

DAFTAR PUSTAKA

- Adams, W. K., Wieman, C. E. (2010). Development and Validation of Instruments to Measure Learning of Expert-Like Thinking. *International Journal of Science Education*, hlm. 1 – 24.
- Ahtee, M., Asunta, T. & Palm, H. (2002). Student teachers problems in teaching electrolysis with a key demonstration. *Chemistry Education Research and Practice*, 3(3), hlm. 317-326.
- Al-Balushi, S., Ambusaidi, A. K., Al-Ahuaili, A. H., Taylor, Neil. (2012). Omani twelfth grade student's most common misconception in chemistry. *Journal of International Council of Association for Science Education*. Vol 23, hlm. 221-240.
- Balci, C. (2006). *Conceptual change text oriented instruction to facilitate conceptual change in rate of reaction concepts*. Middle East Technical University. (thesis). Graduate School of Natural and Applied Science Middle East Technical University.
- Barke, H. D., & Wirbs, H. (2002). Structural Units and Chemical Formulae Chemistry Education. *Research and Practice in Europe*, (3), hlm. 185-200.
- Barke, H. D., Hazari, A. & Yitbarek, S. (2009). *Misconceptions in chemistry: Addressing perceptions in chemical education*. Berlin, Heidelberg: Springer-Verlag.
- Barke, H-D. (2012). Two Ideas of The Redox reaction; Misconception and Their Challenge in Chemistry Education. *AJCE*, 2 (2), hlm, 32-50.
- Beerenwinkel, A., Parchmann, I., Grasel, C. (2010). Conceptual change in chemistry teaching: A study on the particle model of matter. *International Journal of Science and Mathematics Education*, 9: hlm. 1235-1259.
- Ben-Zvi, R., Eylon, B., & Silberstein, J. (1988). Theories, principles and laws. *Education in Chemistry*, May, hlm. 89-92.
- Bilgin, I. (2006). Promoting pre-service elementary students' understanding of chemical equilibrium through discussions in small groups. *International Journal of Science and Mathematics Education*, 4(3), hlm. 467-484.

- Bransford, J. D., Brown, A. L. & Cocking, R. R. (2000). How people learn—brain, mind, experience, and school. Washington, DC: National Academy Press.
- Calik, M., & Ayas, A. (2005). A Cross-Age Study on The Understanding of Chemical Solutions and Their Components. *International Education Journal*. 6, (1), hlm. 30-41.
- Centingul, I. dan Geban, O. (2011). Using conceptual change test with analogies for misconceptions in acids and bases. *Journal of Education*, hlm. 112-113.
- Cheung, D. Ma, H. J. & Yang, J. (2009). Teachers' misconceptions about the effects of addition of more reactants or products on chemical equilibrium. *International Journal of Science and Mathematics Education*, 7(6), hlm. 1111-1133.
- Chittleborough, G. & Treagust, D.F. (2007). The modelling ability of non-major chemistry students and their understanding of the sub-microscopic level. *Chemistry Educational Research* 8 (3), hlm. 274-292.
- Coll, R. & Treagust, D. F. (2001). Learners' Mental Models of Chemical Bonding. *Research in Science Education*, 31(6), hlm. 357-382.
- Cros, D., Mauvan, M., Chastrette, M., Leher, J., and Fayol, M. (1986). Conceptions of first-year university students of the constituents of matter and the notions of acids and bases, *European Journal of Science Education* 8 (3), hlm. 305-313.
- Dahar, R.W. (1989). *Teori-teori belajar dan pembelajaran*. Jakarta: Erlangga.
- Demircioğlu, G., (2009). Comparison of the effects of conceptual change texts implemented after and before instruction on secondary school students' understanding of acid-base concepts. *Asia-Pacific Forum on Science Learning and Teaching*, Volume 10, Issue 2, Article 5.
- Dreyfus, A. Jungwirth, E., & Elovitch, R. (1990). Applying the "Cognitive Conflict" strategy for conceptual change – Some implications, difficulties, and problems. *Science Education*, 74 (5), hlm. 555-569.

- Dhindsa, H. & Treagust, D. F. (2009). Conceptual understanding of Bruneian tertiary students: Chemical bonding and structure. *Brunai International Journal of Science & Mathematical Education*, 1 (1), hlm. 33-51.
- Duit, R., & Treagust, D. F. (2003). Conceptual change: A powerful framework for improving science teaching and learning. *International Journal of Science Education*, 25(6), hlm. 671–688.
- Firman, Harry. (2013). *Evaluasi Pembelajaran Kimia*. Bandung: Jurusan Pendidikan Kimia FPMIPA UPI.
- Gabel, D. L.; Bunce, D. M. Research on Problem Solving: Chemistry. In *Handbook of Research on Science Teaching and Learning*; Gabel, D. L., Ed.; Macmillan: New York, 1994; pp hlm. 301–326.
- Gilbert, J.K., & Zylbersztajn, A. (1985). A conceptual framework for science education: The case study of force and movement. *European Journal of Science Education*. (7) hlm. 107– 120
- Gunay, B. (2005). *Conceptual change text oriented instruction to facilitate conceptual change in atoms and molecules*. (thesis). Graduate School of Natural and Applied Science of Middle East Technical University.
- Guzzetti, B. J. (2000). Learning counter intuitive science concepts: What have we learned from over a decade of research? *Reading, Writing, Quarterly*, 16, hlm. 89 – 98.
- Hake, R. R. (1999). Analyzing Chain/ Gain Scores. *Journal Dept. of Physics, Indiana University 2425 Hatteras Street, Woodland Hills, CA, 91367 USA*.
- Hakim, A. (2012). Student understanding of natural products chemistry in primary and secondary metabolites using the data collecting technique of modified CRI. *International Online Journal of Educational Sciences*. 4 (3).
- HAM, M. (2005). *Kamus Kimia*. Jakarta: Bumi Aksara
- Harrison, A. G. & Treagust, D. F. (1996). Secondary students' mental models of atoms and molecules: Implications for teaching chemistry. *Science Education*, 80(5), hlm. 509-534.
- Harrison, A., & Treagust, D. F. (2006). Learning with Analogies: Friend or Foe? In *Metpahor and Analogy in Science Education*, hlm. 11-24.

- Harrison, A. G. & Treagust, D. F. (2010). A typology of school science models. *International Journal of Science Education*. 22 (9), hlm. 1011-1026
- Hewson P. W., & Hewson, M. G., (1984), The role of conceptual conflict in conceptual change and the design of science instruction. *Instruct. Sci.* 13, hlm. 1–13.
- Holme, T. A., Luxford, C. J., Brandiet, A. (2015). Defining conceptual understanding in general chemistry. *Journal of Chemical Education*. DOI: 10.1021/acs.jchemed.5b00218
- Johnstone, A. H. (2006). Chemical education research in Glasgow in perspective. *Chemistry Education Research and Practice*, 7(2), hlm. 49-63.
- Kay, Chu. Chit. (2010) Misconception In The Teaching of Chemistry in Secondary Schools in Singapore & Malaysia. Department of Pre-University Programmes Sunway College Johor Bahru. hlm. 2-3.
- Kim, S.I., Dunsen, L. M. V. (1998). The Role of Prior Knowledge and Elaboration in Text Comprehension and Memory: A comparison of self-generated elaboration and text-provided elaboration. *The American Journal of Psychology*, 111 (3), hlm. 353-378.
- Kind, Vanessa (2004). *Beyond Appearances: Students' misconceptions about basic chemical ideas*, 2nd Edition, School of Education, Durham University, UK.
- Kokotas, P., Vlachos, I., & Koudiadis, V. (1998). Teaching the Topic of the Particulate Nature of Matter, *International Journal of Science Education* 20(3) 291-303.
- Kousathana, M., Demerouti, M. & Tsaparlis, G. (2005). Instructional misconceptions in acid base equilibria: An analysis from a history and philosophy of science perspective. *Science and Education*, 14(2), hlm. 173-193.
- Lawshe, C. H. (1975). A quantitative approach to content validity. *Personel Psychology*. 28, hlm. 563-573.
- Lin, J.-W. & Chiu, M.-H. (2007). Exploring the characteristics and diverse sources of students' mental models of acids and bases. *International Journal of Science Education*, 29(6), hlm. 771 - 803.

- Mashhadi, A., & Woolnough, B. (1998). Students' Conceptions of the "Reality Status" of Electrons. Paper presented at the Annual Meeting of the Singapore Educational Research Association, Singapore.
- Müller, R., & Wiesner, H. (2002). Teaching quantum mechanics on an introductory level. *American Journal of Physics*. 70 (3), hlm. 200 – 209.
- Nakiboglu, C. (2003). Instructional misconceptions of Turkish prospective chemistry teachers about atomic orbitals and hybridization. *Chemistry Education Research and Practice*, 4(2), hlm. 171-188.
- Niaz, M., Aguilera, D., Maza, A., & Liendo, G. (2002). Arguments, contradictions, resistances and conceptual change in students' understanding of atomic structure. *Science Education*. 86, hlm. 505 – 525.
- Olenick, Richard P., "Comprehensive Conceptual Curriculum for Physics." (C3P Project) Department of Physics, University of Dallas.
- Orgill, M & Bodner, G. (2004). What research tell us about using analogies to teach chemistry. *Journal of Chemistry Education: Research and Practice* 5 (1), hlm. 15-32.
- Ozmen, H. (2004). Some student misconception in chemistry: A literature review of chemical bonding. *Journal of Science Education and Technology*, 13(2), hlm. 147-159.
- Pabuccu, A. dan Omer, G. (2012) Student's conceptual level of understanding on chemical bonding. 4 (3), hlm. 563-580.
- Park, E. & Light, G. (2009). Identifying Atomic Structure as a Threshold Concept: Student mental models and troublesomeness. *International Journal of Science Education*, 31(2), hlm. 233 - 258.
- Pinarbasi, T., Canpolat, N., Bayrakceken, S., Geban, O. (2006). An Investigation of effectiveness of conceptual change text-oriented instruction student's understanding of solution concepts. *Research in Science Education*, 36 (1), hlm. 313-315.
- Posner, G., Strike, K., Hewson, P., Gertzog, W. (1982). Accomodation of scientific conception: toward of theory of conceptual change. *Science Education*, 66 (2), hlm. 211-227.

- Read, J. R. (2004). Children's misconception and conceptual change in science education. University of Sydney. hlm. 3-13.
- Riduwan. (2012). *Pengantar Statistika Sosial*. Bandung: Alfabeta.
- Sanger, M. J. (2006). Using particulat drawing to determine and improve student's conception of pure substance and mixtures. *Chemical Education Research*, 77 (6), hlm. 762-766.
- Seker, A. (2006). *Conceptual change text oriented instrstruction to facilitate conceptual change in atom, molecule, ion and matter*. Middle East Technical University. (thesis). Graduate School of Natural and Applied Science Midle East Technical University.
- Sendur, G. dan Mustafa, T. (2013). The role of conceptual change text to improve student's understanding of alkenes. *Chemistry Educational Research and Practice*, hlm. 1-19.
- Stefani, C. & Tsaparlis, G. (2009). Students' levels of explanations, models, and misconceptions in basic quantum chemistry: A phenomenographic study. *Journal of Research in Science Teaching*, 46(5), hlm. 520-536.
- Sukardjo, M., Komarudin, U. (2009). *Landasan pendidikan konsep dan aplikasinya*. Depok: PT RAJA GRAFINDO PERSADA.
- Sunarya, Yayan. (2006). *Kimia SMA kelas X*. Jakarta: BSE
- Taber, K. S. (2009). Learning at the symbolic level. *Kumpulan jurnal dalam Multiple Representation In Chemical Education, Models and Modelling in Science Education*, 4.
- Taber, K. S. (2009). Chalengging misconceptions in the chemistry classroom: Resources to support teachers. *Educacio Qumica*, 4, hlm. 13-20.
- Talanquer, V. and Stains, M. (2007). A₂. Element or compound?. *Journal of Chemical Education Research*. 84 (5). hlm. 880-883.
- Tekkaya, C. (2010). Remediating High School Student's Misconceptions Concerning Diffusions and Osmosis through Mapping and Conceptual Change Text. *Research in Science and Technology Education*. 1, hlm. 5-16.

- Thiele, R. and Treagust, D. (1991). Using analogies in secondary chemistry teaching. *Australian Science Teachers Journal*, 37, hlm. 10-14.
- Ultay, N., Durukan, U.G., Ultay, E. (2014). Evaluation of the effectiveness of conceptual change text in the REACT strategy. *The Journal of Royal Society of Chemistry*.
- Unal, S., Costu, B. & Ayas, A. (2010). Secondary school students' misconceptions of covalent bonding. *Journal of Turkish Science Education*, 7(2), hlm. 3-29.
- Valanides, N. (2000). Primary student teacher's understanding of the particulate nature of matter and its transformation during dissolving. *Chemistry Education Research Practice*. 1 (2), hlm. 249-262.
- White, R., & Gunstone, R. (1992). *Probing understanding*. London: The Falmer Press.
- Whitten, Davis, Peck, Stanley. (2004). *General Chemistry: 4th Edition*. Georgia: Brooks Cole.
- Wu, H., Krajcik, J. S. & Soloway, E. (2001). Promoting Conceptual Understanding of Chemical Representations: Students' Use of a Visualization Tool in the Classroom. *Journal of Research in Science Teaching*, 38(7), hlm. 821-842.
- Yakmaci, B., Adadam, E. (2013). Use of multiple representation in developing preservice chemistry teachers's understanding of the structure of matter. *International Journal of Environmental and Science Education*. 8 (1), hlm. 109-130.