

CHAPTER V

CONCLUSIONS AND SUGGESTIONS

This chapter is the last part of the paper. It presents conclusions and suggestions related to the present research. Some suggestions are offered to future researchers who are interested in analyzing the conversational implicatures in any context.

5.1. Conclusions

After analyzing the data, it can be concluded that, (1) the governor and vice governor candidates commit flouting towards conversational maxim namely quality maxim, relevance maxim, quantity maxim, and *manner* maxim in communicating their response towards panelists' questions. The relevance maxim happened to be the most violated maxim due to the purpose of DKI Jakarta governor candidates to be acknowledged by the audiences or to avoid specific questions.

(2) The flouting occurs mainly due to several factors comprising lack of comprehension on what is being asked, lack of knowledge on the field being asked by the panelists, insufficient evidence on the existing problems in Jakarta and psychological aspects such as nervousness and lack of confidence resulting in the flouting of conversational maxim.

Furthermore, (3) the candidates are not aware that they commit the flouting of conversational maxim when they give their responses. The responses communicated are irrelevant to the panelist's question, unclear, groundless and unnecessarily excessive. Moreover, the candidates also commit flouting of more than one type of conversational maxim.

Overall, even though some violations are done, some of the candidates are able to use sentences or utterances obedient to the cooperative principle by providing responses in which the information given is accurate, relevant and intelligible.

5.2. Suggestions

Regarding the elaborated conclusions, several suggestions are given as follows: (1) The candidates should be able to obey the conversation principle thus creating a proper and informative conversation. A candidate is the information source in a TV-broadcasted debate program watched by many audiences at home in addition to the panelists directly involved within the debate. Hence, both panelists and candidates should obey the conversation principle to achieve the objectives the candidate debate itself. The objectives are not only to assess candidates' vision and mission but also to acquaint the candidates to the people of Jakarta and Indonesia. (2) Readers who put interest on linguistic subject can do further research on conversation implicatures on different kinds of conversation. Further research is expected to be related to both sociolinguistics and psycholinguistics subjects.