkembangan*. Jakarta: Erlangga

Venville, G.J & Dawson, V.M. (2010). The Impact of classroom intervention on grade 1 students' argumentation skills, informal reasoning, and conceptual understanding of science. *Journal of Research in Science Teaching, 47* (8), hlm. 952-977

Widhy, P., Nurohman, S., & Wibowo, W. (2013). Model integrated science berbasis socio scientific issues untuk mengembangkan *thinking skills* dalam mewujudkan *21 st century skills* . *Jurnal Pendidikan Matematika Dan Sains, 1*(2), 158–164.

Woolfolk, Anita. (2009). *Educational Psychology Active Learning Edition.* Yogyakarta: Penerbit Pustaka Pelajar

Zeidler, D. L., & Nichols, B. H. (2009). Socioscientific issues: Theory and practice. *Journal of Elementary Science Education, 21*(2), hlm.49–58

Zeidler, D. L., Sadler, T. D., Applebaum, S., & Callahan, B. E. (2009). Advancing reflective judgment through socioscientific issues. *Journal of Research in Science Teaching, 46*(1), 74–101.

Zeidler, D. L., Sadler, T. D., Simmons, M. L., & Howes, E. V. (2005). Beyond STS: A research-based framework for socioscientific issues education. *Science Education, 89* (3), hlm. 357–377